Advancing Data-Driven Energy Decisions at Dickinson College

Center for Sustainability Education
Neil Leary

Date: April 20, 2025

Abstract

This report proposes a strategic, phased approach to improve Dickinson College's sustainability infrastructure by adopting a comprehensive, data-driven energy management system. Despite its recognized leadership in environmental stewardship, Dickinson's utility monitoring systems remain technologically outdated and fragmented. This deficiency hampers the College's ability to optimize energy use, control utility costs, and unlock the full potential of its sustainability infrastructure for academic engagement and institutional learning.

To address these challenges, the report outlines a roadmap for the campus-wide deployment of smart electric and water submeters, supported by the integration of advanced digital platforms: EnergyCAP for utility tracking and financial analytics; the Green Revolving Investment Tracking System (GRITS) for evaluating project returns; and the ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager for benchmarking building performance against national standards. Drawing from successful implementations at peer institutions such as SUNY Oneonta, the University of Richmond, and Colorado State University, the report illustrates the operational, financial, and environmental benefits of adopting such technologies.

The report further explores the transformative role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in optimizing campus energy systems through predictive maintenance, adaptive control, and real-time analytics. A financially viable implementation strategy is also provided through reinvestment from within, federal grants, and philanthropic partnerships.

Ultimately, this report contends that transitioning to a modern digital energy management system is essential for Dickinson to maintain its leadership in sustainability. Such an investment will improve operational efficiency and reduce environmental impact but also create new opportunities for student-faculty collaboration, enhance academic programming, and position Dickinson as a national model for sustainability in higher education.

Keywords: Sustainability, Data-Driven Decision Making, Energy Management, Artificial Intelligence, Submetering, Higher Education, Smart Campus, Climate Action, Utility Analytics.

Introduction

Dickinson College's longstanding commitment to sustainability is an institutional identity and a driver of innovation. As one of the earliest signatories of the American College and University Presidents' Climate Commitment, the College committed to reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 25% from 2008 to 2020, with the remaining emissions offset to achieve climate neutrality through emissions reduction efforts, the purchase of Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs), and significant investments in renewable energy and building efficiency.

One of the key pillars of this success was Dickinson's comprehensive Energy and Water Management Plan, which recognized energy management as not only an environmental initiative but as a key financial sustainability driver. The underlying assumption was simple but groundbreaking: through efficiency and conservation, Dickinson could reallocate those cost savings to deferred maintenance and asset renewal. This methodology helped spur the College's energy-efficient infrastructure, including a state-of-the-art central energy plant that provides steam and chilled water to two-thirds of campus buildings. This plant operates using dual-fuel boilers capable of switching between heating oil and natural gas and has even experimented with "viesel," a biodiesel made from filtered waste vegetable oil, highlighting Dickinson's willingness to innovate with renewable sources.

Solar energy represents another cornerstone of the College's sustainability portfolio. With grid-tied solar photovoltaic systems totaling 110 kW installed at various campus locations, including Kaufman Hall, Waidner-Spahr Library, Althouse Trellis, the Treehouse, and the College Farm, Dickinson is increasingly supplying its electricity from clean sources. These systems are complemented by solar thermal heating used in greenhouses on the farm and a solar-powered electric vehicle used by the student farmers. The College Farm also exemplifies leadership in waste-to-energy innovation, producing biodiesel from dining hall waste and exploring anaerobic digestion as a method for converting food waste into biogas or fertilizer.

These initiatives are supported by community engagement and educational programs. The Center for Sustainability Education's "Energy Challenge," led by student Eco-Reps, cultivates behavioral change through competitions that reduce energy consumption in residence halls. Additionally, the College prioritizes ENERGY STAR-certified appliances and has replaced all student residence washing machines with high-efficiency front-loaders that reduce electricity and water usage.

Despite these successes, Dickinson faces a critical inflection point. The broader context of sustainability in higher education has evolved, demanding not just infrastructure improvements but smart systems capable of data-informed decision-making. Accreditation standards, competitive pressures, and emerging federal funding opportunities increasingly prioritize institutions that adopt digital tools to enhance transparency, efficiency, and environmental performance.

