Steps to SAS e-Miner




Figure 1: Overview of Workflow in SAS e-Miner

1. File Import Settings.

The File Imported was the one prepped with Knime.





Figure 2: File Import Settings

2. Added StatExplore



Read the summary statistics to understand the distribution of the data and what might the distribution mean. The explanation was written in the report.

3. Added Graph Explore




Figure 3: Graph Explore Setting Size Changed to Max




Figure 4: Tested Plots for Visualization of Data




Figure 5: Current GraphExplore Results Display

Plots were added to get a better visualization and understanding of the data, but were not added to the case study report as the focus of the case study was on training a good model.

4. Added First Impute Node




Figure 6: Impute Node

Property	Value
General	
Node ID	Impt2
Imported Data	
Exported Data	
Notes	
Train	
Variables	
Nonmissing Variables	No
Missing Cutoff	50.0
☐Class Variables	
-Default Input Method	None
-Default Target Method	None
Normalize Values	Yes
☐Interval Variables	
-Default Input Method	Median
Default Target Method	None
Default Constant Value	
Default Character Value	
Default Number Value	
☐Method Options	
-Random Seed	12345
-Tuning Parameters	
Tree Imputation	
- Carra	

Figure 7: First Impute Node Setting Default Imput Method as Median

5. Linked a StatExplore Node to First Impute Node

a. A summary statistic to check the mean and standard deviation if impute is median

6. Added Second Impute Node




Figure 7: Second Impute Node




Figure 8: Second Impute Node's Input Method Default Set to Tree Surrogate

7. Linked a StatExplore Node to Second Impute Node

- a. A summary statistic to check the mean and standard deviation if impute is Tree Surrogate
- 8. After comparison of the summary statistics of the two imputation methods, added a third impute node and configured the final setting of a third impute node.




Figure 9: Impute (4) being the third impute node.




Figure 10: Final Setting of Imputation

9. Linked a Summary Statistics Node to Final Impute Node to confirm distribution and proportions

10. Added a Drop Node




Figure 11: Drop Node




Figure 12: Drop Node Setting

11. Added Data Partition Node




Figure 13: Added Data Partition

. Property	Value		
General			
Node ID	Part		
Imported Data			
Exported Data			
Notes			
Train			
Variables			
Output Type	Data		
Partitioning Method	Default		
Random Seed	12345		
Data Set Allocations			
-Training	70.0		
-Validation	30.0		
Test	0.0		
Report			
Interval Targets	Yes		
Class Targets	Yes		
Status			
Create Time	1/7/24 5:28 PM		
Run ID	c378bd89-a199-47d8-ab06-0054		
Last Error			
Last Status	Complete		
Last Run Time	1/7/24 7:06 PM		
Dun Duration 0 Hr 0 Min 2 SE Coc			

Figure 14: Data Partition Setting

12. Added Model Nodes




Figure 15: Model Nodes: Decision Tree, Gradient Boosting, and HP Forest

The three nodes used for modeling are the Decision Tree, Gradient Boosting, and HP Forest. The configuration of each model will be explained in the following sections.

F	Property	Value
L-M	linimum Categorical Size	5
= N	ode	
Le	eaf Size	8
-N	umber of Rules	5
-N	umber of Surrogate Rules	0
Sp	plit Size	
= Sp	plit Search	
-Us	se Decisions	No
-Us	se Priors	No
E)	xhaustive	5000
-N	ode Sample	20000
ΞSι	ubtree	
M	ethod	Assessment
-Nı	umber of Leaves	1
-As	ssessment Measure	Decision
	ssessment Fraction	0.25
= Cr	ross Validation	
-Pe	erform Cross Validation	Yes
-Nı	umber of Subsets	10
Nı	umber of Repeats	1
-Se	eed	12345
=0	bservation Based Importance	
-0	bservation Based Importance	No
Mi	umber Single Var Importance	ς

Figure 16 Setting of Decision Tree




Figure 17: Setting of Gradient Boosting




Figure 18: Setting of HP Random Forest.

13. Added Model Comparison Node




Figure 19: Addition of Model Comparison Node

To assess and compare the models, a model comparison node was added to the diagram.

Fit Statistics Model Selection based on Valid: Misclassification Rate (_VMISC_)								
Selected Model	Model Node	Model Description	Valid: Misclassification Rate	Train: Average Squared Error	Train: Misclassification Rate	Valid: Average Squared Error		
Y	Boost Tree HPDMForest	Gradient Boosting Decision Tree HP Forest	0.08666 0.18544 0.18891	0.00336 0.13573 0.12096	0.00000 0.16990 0.16915	0.07459 0.14661 0.13986		

Figure 20: Fit Statistics of Three Models