PolitiFact Analysis

Grace Abels

4/19/2022

```
## Rows: 2880 Columns: 13

## -- Column specification ------
## Delimiter: ","
## chr (10): url, title, textualRating, languageCode, publisher.name, publishe...
## dbl (1): ...1
## dttm (2): reviewDate, claimDate

##
## i Use 'spec()' to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
## i Specify the column types or set 'show_col_types = FALSE' to quiet this message.
```

Version 8 - Removal of PolitiFact anomalous claims

"At this part of the process, we have sorted out all claims that do not fit our criteria of claims by U.S politician from out 4 publisher datasets. We have also eliminated Independent and Libertarian claims. Now within each publisher we zoom into the field textualRating. This denotes the rating that the claim was given by the fact-checker. Some have standardized scales with ranking others do not. For this step we have defined the existing rating scale, seen how many fit into that scale, and how many anomaly claims remain.

For PolitiFact, they have an established 6 tier rating scale called the Truth-O-Meter in which claims are assigned one of the following ratings. The scale goes from more true to less true. This information was pulled from PolitiFact's website

"The goal of the Truth-O-Meter is to reflect the relative accuracy of a statement. The meter has six ratings, in decreasing level of truthfulness:"

TRUE – The statement is accurate and there's nothing significant missing.

MOSTLY TRUE – The statement is accurate but needs clarification or additional information.

HALF TRUE – The statement is partially accurate but leaves out important details or takes things out of context.

MOSTLY FALSE – The statement contains an element of truth but ignores critical facts that would give a different impression.

FALSE – The statement is not accurate.

PANTS ON FIRE – The statement is not accurate and makes a ridiculous claim.

The burden of proof is on the speaker, and we rate statements based on the information known at the time the statement is made.

Below is a breakdown of all the ratings in PF textual Rating. There are 2,527 Normal claims (those rated with on of the 6 standardized ratings) 354 Anomalous claims (those with a non-standardized text based rating)

Table 1: Textual Ratings

textualRating	n
Half True	555
Mostly True	533
Mostly False	499
FALSE	477
TRUE	329
Pants on Fire	133
Needs context	19
Full Flop	18
Accurate	14
Misleading	9
Half Flip	8
Largely correct	5
This is accurate	4
Correct	3
Lacks context	3
Largely accurate	3
Needs more context	3
Not the full story	3
Close to accurate	2
Exaggerated	2
Exaggerates	2
No evidence	2
No Flip	2
Not full story	2
This checks out	2
This is correct.	2
Wrong	2
'Slip of the tongue'	1
A fair summary	1
Academic study backs it up	1
Accurate as to days	1
Ad watch	1
Admits it's not true	1
Affects a small group	1
Aimed at Bernie Sanders; and it's True.	1
Also didn't say no	1
An element of truth; but exaggerated and misleading	1
Analysis (no rating given)	1
Analyzing president plan Bs	1
Bashed Obama's foreign policy	1
Basically correct	1
Below other figures	1
Best we can tell	1
Biden opposes defunding police	1
Biden; others are named	1

textualRating	n
Bill was not a 'game-changer'	1
Blends three heroic events	1
But his staff does	1
Capital flub	1
Cherry-picked time frame	1
Children may be last	1
China was huge factor	1
Cites early Trump remarks	1
Claim has notable support	1
Close; unclear meaning	1
Congress can set rules	1
Correct about grand juries	1
Correct on 2016; Trump	1
Correct; for Canada	1
Correct.	1
Courts may allow it	1
D-minus in 2012 from NRA's political action committee	1
Data backs it up	1
Data checks out	1
Data from one survey	1
Deadline lacks nuance	1
Depends how you count	1
Depends on intent	1
Depends on source	1
Depends on the year	1
DNC didn't direct	1
Don't count your chickens	1
Downplays the figure	1
Earlier scores were weak	1
Economy was already growing	1
Editing amps up effect	1
Effect not clear	1
Employment and business losses have been large	1
Endorsed Obama in 2012; but not in 2008	1
Estimated to pay for 2019; not for 2017 and 2018	1
EU; not Ukraine	1
Event with Andrew Cuomo	1
Evidence is growing	1
Exaggeration	1
Experts say concept is sound	1
Experts say it's credible	1
Experts: Study is narrow	1
Fair summary	1
Filibuster; racism intertwined	1
First wave not over for many	1
Floated having someone run	1
Follows 1946 law	1
Foreign ties are unusual	1
Found guilty by jury	1
Frequent attack needs context	1
Future uncertain	1
Generally accurate	1

