

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Social and ecological complexity is associated with gestural repertoire size of wild chimpanzees

Running Head: GESTURAL REPERTOIRE SIZE

Sam George Bradley Roberts^{1,2*†}, Anna Ilona Roberts^{1*†}¹Department of Psychology, University of Chester, Chester; Parkgate Road, Chester CH1 4BJ, UK²School of Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool, L3 3AF*Correspondence to: anna.roberts@chester.ac.uk, s.g.roberts1@ljmu.ac.uk

†Equally contributing authors

Abstract

Increasing our understanding of primate gestural communication can provide new insights into language evolution. A key question in primate communication is the association between the social relationships of primates and their repertoire of gestures. Such analyses can reveal how primates use their repertoire of gestural communication to maintain their networks of family and friends, much as humans use language to maintain their social networks. In this study we examined the association between the repertoire of gestures (overall, manual and bodily gestures, gestures of different modalities) and social bonds (presence of reciprocated grooming), coordinated behaviours (travel, resting, co-feeding), and the complexity of ecology (e.g. noise, illumination) and sociality (party size, audience), in wild East African chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*). A larger repertoire size of manual, visual gestures was associated with the presence of a relationship based on reciprocated grooming and increases in social complexity. A smaller repertoire of manual tactile gestures occurred when relationship was based on reciprocated grooming. A smaller repertoire of bodily gestures occurred between partners who jointly travelled for longer. Whereas gesture repertoire size was associated with social complexity, complex ecology also influenced repertoire size. The evolution of a large repertoire of manual, visual gestures may have been a key factor that enabled for larger social groups to emerge during evolution. Thus, the evolution of the larger brains in hominins may have co-occurred with an increase in the cognitive complexity underpinning gestural communication and this in turn may have enabled hominins to live in more complex social groups.

Keywords: chimpanzee, gesture, repertoire size, sociality, social network analysis, ecology

Introduction

Understanding the evolution of language is one of the most important questions in establishing whether or not humans are truly distinct from other animals. In seeking to infer the evolution of language, a primary focus has been to understand communication in primates. As language is primarily vocal, most studies have focused on primate vocal communication. However, a theory of language evolution that is gaining increasing support is that language evolved not from vocalisations, but initially from gestures. Non-human primates (hereafter primates), and particularly great apes, have an extensive repertoire of gestures, defined as voluntary movements of the arms, head, body postures and locomotory gaits (Liebal and Call, 2012, Liebal et al., 2004,

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/1749-4877.12423](https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12423).

Nishida et al., 2010). Whilst other species such as ravens (Pika and Bugnyar, 2011), elephants (Moss et al., 2011) and black bears (Kilham, 2013) use forms of gestural communication (Palagi et al., 2016), there has been an intensive research focus on great ape communication because of the importance of understanding primate communication in developing theories of human language evolution (Byrne et al., 2017, Fitch, 2010, Corballis, 2017). In particular, manual gestures, defined as movements of the hands without the use of objects or a substrate, have attracted considerable attention because of the possibility of being an ancestral trait that humans share with their primate relatives. It has been argued that manual gestures are governed by specific neurological structures homologous to the ones responsible for human language. Only humans and other apes habitually use their hands to communicate and gestural communication shows greater flexibility than either facial or vocal signals. The homology between humans' and apes' manual gestures suggests that there was a relatively recent evolution of complex, manual gestures in human ancestors. One feature of this evolution is repertoire size, defined as the number of types of gestures made in a single utterance by the same individual towards the same recipient, within the same goal or context ('sequence repertoire size'). Repertoire size of communication signals is key to human communication, and is part of what characterises social relationships among humans as so complex. One key defining feature of complex social relationships of primates is grooming reciprocity. Unidirectional grooming (when one individual grooms another) builds emotional closeness between partners which leads to grooming reciprocity. In socially bonded dyads, grooming reciprocity is underpinned by complex, coordinated interactions in many different contexts such as proximity, joint resting and travel. In primates, a larger repertoire of manual gestures can elicit accurate responses from the recipient, suggesting that a larger repertoire of manual gestures may enable primates to maintain more complex social relationships (Wittgenstein, 1953, Roberts et al., 2014a). In contrast, a larger repertoire size of bodily gestures is related to reduced accuracy of responsiveness, perhaps reflecting similar neural processes underlying the use of communication as in humans (Roberts et al., 2014a). The outstanding question in the debate of the evolutionary antecedents of human social complexity is whether only humans use a large repertoire of manual gestures to maintain complex social relationships, or if the common ancestor of hominins and chimpanzees also had this ability. Evolutionarily speaking, together with the bonobos, chimpanzees are most closely related to humans, and therefore are a key species to study when searching for these abilities.