Current gaps in data infrastructure

Although Dickinson has made significant strides in reducing its environmental footprint, critical gaps in its utility data infrastructure now pose substantial barriers to further progress. The College's largest electric meter aggregates consumption data from 37 buildings into a single monthly total, severely limiting visibility into individual building performance. Submetering is sparse, and thermal energy tracking is nearly non-existent, leaving major sources of consumption, such as heating and cooling, unmeasured. Water use is also tracked only at the aggregate level, which prevents detailed leak detection and water conservation planning.

These limitations create systemic blind spots. Without granular, building-level data, the College cannot benchmark performance, verify the impacts of conservation initiatives, or dynamically adjust operations based on occupancy patterns and weather fluctuations. This, in turn, hampers operational efficiency, inflates costs, and undermines sustainability reporting. Furthermore, without centralized and accessible data, students and faculty are unable to engage in real-time research and experiential learning that could otherwise be derived from campus infrastructure.

To overcome these challenges, Dickinson should invest in a new digital data infrastructure. This includes smart meters that provide real-time, integrated data platforms, and advanced analytics, including artificial intelligence. Such systems will empower decision-makers to understand and manage energy use in real-time, reduce inefficiencies, and support strategic planning rooted in empirical evidence.

Ai In The Mordern World

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing how institutions and industries manage energy. It offers unprecedented capabilities in real-time data analysis, predictive modeling, and system optimization. By leveraging massive datasets and machine learning algorithms, AI systems can detect inefficiencies, anticipate equipment failures, and autonomously adjust operations to optimize performance.

For instance, BrainBox AI, implemented in a commercial building in Manhattan, reduced HVAC energy use by 15.8%, saving $42,000 annually and eliminating 37 metric tons of CO_2 emissions. The system analyzes occupancy patterns and weather forecasts to fine-tune heating and cooling in real-time. This not only improves efficiency but also illustrates the kind of responsive, adaptive system that Dickinson could benefit from, especially in aging buildings with fluctuating usage patterns.

On a larger scale, companies like Enel and Tesla are deploying AI for grid-level management. Enel uses AI to predict solar and wind output, allowing for better power distribution and reliability. Tesla's Autobidder platform automatically controls when and how stored electricity is dispatched, ensuring it's used during periods of highest need or cost savings. These tools are transforming how clean energy is scaled and integrated across complex systems.

Even in industrial settings, AI has become indispensable. General Electric's Predix platform predicts maintenance issues in power plants before they occur, minimizing downtime and improving equipment lifespan. Marathon Oil uses AI to track data from thousands of wells, helping engineers proactively generate proactive maintenance tasks that save time and money.

Perhaps most relevant to Dickinson is how AI has enhanced smart grids and building systems. In Barcelona, AI-powered energy management reduced energy consumption across the city while improving reliability. Residential technologies like EcoFlow's Oasis allow households to monitor and optimize energy use through user-friendly dashboards and automation. These advancements are no longer confined to high-tech cities or Fortune 500 companies, they are scalable, adaptable, and increasingly accessible to institutions like Dickinson.

AI is not just changing how we manage energy; it's changing what's possible. For Dickinson, integrating AI into campus operations would support sustainability goals, reduce utility costs, and create new learning opportunities. By investing in smart metering, data platforms, and analytical capacity, Dickinson can evolve from strong sustainability practices to intelligent, data-driven systems that set a national example for liberal arts colleges.