textualRating	n
GOP never kicked out	1
Had seat at table	1
Handled by lower-level attorneys	1
Hard to confirm	1
Hasn't said why	1
He advised Trump campaign	1
He can't; experts say	1
He gave to GOPers	1
He heard from Mueller	1
Heavily disputed	1
High estimate	1
Hunter lacked expertise	1
Ignores Biden's current stance	1
Ignores big spending bills	1
Ignores military aid given	1
Ignores new distribution plan	1
In the ballpark	1
Inaccurate	1
It depends	1
It depends It helps and hurts	1
Judge rejected bias claims	1
Key checks continue	1
Key metrics back it up	1
Lacks solid numbers	1
Largely right; needs details	1
Law doesn't say that	1
Leaves out key details	
Legal experts agreed	1
	1
Legal experts divided	1
Legal for Riot Control	1
Legal rules unclear Less than totally candid	1 1
Lincoln's motivations unclear	
	1
Link goes back before Civil War	1
Linkage isn't perfect	1
Loaded language confuses issue	1
Lots more than that Lots of context needed	1
	1
Majority are adults	1 1
Many experts would disagree Many experts would disagree	
Many guns still allowed	1
Many wanted Shokin fired	1
Matches CDC statements	1
Metering affects border crossers	1
Minor issues so far	1
Misleading on two counts	1
Misleads in several ways	1
Misrepresents his remarks	1
Misses a key point	1
Misses the full story	1
Missing context	1
More adding paper based	1

