## **Introduction to Databases**

## Lecture 4 – Advanced relational database concepts

#### Gianluca Quercini

gianluca.quercini@centralesupelec.fr

Master DSBA 2020 - 2021



## What you will learn

In this lecture you will learn:

The notion of views and materialized views.

General principles of database indexes.

 Transactions and their role in database consistency and failure recovery.

#### Virtual views

#### Definition (Virtual view)

A **virtual view** is a relational table that does not exist physically and is only defined by a query.

### Creating a view

CREATE VIEW <view\_name>
AS <view\_definition>

The view definition is a **SQL query**.

The DBMS only stores the SQL query that defines a view, not the result of the query.

## Running example

In the following slides, we consider a database with the following tables:

### Table Employee

```
CREATE TABLE Employee(
emp_id INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
first_name TEXT,
last_name TEXT,
position TEXT NOT NULL,
salary FLOAT DEFAULT 30000,
dept_id INTEGER
)
```

### Table Department

```
CREATE TABLE Department(
dept_id INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
dept_name TEXT,
budget FLOAT
```

## Creating a virtual view

## Create a view from a single table

```
CREATE VIEW TopEmployee AS

SELECT first_name, last_name, salary, dept_id

FROM Employee

WHERE position IN ("Executive director", "Assistant director")
```

### Create a view from multiple tables

```
CREATE VIEW AdministrationEmployee AS

SELECT first_name, last_name, salary

FROM Employee e JOIN Department d ON e.dept_id = d.dept_id

WHERE d.dept_name="Administration"
```

#### Create a view from a view

CREATE VIEW TopSalary AS SELECT MAX(salary) FROM TopEmployee

# Querying a view

## Querying a view

```
SELECT AVG(salary)
FROM TopEmployee
WHERE position = "Executive director"
```

#### Querying a view with other tables

```
SELECT first_name, last_name, budget
FROM TopEmployee t JOIN Department d ON t.dept_id=d.dept_id
WHERE position = "Executive director"
```

When we query a view, the view is **computed dynamically**.

### Virtual views: motivations

Simplify the writing of complex SQL queries.

#### Without using views

```
Get the name of the department of the employee with the highest salary.
```

```
SELECT d.dept_name
FROM department d JOIN
(SELECT emp_id, dept_id, salary
FROM Employee
ORDER BY salary desc
LIMIT 1) max_salary ON d.dept_id=max_salary.dept_id
```

#### Virtual views: motivations

Simplify the writing of complex SQL queries.

### Using views

Get the name of the department of the employee with the highest salary.

```
CREATE VIEW max_salary as

(SELECT emp_id, dept_id, salary
FROM Employee

ORDER BY salary desc

LIMIT 1)
```

```
SELECT d.dept_name
FROM max_salary m JOIN Department d
ON m.dept_id=d.dept_id
```

#### Virtual views: motivations

- Restrict the access to sensitive data.
  - Create a view with only a subset of data.
  - Only grant access to the view, not the full table.

#### Restrict the access to sensitive data

Create a view where the salary is not visible.

```
CREATE VIEW Employee_partial AS
SELECT emp_id, first_name, last_name, position, dept_id
FROM Employee
```

 A write operation (insert, update, delete) on a view is translated into a write operation on the original table/view, if possible.

### Updatability conditions

A view is **not updatable** (cannot *insert*, *update*, *delete*) if it contains any of the following:

- Aggregate functions.
- DISTINCT, GROUP BY, HAVING, LIMIT, UNION, UNION ALL.
- Subqueries in the SELECT clause.
- Subqueries in the WHERE clause referring to a table in FROM.
- Reference to a nonupdatable view in the FROM clause.
- Inner join operations (with conditions).

 A write operation (insert, update, delete) on a view is translated into a write operation on the original table/view, if possible.

### Insertability conditions

A view is **insertable** (can *insert*) if **it is updatable** and:

- The view contains all columns in the base table that do not have a default value.
- The view columns must be simple column references (no expressions).

