.getPastNow

It doesn't sing for me, not beautifully. It says that it can't, the voice in my head. And, even when I let that fact go by, it doesn't let me imagine infinite. I find it difficult, the thought that something exists which doesn't have bounds on size. Neither has a beginning nor an end. Because, I do and so does everything around me. Or at-least, that's what I was told of. That, I was born and that I would die. They showed photographs of when I was born. I believe in that objective eye.

'Everything', it allowed and I could imagine. But, as something that had a beginning and bounds on its size. There were many like me. People who couldn't imagine that something could be never ending. They made stories of how everything started and even made predictions on how it would end.

That desire was always there; To explain all there is. To state a time independent truth. Because, It was tough to rationalise our actions. To design our actions. Quantify the quality of our actions. To me, the objective and omniscient narratives in stories made it desirable. I would laugh on the actions of characters along with that objective narrative. I feel shy of my actions, for that objective narrative might be laughing on them.

The machine is the most objective perspective, ever. It simulates the laws of our (read phenomenological) nature; The Lagrangian of the cosmos. But, it wasn't that easy. It was named "CERN moment". The moment the machine came into. The causes that led to the machine were not able to take into account the fact that the engine came into. The simulation couldn't contain itself in itself. Hence, was able to speak 'truth' only till that moment. I sort of felt it all this while. I even tweeted "Knowing it, Changes it. Knowing how it changes, Changes how it changes."

It wasn't the omniscient that was desired, but was closer to that utopia. Now, We know everything about our past. The beginnings of everything. The origins of Species. We could 'see' it happening. The past finally had a theory with an empirical evidence. Like always, Inspite of the uncertainty of the assumptions, we have another framework to believe in. Another leap of faith to take and expand on.

From here, those claims of spiritual enlightenment seem so funny. Some of the Nobel Prizes were revoked. Though, their manifesto said that they couldn't be. For, In this new time, they were trying to be time independent truth. 'Definitions' which are always the butt of jokes in changing times, wasn't any different this time.

If peeking into the past of the planet was amazing. Being able to discover nature in its vastness was breathtaking. 'Seeing' The farthest of galaxies, That have existed in time. Yet, We haven't spotted alien life forms. The algorithms to mine that were employed. A sight I wanted to see was, of a species of other worlds looking at us, looking at them looking at us; with a similar machine at their end.

This was the scenario for a few years. The problem that was preventing the simulation to get past the CERN moment was solved. The latest Lagrange would give the state of everything till a moment before NOW. The future was still unpredictable. To access every state of the cosmos and the problems that were preventing the simulation to get past NOW and into the future were remaining. It reminds me of those times in my school, where one or the other student would definitely come up with the solution to even the toughest of problems. Even these would be solved, in time.

The machine was able to speak truth till 'almost now'. It was like the CERN moment was updated every moment. It was thought that the machine would be able to give access to every state of everything. The problem wasn't that the earlier Lagrange was false. It allowed computation to a point before now, really well. For the few moments it spoke of now, the makers who were exposed to the phenomenon experienced something termed as .

It might be random. But, our inefficiency to spot the correlation of cause and effect or reason it doesn't make it chaotic or random.