More like three 1	textualRating	n
More words than action 1 More words than action 1 Most not considered permanent 1 Mostly right 1 Multiple figures confirm it 1 Multiple figures confirm it 1 Narrowly accurate 1 Nearly 400,000 in FY2018 1 Needs full context 1 New data says so 1 New data says so 1 No contact 1 No de didn't 1 No he didn't 1 No he didn't 1 No legal status granted 1 No trouchasive 1 Not conclusive 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not blocked out 1 Not blocked out 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1<		n
More words than action 1 Most not considered permanent 1 Mustlip right 1 Mulliple probe not baseless 1 Mulliple gures confirm it 1 Narrowly accurate 1 Nearly 400:000 in FY2018 1 Newal counts confirm it 1 New saccounts confirm it 1 No contact 1 No direct link 1 No local link 1 No be didn't 1 No proof fraud 1 No roor of fraud 1 Not coveryone is gaining 1 Not coveryone is gaining 1 Not octoulusive 1 Not octoulusive 1 Not octual particular 1 Not octual particular 1 Not octual particular 1 Not octual particular 1 Not foundly accurate 1 Not foundly accurate 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 No		
Most pright 1 Mustley right 1 Multiple figures confirm it 1 Narrowly accurate 1 Nearly 400,000 in FY2018 1 Needs full context 1 New data says so 1 New secounts confirm it 1 No contact 1 No in didn't 1 No he didn't 1 No legal status granted 1 No proof of fraud 1 Not conclusive 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fally accurate 1 Not fally accurate 1 Not many; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the holy reading 1 not the only reason <		
Mostly right 1 Mueller probe not baseless 1 Mutliple figures confirm it 1 Nerary 400000 in FY2018 1 Newalry 400000 in FY2018 1 New facth context 1 New data says so 1 News accounts confirm it 1 No contact 1 No direct link 1 No direct link 1 No local status granted 1 No proof of fraud 1 Not coroculusive 1 Not coroculusive 1 Not coveryone is gaining 1 Not coveryone is gaining 1 Not fact witness' job 1 <		
Multiple figures confirm it 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Multiple figures confirm it 1 Narrowly accurate 1 Nearly 400,000 in FY2018 1 Need full context 1 New data says so 1 New saccounts confirm it 1 No contact 1 No direct link 1 No legal status granted 1 No legal status granted 1 No proof of fraud 1 Not coveryone is gaining 1 Not coveryone is gaining 1 Not ocveryone is gaining 1 Not forward 1 Not proven 1 Not proven 1 Not proven 1 Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled accince 1 Not settled science 1 Not settled science 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
Narrowly accurate		
Nearly 400;000 in FY2018		
New data says so 1 News accounts confirm it 1 No contact 1 No direct link 1 No le didn't 1 No le didn't 1 No le didn't 1 No proof of fraud 1 Not conclusive 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact fully accurate 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not proven 1 Not proven 1 Not proven 1 Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not settled science 1 Not settled science 1		
New data says so 1 News accounts confirm it 1 No circat 1 No direct link 1 No legal status granted 1 No legal status granted 1 No proof of fraud 1 Not conclusive 1 Not corevome is gaining 1 Not reveyone is gaining 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not locked out 1 Not not locked out 1 Not provable 1 </td <td></td> <td></td>		
News accounts confirm it 1 No contact 1 No contact 1 No contact 1 No ho direct link 1 1 No he didn't 1 No legal status granted 1 No legal status granted 1 No roof of fraud 1 Not conclusive 1 Not conclusive 1 Not exeryone is gaining 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not provable 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the only reading 1 Not the story 1 Not what Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Nomits key caveats 1 Nomit		
No contact 1 No direct link 1 No legal status granted 1 No legal status granted 1 Not conclusive 1 Not conclusive 1 Not everyone is gaining 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not proven 1 Not proven 1 Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reading 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 1 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omly for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Oppen legal question 1 Optimes also got cuts <td>· ·</td> <td></td>	· ·	
No direct link 1 No he didn't 1 No legal status granted 1 No proof of fraud 1 Not receptone is gaining 1 Not everyone is gaining 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not locked out 1 Not nany; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not tell oscience 1 Not the best example 1 Not the best example 1 Not the whole story 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omly for reported crimes 1 Only for reported crimes 1		
No he didn't 1 No logal status granted 1 No proof of fraud 1 Not conclusive 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not many; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the only reading 1 not the bonly reading 1 not the only reading 1 not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Not what Volker Said 1 Not what Volker Said 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only fror formen 1 Open legal question 1 Oph sead war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Others also got cuts 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
No legal status granted 1 No proof of fraud 1 Not conclusive 1 Not everyone is gaining 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not locked out 1 Not many; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the only reading 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reading 1 not the whole story 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omly for reported crimes 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 <		
No proof of fraud 1 Not conclusive 1 Not conclusive 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not locked out 1 Not namy; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not provable 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not settled science 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reading 1 not the only reading 1 not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omly for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Opp legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1		
Not conclusive 1 Not everyone is gaining 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not possed 1 Not many; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not the whole story 1 Not the proved of concerned 1 Ohama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omly for reported crimes 1 Only for reported crimes 1 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td></tr<>		
Not everyone is gaining 1 Not fact witness' job 1 Not fully accurate 1 Not locked out 1 Not many; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Pantentin cot in rear-view mirror 1 Pantentin cot in rear-view mirror 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed c	•	
Not fact witness' job 1 Not locked out 1 Not many; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most		
Not fully accurate 1 Not locked out 1 Not many; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Oppen legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Outdated number 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed		
Not locked out 1 Not many; but some 1 Not provable 1 Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omly for reported crimes 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only for go men 1 Open legal question 1 Open legal question 1 Opters also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses		
Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Otters also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Palusible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up	·	1
Not proven 1 Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Ottadated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Possible; bedege; experts say 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up 1	Not many; but some	1
Not settled among experts 1 Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pantially accurate 1 Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up 1	Not provable	1
Not settled science 1 Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up 1	Not proven	1
Not the best example 1 Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up 1	Not settled among experts	1
Not the only reading 1 not the only reason 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up 1	Not settled science	1
not the only reason 1 Not the whole story 1 Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up 1	Not the best example	1
Not What Volker Said Numbers miss key details Obama Called in 2012 Offered meeting; military aid Omits key caveats Only for reported crimes Only true for men Open legal question Opposed war after start Others also got cuts Outdated number Pandemic not in rear-view mirror Partially accurate Plausible pledge; experts say Politico offers no support Possible; but no legal slam dunk Probe had wider scope Proposed cuts were reversed Puerto Rico loses most Quickly corrected slip-up		1
Not What Volker Said 1 Numbers miss key details 1 Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up 1		
Numbers miss key details1Obama Called in 20121Offered meeting; military aid1Omits key caveats1Only for reported crimes1Only true for men1Open legal question1Opposed war after start1Others also got cuts1Outdated number1Pandemic not in rear-view mirror1Partially accurate1Plausible pledge; experts say1Politico offers no support1Possible; but no legal slam dunk1Proposed cuts were reversed1Puerto Rico loses most1Quickly corrected slip-up1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Obama Called in 2012 1 Offered meeting; military aid 1 Omits key caveats 1 Only for reported crimes 1 Only true for men 1 Open legal question 1 Opposed war after start 1 Others also got cuts 1 Outdated number 1 Pandemic not in rear-view mirror 1 Partially accurate 1 Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 1 Quickly corrected slip-up 1		
Offered meeting; military aid Omits key caveats Only for reported crimes Only true for men Open legal question Opposed war after start Others also got cuts Outdated number Pandemic not in rear-view mirror Partially accurate Plausible pledge; experts say Politico offers no support Possible; but no legal slam dunk Probe had wider scope Proposed cuts were reversed Puerto Rico loses most Quickly corrected slip-up		
Omits key caveats1Only for reported crimes1Only true for men1Open legal question1Opposed war after start1Others also got cuts1Outdated number1Pandemic not in rear-view mirror1Partially accurate1Plausible pledge; experts say1Politico offers no support1Possible; but no legal slam dunk1Proposed cuts were reversed1Puerto Rico loses most1Quickly corrected slip-up1		
Only for reported crimes1Only true for men1Open legal question1Opposed war after start1Others also got cuts1Outdated number1Pandemic not in rear-view mirror1Partially accurate1Plausible pledge; experts say1Politico offers no support1Possible; but no legal slam dunk1Proposed cuts were reversed1Puerto Rico loses most1Quickly corrected slip-up1		
Only true for men1Open legal question1Opposed war after start1Others also got cuts1Outdated number1Pandemic not in rear-view mirror1Partially accurate1Plausible pledge; experts say1Politico offers no support1Possible; but no legal slam dunk1Probe had wider scope1Proposed cuts were reversed1Puerto Rico loses most1Quickly corrected slip-up1		
Open legal question1Opposed war after start1Others also got cuts1Outdated number1Pandemic not in rear-view mirror1Partially accurate1Plausible pledge; experts say1Politico offers no support1Possible; but no legal slam dunk1Probe had wider scope1Proposed cuts were reversed1Puerto Rico loses most1Quickly corrected slip-up1		
Opposed war after start Others also got cuts Outdated number Outdated number Pandemic not in rear-view mirror Partially accurate Plausible pledge; experts say Politico offers no support Possible; but no legal slam dunk Probe had wider scope Proposed cuts were reversed Puerto Rico loses most Quickly corrected slip-up 1 Others also got cuts 1 Partially accurate 1 Partially accurate 1 Pussible pledge; experts say 1 Pussible pledge; experts say 1 Quickly corrected slip-up	· ·	
Others also got cuts1Outdated number1Pandemic not in rear-view mirror1Partially accurate1Plausible pledge; experts say1Politico offers no support1Possible; but no legal slam dunk1Probe had wider scope1Proposed cuts were reversed1Puerto Rico loses most1Quickly corrected slip-up1	- · · ·	
Outdated number1Pandemic not in rear-view mirror1Partially accurate1Plausible pledge; experts say1Politico offers no support1Possible; but no legal slam dunk1Probe had wider scope1Proposed cuts were reversed1Puerto Rico loses most1Quickly corrected slip-up1		
Pandemic not in rear-view mirror Partially accurate 1 Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 2 Quickly corrected slip-up 1	· ·	
Partially accurate Plausible pledge; experts say 1 Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 2 Quickly corrected slip-up		
Plausible pledge; experts say Politico offers no support 1 Possible; but no legal slam dunk 1 Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 2 Quickly corrected slip-up 1		
Politico offers no support Possible; but no legal slam dunk Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed Puerto Rico loses most Quickly corrected slip-up 1		
Possible; but no legal slam dunk Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 2 Quickly corrected slip-up 1		
Probe had wider scope 1 Proposed cuts were reversed 1 Puerto Rico loses most 2 Quickly corrected slip-up 1		
Proposed cuts were reversed Puerto Rico loses most Quickly corrected slip-up 1		
Puerto Rico loses most Quickly corrected slip-up 1		
		1
	Quickly corrected slip-up	1
		1

