## RESIDENTIAL INVESTMENT IN A SRAFFIAN SUPERMULTIPLIER STOCK-FLOW CONSISTENT MODEL: TOWARDS ASSET BUBBLES AND OPEN ECONOMY

## Gabriel Petrini da Silveira

Master student at Institute of Economics (Unicamp - Brazil) gpetrinidasilveira@gmail.com

September 2019

## **ABSTRACT**

The problem left by Harrod (1939) questions the conditions that allow a dynamic long-term equilibrium between demand and productive capacity. From an heterodox perspective, Cambridge, Oxford, and supermultiplier models emerged to address this issue. As a result of the Harrodian instability, the conjugation between the multiplier effect and the accelerator principle has been regarded as equally unstable by literature. In the heterodox literature (majorly Kaleckain), it was up to the degree of capacity utilization to guarantee the stylized fact reported above so income distribution can remain exogenous. However, such artifice imposes that capacity utilization stays persistently different from the desired on the long run.

That said, this in-progress research extends the contribution of Serrano (1995) towards models that validate the principle of effective demand on the long-run which non-capacity creating expenditure leads the long run growth rate and capacity utilization converge to its normal level. In addition, the Kaleckian alternative that includes autonomous expenditures that do not create capacity initiated by Allain (2015) and reinforced by Lavoie (2016) (among others, Dutt, 2016; Dutt, 2018; Hein, 2018; Nah and Lavoie, 2017) is critically reviewed.

From this literature review, we highlight the negligence regarding the treatment given to residential investment. The importance of this component of aggregate demand in determining the economic cycle is highlighted by Leamer (2007) and recently taken up by Fiebiger (2018) in which residential investment anticipates the cycle. In this way, we build a Sraffian supermultiplier model (SSM) to emphasize the importance of household investment. However, as Brochier and Silva (2018) point out, such model lacks an adequate treatment of financial relations. With this gap in mind, a SSM-Stock-Flow Consistent (thereafter SSM-SFC) is modeled to initiate a research area towards the endogeinization of this autonomous expenditure. The importance of this research is the possibility to analyze the cyclicity of the economy in light of the instability of the aggregate demand as Dejuán (2017) suggests.

In short, this article analyses the dynamics of household investment using a SSM-SFC model based on the U.S. economy (1980-2000). The first section presents a review of Kaleckian and sraffian supermultiplier models with autonomous expenditures. The second section highlights stylezed facts for the American economy which support the idea that non-capacity generating expenditures, mainly household investment, led the economic growth and determinates the cycle. Finally, a SSM-SFC model with two sorts of capital stock (productive capital and real estate) is simulated to analyse the effects of changes in income distribution, interest rates and autonomous growth rate. The results are: (i) changes in income distribution affect the growth rate only during the transverse; (ii) increases in interest rates of loans do not have an affect on the long run; (iii) house stock ratio decreases as a result of the overall increase in productive capacity; (iv) long-run growth rate is affected only by autonomous expenditures (household investment).

Therefore, this first model introduces housing on sraffian supermultiplier agenda and extends the range of autonomous expenditures alternatives. It worth noting that future (already in progress) versions of

this paper will — not simultaneously — move to two directions. The first one includes asset bubbles and the importance of the own interest rate highlighted by Sraffa (1932) — and redeemed by Teixeira (2015) to deal with the U.S. case — in a theoretical SSM-SFC simulation and in a time series VEC model. The second (in early stage) intends to open the economy and evaluate the relationship between exchange rate and income distribution and its impacts over balance of payments equilibrium.

**Keywords** Demand-led Growth · Sraffian supermultiplier · Stock flow consistent approach · Residential investiment · Own interest rate

## References

- Allain, O. (2015). Tackling the instability of growth: A Kaleckian-Harrodian model with an autonomous expenditure component. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 39(5), 1351–1371. doi:10.1093/cje/beu039
- Brochier, L., & Silva, A. C. M. e. (2018). A supermultiplier Stock-Flow Consistent model: The "return" of the paradoxes of thrift and costs in the long run? *Cambridge Journal of Economics*. doi:10.1093/cje/bey008
- Dejuán, Ó. (2017). Hidden links in the warranted rate of growth: The supermultiplier way out. *The European Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, 24(2), 369–394. doi:10.1080/09672567.2016.1186201
- Dutt, A. K. (2016). Growth and distribution with autonomous demand growth and normal capacity utilization. Mimeo, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan.
- Dutt, A. K. (2018). Some observations on models of growth and distribution with autonomous demand growth: XXXX. *Metroeconomica*. doi:10.1111/meca.12234
- Fiebiger, B. (2018). Semi-autonomous household expenditures as the causa causans of postwar US business cycles: The stability and instability of Luxemburg-type external markets. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 42(1), 155–175. doi:10.1093/cje/bex019
- Harrod, R. F. (1939). An Essay in Dynamic Theory. The Economic Journal, 49(193), 14. doi:10.2307/2225181
- Hein, E. (2018). Autonomous government expenditure growth, deficits, debt, and distribution in a neo-Kaleckian growth model. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 41(2), 316–338. doi:10.1080/01603477.2017.1422389
- Lavoie, M. (2016). Convergence Towards the Normal Rate of Capacity Utilization in Neo-Kaleckian Models: The Role of Non-Capacity Creating Autonomous Expenditures. *Metroeconomica*, 67(1), 172–201. doi:10.1111/meca.12109
- Leamer, E. E. (2007). *Housing IS the Business Cycle* (Working Paper No. 13428). National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w13428
- Nah, W. J., & Lavoie, M. (2017). Long-run convergence in a neo-Kaleckian open-economy model with autonomous export growth. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 40(2), 223–238. doi:10.1080/01603477.2016.1262745
- Serrano, F. (1995). The sraffian supermultiplier (Tese (PhD), University of Cambridge, Cambridge).
- Sraffa, P. (1932). Dr. hayek on money and capital. The Economic Journal, 42(165), 42–53.
- Teixeira, L. A. d. S. (2015). Crescimento liderado pela demanda na economia norte-americana nos anos 2000: Uma análise a partir do supermultiplicador sraffiano com inflação de ativos (Tese (Doutorado), Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro).