

STATISTICS FUNDAMENTALS, PART 2

Nauman Nayyar

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- ▶ Explain the difference between causation and correlation
- ▶ Test a hypothesis within a sample case study
- ▶ Validate your findings using statistical analysis (p-values, confidence intervals)

COURSE

PRE-WORK

PRE-WORK REVIEW

- ▶ Explain the difference between variance and bias
- ▶ Use descriptive statistics to understand your data

OPENING


STATISTICS FUNDAMENTALS, PART 2

LAST SESSION

- ▶ Any questions from last class?
- ▶ Exit tickets

DATA SOURCE

- Today, we'll use advertising data from an example in *An Introduction to Statistical Learning*.



INTRODUCTION

CAUSATION AND CORRELATION

CAUSATION AND CORRELATION

- ▶ If an association is observed, the first question to ask should always be... is it real?
- ▶ Think of various examples you've seen in the media related to food.

A few cups of coffee may lower colon cancer risk

Posted: 01 August 2007 17:08 hrs

TOKYO : Drinking a few cups of coffee a day may lower the risk of advanced colon cancer, at least for women, Japanese researchers said Wednesday.

The study, supported by Japan's health ministry, showed women who drink more than three cups of coffee a day were 56 percent less likely to develop advanced colon cancer than those who drink no coffee at all.

"Drinking coffee sustains the secretion of bile acid and keeps down cholesterol levels, the mechanisms thought to prevent colon cancer," the report said.

But unfortunately the effect was not seen in men, the medical research team said.

Many men smoke and drink alcohol more than women, and those habits probably offset the effect of coffee, the study said.

The research team tracked down about 96,000 people in Japan aged from 40 to 69 between the early 1990s and 2002, of whom 726 men and 437 women suffered colon cancer.



Photos 1 of 1

Causal claims are often inconsistent and contradictory!

Cancer News: Rectal Cancer Article

Printable Version

Rectal Cancer News

Coffee Does Not Decrease Risk of Colorectal Cancer

Researchers from the Harvard School of Public Health have reported that, contrary to the results of several previous studies, coffee consumption does not appear to reduce the risk of colorectal cancer. The details of this study were reported in the April 1, 2006 issue of the *International Journal of Cancer*. [1]

Habitual coffee drinking has been associated with a reduced risk of mortality and chronic disease, including cancer. Current evidence suggests that coffee consumption is associated with a reduced risk of liver, kidney, and to a lesser extent, prostate and breast cancer and colorectal cancer. Coffee consumption has no association with prostate, pancreatic, and ovarian cancers.

Some studies have indicated that coffee may have a protective effect against colon cancer; however, researchers continue to evaluate this link in an effort to establish more direct evidence. In order to examine the relationship between coffee consumption and colorectal cancer, researchers from Harvard conducted a review of 12 studies that included 646,640 participants and 5,400 cases of colorectal cancer.

They evaluated high versus low coffee consumption and found no significant effect of coffee consumption on colorectal cancer risk. The review included four studies in the United States, five in Europe, and three in Japan. The data from each country was very similar; there were no significant differences by gender or site of cancer; however, there was a slight inverse relationship between coffee consumption and colon cancer for women, which was even more pronounced among Japanese women (20% for total study, 33% for Japanese women).

The researchers observed that inverse associations between coffee consumption and colorectal cancer "were slightly stronger in studies that controlled for smoking and alcohol and in studies with shorter follow-up times."

They concluded that coffee is "unlikely to have a strong protective effect on colorectal cancer risk"; however, they also note that it does not appear to increase the risk of colorectal cancer either.

Health News

Drinking and Dementia: Is There a Link?

FONT SIZE

Study Shows Drinkers With Genetic Predisposition to Alzheimer's Disease at Higher Risk

By Salynn Boyles

WebMD Medical News

Sept. 2, 2004 — Drinking alcohol in middle age may increase the risk of late-life dementia in people who are genetically predisposed to develop Alzheimer's disease, according to findings from a Scandinavian study.

Researchers from Stockholm's Karolinska Institute reported that infrequent drinkers have a twofold increase in the risk of dementia in old age among carriers of a gene that has been linked to Alzheimer's. Gene carriers who frequently drink had a threefold increase in risk.

But the findings also show a protective effect for infrequent drinkers who did not have the genetic risk factor. Low risk teetotalers and frequent drinkers in the study were twice as likely to experience mild cognitive declines later in life as infrequent drinkers.