The Case For Data-Driven Decision-Making In Sustainability

Institutions across the country are proving that data when captured and used effectively, can transform energy planning and performance. SUNY Oneonta is a compelling instance of the power of comprehensive data systems. Over the past 15 years, the university has invested in campus-wide submetering, installing submeters on nearly every building. These are connected to a central platform, offering real-time visibility into energy usage. This infrastructure allows SUNY to monitor building-level peak loads, enabling not only better energy planning but also precise sizing of emergency generators and upgrades to underground electrical infrastructure. The institution also participates in demand response programs that pay them to reduce load during peak periods, earning tens of thousands of dollars annually. SUNY also uses battery storage and is piloting AI-driven load-shedding that temporarily powers down non-essential systems without disrupting occupants. Their energy manager describes submetering as a key next step for aligning cost control with climate action.

Similarly, the University of Richmond invested $500,000 in campus-wide submetering in 2023. This initiative was designed to boost transparency, support more effective facilities planning, and enhance research capabilities by allowing more granular insight into energy use patterns. Penn State University has also integrated advanced metering into its energy systems, feeding real-time data into EnergyCAP software to support accurate budgeting, departmental cost allocation, and performance monitoring. As a result, even their stadium can meter and bill for energy usage by event, improving financial accountability.

At Colorado State University, the implementation of automation tools to manage energy data revolutionized their efficiency. Before automation, it took the facilities team six weeks to manually enter and analyze energy data. With automated tools and integration of over 170 properties into EnergyCAP and ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager, this process now takes one week or less. This efficiency helped CSU become the first university worldwide to achieve and renew a Platinum STARS sustainability rating, reinforcing the value of effective data systems.

Several other leading institutions offer further evidence of the role of data in sustainability success. Swarthmore College is building geo-exchange systems monitored with precision energy analytics. The University of Michigan, UC Berkeley, UC Davis, and Cornell have all adopted public-facing dashboards, making their energy-use data available in real-time to the broader campus community. These platforms not only improve operations but also serve as educational tools, encouraging broader campus engagement with sustainability goals.

Taken together, these case studies provide a clear roadmap for Dickinson. They show that institutions of any size or structure can benefit from smarter energy systems. With targeted investment in metering, automation, and data literacy, Dickinson has the opportunity to lead, not just in sustainability, but in how sustainability is understood, taught, and practiced.

Digital Tools For Sustainability

The successful application of a data-driven sustainability strategy at Dickinson College involves the application of a series of sophisticated digital tools. These tools must be capable of collecting, aggregating, analyzing, and transforming raw energy and utility data into actionable intelligence. Three platforms, highly recognized for efficiency and compatibility with the energy systems of higher education organizations, are the basis of this transformation: EnergyCAP, GRITS (Green Revolving Investment Tracking System), and ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager. While each tool addresses a specific domain of energy management, their combined use creates a holistic, interoperable infrastructure capable of supporting Dickinson's operational goals, financial planning, and reporting obligations.

EneryCAP

EnergyCAP is a comprehensive utility management software designed to simplify and improve data processing for electricity, water, gas, and waste. At its core, the system mechanizes the traditionally time-consuming utility bill entry and normalization process so that the data is guaranteed to be accurate, timely, and immediately available for use in decision-making. This automation, particularly through EnergyCAP's proprietary Bill CAPture service, has been shown to reduce administrative overhead significantly. For institutions similar in scale to Dickinson, EnergyCAP can save as much as 37 hours of manual data entry per month. More importantly, it increases reliability by eliminating the human errors inherent in spreadsheet-based tracking systems.

Beyond efficiency, the strength of EnergyCAP lies in its ability to detect anomalies and irregularities that might otherwise go unnoticed. A sudden spike in electricity usage in a specific building, for instance, can trigger an alert that enables facilities managers to investigate and address potential issues such as malfunctioning HVAC systems, inefficient lighting, or undetected leaks. Such real-time insights lead to faster action, cutting down on waste and improving reliability.