textualRating	n
Record is mixed	1
Reflects what reports say	1
Reports heavily disputed	1
Revenues might fall short	1
Right on jobs	1
Roughly right	1
Said someone should try	1
Schiff's evidence is weak	1
Selective examples	1
Shaky details	1
She has	1
She told law schools	1
Slow gains; but gains	1
Some evidence to support	1
Some reduced staff	1
Sondland cites names	1
Spinning who gets credit	1
State Police were prepared	1
Sterling presented multiple pieces of evidence to refute President Donald Tr	
Strain; but checks continue	1
Study backs it up	1
Stunt ad makes false claim	1
Suspicions; no final proof	1
Tests sent; not given	1
Thanks to 'extra' doses in vial	1
That's part of the story	1
That's wrong	1
The accuracy is mixed	1
The law is broad	1
They didn't decertify.	1
This is accurate.	1
This is exaggerated.	1
This is true	1
This is True	1
This is unproven	1
This is wrong	1
This needs context	1
This needs context.	1
Timeline doesn't match	1
Tough promise to keep	1
True based on available data	1
True but didn't coin	1
True for total vote counts	1
True; but needs context	1
Trump has raised idea	1
Trump role emerged later	1
Trump shifts stances	1
Trump tweeted about Trudeau	1
Trump was wrong	1
Twisted history	1
U.S. depends on Russia	1
U.S. does fare worse	1

textualRating	n
U.S. ranks near bottom	1
Unable to verify	1
Unclear	1
Unproven speculation; no evidence	1
Unproven with state data	1
Up; not that much	1
Virus now only trails Civil War in deaths	1
Wages have risen modestly	1
We track ethics reversal	1
Wine cave event occurred	1
Words are in booklet	1
Would face legal challenges	1
Wrong meeting	1
Wrong wording	1
Wrong; he mispoke	1
Yes; but general comments	1
Yes; nine schools cut	1
Yes; they flip- flopped	1

When we reviewed the list of anomaly claims, we noticed that all came from PolitiFact articles. PolitiFact values their Truth-O-Meter ratings and use them only when they feel they can convey some level of certainty in the rating given. Truth-O-Meter ratings require a high threshold of proof. When that is lacking or there is not evidence to do a full scale fact-check the existing facts are published in an article. Fact-checks of debates and speeches are frequently written up in articles. Since PF did not feel comfortable enough to deliver a full Truth-O-Meter rating neither did we and all claims that were not given on the 6 standard ratings in textualRating were removed from the dataset.

Table 2: PF Claims with Truth-O-Meter Ratings

textualRating	n
Pants on Fire	133
False	477
Mostly False	499
Half True	555
Mostly True	533
True	329

After this removal we began looking closely at the remaining claimant names. Version 8 had 723 unique claimant names.

```
## # A tibble: 723 x 2
  # Groups:
                claimant [723]
##
      claimant
                           n
##
      <chr>
                       <int>
    1 Joe Biden
                         113
    2 Hillary Clinton
                         104
##
##
    3 Bernie Sanders
                          58
                          56
    4 Mike Pence
    5 Newt Gingrich
                          45
    6 Ted Cruz
                          42
```

```
## 7 Marco Rubio 39
## 8 Ron Johnson 32
## 9 Barack Obama 31
## 10 Andrew Cuomo 30
## # ... with 713 more rows
```

Version 10 - Condensing claimant names

At this stage we noticed that some claimants were listed under several separate names referring to the same person. Like Speaker Nancy Pelosi, Nancy Pelosi, Speaker Pelosi. We wanted to eliminate this repetition so that we could see the true # of claims made by each claimant.

This processed combined several names making the list 25 names shorter.

698 unique claimants remain.

```
## # A tibble: 696 x 2
                claimant [696]
  # Groups:
##
      claimant
                            n
##
      <chr>
                       <int>
##
    1 Joe Biden
                          113
    2 Hillary Clinton
                          104
                          58
##
    3 Bernie Sanders
##
    4 Mike Pence
                          56
##
    5 Newt Gingrich
                           45
##
    6 Ted Cruz
                           42
##
    7 Marco Rubio
                           39
##
                           32
    8 Ron Johnson
   9 Andrew Cuomo
                          31
## 10 Barack Obama
                           31
## # ... with 686 more rows
```

Version 11 – Final Claimant Cleaning

During this process we also noticed that some claimants, who did not fit our defintion of politician, had slipped through the cracks in our code. To try and ensure that we had only the data we desired in our dataset, we ran the list of claimant names through a stricter version of the politician filter. 58 names were marked as potentially non-politicial figures. Each name was manually reviewed and we identified 6 names that did not belong in the dataset.

Tucker Carlson, Laura Ingraham, Jacob Wohl, State representatives, Reagan was Right, Marco Rubio's heckler. 21 claims were removed as a result.

Later on during tagging we identified three more claimants (Pat Robertson, Juan Williams, and Evan Smith) and 1 claim that was mislabeled (The claim said it was Maxine Waters but the link said it was bloggers) that were not political figures. For ease we have removed them here. 6 more claims were removed.

Below are the counts for the final dataset used for tagging.

Table 3: Claim Rating by Party for Final Dataset

textualRating	Democratic	Republican
Pants on Fire	31	93
False	160	310

textualRating	Democratic	Republican
Mostly False	218	273
Half True	316	237
Mostly True	361	171
True	218	111

```
v11_pf$claimDate[v11_pf$claimDate == (as.Date("2106-11-08"))] <- as.Date("2016-11-08")
```

Tagged Claims

```
pf_final <- pf_final %>%
    select(-title.y, -textualRating.y, -claimant.y)
pf_final$title <- pf_final$title.x
pf_final$textualRating <- pf_final$textualRating.x
pf_final$claimant <- pf_final$claimant.x
pf_final <- pf_final %>%
    select(-title.x, -textualRating.x, -claimant.x)
```