The findings are reported in the Sept. 4 issue of the *BMJ* (formerly the *British Medical Journal*).

BBC NEWS

You are in Health

Friday, 25 January, 2002, 12:13 GMT

Alcohol 'could reduce dementia risk'



Moderate alcohol consumption could be beneficial. Small amounts of alcohol could reduce the risk of dementia in older people regardless of the type of alcoholic drink consumed, research suggests.

It is known that light-to-moderate consumption lessens the risk of coronary heart disease and stroke, but Dutch scientists think it could be good for mental health.

See also:

- 17 Apr 01 | Health
Alcohol 'protects old against heart failure'
- 01 Feb 01 | Health
£6bn bill for alcohol abuse
- 06 Dec 00 | Health
Alcohol 'improves IQ'
- 15 Apr 01 | Health
Why alcohol affects women more
- 06 Jan 01 | Health
Alcohol 'cuts strokes in women'
- 18 Dec 00 | Health
Beer 'keeps cataracts away'
- 30 Oct 00 | Health
Alcoholic liver disease linked to genes

Internet links:

- British Heart Foundation
- The Lancet
- Alzheimer's Society

Front Page
 World
 UK
 UK Politics
 Business
 Sci/Tech
Health
 Background
 Briefings
 Medical notes
 Education
 Environment
 Talking Point
 In Depth
 AudioVideo

BBC SPORT
BBC Weather
SERVICES

Daily Email

News Ticker

Mobile/PDAs

Feedback

Help

Low Graphics

CAUSATION AND CORRELATION

- ▶ Why is this?
- ▶ Sensational headlines?

CAUSATION AND CORRELATION

- ▶ There is neglect of a robust data analysis.

CAUSATION AND CORRELATION

- ▶ There is also often a lack of understanding of the difference between *causation* and *correlation*.
- ▶ Understanding this difference is critical in the data science workflow, especially when **Identifying** and **Acquiring** data.
- ▶ We need to fully articulate our question and use the right data to answer it, including any *confounders*.

CAUSATION AND CORRELATION

- ▶ Additionally, this comes up when we **Present** our results to stakeholders.
- ▶ We don't want to overstate what our model measures.
- ▶ Be careful not to say “caused” when you really mean “measured” or “associated”.

LECTURE

CAUSATION VS CORRELATION

CAUSAL CRITERIA

- ▶ Causal criteria is one approach to assessing causal relationships.
- ▶ However, it's **very hard to define** universal causal criteria.
- ▶ One attempt that is commonly used in the medical field is based on work by Bradford Hill.

CAUSAL CRITERIA

► He developed a list of “tests” that an analysis must pass in order to indicate a causal relationship:

a.Strength of association

b.Consistency

c.Specificity


d.Temporality

e.Plausibility

f.Coherence

g.Experiment

h.Analogy



CAUSAL CRITERIA

- ▶ This is not an exhaustive checklist, but it's useful for understanding that your predictor/exposure **must have occurred before your outcome**.
- ▶ For example, in order for smoking to cause cancer, one must have started smoking prior to getting cancer.

CAUSAL CRITERIA

- ▶ Most commonly, we find an *association* between two variables. This means there is an observed **correlation** between the variables.
- ▶ We may not fully understand the causal direction (e.g. does smoking cause cancer or does cancer cause smoking?).
- ▶ We also might not understand *other* factors influencing the association.

ACTIVITY: KNOWLEDGE CHECK



EXERCISE

ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

1. What is the difference between causation and association?

DELIVERABLE

Answers to the above questions

INTRODUCTION

CONFOUNDING


CONFOUNDING

- ▶ Often times, associations may be influenced by another *confounding* factor.
- ▶ Let's say we did an analysis to understand what causes lung cancer.
- ▶ We find that people who carry cigarette lighters are 2.4 times more likely to contract lung cancer as people who don't carry lighters.
- ▶ Does this mean that the lighters are causing cancer?




CONFOUNDING

► No!



CONFOUNDING

- Confounding variables often hide the true association between causes and outcomes.