The platform's modular dashboards are also tailored to the needs of diverse users, offering financial officers, sustainability coordinators, and engineers targeted views of relevant metrics. These dashboards foster cross-departmental collaboration and ensure that decisions are grounded in transparent and verifiable data. Importantly, EnergyCAP supports strategic financial planning through its integration with institutional accounting systems. The platform allows for granular budget forecasting, energy use comparisons across buildings, and streamlined utility bill payments. Universities such as Kansas and New Mexico highlight the platform's capacity to reduce utility spending while enhancing data accuracy and transparency. These institutions have leveraged EnergyCAP not only to improve day-to-day efficiency but also to embed sustainability into long-term capital and operational planning. Moreover, EnergyCAP offers accessible training resources and user certifications, facilitating seamless adoption by staff and students with varying levels of technical expertise.

With comprehensive user training and certification resources, EnergyCAP is accessible to staff, faculty, and students alike, making it a valuable tool for academic engagement as well as facilities management.

GRITS (Green Revolving Investment Tracking System)

Complementing EnergyCAP is the Green Revolving Investment Tracking System, or GRITS, a web-based platform developed to measure and communicate the financial and environmental returns of sustainability initiatives. GRITS is especially effective in managing Green Revolving Funds-funds of money invested to pay for investments in projects with measurable savings. Dickinson, who already demonstrates a strong commitment to sustainability innovation, could use GRITS to systematically evaluate the return on investment for retrofit projects such as LED lighting upgrades, insulation improvements, or HVAC replacements. GRITS provides the capability to document every stage of a project's lifecycle, from initial cost and projected savings to actual performance and emissions reductions post-implementation.

The return on investment and transparency emphasis of the platform differentiates it from conventional tracking software. With GRITS, each project is cataloged into an institutional portfolio, allowing stakeholders to view aggregate cost savings, greenhouse gas reductions, and payback periods in a clear, visual format. This level of detail supports internal decision-making while enhancing external communication. Whether reporting to AASHE STARS, donors, or accreditation bodies, Dickinson would be able to present quantifiable and validated outcomes that underscore its financial prudence and environmental leadership.

The value of GRITS extends further through its benchmarking capabilities. The platform includes a comprehensive library of over 2,500 sustainability projects from peer institutions across North America. This resource enables users to compare proposed initiatives against established projects of similar scope and scale, thus informing strategic choices and mitigating risk. GRITS' user-friendly interface and training support through Second Nature and AASHE also make it the ideal application for cross-disciplinary use, enabling administrative staff and student interns to contribute meaningfully to sustainability finance and reporting.

ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager

While EnergyCAP and GRITS offer operational and financial visibility, ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager completes the ecosystem by providing benchmarking capabilities on a national scale. Developed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, this platform allows institutions to measure and track building-level energy and water performance relative to similar buildings nationwide. Institutions input monthly utility data along with facility-specific characteristics such as square footage, operational hours, and occupancy levels. In return, they receive performance metrics, including Energy Use Intensity (EUI), greenhouse gas emissions, and, when eligible, an ENERGY STAR score.

A building with an ENERGY STAR score of 50 is at the national average.

Those with ratings of 75 and higher are top performers and can be eligible for ENERGY STAR certification—a proven marker of energy efficiency excellence.
This benchmarking ability is significant for Dickinson. It enables the institution to identify its most and least efficient buildings, assign the highest priority to retrofits accordingly, and verify the efficiency of energy conservation measures or capital upgrades. Further, public visibility associated with ENERGY STAR certification fosters institutional reputation and conveys accountability to internal and external stakeholders.

Although Portfolio Manager does not offer the depth of financial and operational analytics found in EnergyCAP or GRITS, its compatibility with frameworks such as LEED and AASHE STARS makes it an essential component of sustainability reporting. The platform's value is further amplified by its accessibility; the EPA offers extensive training resources, including case studies, tutorials, and technical guidance, all at no cost to users. This democratizes benchmarking capabilities, allowing smaller institutions with limited budgets to participate meaningfully in national energy performance assessments.

