

```

----
title: "Quantium Virtual Internship – Retail Strategy and Analytics – Task
2"
mainfont: Roboto
monofont: Consolas
output:
  pdf_document:
    df_print: default
    highlight: tango
    keep_tex: yes
    latex_engine: xelatex
---
```{r setup, include=FALSE}
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)
knitr::opts_chunk$set(linewidth=80)
```

```{r knitr line wrap setup, include=FALSE}
library(knitr)
hook_output = knitr_hooks$get("output")
knitr_hooks$set(output = function(x, options)
{
 # this hook is used only when the linewidth option is not NULL
 if (!is.null(n <- options$linewidth))
 {
 x = knitr::split_lines(x)
 # any lines wider than n should be wrapped
 if (any(nchar(x) > n))
 x = strwrap(x, width = n)
 x = paste(x, collapse = "\n")
 }
 hook_output(x, options)
})
```

# Solution for Task 2
This file is a solution for the Task 2 of the Quantium Virtual Internship.

## Load required libraries and datasets
Note that you will need to install these libraries if you have never used
these
before.
```{r 0. Load libraries, include = FALSE}
library(data.table)
library(ggplot2)
library(tidyr)

```

```

'''
Point the filePath to where you have downloaded the datasets to and
assign the data files to data.tables
```{r 1. Read in data from previous module}
data <- fread(paste0("QVI_data.csv"))
#### Set themes for plots
theme_set(theme_bw())
theme_update(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5))
'''

## Select control stores
The client has selected store numbers 77, 86 and 88 as trial stores and
want
control stores to be established stores that are operational for the
entire
observation period.
We would want to match trial stores to control stores that are similar
to the trial
store prior to the trial period of Feb 2019 in terms of :
- Monthly overall sales revenue
- Monthly number of customers
- Monthly number of transactions per customer
Let's first create the metrics of interest and filter to stores that are
present
throughout the pre-trial period.
```{r Select control stores}
Calculate these measures over time for each store
Add a new month ID column in the data with the format yyyyymm.
data[, YEARMONTH := year(DATE)*100 + month(DATE)]
data
Next, we define the measure calculations to use during the analysis.
For each store and month calculate total sales, number of customers,
transactions per customer, chips per customer and the average price per
unit.
measureOverTime <- data[, .(totSales = sum(TOT_SALES),
 nCustomers = uniqueN(LYLT_CARD_NBR) ,
 nTxnPerCust =
uniqueN(TXN_ID)/uniqueN(LYLT_CARD_NBR),
 nChipsPerTxn =
sum(PROD_QTY)/uniqueN(TXN_ID),
 avgPricePerUnit =
sum(TOT_SALES)/sum(PROD_QTY))
 , by = c("STORE_NBR",
"YEARMONTH"))[order(STORE_NBR, YEARMONTH)]

```

```
Filter to the pre-trial period and stores with full observation
periods
storesWithFullObs <- unique(measureOverTime[, .N, STORE_NBR][N == 12,
STORE_NBR])
preTrialMeasures <- measureOverTime[YEARMONTH < 201902 & STORE_NBR %in%
storesWithFullObs,]
```
```

Now we need to work out a way of ranking how similar each potential control store

is to the trial store. We can calculate how correlated the performance of each

store is to the trial store.

Let's write a function for this so that we don't have to calculate this for each

trial store and control store pair.

```
```{r Create function to calculate correlation}
```

```
Create a function to calculate correlation for a measure, looping
through each control store.
```

```
Let's define inputTable as a metric table with potential comparison
stores,
```

```
metricCol as the store metric used to calculate correlation on, and
storeComparison
```

```
as the store number of the trial store.
```

```
calculateCorrelation <- function(inputTable, metricCol, storeComparison)
{
```

```
 calcCorrTable = data.table(Store1 = numeric(), Store2 = numeric(),
corr_measure =
 numeric())
```

```
 storeNumbers <- unique(inputTable[, STORE_NBR])
```

```
 for (i in storeNumbers) {
```

```
 calculatedMeasure = data.table("Store1" = storeComparison,
 "Store2" = i,
 "corr_measure" =
```

```
cor(inputTable[STORE_NBR == storeComparison,
```

```
eval(metricCol)], inputTable[STORE_NBR == i,
```

```
eval(metricCol)]))
```

```
 calcCorrTable <- rbind(calcCorrTable, calculatedMeasure)
```

```
 }
```

```
 return(calcCorrTable)
```

```
}
```

```

Apart from correlation, we can also calculate a standardised metric based on the absolute difference between the trial store's performance and each control store's performance.