#### Subquery in WHERE referencing a table in FROM

Get the employees working in the same department as the employee number 1.

```
CREATE VIEW EmpOneColleague as

SELECT emp_id, first_name, last_name, salary

FROM Employee

WHERE dept_id IN

(select dept_id from Employee where emp_id=1) 

subquery
```

- The subquery contains a reference to table Employee.
- The table is referenced in the FROM clause.
- The view is not updatable (must check a condition on a table being updated).

## Subquery in WHERE not referencing a table in FROM

Get the employees working in the department Administration

```
CREATE VIEW AdminEmployee as

SELECT emp_id, first_name, last_name, salary FROM Employee

WHERE dept_id IN

(select dept_id from Department where dept_name="Administration")
```

- The subquery doesn't reference any table in the FROM clause.
- The view is updatable.

#### Update example

```
UPDATE AdminEmployee SET salary=40000
```

This is translated into the following query:

```
UPDATE Employee SET salary=40000 WHERE dept_id in (SELECT dept_id FROM Department where dept_name="Administration")
```

## Subquery in SELECT

```
CREATE VIEW nbEmployeesPerDepartment

SELECT dept_name,

(SELECT count(*)

FROM Employee e

WHERE e.dept_id=d.dept_id) as nbEmployees

FROM Department d
```

- This view is not updatable.
- It contains a subquery in the clause SELECT.
- The DBMS would not know how to update the column nbEmployees.

### Updating a view defined with INNER JOIN

```
CREATE VIEW AdminEmployee as

SELECT emp_id, first_name, last_name, salary, budget

FROM Employee e JOIN Department d ON e.dept_id=d.dept_id

WHERE dept_name="Administration";
```

UPDATE AdminEmployee SET budget=30000

- The update is allowed if the columns of only one table are modified.
- The update is allowed.
  - Only the column *budget* is updated.

### Update

The update is translated into the following:

```
UPDATE Department SET budget = 30000
WHERE dept_name="Administration"
```

## Updating a view defined with INNER JOIN

```
CREATE VIEW AdminEmployee as

SELECT emp_id, first_name, last_name, salary, budget

FROM Employee e JOIN Department d ON e.dept_id=d.dept_id

WHERE dept_name="Administration";
```

UPDATE AdminEmployee SET budget=30000, salary=40000

- The update is **not allowed**.
- We try to modify one column from table Employee.
- We try to modify one column from table Department.

#### Updatable but not insertable view

```
CREATE VIEW TopEmployee AS

SELECT first_name, last_name, salary, dept_id

FROM Employee

WHERE position IN ("Executive director", "Assistant director")
```

- The view TopEmployee is updatable.
- The view TopEmployee is not insertable.

```
INSERT INTO TopEmployee VALUES ("John", "Smith", 30000, 14)
is translated into:
INSERT INTO Employee(first_name, last_name, salary, dept_id)
VALUES ("John", "Smith", 30000, 14)
```

No value is specified for *emp\_id* nor *position*: but they cannot be NULL!

## Updatable and insertable view

```
CREATE VIEW TopEmployee AS

SELECT emp_id, position, dept_id

FROM Employee

WHERE position IN ("Executive director", "Assistant director")
```

• The view TopEmployee is **updatable** and **insertable**.

```
INSERT INTO TopEmployee VALUES (10, "secretary", 50)
is translated into:
INSERT INTO Employee(emp_id, position, dept_id)
VALUES (10, "secretary", 50)
This will add the following row into table Employee (note the default value for salary).
  (emp_id=10, first_name=NULL, last_name=NULL, position="secretary", salary=30000, dept_id=50)
```

#### Definition (Materialized view)

A materialized view is a view whose result is *persisted* as if it was a normal database table.

## Creating a view

CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW <view\_name>
AS <view\_definition>

- Useful when the **result** of a query is **referenced frequently**.
- When the underlying table(s) is (are) **updated**, the view needs to be updated too. **When?**

Some DBMS (e.g., MySQL) don't support materialized views.