The combined application of EnergyCAP, GRITS, and ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager offers Dickinson a solid, integrated framework for advancing data-informed sustainability. Each platform addresses a distinct set of needs from operational oversight, financial accountability, or external benchmarking. Together, they enable comprehensive energy and emissions management. By integrating these tools into its campus operations, Dickinson can establish a transparent, resilient, forward-looking energy management system that aligns with its climate goals, improves financial performance, and enriches the academic experience through experiential learning opportunities grounded in real-world data. This investment in digital infrastructure is a strategic necessity. In a world where energy challenges are increasingly complex and data-intensive, Dickinson has the opportunity to lead by example.

Implementing Data Infrastructure at Dickinson

Transitioning Dickinson College toward a data-driven approach to sustainability begins with the establishment of robust foundational infrastructure. Implementing smart metering systems is not merely a technical undertaking but a strategic initiative with far-reaching implications for operational efficiency, financial planning, and institutional climate leadership. Central to this transformation is the systematic installation of submeters across the campus. This process should prioritize disaggregating energy usage by individual buildings, thereby addressing the critical blind spot due to the College's reliance on a singular electric meter aggregating data from thirty-seven buildings.

Building the Infrastructure: Submetering as a Strategic Priority

The strategic installation of electric submeters in each of these buildings will empower the College to monitor consumption patterns at the building level, enabling the identification of performance anomalies, energy-intensive operations, and potential inefficiencies. Concurrently, water submeters should be deployed in high-usage facilities, such as residence halls, laboratories, and dining areas. These submeters will be instrumental in identifying leaks and wasteful usage, fostering a more comprehensive understanding of water consumption and enabling informed conservation strategies.

Likewise, deploying electric submeters in a particular academic building will provide granular visibility into consumption patterns, detect anomalies, and help identify energy-intensive operations, which may potentially uncover malfunctioning HVAC systems or lighting left on after hours.

Integrating Key Digital Tools

Once this foundational infrastructure is in place, the College will be positioned to activate its data ecosystem through the deployment of analytical platforms such as EnergyCAP, GRITS, and ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager. These platforms, previously discussed in detail, will convert raw submeter data into strategic insights. For example, EnergyCAP will facilitate real-time monitoring, detect anomalies, and automate utility billing processes. GRITS will serve as a repository and evaluator of sustainability project outcomes, particularly in calculating returns on investment for retrofits and efficiency upgrades. ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager will benchmark building performance against national standards, enabling Dickinson to validate its progress and demonstrate accountability to external stakeholders.

Institutional Readiness and Cultural Change

However, technological deployment alone will not yield transformative outcomes unless accompanied by an institutional cultural shift. The College must adopt a data-first mindset that permeates campus operations. This requires the creation of a centralized energy data repository, fully integrated with existing facilities management systems and scalable to accommodate future technologies. The repository should be designed to support real-time analytics and visualization, making data accessible and actionable across departments. To ensure the successful uptake and utility of this system, training must be provided to facilities staff, sustainability interns, and student researchers. Data interpretation workshops and interdepartmental collaboration forums will foster a culture of continuous improvement and cross-functional learning.

This reorientation from reactive to proactive energy management is critical. As articulated in EnergyCAP's foundational white paper, "The Data-Driven Energy Manager," energy should not be treated as a fixed operational cost, but rather as a dynamic variable that can be managed, optimized, and turned into long-term savings. Institutions such as the University of Kansas exemplify the power of this mindset. Through vigilant monitoring and strategic investment, they significantly reduced utility costs while improving infrastructure reliability and performance.

A compelling example of effective strategy design can also be seen in the practices of the University of New Mexico. Their adoption of EnergyCAP as a long-term planning tool allowed for the integration of energy management into capital project planning, enabling them to align facilities investments with sustainability and operational goals. This demonstrates that intelligent energy infrastructure is not merely a cost-saving tool but a cornerstone of institutional resilience and strategic foresight.

Implementation Strategy Recommendations and Lessons from Peers

In contemplating the implementation of such a transformation, Dickinson must also proceed with clear objectives and clearly defined metrics. Before deploying technological infrastructure, the institution must determine specific sustainability targets—such as percentage reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, improvements in energy use intensity (EUI), or targeted dollar savings across major utility categories. Articulating such goals provides the necessary framework for evaluating progress and ensures that financial and human resources are strategically allocated.