Studies of both captive and wild chimpanzees have demonstrated flexibility in gestural communication in relation to the recipient's response to the communication. For example, when there is a lack of desired response by the recipient to gestural communication, signallers elaborate on the initial gesture by using different gesture types, thus increasing the repertoire size of the gesture sequence (Leavens et al., 2005, Roberts et al., 2013, Roberts et al., 2014b). Where recipients are likely to be unresponsive, chimpanzees use gesture types that do not overlap with the repertoire of the recipient, suggesting that the nature of responsiveness is associated with repertoire diversity (Roberts and Roberts, 2017, Roberts et al., 2014a).

Repertoire size is also associated with the nature of the relationship between the signaller and the recipient in chimpanzees (Roberts et al., 2019a). Chimpanzees are more likely to respond to a larger repertoire size that results in them being able to spend more time in proximity to others, and to obtain a central position in the social network (Roberts and Roberts, 2018a, Roberts, 2018). Further, the dyadic repertoire size of visual and auditory short-range gestures is associated with the duration of time that dyad spends in close proximity (within 10m) (Roberts et al., 2019a). Chimpanzees with more proximity partners have a larger dyadic repertoire size and specifically a larger repertoire of visual gestures (Roberts et al., 2019a). Whilst these results suggest that repertoire size is associated with overall sociality (measured by proximity), this study did not examine how repertoire size is associated with key social behaviours such as grooming, and joint behaviours such as travel and feeding. More importantly, these previous studies did not

differentiate between manual and bodily gestures but such a distinction appears to be important as previous findings show differentiation in the repertoire size of manual and bodily gestures across social contexts (Roberts et al., 2014a).

The wider audience - individuals other than the signaller and the recipient who are present when gestural communication is occurring - may also influence repertoire size. The presence of an audience, and the nature of that audience, have a wide variety of effects on individuals' behaviour in both humans (Hamilton and Lind, 2016), and in non-human animals (Zajonc and Sales, 1966, Mitani et al., 2002, Kaburu and Newton-Fisher, 2016). Whilst audience effects have been studied in relation to grooming (Kaburu and Newton-Fisher, 2016) and vocalisations (Slocombe and Zuberbühler, 2007) in primates, very little is known about how audiences affect gestural communication, apart from in a mating context (Roberts and Roberts, 2015). A social audience composed of individuals that are the same age cohort as the interacting dyad provides other potential conspecifics that the dyad may want to interact and associate with (Kaburu and Newton-Fisher, 2016). This may draw the dyad partners' attention away from each other and thus reduce the efficacy of communication between the signaller and recipient (Mitani et al., 2002). For instance, when the audience of same aged chimpanzees as the recipient is larger, the lack of mutual interest in attention and proximity between signaller and the recipient is associated with the use of left-handed gestures (Roberts et al., 2019b, Leary and Allen, 2011, Isham and Geng, 2011). Thus, this form of sociality whereby large audiences are present may require a larger repertoire size to enable complex social groups to emerge during evolution. However, how the repertoire size of manual and bodily gestures is shaped by presence of the wider audience has not been examined.

Finally, some studies have proposed that ecology can influence repertoire size, as it can affect the ability of the signaller to influence the recipient. The effect of environmental factors on vocalisations in primates has been explored (Brown and Waser, 1988, Mitani and Stuht, 1998), but less is known about how these factors may affect gestural repertoires. Low levels of light, high levels of ambient noise and high levels of wind can all make it more difficult for the recipient to detect gestural communication from the signaller. A lower density of vegetation, whilst making detection of the gestural communication easier, may expose primates to a greater predation risk potentially creating a greater need for vigilance that can influence the quality of communication. Further, ambient temperature can affect activity patterns (Hill et al., 2004) and is also likely to affect rates of communication. In a study of mating gestures in chimpanzees, Roberts and Roberts (2015) found that when the wind intensity increased, the production of mating gestures decreased, providing some evidence that chimpanzees do adjust their gestural communication according to ecological factors for this specific behavioural context. These previous findings suggest that the complexity of social environment and ecology may be associated with repertoire size of gestures overall as well as manual and bodily gestures. Examining these associations may provide insights into whether flexibility in gestural communication may help chimpanzees meet the demands of living in a complex social group and in complex environmental conditions (Freeberg et al., 2012a, Roberts and Roberts, 2016c).