ACTIVITY: KNOWLEDGE CHECK



EXERCISE

ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

1. What factors are missing from this model?
2. How might we measure for these?

DELIVERABLE

Answers to the above questions

INTRODUCTION


HYPOTHESIS TESTING

HYPOTHESIS TESTING

- ▶ How can we tell the difference between two groups of observations (e.g. smokers vs. non-smokers)?
- ▶ Imagine we are testing the health of smokers vs. non-smokers. At a cursory glance, our results may show that smokers are marginally healthier than non-smokers.
- ▶ Are they healthier due to random chance or is there a statistically significant difference? Maybe we happened to assemble a strange group of smoking triathletes and a group of non-smoking couch potatoes.
- ▶ This is where hypothesis testing can help.

HYPOTHESIS TESTING STEPS

- First, you need a hypothesis to test, referred to as the *null hypothesis*. The opposite of this would be the *alternative hypothesis*.



HYPOTHESIS TESTING STEPS

- ▶ For example, if we want to test the relationship between gender and sales, we may have the following hypotheses.
- ▶ Null hypothesis: There is no relationship between Gender and Sales.
- ▶ Alternative hypothesis: There is a relationship between Gender and Sales.

HYPOTHESIS TESTING STEPS

- ▶ Once you have your hypotheses, you can check whether the data supports rejecting the null hypothesis or failing to reject the hypothesis.
- ▶ **Note:** Failing to reject the null is **NOT** the same as accepting the alternate. While the alternative hypothesis **might** be true, we don't have enough data to support that claim specifically.
- ▶ Keep this in mind so you don't overstate your findings.

DEMO

HYPOTHESIS TESTING CASE STUDY

HYPOTHESIS TESTING CASE STUDY

- ▶ We're going to walk through Part 1 of the guided-demo-starter-code notebook in the class repo for lesson 4.
- ▶ There are several questions to answer. We'll answer those questions in small groups and then discuss with the class.

ACTIVITY: KNOWLEDGE CHECK



EXERCISE

ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

1. What is the null hypothesis?
2. Why is this important to use?

DELIVERABLE

Answers to the above questions

INTRODUCTION


VALIDATE YOUR FINDINGS

VALIDATE YOUR FINDINGS

- ▶ We know how to carry out a hypothesis test, but how do we tell if the association we found is *statistically significant*?
- ▶ *Statistical significance* is the likelihood that a result or relationship is caused by something other than random chance.
- ▶ Statistical hypothesis testing is traditionally employed to determine if a result is statistically significant or not.

VALIDATE YOUR FINDINGS

- Typically, a cut point of 5% is used. This means that we say something is statistically significant if there is a less than a 5% chance that our finding was due to random chance alone.



A **p-value** (shaded red area) is the probability of an observed (or more extreme) result arising by chance

VALIDATE YOUR FINDINGS

TABLE 1 Relationship between Common Language and Hypothesis Testing		
COMMON LANGUAGE	STATISTICAL STATEMENT	CONVENTIONAL TEST THRESHOLD
“Statistically significant” “Unlikely due to chance”	The null hypothesis was rejected.	$P < 0.05$
“Not significant” “Due to chance”	The null hypothesis could not be rejected.	$P > 0.05$

VALIDATE YOUR FINDINGS

- ▶ When we present results, we say we found something significant using this criteria.
- ▶ We will use an example to dive further into this and understand p-values and confidence intervals.

DEMO

P-VALUES AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS CASE STUDY

P-VALUES AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS CASE STUDY

- ▶ We're now going to walk through Part 2 of the guided-demo-starter-code notebook in the class repo for lesson 4.
- ▶ There are several questions to answer. We'll answer those questions in small groups and then discuss with the class.

ACTIVITY: KNOWLEDGE CHECK

ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

1. What does a 95% confidence interval indicate?



EXERCISE

DELIVERABLE

Answers to the above questions

INDEPENDENT PRACTICE

INTERPRETING RESULTS

ACTIVITY: INTERPRETING RESULTS



EXERCISE

DIRECTIONS (35 minutes)

1. Using the lab-start-code-4, you will look through a variety of analyses and interpret the findings.
2. You will be presented with a series of outputs and tables from a published analysis.
3. Read the outputs and determine if the findings are statistically significant or not.

DELIVERABLE

Answers to the questions in the notebook

CONCLUSION

LAB REVIEW

LAB REVIEW

- ▶ Let's review the answers to the questions in the labs.
- ▶ Any other questions?

COURSE

BEFORE NEXT CLASS

BEFORE NEXT CLASS

DUE DATE

► Project: Unit Project 1

LESSON

Q & A

LESSON

EXIT TICKET

**DON'T FORGET TO FILL OUT YOUR EXIT
TICKET**