#### Materialized view example

```
CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW EmpDept AS

SELECT first_name, last_name, dept_name

FROM Employee e JOIN Department d ON e.dept_id=d.dept_id
```

- Any update on columns that are not referenced in the view do not alter the view.
- In the following example, we update the salary of an employee.
- But salary is not an example of the view EmpDept.
- Therefore, the view is not modified.

#### Example

UPDATE Employee SET salary=54000 WHERE emp\_id=10

### Materialized view example

```
CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW EmpDept AS

SELECT first_name, last_name, dept_name

FROM Employee e JOIN Department d ON e.dept_id=d.dept_id
```

We consider the following INSERT operation.

```
INSERT INTO Employee VALUES(120, "William", "Tyler",
"accountant", 50000, 15)
```

To update the view, the DBMS executes the following operations.

```
SELECT dept_name FROM Department WHERE dept_id = 15;
```

The department name returned by this query (say, "Finances") is used in the following INSERT operation:

```
INSERT INTO EmpDept VALUES ("William", "Tyler", "Finances");
```

- It would be costly to update a materialized view each time the underlying tables are updated.
- A better solution is to refresh the materialized view periodically.
  - Example. Each night, when the database activity is low.
- Querying the materialized view between two refresh operations might return stale data.
- This might be acceptable in numerous situations.
  - Example. Analysis on product sales.

# Searching in tables

# How to efficiently search for data in a table?



|                   |          | Employee |            |           |                        |         |         |   |
|-------------------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|---|
|                   |          | emp_id   | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |   |
|                   |          | 4        | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |   |
| səles             |          | 5        | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |   |
| E<br>tr           |          | 6        | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      | ı |
| Search all tuples |          | 2        | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      | t |
| Se                | - ·      | 3        | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |   |
|                   |          | 1        | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |   |
|                   |          | 7        | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |   |
|                   | <b>O</b> | 8        | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |   |

If the table has n rows, the search cost is O(n).

|        | Employee   |           |                        |         |         |  |  |
|--------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| emp_id | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |  |  |
| 4      | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 5      | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |  |  |
| 6      | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 2      | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 3      | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |  |  |
| 1      | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |  |  |
| 7      | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |  |  |
| 8      | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |  |  |

|         | Department         | t       |
|---------|--------------------|---------|
| dept_id | dept_name          | budget  |
| 62      | Finance            | 600,000 |
| 25      | Education          | 150,000 |
| 14      | Administration     | 300,000 |
| 45      | Human<br>Resources | 150,000 |

# How does the DBMS join two tables?

|        | Employee   |           |                        |         |         |  |  |
|--------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| emp_id | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |  |  |
| 4      | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 5      | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |  |  |
| 6      | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 2      | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 3      | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |  |  |
| 1      | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |  |  |
| 7      | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |  |  |
| 8      | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |  |  |

|         | Department         |         |
|---------|--------------------|---------|
| dept_id | dept_name          | budget  |
| 62      | Finance            | 600,000 |
| 25      | Education          | 150,000 |
| 14      | Administration     | 300,000 |
| 45      | Human<br>Resources | 150,000 |
|         |                    |         |
| 62      | 2 25 45            | 14      |
| 02      | 2 25 45            | 14      |

- Sequential scan on Department
  - To create a hash table for fast access to the table.

|        |                 | En              | nployee                |         |         |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| emp_id | first_name      | last_name       | position               | salary  | dept_id |
| 4      | Mary            | Green           | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |
| 5      | William         | Russel          | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |
| 6      | Elizabeth       | Smith           | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |
| 2      | John            | Doe             | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |
| 3      | Patricia        | Patricia Fisher |                        | 45,000  | 25      |
| 1      | 1 Joseph Bennet |                 | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |
| 7      | Michael         | Watson          | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |
| 8      | Jennifer        | Young           | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |

| dept_id         dept_name         budget           62         Finance         600,000           25         Education         150,000           14         Administration         300,000           45         Human<br>Resources         150,000 |         | Department     | t       |
|--|---------|----------------|---------|
| 25 Education 150,000<br>14 Administration 300,000<br>Human 150,000   | dept_ic | dept_name      | budget  |
| 14 Administration 300,000  Human 150,000   | 62      | Finance        | 600,000 |
| 45 Human 150,000   | 25      | Education      | 150,000 |
| 45   150 000   | 14      | Administration | 300,000 |
|  | 45      |                | 150,000 |
|  | ٠,      | 2 25 45        | 14      |
| 62 25 45 14  | ١,      | 2   23   43    | '7 F    |

### Sequential scan on Employee

- To match each Employee against his/her department.
- With the **hash table**, finding an employee's department costs O(1).

|        | Employee   |           |                        |         |         |  |  |
|--------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| emp_id | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |  |  |
| 4      | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 5      | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |  |  |
| 6      | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 2      | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |  |  |
| 3      | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |  |  |
| 1      | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |  |  |
| 7      | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |  |  |
| 8      | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |  |  |

|              | Department         |         |
|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| dept_id      | dept_name          | budget  |
| 62           | Finance            | 600,000 |
| 25           | Education          | 150,000 |
| 14           | Administration     | 300,000 |
| 45           | Human<br>Resources | 150,000 |
|              |                    |         |
| $\checkmark$ | _                  | _       |
| 62           | 25 45              | 14      |

- Let  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  be two tables with n and m rows respectively.
- The cost of  $T_1$  JOIN  $T_2$  is O(n+m).

### Indexes

#### Definition (Index)

An **index** on a column, or multiple columns (**composite index**), of a table is a *data structure* that makes it efficient to find the rows that have some specific values for those columns. •Source

- An index is **stored** into the database, **independently** of the data.
  - Adding or removing an index does not affect the data, nor the queries.
- An index is a sequence of records (a.k.a., index entries).
  - Each record is a pair (search\_key, pointer).
  - search\_key: values of the indexed columns (e.g., emp\_id).
  - pointer: reference to a row in the table where the values in the indexed columns match the search key.

- Each row has a logical identifier rowid.
- The search keys are sorted in the index.

| Index on emp_id |      |  |  |  |
|-----------------|------|--|--|--|
| 1               | row6 |  |  |  |
| 2               | row4 |  |  |  |
| 3               | row5 |  |  |  |
| 4               | row1 |  |  |  |
| 5               | row2 |  |  |  |
| 6               | row3 |  |  |  |
| 7               | row7 |  |  |  |
| 8               | row8 |  |  |  |

|       |        |            | En        | nployee                |         |         |
|-------|--------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| rowid | emp_id | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |
| row1  | 4      | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |
| row2  | 5      | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |
| row3  | 6      | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |
| row4  | 2      | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |
| row5  | 3      | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |
| row6  | 1      | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |
| row7  | 7      | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |
| row8  | 8      | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |

When rows are added/deleted/updated, the index needs to be **updated** too!

#### Advantages

- **Efficient search**:  $O(\log n)$  (binary search).
- Range queries are supported.

#### Disadvantages

- Update high cost: O(n).
- Efficient search only when the index can be loaded entirely in main memory.
  - Otherwise, the search performance is degraded by disk accesses.
- Depending on the size of the index, this might not be possible.

### Composite index

- Index defined on more than one column.
- The **order** of the columns in a composite index matters.

| {first_r | Index on name, last_ | name} |
|----------|----------------------|-------|
| Bennet   | Joseph               | row6  |
| Doe      | John                 | row4  |
| Fisher   | Patricia             | row5  |
| Green    | Mary                 | row1  |
| Russel   | William              | row2  |
| Smith    | Elizabeth            | row3  |
| Watson   | Michael              | row7  |
| Young    | Jennifer             | row8  |

|       | Employee |            |           |                        |         |         |
|-------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| rowid | emp_id   | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |
| row1  | 4        | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |
| row2  | 5        | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |
| row3  | 6        | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |
| row4  | 2        | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |
| row5  | 3        | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |
| row6  | 1        | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |
| row7  | 7        | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |
| row8  | 8        | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |

### Composite index

SELECT \* FROM Employee
WHERE first\_name='Elizabeth' AND last\_name='Smith'

The index is used for this query (accessing both columns).

| Index on {first name, last name} |           |      |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------|--|--|--|
| Bennet                           | Joseph    | row6 |  |  |  |
| Doe                              | John      | row4 |  |  |  |
|                                  |           |      |  |  |  |
| Fisher                           | Patricia  | row5 |  |  |  |
| Green                            | Mary      | row1 |  |  |  |
| Russel                           | William   | row2 |  |  |  |
| Smith                            | Elizabeth | row3 |  |  |  |
| Watson                           | Michael   | row7 |  |  |  |
| Young                            | Jennifer  | row8 |  |  |  |

|       | Employee |            |           |                        |         |         |
|-------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| rowid | emp_id   | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |
| row1  | 4        | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |
| row2  | 5        | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |
| row3  | 6        | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |
| row4  | 2        | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |
| row5  | 3        | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |
| row6  | 1        | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |
| row7  | 7        | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |
| row8  | 8        | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |

## Composite index

SELECT \* FROM Employee WHERE last\_name='Smith'

The index is used for this query (accessing the first column).

| Index on<br>{first_name, last_name} |           |      |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------|--|--|--|
| Bennet                              | Joseph    | row6 |  |  |  |
| Doe                                 | John      | row4 |  |  |  |
| Fisher                              | Patricia  | row5 |  |  |  |
| Green                               | Mary      | row1 |  |  |  |
| Russel                              | William   | row2 |  |  |  |
| Smith                               | Elizabeth | row3 |  |  |  |
| Watson                              | Michael   | row7 |  |  |  |
| Young                               | Jennifer  | row8 |  |  |  |
|                                     |           |      |  |  |  |

|       | Employee |            |           |                        |         |         |
|-------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|
|       | Employee |            |           |                        |         |         |
| rowid | emp_id   | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |
| row1  | 4        | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |
| row2  | 5        | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |
| row3  | 6        | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |
| row4  | 2        | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |
| row5  | 3        | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |
| row6  | 1        | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |
| row7  | 7        | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |
| row8  | 8        | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |

## Composite index


SELECT \* FROM Employee
WHERE first\_name='Elizabeth'

The index is not used for this query (accessing the second column).

| Index on<br>{first_name, last_name} |           |      |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------|--|--|--|
| Bennet                              | Joseph    | row6 |  |  |  |
| Doe                                 | John      | row4 |  |  |  |
| Fisher                              | Patricia  | row5 |  |  |  |
| Green                               | Mary      | row1 |  |  |  |
| Russel                              | William   | row2 |  |  |  |
| Smith                               | Elizabeth | row3 |  |  |  |
| Watson                              | Michael   | row7 |  |  |  |
| Young                               | Jennifer  | row8 |  |  |  |

|       | Employee |            |           |                        |         |         |
|-------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| rowid | emp_id   | first_name | last_name | position               | salary  | dept_id |
| row1  | 4        | Mary       | Green     | Credit analyst         | 65,000  | 62      |
| row2  | 5        | William    | Russel    | Guidance<br>counselour | 35,000  | 25      |
| row3  | 6        | Elizabeth  | Smith     | Accountant             | 45,000  | 62      |
| row4  | 2        | John       | Doe       | Budget<br>manager      | 60,000  | 62      |
| row5  | 3        | Patricia   | Fisher    | Secretary              | 45,000  | 25      |
| row6  | 1        | Joseph     | Bennet    | Office assistant       | 55,000  | 14      |
| row7  | 7        | Michael    | Watson    | Team leader            | 80,000  | 14      |
| row8  | 8        | Jennifer   | Young     | Secretary              | 120,000 | 25      |

#### B-tree indexes



### B-tree indexes

- A B-tree index is balanced.
  - All leaves are at the same height.
  - Retrieving a record from anywhere in the index takes the same amount of time.
- A leaf block contains records of pairs (search key, rowid).
- Records are sorted by (search key, rowid).
  - Once we locate the search key in the tree, we can immediately retrieve the pointers to all rows that match the search key.
- The leaf blocks are doubly linked.
  - Useful to answer range queries.

## B-tree indexes

#### Computational cost

Given a table with *n* rows:

- The cost of **searching** for a row in the index is  $O(\log n)$ .
- The cost of **inserting** or **deleting** a new row is  $O(\log n)$ .

#### Multi-level index

- Useful when an index does not fit in main memory.
- Some levels of the tree can be stored in memory, the others can be on disk.

### Clustered indexes

## Definition (Clustered index)

In a **clustered index**, rows are stored within the index itself. The table is therefore sorted around the values of the columns on which the index is defined.

```
1, Joseph, Bennet, Office assistant, 55000, 14
2, John, Doe, Budget manager, 60000, 62
3, Patricia, Fisher, Secretary, 45000, 25
```

- 3, Patricia, Fisher, Secretary, 45000, 25
- 4, Mary Green, Credit analyst, 65000, 62

- 5, William, Russel, Guidance counselour, 35000, 25
- 6, Elizabeth, Smith, Accountant, 45000, 62
- 7, Michael, Watson, Team leader, 80000, 14 8, Jennifer, Young, Secretary, 120000, 25

Leaf blocks

- Reduce the number of read operations to retrieve a row.
- Only one clustered index per table is possible.
  - Built on columns that are part of the primary key.
- Secondary indexes can be created on other columns, if needed.

## Indexes: discussion

- Indexes are key to speed up queries.
- But indexes come with a cost.
  - **Storage.** The indexes are stored in the database.
  - **Updates**. When rows are inserted, updated or deleted, indexes must be updated.

#### When indexes should not be used

- Low-read, high write columns.
- Low cardinality columns (with few distinct values).
- Small tables.
- Composite indexes. Use on columns that co-occur frequently.
- Clustered indexes. Ideal when queries return most of the columns.

# Create indexes in SQL

```
CREATE INDEX my_index ON Employee(last_name)
```

CREATE UNIQUE INDEX my\_index ON Employee(last\_name)

CREATE INDEX my\_index ON Employee(last\_name) USING BTREE

#### Remember

- On the columns of the primary key an index is automatically created.
- If a column is declared as UNIQUE, an index is automatically created.

## Key assumptions so far

- Only one user reads/writes the database.
- Read/write operations are executed in their entirety, or atomically.

#### Read operations

- Read operations do not modify the state (i.e., the values) of the database.
- Many users can safely read concurrently.
- Read operations can be safely interrupted.

## Write operations

- What happens if many users write concurrently?
- What happens if a write operation is **interrupted**?

## **Atomicity**

- John Smith wants to transfer 500 euros from his checking to his savings account.
- What if the database system fails between the two updates?

| Account  |             |          |         |  |
|----------|-------------|----------|---------|--|
| acct_nbr | client_name | type     | balance |  |
| 4        | John Smith  | checking | 5000    |  |
| 5        | John Smith  | savings  | 20000   |  |



UPDATE account SET balance = balance - 500 WHERE acct nbr = 4

client name

Account

| Account  |             |          |         |  |  |
|----------|-------------|----------|---------|--|--|
| acct_nbr | client_name | type     | balance |  |  |
| 4        | John Smith  | checking | 4500    |  |  |
| 5        | John Smith  | savings  | 20500   |  |  |



acct nbr

balance

4500

20000

# Serializability

- Two customers are selecting a seat on a flight.
- What if they select the same seat at the same time?

| Flight  |            |          |             |  |  |
|---------|------------|----------|-------------|--|--|
| flt_nbr | flt_date   | seat_nbr | seat_status |  |  |
| AF345   | 23/12/2020 | 22A      | empty       |  |  |



### Definition (Transaction)

A **transaction** is a sequence of read and/or write operations on a database that are executed as a **single atomic operation**. Either all are executed or none. Importantly, the values are stored only if the transaction is successful.

#### Transaction in SQL

```
START TRANSACTION;
UPDATE Account SET balance=balance-500 WHERE account_nbr=4;
UPDATE Account SET balance=balance+500 WHERE account_nbr=5;
COMMIT;
```

## Transactions: COMMIT and ROLLBACK

#### Transaction successful: COMMIT

- All changes to the database caused by the transaction are committed (i.e., persisted).
- Before COMMIT, changes are tentative, they may or may not be stored on disk.

#### Transaction unsuccessful: ROLLBACK

• All changes to the database caused by the transaction are **rolled back** (i.e., undone).

# Transactions: serializability

## Definition (Serializable transactions)

Two or more transactions are **serializable** if they behave as if they were run *serially*, one at a time.

- By default, transactions are serializable.
  - This means that transactions are completely isolated.
- Transactions that operate on different data are easily serializable.
- Transactions that operate on the same data can be serialized by using a locking mechanism.

#### Locks

- When a transaction operates on a table, the DBMS may impose a **lock** on (parts of) that table.
- Other transactions are unable to read/write a locked table.

# Transactions: dirty reads

- **Dirty data** is data written by a transaction that is not yet committed.
- Serializable transactions cannot read dirty data.
- In some cases, transactions can be allowed to have **dirty reads**.
  - When dirty reads do not lead to serious problems.
  - Avoids the time-consuming work by the DBMS to prevent dirty reads.

### Example

- An available seat is chosen for the customer by the system and set to occupied.
- If the customer rejects the proposition, the seat is set to available.
- If another transaction reads the seat status before the customer rejection, it sees the seat as occupied.
- But there is no risk of giving the same seat to two different customers.

# Transactions: recovery

### Definition (Transaction manager)

The **transaction manager** is the component of a database system that makes sure that transactions are executed correctly.

### Transaction manager role

- Sends commands to the log manager to log information about the transaction execution.
- Assures that concurrently executing transactions do not interfere with each other.

Logs are used to recover from a failure.

# Transactions: recovery

## Undo logging

A **log file** has different types of **records**.

- START T: marks the beginning of transaction T.
- COMMIT T: Marks the successful end of transaction T.
- ABORT T: Marks the unsuccessful end of transaction T.
- UPDATE: (T, X, v), meaning that T has changed a database element X and its former value is v.
- If T modifies X, the log record (T, X, v) is written to disk *before* the new value of X is written to disk.
- ② If a transaction **commits**, its COMMIT log record is written *after* all database elements changed by T are written to disk.

# Transactions: recovery

- When a **system failure** occurs, the **recovery manager** reads the log file from the end.
  - From the most recently written record.
- If it finds a record (T, X, v):
  - If T is committed, the record is ignored.
  - If T is not committed, the value v is restored to X.
- For all uncommitted transactions, the recovery manager writes an ABORT record to the log.
- The log is stored to the disk and normal operation can resume.

Other recovery mechanisms exist (e.g., redo logging).

## Transactions: ACID

Transactions have the following properties (ACID):

- Atomicity (A). "All or nothing".
- Consistency (C). From a consistent state to a consistent state.
  - some operations within the transaction may lead to inconsistencies.
- Isolation (I). Serializability of transactions.
- Durability (D). Upon commit, all the updates are permanent.
- A relational database enforces strict consistency with transactions.
- This might hamper performances in a distributed database.

Strict consistency is one of the requirements that NoSQL databases want to ease.

## References

• Garcia-Molina, Hector. *Database systems: the complete book.* Pearson Education India, 2008. Click here