In this study, we examine associations between gestural repertoire size, sociality and ecological factors in wild East African chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*) living in Budongo Forest, Uganda. Chimpanzees are an ideal species to examine these communication strategies because they live in complex, fission-fusion communities. In these societies, individuals maintain a differentiated set of social relationships of the type that is hypothesised to be associated with communicative complexity (Freeberg et al., 2012b, Barrett et al., 2003, Amici et al., 2008). For instance, chimpanzees often encounter other group members at infrequent intervals and this may require adjustment of their communication strategies to the strength of the bond with the social partner. We first ask whether gestural communication towards

recipients with whom the signaller has a relationship based on reciprocated grooming is associated with the complexity of the social and ecological environment. We predict that as social and ecological complexity increases, the greater processing demands on the recipient of grooming will imply that the grooming will be less likely reciprocated and therefore the signaller will be less likely to communicate towards partners who reciprocate grooming. We then ask the question: do chimpanzees flexibly adjust communication strategies to account for the variation in the grooming reciprocity due to the increasing social and ecological complexity of their environment? To answer this question, we examine variation in repertoire size of gestures (overall, bodily and manual considered separately) within a single utterance ('sequence repertoire size') in relation to social and ecological factors. We predict that as the social and ecological complexity increases, chimpanzees will use a larger repertoire size of manual gestures, but not a larger repertoire size of bodily gestures, to facilitate social bonding based on reciprocated grooming in more complex social and ecological settings.

The second key question addressed in this study is which characteristics of sociality and communication drive 'individual repertoire size' (the total number of gesture types in a chimpanzees' repertoire that is directed at other adult conspecifics). Homophily (attraction to individuals who possess similar characteristic to oneself such as personality or age) influences sociality in primates (Massen and Koski, 2014). When small audiences are present, chimpanzees may interact with all group members and thus the influence of homophily may be less apparent. In contrast, when large audiences are present, chimpanzees may interact with preferred conspecifics who possess a similar repertoire size (McPherson et al., 2001). If chimpanzees interact in complex social settings with individuals who possess a similar repertoire size, then we predict that the communication between dyad partners will have characteristics of the type of communication seen in complex social settings, such as tactile and auditory gestural communication, rather than visual gestures. Moreover we predict that if homophily for repertoire size guides social behaviour in primates, then we should observe associations between individual repertoire size and social behaviours known to characterise close social bonding (e.g. mutual grooming, joint travel, mutual visual monitoring).

The final research question we address in this paper is whether the number of bonded social partners that individuals have in their network is related to the repertoire size produced by those individuals, or received by those individuals ('dyadic repertoire size'). If a larger repertoire size of gestures enables chimpanzees to be more successful in managing social relationships than smaller repertoire size, then we would expect that the individuals who hold a central position in the network will receive a larger repertoire size of gestures.

Methods

Study site and subjects

Observations were made on Sonso community (6 adult males and 6 adult females) of East African chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*) at the Budongo Conservation Field Station, Bundongo Forest Reserve in Uganda, East Africa. On average we observed each focal subject for 12 hours, ranging between 8.33 hours to 18.63 hours of focal observation. The duration of observation of each focal subject is given in Supplementary Table 1. Focal chimpanzees did not display any limb injuries and they were well habituated for detailed behavioral observation. Full details of the study site, subjects, data collection, video analysis and classification of the gestures have been described previously (Roberts et al., 2014a, Roberts et al., 2013, Roberts et al., 2012a, Roberts et al., 2012b), so only brief details are given here. The research was non-invasive and approved a priori by the University of Stirling Ethics Committee following the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour ethical guidelines.

Data collection

Using focal animal follows, we recorded social relationships and communication during randomly selected sessions of focal observation. We recorded the behaviour of the focal and non-focal individuals who were present in the same party. The term ‘party’ was operationalized as a group of individuals within a spread of 35 m. First, 9 scans, each at 2 minutes interval (total duration 18 minutes) recorded individuals that were present within 10 m of the focal subject and also individuals more than 10 m away that were present in the same party, the identity of the adult nearest neighbour, their proximity in meters and bodily orientation relative to the focal subject. The activity of focal subject and nearest neighbor were recorded. Second, 3 scans, each at 6 minutes intervals (total duration 18 minutes) recorded ecology alongside the social scans. We recorded noise, illumination, wind, visibility, visitor number and visitor distance. On the last third scan we also recorded temperature. The methods of recording illumination, ambient noise, temperature and wind have been given in Roberts and Roberts (2015). Visitor distance was recorded as a distance between focal individual and human who was closest to the focal individual. If focal subject was above the ground, the hypotenuse (not height) was recorded to indicate distance. Visitor number was recorded as an overall number of all visitors present within 30 meter diameter from the chimpanzees. For visibility, the greatest horizontal distance at which waving of an arm of the chimpanzee would be visible to focal animal was recorded in four cardinal directions and mean of four directions was calculated. Finally, we continuously recorded gestures using a digital video camera. When gestures were observed, the behavior of the signaler and recipient, along with the context of the signal production were recorded. The detailed methods for deriving inventory of gesture types from the video recordings were previously described (Roberts et al., 2012b, Roberts et al., 2012a, Roberts et al., 2013, Roberts et al., 2014a, Roberts and Roberts, 2015). Validation of the coding procedure was established by a second coder who scored a random sample of 45 of the sequences of gestures for concordance in function and modality. The Cohen’s Kappa coefficient showed that reliability was good for function ($K = 0.70$) and modality of gesturing ($K = 0.946$) (Bakeman and Gottman, 1997). A different sample of 50 sequences of gestures was coded by a second coder for intentionality (response waiting and persistence) and the Cohen’s Kappa coefficient showed good reliability ($K = 0.74$). The sampling of behaviour was done by an experienced field assistant who was unaware of the aims of the study. An inter-observer reliability test of the chimpanzee identities and proximities is conducted annually to maintain the consistency of the scoring of the group composition and proximity across field assistants. The Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient for this test is at least or above 0.85.