Equally important is the principle of scalability in project design. As evidenced by Colorado State University, initiating the data infrastructure journey through electric submetering offers a practical and high-return entry point. CSU deliberately postponed investments in more complex and costly thermal metering systems due to concerns about upfront expense and data accuracy. Instead, their strategy began with a manageable scope, gradually building upon early success to expand their data capabilities. This methodical approach enabled CSU to enhance institutional buy-in, build internal capacity, and create a foundation of verified results that justified subsequent investments.

The long-term success of this strategy also hinges on robust training and stakeholder engagement. The efficacy of data platforms is inextricably linked to the proficiency of their users. By investing in staff training programs, peer learning cohorts, and cross-disciplinary collaboration, Dickinson can cultivate a workforce and student body that is literate in energy data and empowered to act on insights. Oklahoma State University has demonstrated the value of such engagement by establishing a dedicated energy management team and embedding sustainability principles into departmental decision-making processes.

Another critical consideration is the quality and integration of data across systems. Platforms that cannot communicate or rely heavily on manual data entry are susceptible to errors and inefficiencies that undermine the very purpose of digital transformation. Therefore, Dickinson must prioritize software solutions that offer interoperability with existing systems and automate data ingestion. EnergyCAP, GRITS, and Portfolio Manager have been specifically selected for their compatibility and proven track records in higher education. To maintain data fidelity, the College should adopt routine auditing protocols and establish standardized data management procedures.

Financial Strategy and Long-Term Sustainability

Financial sustainability is a further pillar upon which this initiative must rest. The initial investment required to implement metering and analytics infrastructure is nontrivial. However, the long-term financial returns justify the expenditure. Institutions like Colorado State University have demonstrated this with the successful operation of their Green Revolving Fund (GRF), which has provided over $1 million annually for energy projects and consistently achieved returns on investment exceeding twelve percent. The reinvestment of savings into new projects creates a self-sustaining model that aligns fiscal responsibility with environmental stewardship. Dickinson has multiple avenues for financing this transformation. Federal grants, such as those made available through the Department of Energy's Better Buildings Initiative and the Inflation Reduction Act, provide substantial support for institutions investing in climate resilience and energy efficiency. The College can also explore the issuance of green bonds, a strategy employed successfully by the University of California and Swarthmore College, to fund large-scale sustainability infrastructure projects. Internally, Dickinson's own Green Revolving Fund can catalyze early-stage investments, particularly those that promise rapid payback and substantial long-term savings.

Beyond institutional and federal funding, donor engagement represents a critical opportunity. Increasingly, alumni and philanthropic partners are prioritizing transparency and impact in their giving. Providing data-driven evidence of emissions reductions and cost savings tied to donor contributions can significantly enhance fundraising campaigns and attract mission-aligned partners.

In sum, Dickinson's approach to implementing energy data infrastructure must be thoughtful, phased, and strategically aligned. The process begins with targeted submetering and platform deployment but extends far beyond to encompass institutional culture, stakeholder engagement, financial design, and long-term planning. By treating energy as a controllable and optimizable asset rather than a fixed cost, the College will not only improve operational performance but also affirm its leadership in sustainability education and climate action. Through these measures, Dickinson can position itself as a national exemplar of data-informed climate leadership in higher education.

Conclusion

Dickinson College has long exemplified leadership in sustainability through ambitious climate commitments, student-driven action, and innovative infrastructure. Its achievement of climate neutrality marked a milestone few institutions have reached. Yet to sustain and advance this legacy, the College must now embrace a new era of operational excellence, one rooted in data-informed, AI-supported decision-making.

The current energy monitoring system-anchored by a single electric meter serving thirty-seven campus buildings-prevents Dickinson from achieving the transparency and precision required for effective sustainability management. Without building-level insight, the College cannot detect inefficiencies, measure the impacts of capital projects, or validate sustainability claims with empirical evidence. These blind spots not only inflate operational costs but also inhibit student engagement, diminish institutional credibility, and restrict the capacity for informed planning.