Let's write a function for this.

```
```{r Create function to calculate magnitude distance}
Create a function to calculate a standardised magnitude distance for
a measure,
looping through each control store
calculateMagnitudeDistance <- function(inputTable, metricCol,
storeComparison) {
 calcDistTable = data.table(Store1 = numeric(), Store2 = numeric(),
YEARMONTH =
numeric(), measure = numeric())
 storeNumbers <- unique(inputTable[, STORE_NBR])
 for (i in storeNumbers) {
 calculatedMeasure = data.table("Store1" = storeComparison
, "Store2" = i
, "YEARMONTH" = inputTable[STORE_NBR
==
storeComparison, YEARMONTH]
, "measure" =
abs(inputTable[STORE_NBR ==
storeComparison, eval(metricCol)]
-
inputTable[STORE_NBR == i,
eval(metricCol)]))
)
 calcDistTable <- rbind(calcDistTable, calculatedMeasure)
}
Standardise the magnitude distance so that the measure ranges from
0 to 1
 minMaxDist <- calcDistTable[, .(minDist = min(measure), maxDist =
max(measure)),
by = c("Store1", "YEARMONTH")]
 distTable <- merge(calcDistTable, minMaxDist, by = c("Store1",
"YEARMONTH"))
 distTable[, magnitudeMeasure := 1 - (measure - minDist)/(maxDist -
minDist)]
 finalDistTable <- distTable[, .(mag_measure = mean(magnitudeMeasure)),
by =
```

```
. (Store1, Store2)]
 return(finalDistTable)
}
```
```

Now let's use the functions to find the control stores! We'll select control stores based on how similar monthly total sales in dollar amounts and monthly number of

customers are to the trial stores. So we will need to use our functions to get four

scores, two for each of total sales and total customers.

```
```{r Use functions to calculate metrics}
Use the function you created to calculate correlations
against store 77 using total sales and number of customers.
trial_store <- 77
corr_nSales <- calculateCorrelation(preTrialMeasures, quote(totSales),
trial_store)
corr_nSales[order(-corr_measure)]
corr_nCustomers <- calculateCorrelation(preTrialMeasures,
quote(nCustomers), trial_store)
corr_nCustomers[order(-corr_measure)]
Then, use the functions for calculating magnitude.
magnitude_nSales <- calculateMagnitudeDistance(preTrialMeasures,
quote(totSales),
trial_store)
magnitude_nCustomers <- calculateMagnitudeDistance(preTrialMeasures,
quote(nCustomers), trial_store)
```
```

We'll need to combine the all the scores calculated using our function to create a

composite score to rank on.

Let's take a simple average of the correlation and magnitude scores for each

driver. Note that if we consider it more important for the trend of the drivers to

be similar, we can increase the weight of the correlation score (a simple average

gives a weight of 0.5 to the corr_weight) or if we consider the absolute size of

the drivers to be more important, we can lower the weight of the correlation score.

```
```{r}
Create a combined score composed of correlation and magnitude, by
first merging the correlations table with the magnitude table.
```

```
A simple average on the scores: 0.5 * corr_measure + 0.5 * mag_measure
corr_weight <- 0.5
score_nSales <- merge(corr_nSales, magnitude_nSales, by =
 c("Store1", "Store2"))[, scoreNSales := (corr_measure +
mag_measure)/2]
score_nCustomers <- merge(corr_nCustomers, magnitude_nCustomers, by =
 c("Store1", "Store2"))[, scoreNCust := (corr_measure +
mag_measure)/2]
```

```
```{r}
score_nSales[order(-scoreNSales)]
```
```

```
```{r}
score_nCustomers[order(-scoreNCust)]
```
```

Now we have a score for each of total number of sales and number of customers.

Let's combine the two via a simple average.

```
```{r}
##### Combine scores across the drivers by first merging our sales scores
and customer scores into a single table
score_Control <- merge(score_nSales, score_nCustomers, by =
c("Store1", "Store2"))
score_Control[, finalControlScore := scoreNSales * 0.5 + scoreNCust *
0.5]
```
```

```
```{r}
score_Control[order(-finalControlScore)]
```
```

The store with the highest score is then selected as the control store since it is

most similar to the trial store.

```
```{r}
##### Select control stores based on the highest matching store (closest
to 1 but
##### not the store itself, i.e. the second ranked highest store)
##### Select the most appropriate control store for trial store 77 by
finding the store with the highest final score.
control_store <- score_Control[Store1 ==
trial_store, ][order(-finalControlScore)][2, Store2]
```

```
control_store
```
```

Now that we have found a control store, let's check visually if the drivers are

indeed similar in the period before the trial.

We'll look at total sales first.

```
```{r}
```

```
#### Visual checks on trends based on the drivers
```

```
measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
```

```
pastSales <- measureOverTimeSales[, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR ==
trial_store,
"Trial",
```

```
ifelse(STORE_NBR ==
```

```
control_store,
```

```
"Control", "Other stores"))
```

```
][, totSales := mean(totSales), by =
```

```
c("YEARMONTH",
```

```
"Store_type")
```

```
][, TransactionMonth :=
```

```
as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %/%
```

```
100, YEARMONTH %% 100, 1, sep = "-"), "%Y-%m-%d")
```

```
][YEARMONTH < 201903 , ]
```

```
ggplot(pastSales, aes(TransactionMonth, totSales, color = Store_type))
```

```
+
```

```
  geom_line() +
```

```
  labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total sales", title = "Total sales
by month")
```
```

Next, number of customers.

```
```{r}
```

```
#### Conduct visual checks on customer count trends by comparing the trial
store
```

```
#### to the control store and other stores.
```

```
measureOverTimeCusts <- measureOverTime
```

```
pastCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR
== trial_store, "Trial",
```

```
ifelse(STORE_NBR ==
```

```
control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))
```

```
][, numberCustomers := mean(nCustomers), by = c("YEARMONTH",
```

```
"Store_type")
```

```
][, TransactionMonth := as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %/% 100, YEARMONTH %% 100,
1, sep = "-"), "%Y-%m-%d")
```

```
][YEARMONTH < 201903 , ]
```

```
ggplot(pastCustomers, aes(TransactionMonth, numberCustomers, color =
Store_type)) +
  geom_line() +
  labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total number of customers", title
= "Total number of customers by month")
```

```
```
```

```
Assessment of trial
```

The trial period goes from the start of February 2019 to April 2019. We now want to

see if there has been an uplift in overall chip sales.

We'll start with scaling the control store's sales to a level similar to control

for any differences between the two stores outside of the trial period.

```
```{r Comparison of results during trial}
```

```
##### Scale pre-trial control sales to match pre-trial trial store sales
```

```
scalingFactorForControlSales <- preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
trial_store &
```

```
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(totSales)]/preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
control_store &
```

```
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(totSales)]
```

```
##### Apply the scaling factor
```

```
measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
```

```
scaledControlSales <- measureOverTimeSales[STORE_NBR ==
control_store, ][ ,
```

```
controlSales := totSales * scalingFactorForControlSales]
```

```
```
```

Now that we have comparable sales figures for the control store, we can calculate

the percentage difference between the scaled control sales and the trial store's

sales during the trial period.

```
```{r}
```

```
##### Calculate the percentage difference between scaled control sales and
trial sales
```

```
percentageDiff <- merge(scaledControlSales[, c("YEARMONTH",
"controlSales")],
```

```
measureOverTime[STORE_NBR == trial_store,
c("totSales", "YEARMONTH")],
```

```
by = "YEARMONTH")[, percentageDiff :=
abs(controlSales-totSales)/controlSales]
```

```
```
```

```
```{r}
```



```

percentageDiff # between control store sales and trial store sales
```

Let's see if the difference is significant!
```{r}
##### As our null hypothesis is that the trial period is the same as the
pre-trial
##### period, let's take the standard deviation based on the scaled
percentage difference
##### in the pre-trial period
stdDev <- sd(perspectiveDiff[YEARMONTH < 201902 , perspectiveDiff])
##### Note that there are 8 months in the pre-trial period
##### hence  $8 - 1 = 7$  degrees of freedom
degreesOfFreedom <- 7
##### We will test with a null hypothesis of there being 0 difference
between trial
##### and control stores.
##### Calculate the t-values for the trial months. After that, find the
95th percentile of the t distribution with the appropriate degrees of
freedom
##### to check whether the hypothesis is statistically significant.
##### The test statistic here is  $(x - u)/\text{standard deviation}$ 
percentageDiff[, tValue := (percentageDiff - 0)/stdDev
                    ][, TransactionMonth := as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %% 100,
YEARMONTH %% 100, 1,
                                                    sep = "-"),
"%Y-%m-%d")
][YEARMONTH < 201905 & YEARMONTH > 201901, .(TransactionMonth, tValue)]
```

```{r}
##### Find the 95th percentile of the t distribution with the appropriate
degrees of freedom to compare against
qt(0.95, df = degreesOfFreedom)
```

```

We can observe that the t-value is much larger than the 95th percentile value of the t-distribution for March and April - i.e. the increase in sales in the trial store in March and April is statistically greater than in the control store.

Let's create a more visual version of this by plotting the sales of the control

store, the sales of the trial stores and the 95th percentile value of sales of the control store.

```
```{r, fig.align = "Center"}
```

```
measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
```

```
##### Trial and control store total sales
```

```
##### Create new variables Store_type, totSales and TransactionMonth in the data table.
```

```
pastSales <- measureOverTimeSales[, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR == trial_store, "Trial",
```

```
ifelse(STORE_NBR == control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))
```

```
][, totSales := mean(totSales), by = c("YEARMONTH", "Store_type")
```

```
][, TransactionMonth := as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %/% 100, YEARMONTH %% 100, 1, sep = "-"), "%Y-%m-%d")
```

```
][Store_type %in% c("Trial", "Control"), ]
```

```
##### Control store 95th percentile
```

```
pastSales_Controls95 <- pastSales[Store_type == "Control",
```

```
][, totSales := totSales * (1 + stdDev * 2)
```

```
][, Store_type := "Control 95th % confidence interval"]
```

```
##### Control store 5th percentile
```

```
pastSales_Controls5 <- pastSales[Store_type == "Control",
```

```
][, totSales := totSales * (1 - stdDev * 2)
```

```
][, Store_type := "Control 5th % confidence interval"]
```

```
trialAssessment <- rbind(pastSales, pastSales_Controls95, pastSales_Controls5)
```

```
##### Plotting these in one nice graph
```

```
ggplot(trialAssessment, aes(TransactionMonth, totSales, color = Store_type)) +
```

```
  geom_rect(data = trialAssessment[ YEARMONTH < 201905 & YEARMONTH > 201901 ,],
```

```
  aes(xmin = min(TransactionMonth), xmax = max(TransactionMonth), ymin = 0 , ymax =
```

```
  Inf, color = NULL), show.legend = FALSE) +
```

```
  geom_line() +
```

```
  labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total sales", title = "Total sales by month")
```

```
```
```

The results show that the trial in store 77 is significantly different to its control store in the trial period as the trial store performance lies outside the 5% to 95% confidence interval of the control store in two of the three trial months.

Let's have a look at assessing this for number of customers as well.

```
```{r }
##### This would be a repeat of the steps before for total sales
##### Scale pre-trial control customers to match pre-trial trial store
customers
##### Compute a scaling factor to align control store customer counts to
our trial store.
##### Then, apply the scaling factor to control store customer counts.
##### Finally, calculate the percentage difference between scaled control
store customers and trial customers.
scalingFactorForControlCust <- preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
trial_store &
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(nCustomers)] / preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
control_store & YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(nCustomers)]
measureOverTimeCusts <- measureOverTime
scaledControlCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[STORE_NBR ==
control_store,
][, controlCustomers := nCustomers * scalingFactorForControlCust
][, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR == trial_store, "Trial",
ifelse(STORE_NBR == control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))]
percentageDiff <- merge(scaledControlCustomers[, c("YEARMONTH",
"controlCustomers")],
measureOverTimeCusts[STORE_NBR == trial_store, c("nCustomers",
"YEARMONTH")],
by = "YEARMONTH"
)[, percentageDiff :=
abs(controlCustomers-nCustomers)/controlCustomers]
```
```

Let's again see if the difference is significant visually!

```
```{r , fig.align = "Center"}
##### As our null hypothesis is that the trial period is the same as the
pre-trial
##### period, let's take the standard deviation based on the scaled
percentage difference
##### in the pre-trial period
stdDev <- sd(percentageDiff[YEARMONTH < 201902 , percentageDiff])
degreesOfFreedom <- 7
```

```

#### Trial and control store number of customers
pastCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[, nCusts := mean(nCustomers), by
=
c("YEARMONTH", "Store_type")
                                ][Store_type %in% c("Trial", "Control"), ]
#### Control store 95th percentile
pastCustomers_Controls95 <- pastCustomers[Store_type == "Control",
                                ][, nCusts := nCusts * (1 + stdDev * 2)
                                ][, Store_type := "Control 95th %
confidence
interval"]
#### Control store 5th percentile
pastCustomers_Controls5 <- pastCustomers[Store_type == "Control",
                                ][, nCusts := nCusts * (1 - stdDev * 2)
                                ][, Store_type := "Control 5th %
confidence
interval"]
trialAssessment <- rbind(pastCustomers, pastCustomers_Controls95,
pastCustomers_Controls5)
#### Plot everything into one nice graph.
#### geom_rect creates a rectangle in the plot. Use this to highlight the
#### trial period in our graph.
ggplot(trialAssessment, aes(TransactionMonth, nCusts, color =
Store_type)) +
  geom_rect(data = trialAssessment[ YEARMONTH < 201905 & YEARMONTH >
201901 ,],
aes(xmin = min(TransactionMonth), xmax = max(TransactionMonth), ymin =
0 ,
ymax = Inf, color = NULL), show.legend = FALSE) +
  geom_line() + labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total number of
customers", title = "Total number of customers by month")

```

...

Let's repeat finding the control store and assessing the impact of the trial for

each of the other two trial stores.

Trial store 86

```
```{r}
```

#### Calculate the metrics below as we did for the first trial store.

```

measureOverTime <- data[, .(totSales = sum(TOT_SALES),
 nCustomers = uniqueN(LYLTY_CARD_NBR),
 nTxnPerCust =
(uniqueN(TXN_ID))/(uniqueN(LYLTY_CARD_NBR)),

```

```

nChipsPerTxn =
(sum(PROD_QTY))/(uniqueN(TXN_ID)) ,
avgPricePerUnit =
sum(TOT_SALES)/sum(PROD_QTY)) , by = c("STORE_NBR",
"YEARMONTH")][order(STORE_NBR, YEARMONTH)]

Use the functions we created earlier to calculate correlations and
magnitude for each potential control store
trial_store <- 86
corr_nSales <- calculateCorrelation(preTrialMeasures,
quote(totSales), trial_store)
corr_nCustomers <- calculateCorrelation(preTrialMeasures,
quote(nCustomers), trial_store)
magnitude_nSales <- calculateMagnitudeDistance(preTrialMeasures,
quote(totSales), trial_store)
magnitude_nCustomers <- calculateMagnitudeDistance(preTrialMeasures,
quote(nCustomers), trial_store)
Now, create a combined score composed of correlation and magnitude
corr_weight <- 0.5
score_nSales <- merge(corr_nSales, magnitude_nSales, by = c("Store1",
"Store2"))[, scoreNSales := (corr_measure + mag_measure)/2]
score_nCustomers <- merge(corr_nCustomers, magnitude_nCustomers, by =
c("Store1", "Store2"))[, scoreNCust := (corr_measure + mag_measure)/2]

Finally, combine scores across the drivers using a simple average.
score_Control <- merge(score_nSales, score_nCustomers, by =
c("Store1", "Store2"))
score_Control[, finalControlScore := scoreNSales * 0.5 + scoreNCust *
0.5]
Select control stores based on the highest matching store
(closest to 1 but not the store itself, i. e. the second ranked highest
store)
Select control store for trial store 86
control_store <- score_Control[Store1 == trial_store,
][order(-finalControlScore)][2, Store2]
control_store
```



Looks like store 155 will be a control store for trial store 86.  

Again, let's check visually if the drivers are indeed similar in the period  

before  

the trial.  

We'll look at total sales first.



```

```{r}
#### Conduct visual checks on trends based on the drivers

```


```

```

measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
pastSales <- measureOverTimeSales[, Store_type:= ifelse(STORE_NBR ==
trial_store, "Trial", ifelse(STORE_NBR== control_store, "Control",
"Other stores"))][, totSales := mean(totSales), by = c("YEARMONTH",
"Store_type")][, TransactionMonth:= as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH%/%100,
YEARMONTH%% 100, 1, sep = "-"), "%Y-%m-%d")][YEARMONTH <210903]

ggplot(pastSales, aes(TransactionMonth, totSales, color = Store_type))
+
 geom_line(aes(linetype = Store_type)) +
 labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total sales", title = "Total sales
by month")
```

```

Great, sales are trending in a similar way.

Next, number of customers.

```

```{r}
Conduct visual checks on trends based on the drivers
measureOverTimeCusts <- measureOverTime
pastCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR
== trial_store, "Trial",
ifelse(STORE_NBR == control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))
][, numberCustomers := mean(nCustomers), by = c("YEARMONTH",
"Store_type")
][, TransactionMonth := as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %/%
100, YEARMONTH %% 100, 1, sep =
"-"), "%Y-%m-%d")
][YEARMONTH < 201903 ,]

ggplot(pastCustomers, aes(TransactionMonth, numberCustomers, color =
Store_type)) +
 geom_line() +
 labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total number of customers", title
= "Total number of customers by month")
```

```

Good, the trend in number of customers is also similar.

Let's now assess the impact of the trial on sales.

```

```{r, fig.align = "Center"}
Scale pre-trial control sales to match pre-trial trial store sales
scalingFactorForControlSales <- preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
trial_store &
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(totSales)]/preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
control_store &
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(totSales)]

```

```

Apply the scaling factor
measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
scaledControlSales <- measureOverTimeSales[STORE_NBR ==
control_store,],
controlSales := totSales * scalingFactorForControlSales]
Calculate the percentage difference between scaled control sales and
trial sales
When calculating percentage difference, remember to use absolute
difference
percentageDiff <- merge(scaledControlSales[, c("YEARMONTH",
"controlSales")],
measureOverTime[STORE_NBR == trial_store, c("totSales", "YEARMONTH")],
by = "YEARMONTH"
)[, percentageDiff := abs(controlSales-totSales)/controlSales]

As our null hypothesis is that the trial period is the same as the
pre-trial
period, let's take the standard deviation based on the scaled
percentage difference
in the pre-trial period
Calculate the standard deviation of percentage differences during
the pre-trial period
stdDev <- sd(percentageDiff[YEARMONTH < 201902 , percentageDiff])
degreesOfFreedom <- 7

Trial and control store total sales
Create a table with sales by store type and month.
We only need data for the trial and control store.
measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
pastSales <- measureOverTimeSales[, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR ==
trial_store, "Trial",
ifelse(STORE_NBR == control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))
][, totSales := mean(totSales), by = c("YEARMONTH", "Store_type")
][, TransactionMonth := as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %/%100, YEARMONTH %% 100,
1, sep = "-"), "%Y-%m-%d")
][Store_type %in% c("Trial", "Control"),]

Calculate the 5th and 95th percentile for control store sales.
The 5th and 95th percentiles can be approximated by using two standard
deviations away from the mean.
Recall that the variable stdDev earlier calculates standard
deviation in percentages, and not dollar sales.
Control store 95th percentile
pastSales_Controls95 <- pastSales[Store_type == "Control",

```

```

][, totSales := totSales * (1 + stdDev * 2)
][, Store_type := "Control 95th % confidence interval"]

Control store 5th percentile
pastSales_Controls5 <- pastSales[Store_type == "Control",
][, totSales := totSales * (1 - stdDev * 2)
][, Store_type := "Control 5th % confidence interval"]

Then, create a combined table with columns from pastSales,
pastSales_Controls95 and pastSales_Controls5
trialAssessment <- rbind(pastSales, pastSales_Controls95,
pastSales_Controls5)
Plotting these in one nice graph
ggplot(trialAssessment, aes(TransactionMonth, totSales, color =
Store_type)) +
 geom_rect(data = trialAssessment[YEARMONTH < 201905 & YEARMONTH >
201901 ,],
aes(xmin = min(TransactionMonth), xmax = max(TransactionMonth), ymin =
0 , ymax =
Inf, color = NULL), show.legend = FALSE) +
 geom_line(aes(linetype = Store_type)) +
 labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total sales", title = "Total sales
by month")
```

```

The results show that the trial in store 86 is not significantly different to its control store in the trial period as the trial store performance lies inside the 5% to 95% confidence interval of the control store in two of the three trial months.

Let's have a look at assessing this for the number of customers as well.

```

```{r , fig.align = "Center"}
This would be a repeat of the steps before for total sales
Scale pre-trial control customers to match pre-trial trial store
customers
scalingFactorForControlCust <- preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
trial_store &
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(nCustomers)]/preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
control_store &
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(nCustomers)]
Apply the scaling factor
measureOverTimeCusts <- measureOverTime
scaledControlCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[STORE_NBR ==
control_store,

```



```

] , controlCustomers :=
nCustomers
* scalingFactorForControlCust

] , Store_type :=

ifelse(STORE_NBR
== trial_store, "Trial",

ifelse(STORE_NBR ==
control_store,
"Control", "Other stores"))
]
Calculate the percentage difference between scaled control sales and
trial sales
percentageDiff <- merge(scaledControlCustomers[, c("YEARMONTH",
"controlCustomers")],
measureOverTime[STORE_NBR == trial_store,
c("nCustomers",
"YEARMONTH")],
by = "YEARMONTH"
)[, percentageDiff :=
abs(controlCustomers-nCustomers)/controlCustomers]
As our null hypothesis is that the trial period is the same as the
pre-trial
period, let's take the standard deviation based on the scaled
percentage difference
in the pre-trial period
stdDev <- sd(percentageDiff[YEARMONTH < 201902 , percentageDiff])
degreesOfFreedom <- 7
Trial and control store number of customers
pastCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[, nCusts := mean(nCustomers), by
=
c("YEARMONTH", "Store_type")
][Store_type %in% c("Trial",
"Control"),]
Control store 95th percentile
pastCustomers_Controls95 <- pastCustomers[Store_type == "Control",
][, nCusts := nCusts * (1 + stdDev * 2)
][, Store_type := "Control 95th %
confidence
interval"]
Control store 5th percentile
pastCustomers_Controls5 <- pastCustomers[Store_type == "Control",
][, nCusts := nCusts * (1 - stdDev * 2)
][, Store_type := "Control 5th %
confidence

```

```

interval"]
trialAssessment <- rbind(pastCustomers, pastCustomers_Controls95,
pastCustomers_Controls5)
Plotting these in one nice graph
ggplot(trialAssessment, aes(TransactionMonth, nCusts, color =
Store_type)) +
 geom_rect(data = trialAssessment[YEARMONTH < 201905 & YEARMONTH >
201901 ,],
aes(xmin = min(TransactionMonth), xmax = max(TransactionMonth), ymin =
0 , ymax =
Inf, color = NULL), show.legend = FALSE) +
 geom_line() +
 labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total number of customers", title
= "Total
number of customers by month")
```

```

It looks like the number of customers is significantly higher in all of the three months. This seems to suggest that the trial had a significant impact on increasing the number of customers in trial store 86 but as we saw, sales were not significantly higher. We should check with the Category Manager if there were special deals in the trial store that were may have resulted in lower prices, impacting the results.

Trial store 88

```
```{r}
```

##### Conduct the analysis on trial store 88.

```

measureOverTime <- data[, .(totSales = sum(TOT_SALES),
nCustomers = uniqueN(LYLTY_CARD_NBR),
nTxnPerCust = uniqueN(TXN_ID)/uniqueN(LYLTY_CARD_NBR),
nChipsPerTxn = sum(PROD_QTY)/uniqueN(TXN_ID),
avgPricePerUnit = sum(TOT_SALES)/sum(PROD_QTY))
, by = c("STORE_NBR", "YEARMONTH")][order(STORE_NBR, YEARMONTH)]

```

##### Use the functions from earlier to calculate the correlation of the sales and number of customers of each potential control store to the trial store

```

trial_store <- 88
corr_nSales <- calculateCorrelation(preTrialMeasures,
quote(totSales), trial_store)
corr_nCustomers <- calculateCorrelation(preTrialMeasures,
quote(nCustomers), trial_store)

```

```

Use the functions from earlier to calculate the magnitude distance
of the sales and number of customers of each potential control store to
the trial store
magnitude_nSales <- calculateMagnitudeDistance(preTrialMeasures,
quote(totSales), trial_store)
magnitude_nCustomers <- calculateMagnitudeDistance(preTrialMeasures,
quote(nCustomers), trial_store)
Create a combined score composed of correlation and magnitude by
merging the correlations table and the magnitudes table, for each driver.
corr_weight <- 0.5
score_nSales <- merge(corr_nSales, magnitude_nSales, by = c("Store1",
"Store2"))[, scoreNSales := (corr_measure + mag_measure)/2]
score_nCustomers <- merge(corr_nCustomers, magnitude_nCustomers, by =
c("Store1", "Store2"))[, scoreNCust := (corr_measure + mag_measure)/2]

Combine scores across the drivers by merging sales scores and
customer scores, and compute a final combined score.
score_Control <- merge(score_nSales, score_nCustomers, by =
c("Store1", "Store2"))
score_Control[, finalControlScore := scoreNSales * 0.5 + scoreNCust *
0.5]
Select control stores based on the highest matching store
(closest to 1 but not the store itself, i.e. the second ranked highest
store)
Select control store for trial store 88
control_store <- score_Control[Store1 ==
trial_store,][order(-finalControlScore)][2, Store2]
control_store
```

```

We've now found store 237 to be a suitable control store for trial store 88.

Again, let's check visually if the drivers are indeed similar in the period before

the trial.

We'll look at total sales first.

```
```{r}
```

```

Visual checks on trends based on the drivers
For the period before the trial, create a graph with total sales of
the trial
store for each month, compared to the control store and other stores.
measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
pastSales <- measureOverTimeSales[, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR ==
trial_store, "Trial",
ifelse(STORE_NBR == control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))

```

```

][, totSales := mean(totSales), by = c("YEARMONTH", "Store_type")
][, TransactionMonth := as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %/% 100, YEARMONTH %% 100,
1, sep = "-"), "%Y-%m-%d")
][YEARMONTH < 201903 ,]
ggplot(pastSales, aes(TransactionMonth, totSales, color = Store_type))
+
geom_line(aes(linetype = Store_type)) +
labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total sales", title = "Total sales
by month")

```

```

Great, the trial and control stores have similar total sales.

Next, number of customers.

```
```{r}
```

##### Visual checks on trends based on the drivers

##### For the period before the trial, create a graph with customer counts of the

##### trial store for each month, compared to the control store and other stores.

```
measureOverTimeCusts <- measureOverTime
```

```
pastCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR
== trial_store, "Trial",
```

```
ifelse(STORE_NBR == control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))
```

```
][, numberCustomers := mean(nCustomers), by = c("YEARMONTH",
"Store_type")
```

```
][, TransactionMonth := as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %/%
100, YEARMONTH %% 100, 1, sep =
"-"), "%Y-%m-%d")
```

```
][YEARMONTH < 201903 ,]
```

```
ggplot(pastCustomers, aes(TransactionMonth, numberCustomers, color =
Store_type)) +
```

```
 geom_line() + labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total number of
customers", title = "Total number of customers by month")
```

```

Total number of customers of the control and trial stores are also similar.

Let's now assess the impact of the trial on sales.

```
```{r, fig.align = "Center"}
```

##### Scale pre-trial control store sales to match pre-trial trial store sales

```
scalingFactorForControlSales <- preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
trial_store &
```

```
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(totSales)]/preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
control_store & YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(totSales)]
```

```

Apply the scaling factor
measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
scaledControlSales <- measureOverTimeSales[STORE_NBR ==
control_store,][, controlSales := totSales *
scalingFactorForControlSales]

Calculate the absolute percentage difference between scaled control
sales and trial sales
percentageDiff <- merge(scaledControlSales[, c("YEARMONTH",
"controlSales")], measureOverTime[STORE_NBR == trial_store,
c("totSales", "YEARMONTH")], by = "YEARMONTH")[, percentageDiff :=
abs(controlSales-totSales)/controlSales]

As our null hypothesis is that the trial period is the same as the
pre-trial period,
let's take the standard deviation based on the scaled percentage
difference in the pre-trial period
stdDev <- sd(percentageDiff[YEARMONTH < 201902 , percentageDiff])
degreesOfFreedom <- 7
Trial and control store total sales
measureOverTimeSales <- measureOverTime
pastSales <- measureOverTimeSales[, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR ==
trial_store, "Trial",
ifelse(STORE_NBR == control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))
][, totSales := mean(totSales), by = c("YEARMONTH", "Store_type")
][, TransactionMonth := as.Date(paste(YEARMONTH %/%100, YEARMONTH %% 100,
1, sep = "-"), "%Y-%m-%d")
][Store_type %in% c("Trial", "Control"),]
Control store 95th percentile
pastSales_Controls95 <- pastSales[Store_type == "Control",
][, totSales := totSales * (1 + stdDev * 2)
][, Store_type := "Control 95th % confidence interval"]
Control store 5th percentile
pastSales_Controls5 <- pastSales[Store_type == "Control",
][, totSales := totSales * (1 - stdDev * 2)
][, Store_type := "Control 5th % confidence interval"]
trialAssessment <- rbind(pastSales, pastSales_Controls95,
pastSales_Controls5)
Plotting these in one nice graph
ggplot(trialAssessment, aes(TransactionMonth, totSales, color =
Store_type)) +
geom_rect(data = trialAssessment[YEARMONTH < 201905 & YEARMONTH >
201901 ,],

```

```

aes(xmin = min(TransactionMonth), xmax = max(TransactionMonth), ymin =
0 ,
ymax = Inf, color = NULL), show.legend = FALSE) +
 geom_line(aes(linetype = Store_type)) +
 labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total sales", title = "Total sales
by month")
```

```

The results show that the trial in store 88 is significantly different to its control store in the trial period as the trial store performance lies outside of the 5% to 95% confidence interval of the control store in two of the three trial months.

Let's have a look at assessing this for number of customers as well.

```

```{r , fig.align = "Center"}
This would be a repeat of the steps before for total sales
Scale pre-trial control store customers to match pre-trial trial
store customers
scalingFactorForControlCust <- preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
trial_store &
YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(nCustomers)]/preTrialMeasures[STORE_NBR ==
control_store & YEARMONTH < 201902, sum(nCustomers)]

Apply the scaling factor
measureOverTimeCusts <- measureOverTime
scaledControlCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[STORE_NBR ==
control_store,
][, controlCustomers := nCustomers * scalingFactorForControlCust
][, Store_type := ifelse(STORE_NBR == trial_store, "Trial",
ifelse(STORE_NBR == control_store, "Control", "Other stores"))
]
Calculate the absolute percentage difference between scaled control
sales and trial sales
percentageDiff <- merge(scaledControlCustomers[,
c("YEARMONTH", "controlCustomers")], measureOverTime[STORE_NBR ==
trial_store, c("nCustomers", "YEARMONTH")],
by = "YEARMONTH")[, percentageDiff :=
abs(controlCustomers-nCustomers)/controlCustomers]

As our null hypothesis is that the trial period is the same as the
pre-trial
period, let's take the standard deviation based on the scaled
percentage #### difference in the pre-trial period

```

```

stdDev <- sd(percentageDiff[YEARMONTH < 201902 , percentageDiff])
degreesOfFreedom <- 7
note that there are 8 months in the pre-trial period hence 8 - 1 = 7
degrees of freedom
Trial and control store number of customers
pastCustomers <- measureOverTimeCusts[, nCusts := mean(nCustomers), by
= c("YEARMONTH", "Store_type")
][Store_type %in% c("Trial", "Control"),]

Control store 95th percentile
pastCustomers_Controls95 <- pastCustomers[Store_type == "Control",
][, nCusts := nCusts * (1 + stdDev * 2)
][, Store_type := "Control 95th % confidence interval"]
Control store 5th percentile
pastCustomers_Controls5 <- pastCustomers[Store_type == "Control",
][, nCusts := nCusts * (1 - stdDev * 2)
][, Store_type := "Control 5th % confidence interval"]
Combine the tables pastSales, pastSales_Controls95,
pastSales_Controls5
trialAssessment <- rbind(pastCustomers,
pastCustomers_Controls95, pastCustomers_Controls5)
Plotting these in one nice graph
ggplot(trialAssessment, aes(TransactionMonth, nCusts, color =
Store_type)) +
 geom_rect(data = trialAssessment[YEARMONTH < 201905 & YEARMONTH >
201901 ,],
aes(xmin = min(TransactionMonth), xmax = max(TransactionMonth), ymin =
0 ,
ymax = Inf, color = NULL), show.legend = FALSE) + geom_line() +
labs(x = "Month of operation", y = "Total number of customers", title =
"Total number of customers by month")
```

```

Total number of customers in the trial period for the trial store is significantly higher than the control store for two out of three months, which indicates a

positive trial effect.

Conclusion

Good work! We've found control stores 233, 155, 237 for trial stores 77, 86 and 88

respectively.

The results for trial stores 77 and 88 during the trial period show a significant

difference in at least two of the three trial months but this is not the case for trial store 86. We can check with the client if the implementation of the trial was different in trial store 86 but overall, the trial shows a significant increase in sales. Now that we have finished our analysis, we can prepare our presentation to the Category Manager.