Behavioral Data

In this work we only took into account those instances of gestural communication when the intended recipient of the gestural communication was within 10 m of the signaller. The distance of 10 m was chosen in order to take into account the ability of the recipient to perceive the signal in a dense forest habitat (Roberts and Roberts, 2016b). To ensure independence of sampling procedure we examined similarity in association patterns within and between the samples – this was described in detail in (Roberts and Roberts, 2016a). These analyses showed that the sampling procedure was independent between the samples but dependent within the sample. The behavioural indices derived from these scans (e.g. duration of proximity) were described in (Roberts and Roberts, 2016b, Roberts and Roberts, 2016a). Similarly, the attribute measures (age, kinship, sex and reproductive state) were previously described (Roberts and Roberts, 2016b, Roberts and Roberts, 2016a).

Social network analysis:

Definitions and descriptive statistics for variables entered into social network analyses can be found in Supplementary Table 2. We created social and communication weighted networks. Each network had 12 rows and 12 columns (representing the 12 focal chimpanzees) and the

value in each cell of the matrix denotes the value for a particular behavior exhibited by the specific pairs of chimpanzees. Thus, the 12 x 12 matrix consisted of 132 dyads (144 minus 12). For the repertoire of gestures, the values in each cell relate to the gestural repertoire size produced by the focal chimpanzee and directed at a specific individual, per hour spent within 10 m of that individual. In these directed social networks, out degree refers to behaviours directed by the focal chimpanzee to conspecifics, whilst in degree refers to behaviours directed by conspecifics towards the focal chimpanzee. For data transformation and analysis we used UCINET 6 for Windows (Borgatti et al., 2014). For the node level regressions we used a 10,000 random permutations to assess the effect of several predictor variables (such as the outdegree of gestures and sex of focal chimpanzee) on the outcome variable (e.g. the mutual grooming outdegree). Finally, we used Geary's C statistics to examine the autocorrelation between attribute data (the total number of gestures in a focal chimpanzees repertoire) and network data (e.g. duration of proximity). This statistic has a value of 1 for no association, with values of less than 1 indicating a positive association and values of more than 1 indicating a negative association. Geary's C statistic was also used to examine the autocorrelations between the total duration of observation for each focal chimpanzee and repertoire network. There was not a statistically significant relationship between the total duration of observation and the repertoire network ($C = 1.054$, $p = 0.397$), suggesting sufficient sampling duration.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMM)

We used Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMM) to examine the factors influencing repertoire size recorded for each communication event. For each gesture entry, the attribute data (e.g. sex, age, kinship, oestrous) was entered, social characteristics between dyad (presence or absence of grooming reciprocity, duration of joint travel, resting and feeding per hour spent in the same party), audience (number of same age partners as the signaller in the audience, number of same age partners as the recipient in the audience, total audience size), ecology (noise, illumination, temperature, wind, visibility, visitor number, visitor distance, proximity to signaller, recipient bodily orientation). The descriptive statistics regarding variables included in the GLMMs are provided in Supplementary Table 3. The mean VIF for continuous variables was 1.36 ranging between 1.10 – 1.76. When examining predictors of repertoire size by social and ecological characteristics, the repertoire size of manual auditory short-range gestures and the repertoire size of bodily tactile gestures were not considered separately due to small sample size. In the GLMMs, the data was hierarchically structured with two levels—Level 1 was the focal individual, Level 2 was the recipient of the gesture. These models represent a form of a regression where the data has a hierarchical clustering structure. The model was fitted using a binomial error structure with logit link. The random effects included were the focal individual identity and the focal individual identity by recipient identity—for these effects random intercepts were used. All analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics 22. Only significant associations are reported in results section. Details of all models can be found in Supplementary Tables 4 – 29.

Results

Is the duration of visual attention and proximity associated with the size and nature of the audience?

We used GLMM to examine predictors of audience size (number of same age partners of the signaller in the party, number of same age partners of the recipient in the party, total number of chimpanzees in the party – Supplementary Tables 4 - 9) by the duration of time spent in proximity to 2 m and mutual visual monitoring. Chimpanzee dyads who gestured in the presence of a larger number of same age partners as the recipient, spent a shorter duration of time in proximity to 2 m ($\beta = -0.010$, $p < 0.001$) and in mutual visual monitoring ($\beta = -0.014$, $p < 0.001$).

Is the likelihood of response to the gesture present or absent associated with the nature of social relationship and sequence repertoire size?