Investing in a new digital data infrastructure will address these limitations. Submeters deployed across campus buildings will generate the granular, real-time data necessary for modern energy oversight. Integrated analytics platforms-specifically EnergyCAP, GRITS, and ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager-will convert this data into actionable intelligence. EnergyCAP streamlines utility bill management and anomaly detection; GRITS quantifies project-level financial and environmental returns; and Portfolio Manager benchmarks building performance against national standards. Together, these tools form a comprehensive digital ecosystem that empowers decision-makers, enhances accountability, and aligns investments with strategic goals.

The experience of peer institutions affirms the feasibility and value of this approach. Colorado State University leveraged phased metering and GRITS tracking to create a self-sustaining investment model. Swarthmore College has used a Green Revolving Fund to catalyze high-return projects and advance its carbon goals. These examples demonstrate that thoughtful infrastructure investment yields not only cost savings, but also institutional resilience, external recognition, and stronger stakeholder engagement.

Moreover, this transition is not solely about technology, it is about people and culture. Dickinson's success will depend on fostering data fluency across campus. By integrating training programs, interdisciplinary research opportunities, and accessible data dashboards, the College can build a community of informed stakeholders empowered to participate meaningfully in sustainability work. This alignment of facilities, finance, and academics will reinforce institutional priorities while enhancing educational value.

Financially, this investment is prudent and sustainable. Funding can be drawn from Dickinson's Green Revolving Fund, complemented by federal grants, such as those offered through the Inflation Reduction Act and the U.S. Department of Energy, and supported through donor partnerships. Cost savings achieved through reduced utility consumption can be reinvested into additional sustainability initiatives, creating a virtuous cycle of continuous improvement.

In conclusion, Dickinson should invest in a new digital data infrastructure. This includes smart meters that provide real-time insights, integrated platforms that consolidate and contextualize utility data, and advanced analytics that leverage artificial intelligence for predictive and strategic planning. Such a system will equip decision-makers with the tools to manage energy proactively, reduce inefficiencies, and allocate resources with precision. More importantly, it will reaffirm Dickinson's role as a national leader in sustainability, setting a powerful precedent for liberal arts colleges committed to environmental stewardship, operational transparency, and data-driven leadership in the 21st century.

References

AASHE STARS. (2024). Dickinson College STARS Report. Association for the Advancement Of Sustainability in Higher Education.

Better Buildings BC. (n.d.). *What is ENERGY STAR® Portfolio Manager?* Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://betterbuildingsbc.ca/faqs/what-is-energy-star-portfolio-manager/

Brite Green. (n.d.). *The university sustainability data challenge*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://brite-green.co.uk/news/16-managing-sustainability/103-the-university-sustainability-data-challenge

Burke, M. (2021, September 28). *OSU Energy Management program tops $80 million in total savings*. Oklahoma State University News. https://news.okstate.edu/articles/communications/2021/osu-energy-management-tops-eighy-million-dollars-in-energy-savings.html

Cornell University. (n.d.). Building energy dashboard. Cornell University. https://sustainablecampus.cornell.edu/buildings-energy/building-energy-dashboard

EnergyCAP. (n.d.). Campus Energy Management: Effective Cost Use Allocation [Video]. YouTube. https://www.energycap.com/resource/campus-energy-management-effective-cost-use-allocation/

Energy Efficiency & Sustainability Partners (EESP). (n.d.). *University of Kansas case study*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://www.energyesp.com/case-studies/University-of-Kansas

EnergyCAP, LLC. (n.d.). *Helping our clients succeed for 40+ years*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://www.energycap.com/energycap-success-stories/

EnergyCAP, LLC. (n.d.). *Oklahoma State University: Capturing $80 million in savings*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://www.energycap.com/success-stories/oklahoma-state-university/

EnergyCAP, LLC. (n.d.). *The data-driven energy manager: How to take control of your campus energy use.* Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://www.energycap.com/resource/data-driven-energy-manager-take-control-of-your-campus-energy-use/

EnergyCAP, LLC. (n.d.). *Texas State University: Delivering utility insights to drive energy action*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://www.energycap.com/success-stories/texas-state-university/

EnergyCAP, LLC. (n.d.). *State of Colorado Department of Corrections: Transforming energy management*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://www.energycap.com/success-stories/state-of-colorado-department-of-corrections/

EnergyCAP, LLC. (n.d.). *University of Kansas: Achieving energy transparency and efficiency*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://www.energycap.com/success-stories/university-of-kansas/

Li, Y., Wang, X., Huang, Z., & Li, Y. (2019). A decision-making framework for sustainability performance measurement using integrated fuzzy AHP and TOPSIS. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *215*, 952–964. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.072

Marans, R. W., & Callewaert, J. (n.d.). *Evaluating sustainability initiatives on university campuses*. University of Michigan. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://graham.umich.edu/media/pubs/Evaluating%20Sustainability%20Initiatives%20on%20University%20Campuses%2C%20Marans%20and%20Callewaert.pdf

Oklahoma State University Facilities Management. (n.d.). *About energy management*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://fm.okstate.edu/energy-services/energy-management/about/

Oklahoma State University Facilities Management. (n.d.). *Energy management*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://fm.okstate.edu/energy-services/energy-management/

Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences. (n.d.). *Energy program*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://medicine.okstate.edu/about/facilities-management/energy-program.html

Trina Solar. (n.d.). *Colorado State University case study*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://pages.trinasolar.com/APMEA_ColoradoStateUniversity.html

University of Kansas Sustainability. (n.d.). *Energy overview*. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://sustainability.ku.edu/energy-overview

GRITS. (n.d.). GRITS: The Green Revolving Investment Tracking System. Retrieved from https://www.gogrits.org

International District Energy Association (IDEA). (n.d.). District Energy and Thermal Storage Case Studies. Retrieved from https://www.districtenergy.org

L. Lyons, personal communication, April 4, 2025

L. Squair, personal communication, March 31, 2025

Mickaeal, A. (2024, March 5). Energy benchmarking: A comprehensive guide to benefits, process and tools CIM. https://www.cim.io/blog/a-comprehensive-guide-to-energybenchmarking-benefits-process-and-tools

Princeton University Office of Sustainability. (n.d.). Thermal Energy Storage at Princeton. Retrieved from https://sustain.princeton.edu

R. Andrejewski, personal communication, March 26, 2025

R. Neimeier, personal communication, March 31, 2025

S. Baumgarn, personal communication, April 8, 2025

Second Nature. (n.d.). *Green Revolving Investment Tracking System (GRITS).* Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://secondnature.org/get-involved/partnerships/grits/

Sustainable Endowments Institute. (n.d.). *GRITS – Billion Dollar Green Challenge.* Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://greenbillion.org/grits/GRITS+7

Swarthmore College. (2025, April 3). Swarthmore's decarbonization Plan presentation. https://www.swarthmore.edu

University of California, Davis. (n.d.). Campus energy education dashboard. UC Davis. https://ceed.ucdavis.edu

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (n.d.). Colleges and universities. ENERGY STAR. https://www.energystar.gov/buildings/resources-audience/colleges-universities

U.S. General Services Administration. (2007, January 8). Submetering energy use in Colleges and universities. https://sftool.gov/Content/attachments/SCSUSubmetering1-8-07.pdf

University of Michigan. (n.d.). Building energy data. University of Michigan. https://ocs.umich.edu/resources/sustainability-data/building-energy-data/

University of Oregon. (n.d.). Energy use data. University of Oregon. https://cpfm.uoregon.edu/utilities-energy/energy-management/data

University of Berkley. (n.d). Energy & Water use data. University of Berkley. https://sustainability.berkeley.edu/engage/energy-dashboards