CS51 Spring 2024

Code Review 7: Lazy Programming and Infinite Data Structures

1 Practice Problems

Problem 1.1 (Laziness). Define a function (&&&): bool Lazy.t -> bool Lazy.t -> bool. It should behave like a short circuit Boolean AND. That is, 1b1 &&& 1b2 should first force 1b1. If the value is false, the function should return false. Otherwise, it should force lb2 and return its value.

```
let (&&&) (lb1 : bool Lazy.t) (lb2 : bool Lazy.t) : bool =
let lb1 = Lazy.force lb1 in
if lb1 = false then false
else Lazy.force lb2 ;;
```

Problem 1.2 (Defining Streams). ¹

1. Define a value pow2: int stream whose elements are the powers of two.

```
let rec pow2 : int stream =
lazy (Cons (1, smap (( * ) 2) pow2)) ;;
```

2. Define a stream whose elements are the lowercase letters of the alphabet on repeat: a, b, c, z, a, b, c ..., z ... *Hint*: The chr and code function in OCaml's Char module might be helpful

```
let rec alpha_stream : char stream =
let alpha_size = 26 in
let next_char (chr : char) : char =
let cde = Char.code chr in
let a_code = Char.code 'a' in
Char.chr (((cde + 1) - a_code) mod alpha_size + a_code) in
lazy (Cons ('a', smap next_char alpha_stream)) ;;
```

3. Suppose we have the following type:

```
type flip = Heads | Tails
```

Define a stream of pseudorandom coin flips. Hint: Random.int bound function returns a random integer between 0 (inclusive) and bound (exclusive).

```
let rec random_stream : flip stream =
let rec generate () : flip stream =
if Random.int 2 = 0 then lazy (Cons (Heads, generate ()))
else lazy (Cons (Tails, generate ()))
in generate () ;;
```

¹https://courses.cs.cornell.edu/cs3110/2021sp/textbook/adv/exercises.html

Note the subtelty here. One might write a solution like this.

This is incorrect. Problem with this is that you end up getting the same coin flip every time.

Problem 1.3 (Stream Function Exercises).

1. Define a function expo_term : float \rightarrow float stream, that returns the stream of the Taylor expansion of e^x . The Taylor expansion of e^x is defined as:

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$$

One possible implementation:

Another implementation

Consider which implementation is better. It might look like implementation (1) is worse because computing the power and factorial for each element in the stream is inefficient, but is it so bad? If we re-use the stream, then we will store the computed values of the stream.

Implementation (2) seems like it saves time the first time we perform computations on the stream.

2. Define a function total: int stream -> int stream, that takes in a stream <a; b; c; ...> and outputs a stream of the running total of the input elements, i.e., <a; a +. b; a +. b +. c; ...>. ²

²https://courses.cs.cornell.edu/cs3110/2021sp/textbook/adv/exercises.html

3. Define a function infinite_delay: ('a -> 'a) list -> 'a list -> 'a Lazy.t stream that takes a list of functions and arguments to those functions (of the same size), and returns a repeating stream of delayed computations (functions applied to corresponding argument). For instance, consider the function list to be [f; g; h] and the argument list to be [x;y;z]. The output stream should represent the delayed computations of f x, g y, h z, f x, g y, Though if we force computations in the stream, each computation should only be computed once.

```
let infinite_delay (funcs : ('a -> 'a) list) (args : 'a list) : 'a
     Lazy.t stream =
    if List.length funcs <> List.length args then raise (
2
       Invalid_argument "funcs and args
    aren't same length")
3
    else
    (let delayed_comps = List.map2 (fun f x -> lazy (f x)) funcs args
     let rec generate_seq (lst : 'a Lazy.t list) : 'a Lazy.t stream =
6
      let rec aux (l : 'a Lazy.t list) : 'a Lazy.t stream =
7
        match 1 with
        | [] -> generate_seq lst
        | h :: t -> lazy (Cons (h, aux t)) in
10
      aux 1st in
    generate_seq delayed_comps) ;;
```

One might come up with this solution (incorrect):

```
let rec infinite_delay (funcs : ('a -> 'a) list) (args : 'a list) :
    'a Lazy.t stream =

let rec aux (f : ('a -> 'a) list) (a : 'a list) : 'a Lazy.t
    stream =

match funcs, args with

[], [] -> infinite_delay funcs args

[], _
    | _, [] -> raise (Invalid_argument "function and arg lists not
    of eq length")

fh :: ft, ah :: at -> lazy (Cons (lazy (fh ah), aux ft at) )
    in

aux funcs args;;
```

The problem here is that you are not performing each computation **exactly once**, as two of the same computation would belong to *two different thunks* everytime they appear in the list.

4. Define a function compute: ('a -> 'a list) -> 'a list -> unit -> 'a that returns a generator that repeatedly returns the next computation each time the function is called. For example, if we have a function list [f; g; h] and [x; y; z], then this function should return f x, then g y, then h z, f x, and so on.

```
let rec compute (funcs : ('a -> 'a) list) (args : 'a list) : unit
    -> 'a =
let comp_stream = ref (infinite_delay funcs args) in
fun () ->
let Cons (h, t) = Lazy.force !comp_stream in
comp_stream := t; Lazy.force h ;;
```

Problem 1.4 (Lazy Trees).

1. Define an 'a tree type for infinite trees. Each node in the tree can have any number of children.

```
type 'a tree_internal =
  Node of 'a * 'a tree list and
'a tree = 'a tree_internal Lazy.t;;
```

2. Write a function numNodes that takes an 'a tree and a positive integer d and returns the number of nodes in the 'a tree above depth d. We say that the root node of the tree is at depth 0, its children are at depth 1, its grandchildren are at depth 2, and so on.

```
let rec numNodes (tr : 'a tree) (d : int) : int =
    if d = 0 then 1

else
let Node (h, children) = Lazy.force tr in
1 + List.fold_left (fun acc x -> numNodes x (d - 1) + acc) 0
    children ;;
```