

UMD DATA605 - Big Data Systems

Graph Data Processing Giraph, GraphX

Instructor: Dr. GP Saggese - gsaggese@umd.edu**

TAs: Krishna Pratardan Taduri, kptaduri@umd.edu Prahar

Kaushikbhai Modi, pmodi08@umd.edu

v1.1

Options for Processing Graph Data

- Write your own programs
 - Extract the relevant data, and construct an in-memory graph
 - Different storage options help to different degrees with this
- Write queries in a declarative language
 - Works for some classes of graph queries/tasks
 - E.g., cypher for Neo4j
- Use a general-purpose distributed programming framework
 - E.g.: Hadoop or Spark
 - Hard to program many graph analysis tasks this way
- Use a graph-specific programming framework
 - Goal is to simplify writing graph analysis tasks, and scale them to very large volumes at the same time
 - E.g., Giraph or GraphX

Option 2: Declarative Interfaces

- No consensus on declarative, high-level languages (like SQL) for either querying or for analysis
 - Too much variety in the types of queries/analysis tasks
 - Makes it hard to find and exploit commonalities
- Some limited, but useful solutions:
 - XQuery for XML
 - Limited to tree-structured data
 - SPARQL for RDF
 - Standardized query language, but limited functionality
 - Cypher by Neo4j
 - Datalog-based frameworks for specifying analysis tasks
 - Many prototypes, typically specific to some analysis task

Option 3: MapReduce

- A popular option for (batch) processing very large datasets, as we know
 - More specifically: Hadoop or Spark
- Two key advantages:
 - Scalability without worrying about scheduling, distributed execution, fault tolerance, and so on. . .
 - Relatively simple programming framework
- Disadvantages:
 - Hard to use directly for graph analysis tasks
 - Each “traversal” effectively requires a new “map-reduce” phase
 - Hadoop framework not ideal for large numbers of phases, Spark is better, though
- However, much work on showing how different graph analysis tasks can be done using MapReduce


Option 3: MapReduce

- Disadvantages:
 - Hard to use this for graph analysis task
 - Each “traversal” effectively requires a new “map-reduce” phase
 - Each job is execute N times
 - Hadoop framework not ideal for large numbers of phases (even with YARN)
 - Not efficient – too much redundant work
 - Mappers send PR values and structure of graph
 - In PageRank example: repeated reading and parsing of the inputs for each iteration
 - Extensive I/O at input, shuffle/sort, output

Option 4: Graph Programming Frameworks


- Frameworks (analogous to MapReduce) proposed for analyzing large volumes of graph data
 - An attempt at addressing limitations of MapReduce
 - Most are *vertex-centric*
 - Programs written from the point of view of a vertex
 - Most based on message passing between nodes
- Pregel: original framework proposed by Google
 - Based on “Bulk Synchronous Parallel” (BSP) model
- Giraph: an open-source implementation of Pregel built on top of Hadoop
- GraphLab: asynchronous execution
- GraphX: built on top of Spark

Bulk Synchronous Parallel (BSP)




Vertex-centric BSP

- Each vertex has an id, a value, a list of its adjacent vertex ids and the corresponding edge values
- Each vertex is invoked in each superstep, can recompute its value and send messages to other vertices, which are delivered over superstep barriers
- Advanced features : termination votes, combiners, aggregators, topology mutations



A vertex view



Designed for iterations

- Stateful (in-memory)
 - Keep all data in memory if possible
- Only intermediate values (messages) sent
 - To communicate with other vertices
- Hits the disk at input, output, checkpoint
- Can go out-of-core
 - If the data does not fit into memory

Graph modeling in Giraph

- `BasicComputation< I extends WritableComparable, // VertexID – vertex
ref V extends Writable, // VertexData – a vertex datum E extends
Writable, // EdgeData – an edge label M extends Writable> //
MessageData-- message payload`

Giraph “Hello World”

```
public class GiraphHelloWorld extends BasicComputation<IntWritable,
IntWritable, NullWritable, NullWritable> { public void
compute(Vertex<IntWritable, IntWritable, NullWritable> vertex, Iterable
messages) { System.out.println("Hello world from the:" + vertex.getId() + " :
"); for (Edge<IntWritable, NullWritable> e : vertex.getEdges()) {
System.out.println(" " + e.getTargetVertexId()); } System.out.println("");
vertex.voteToHalt(); }
```

Example: Ping neighbors

```
public void compute(Vertex<Text, DoubleWritable, DoubleWritable> vertex,
    Iterable ms ){ if (getSuperstep() == 0) { sendMessageToAllEdges(vertex,
    vertex.getId()); } else { for (Text m : ms) { if (vertex.getEdgeValue(m) ==
    null) { vertex.addEdge(EdgeFactory.create(m, SYNTHETIC_EDGE)); } } }
    vertex.voteToHalt(); }
```

Giraph PageRank Example

```
public class PageRankComputation extends BasicComputation<IntWritable,  
FloatWritable, NullWritable, FloatWritable> {
```