When dyads did not reciprocate grooming, chimpanzees were more likely to change behavior in response to a larger repertoire of manual gestures in the sequence ($\beta=1.466$, $p = 0.025$), a larger repertoire size of manual auditory long-range gestures ($\beta=1.724$, $p = 0.019$) and a larger repertoire size of manual auditory short-range gestures ($\beta=1.322$, $p < 0.001$) but a smaller repertoire size of bodily auditory short-range gestures ($\beta=-2.097$, $p < 0.001$). When dyads reciprocated grooming, a response was more likely when the signaler produced a larger repertoire size of bodily tactile gestures ($\beta=11.505$, $p < 0.001$), a smaller repertoire size of bodily auditory long-range gestures ($\beta=-1.248$, $p < 0.001$) and a smaller repertoire size of bodily auditory short-range gestures ($\beta=-5.463$, $p = 0.001$). See Supplementary Tables 10 – 15 for details of these findings.

Is communication between dyads who reciprocated grooming associated with social and ecological conditions?

Supplementary Table 16 shows details of this model. Dyads who reciprocated grooming gestured more frequently towards partners of same age ($\beta=-17.246$, $p < 0.001$), different sex ($\beta=22.115$, $p = 0.002$), maternal kin ($\beta=-131.427$, $p = 0.005$) and reproductively active ($\beta=-15.536$, $p < 0.001$) recipients, with whom they spent a longer duration of time in joint feeding ($\beta=0.962$, $p = 0.042$) and travel ($\beta=3.042$, $p = 0.004$). Dyads who reciprocated grooming were more likely to gesture when the illumination was higher ($\beta=0.001$, $p = 0.027$), the noise levels were lower ($\beta=-0.404$, $p = 0.038$), the visibility was lower ($\beta=-0.308$, $p = 0.045$), visitor numbers were lower ($\beta=-4.886$, $p < 0.001$), the audience of same age partners as the focal individual was larger ($\beta=7.242$, $p < 0.001$), the audience of same age partners as the recipient was smaller ($\beta=-5.849$, $p = 0.002$), and total party size was smaller ($\beta=-1.731$, $p < 0.001$). Dyads who reciprocated grooming gestured when the recipient was closer ($\beta=-0.998$, $p = 0.004$), using a larger repertoire of bodily auditory long-range gestures ($\beta=8.022$, $p = 0.016$), a larger repertoire of manual visual gestures ($\beta=5.394$, $p = 0.003$), a smaller repertoire of bodily auditory short-range gestures ($\beta=-6.198$, $p = 0.041$), a smaller repertoire of manual auditory long-range gestures ($\beta=-3.986$, $p = 0.033$) and a smaller repertoire of manual tactile gestures ($\beta=-5.797$, $p = 0.014$).

Is sequence repertoire size associated with social and ecological factors?

In Analysis 1, social and ecological predictors of overall repertoire size of gestures were examined (Supplementary Table 17). A larger repertoire was associated with gesturing towards non-kin ($\beta=1.289$, $p = 0.022$), reproductively inactive partners ($\beta=1.146$, $p = 0.031$), when distance to recipient was larger ($\beta=0.108$, $p < 0.001$), when temperature was lower ($\beta=-0.061$, $p < 0.001$), when duration of joint travel was shorter ($\beta=-0.119$, $p = 0.009$), and when the audience size of same age partners as the focal subject was larger ($\beta=0.342$, $p = 0.028$). Analysis 2 examined the associations between manual repertoire size and social and ecological factors (Supplementary Table 18). A larger repertoire size of manual gestures was associated with gesturing towards different age partners ($\beta=0.375$, $p = 0.007$), non-kin ($\beta=0.692$, $p < 0.001$), and reproductively inactive partners ($\beta=0.621$, $p = 0.005$). A larger repertoire size of manual gestures was also associated with a larger distance to the recipient ($\beta=0.042$, $p < 0.001$), a larger number of visitors ($\beta=0.075$, $p < 0.001$), a greater distance to the visitors ($\beta=0.009$, $p = 0.023$), a longer duration of joint resting ($\beta=0.091$, $p = 0.006$), and when the audience size of same age partners as the focal subject was larger ($\beta=0.355$, $p = 0.001$). Analysis 3 examined the predictors of the repertoire size of bodily gestures (Supplementary Table 19). Chimpanzees directed a larger repertoire of bodily gestures at the partner when the distance to recipient was larger ($\beta=0.059$, $p = 0.001$), the temperature was lower ($\beta=-0.052$, $p < 0.001$), and the duration of joint travel was shorter ($\beta=-0.111$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 1). In Analysis 4, looking at predictors of manual visual repertoire size (Supplementary Table 20), we found that chimpanzees used a larger repertoire of

these gestures, when the recipient was of a different age ($\beta=0.182$, $p = 0.002$), same sex ($\beta=-0.408$, $p < 0.001$), the illumination was lower ($\beta=-0.001$, $p < 0.001$), the number of visitors was higher ($\beta=0.066$, $p < 0.001$), the distance to visitors was higher ($\beta=0.015$, $p < 0.001$), the number of same age partners as the recipient in the audience was smaller ($\beta=-0.172$, $p = 0.005$), the number of same age partners as the signaller in the audience was larger ($\beta=0.209$, $p = 0.030$), the total audience size was larger ($\beta=0.014$, $p = 0.019$), and the recipient of gesturing reciprocated signaller's grooming ($\beta=-0.263$, $p < 0.001$). In Analysis 5, the sequence repertoire size of manual, tactile gestures (Supplementary Table 21) was significantly positively associated with different age partners ($\beta=0.245$, $p = 0.001$), reproductively inactive partners ($\beta=0.424$, $p = 0.040$), lower noise ($\beta=-0.003$, $p = 0.015$), a longer duration of joint resting ($\beta=0.072$, $p < 0.001$), a longer duration of joint travel ($\beta=0.036$, $p < 0.001$), larger audience size of same age partners as the recipient ($\beta=0.113$, $p = 0.012$), lack of reciprocity in grooming ($\beta=0.197$, $p = 0.017$). Analysis 6 (Supplementary Table 22) showed that when the sequence repertoire size of manual, auditory long-range gestures increased, chimpanzees directed gestures at different sex partners ($\beta=0.429$, $p = 0.009$), the distance to recipient was longer ($\beta=0.026$, $p = 0.041$), the temperature was lower ($\beta=-0.026$, $p = 0.004$), the visibility was higher ($\beta=0.018$, $p < 0.001$), the distance to visitors was smaller ($\beta=-0.014$, $p < 0.001$), the duration of travel was shorter ($\beta=-0.045$, $p = 0.047$), the party size was smaller ($\beta=-0.018$, $p = 0.021$; Figure 2).

Analyses 7, 8 and 9 examined which social and ecological variables predicted repertoire size of bodily gestures in the visual, auditory long-range and auditory short-range modalities. (Supplementary Tables 23-25). Analysis 7 showed that a larger distance to recipient ($\beta=0.067$, $p < 0.001$), lower temperature ($\beta=-0.044$, $p < 0.001$) and shorter duration of joint travel ($\beta=-0.061$, $p = 0.001$) predicted a larger repertoire of bodily visual gestures. According to Analysis 8, chimpanzees who used a larger repertoire of bodily auditory long-range gestures, communicated when visibility was higher ($\beta=0.011$, $p = 0.009$) and when the duration of joint travel between partners was shorter ($\beta=-0.038$, $p = 0.009$). Finally, in Analysis 9 there was a positive association between repertoire size of bodily auditory short-range gestures and use towards same age partner as the recipient ($\beta=-0.539$, $p < 0.001$), shorter distance towards the recipient ($\beta=-0.030$, $p < 0.001$), lower wind ($\beta=-0.341$, $p = 0.008$), smaller visitor distance ($\beta=-0.022$, $p = 0.021$), shorter duration of joint resting ($\beta=-0.063$, $p < 0.001$) and travel ($\beta=-0.054$, $p < 0.001$), and the recipient facing signaller with the back ($\beta=0.097$, $p = 0.031$; Figure 3).

Dyadic repertoire size and sociality centrality

The next set of analyses used node-level regressions to examine the predictors of sociality centrality by repertoire size of gestures (Supplementary Tables 26 - 27). In these analyses we controlled for the duration of time spent in proximity to oestrus females, time spent in proximity to kin, and the age and sex of the focal chimpanzee. The analyses showed that the chimpanzees with a high in degree of proximity ($\beta=0.672$, $p = 0.047$), travel ($\beta=0.738$, $p = 0.031$), give grooming ($\beta=0.653$, $p = 0.047$), mutual grooming ($\beta=0.794$, $p = 0.026$), attention toward ($\beta=0.667$, $p = 0.048$), had a high in degree of repertoire size. In contrast, individuals who had high out degree of proximity ($\beta=0.764$, $p = 0.047$), rest ($\beta=0.756$, $p = 0.047$) and attention away ($\beta=0.791$, $p = 0.037$) had a high out degree of repertoire size.

Individual repertoire size and sociality

We used Geary's C statistic to examine the autocorrelations between the individual repertoire size of gestures of each focal chimpanzee, the demography and the duration of time spent in social activities network (Supplementary Tables 28). First, chimpanzees who tended to have a similar repertoire size were of same age ($C = 0.408$, $p = 0.020$), same sex ($C = 0.167$, $p = 0.002$), non-kin ($C = 2.208$, $p = 0.021$) and reproductively inactive dyad ($C = 1.472$, $p = 0.036$). Second, the analyses revealed that the chimpanzees who tended to have a similar repertoire size of gestures to each other spent a longer duration of time engaged in travel ($C = 0.425$, $p = 0.035$),

attention present ($C = 0.499$, $p = 0.006$), grooming given ($C = 0.474$, $p = 0.036$), grooming mutual ($C = 0.382$, $p = 0.048$) and grooming received ($C = 0.400$, $p = 0.022$).

Individual repertoire and communicative complexity

Finally, we used Geary's C statistic to examine the autocorrelations between the individual repertoire size of gestures of each focal chimpanzee and the rate of production of gestures classified according to complexity (Supplementary Table 29). The analyses revealed that the chimpanzees who tended to have a similar repertoire size to each other directed a higher rate of following gesture types at each other: bodily ($C = 0.498$, $p = 0.011$), non-combined ($C = 0.500$, $p = 0.017$), events ($C = 0.596$, $p = 0.034$), no-object ($C = 0.461$, $p = 0.006$), multimodal with high amplitude vocalisation ($C = 0.436$, $p = 0.047$), auditory short-range ($C = 0.188$, $p = 0.009$), tactile ($C = 0.197$, $p = 0.003$), dyadic repertoire size ($C = 0.601$, $p = 0.041$), multimodal with facial expression ($C = 0.236$, $p = 0.022$), multimodal with vocalisation ($C = 0.541$, $p = 0.038$), unimodal ($C = 0.512$, $p = 0.025$), mutual attention present ($C = 0.403$, $p = 0.004$), mutual attention absent ($C = 0.320$, $p = 0.007$), close proximity ($C = 0.221$, $p = 0.001$), homogenous ($C = 0.350$, $p = 0.001$), non-repetitive ($C = 0.584$, $p = 0.040$), repetitive ($C = 0.504$, $p = 0.015$), single ($C = 0.420$, $p = 0.004$), response present ($C = 0.433$, $p = 0.002$), response absent ($C = 0.298$, $p = 0.001$) and response by activity change ($C = 0.480$, $p = 0.004$).

Discussion

Previous studies of gestural communication of primates have identified different patterns of response specificity to gestures overall, and across manual and bodily gestures. Here we extend these findings to show that the repertoire size of manual and bodily gestures of wild chimpanzees is differentiated in the nature of its association with social relationships.

First, we asked the question: is the likelihood of communication towards partners who reciprocated grooming influenced by the complexity of the social and ecological environment within which the dyad interacts? We found that communication towards partners who reciprocated grooming was associated with social and ecological complexity. When party size increased and when number of same age partners as the recipient in the party increased, chimpanzees were less likely to communicate with the partners who reciprocated grooming. Further, when the distance to the recipient increased, when noise increased, when illumination declined, when visitor number increased, and when visibility increased, chimpanzees were less likely to communicate with the partners who reciprocated grooming. With increasing social and ecological complexity, there is a pressure on the recipient's processing abilities to infer meaning from the behaviour and this may influence the reciprocity of grooming. In particular, it may be more difficult for recipients to distinguish between potential goal states of the signaller when a number of similar individuals as the signaller is present in the audience (Zajonc and Sales, 1966). Thus, as the cognitive demands of processing information increase, the speed and accuracy of responses by the recipient may decline, resulting in less efficient social bonding (Chittka et al., 2009). This finding suggests that social and ecological complexity creates a pressure on the signaller to evolve cognitively complex communication strategies underpinned by an awareness of the strength of the social bond with the partner and ability to respond adaptively by adjusting communication strategies to the complexity of social and ecological setting (Dunbar, 2012, Bohn et al., 2019).

Given the complexity of cognitive abilities of the chimpanzees in the social domain, it is important to examine whether different communication strategies differ in their effectiveness of influence on social bonding in order to provide an empirically grounded account of the evolutionary predecessors to increases in the complexity of social structure of hominins, alongside increases in cognitive and communicative complexity. Our study shows that chimpanzees adjusted their repertoire of bodily gestures in the context of travel. When chimpanzee dyads travelled together for longer, this co-occurred with a smaller repertoire of

bodily gestures directed at the partner. However, in contrast with manual gestures, the repertoire size of bodily gestures was not associated with the presence of reciprocated bonds. Further, the repertoire of bodily gestures was not associated with greater social complexity, whereas the repertoire of manual gestures was associated with the complexity of social environment within which dyads interacted. This finding supports the view that manual gestures may be less constrained by specific behavioural contexts than bodily gestures and hence have a greater potential to facilitate the development of complex social relationships during social evolution (Pollick and de Waal, 2007). By reducing the repertoire size of bodily gestures within the sequence, signallers may increase specificity of the response, indicating that chimpanzees have at least some voluntary control over use of bodily gestures (Roberts et al., 2014a). However, the association between repertoire size of manual gestures and measures of social complexity may indicate the ability of the recipient to flexibly associate signals with the referents in response to pressures created by complexity of social environment such as audience size, and hence a greater ability to flexibly influence the outcome of social interactions. For instance, chimpanzees may associate the signal with a specific place on the body towards which to act (e.g. climb on the back rather than the chest) (Kita, 2009). Ontogenetically acquired signal–referent links can increase specificity of the response by the recipient, as opposed to signals that do not contain specific information (Chittka et al., 2009). Since a large repertoire of manual gestures was found to be more specific to the response by the recipient than a large repertoire of bodily gestures, this suggests that a large repertoire of manual gestures may be especially important in influencing social bonding between signaller and the recipient (Roberts et al., 2014a, Roberts et al., 2012b).

Within manual gestures, the ability to flexibly associate gestures with the referents during instances of social interactions with conspecifics may play a key role in facilitating social complexity through increasing specificity of the response by the recipient (Roberts et al., 2019b). It is hypothesized that when primates interact in a simple social environment, recipients can make associations between manual gestures and the referent individually from ongoing context. When social complexity increases, however, the presence of a wider audience may cause disruption to the learning of the gesture-referent links. It was recently proposed that signallers evolved a number of adaptations to increase the efficiency of learning of gesture-referent links by drawing attention to the referent and increasing the ability of the recipient to make associations between signals and the referents. These adaptations include for instance, communicative persistence and rewarding communication (e.g. mutual attention, use of sound). These behaviours may reduce the cognitive demands on contextual comprehension of communicative signals when social complexity increases, by making referents more apparent to the recipient and hence facilitating learning (Roberts and Roberts, 2019a). Here we further hypothesize that an increased gestural repertoire size might increase the ability of the recipient to detect and respond to the communication. A larger repertoire of manual visual gestures was associated with the presence of a reciprocated relationship and greater social complexity. This contrasts with a smaller repertoire size of manual tactile gestures seen in association with reciprocated relationships. A larger repertoire of manual, visual gestures may enable chimpanzees to increase specificity within utterance and thus increase likelihood that the recipient will appropriately respond to the gesture. In contrast, tactile gestures may be more effective than visual gestures in directing the movement and attention of the recipient to the referent and thus only smaller repertoire may be required. Whereas repetition of the signals within sequence reflects internal emotional state of the signaller, elaboration of signals within sequence identifies signaller's awareness of the impact of communication on the recipient and hence capacity for cognitively complex, intentional communication (Golinkoff, 1993, Golinkoff, 1986, Bates et al., 1979, Tomasello et al., 1985).

One of the key advances in trying to understand origins of complex social structure of humans would be to show that the cognitive skills underlying communication of primates play a

role in social complexity. This issue is important because the formation and maintenance of social bonds is cognitively demanding and plays a key role in the cohesion of primate society (Dunbar, 2010). For instance, chimpanzees who communicated with partners who reciprocated grooming engaged in social coordination in contexts such as joint feeding and travel for longer than the partners who did not reciprocate grooming. Here we provide first empirical evidence that the complex repertoire of manual, visual gestures can underpin the emergence of complex social structure in wild chimpanzees. Studies show that the transition to complex sociality of hominins was first preceded by the evolution of pair-bonded social system in primates, and then bonded social relationships with unrelated conspecifics outside of mating contexts within large and complex social groups (Shultz et al., 2011). However, it is unclear which factors may have facilitated the transition to these complex forms of social living in our hominin ancestors. Here we suggest that this complex social structure may have been facilitated by more complex use of manual, visual gestures. These findings have parallels with use of manual gestures in humans, where manual gestures are used flexibly to improve the efficiency of communication with the recipient. For example, children who are deaf use a variety of gestural communication when playing and adjust their gestures according to whether they are playing with deaf or hearing children (Lederberg et al., 1986). In adults, experimental work has demonstrated that when working in larger groups on a foraging task, use of gestures increased (Oesch and Dunbar, 2018), whilst pointing appears to be specifically tuned to the recipient, taking their perspective in mind (Winner et al., 2019). The flexible use of manual gestures thus appears to lead to increased efficiency of communication in humans, supporting theories proposing a role of gestural communication in the evolution of human language (Corballis, 2018).

Whilst chimpanzees appear to effectively use gestural communication to facilitate social bonding in a complex social environment, communicative interactions also take place in variable conditions of ecological complexity. Our study shows that ecological variables were differently associated with different measures of repertoire complexity. For instance, a larger repertoire of manual visual gestures occurred when illumination declined and when there was a larger number of visitors observing a party. In contrast, a smaller repertoire of auditory long-range bodily gestures occurred when temperature increased, but a larger repertoire occurred when visibility increased. This indicates that different ecological conditions may impose different constraints on the processing abilities of the interacting dyad. It may be that some of the ecological factors put greater pressure on the ability of chimpanzees to cope with environmental challenges than others. For instance, when there is a high temperature, the metabolic costs of processing information increase, and this appears to be associated with a decreased repertoire size (Kosheleff and Anderson, 2009). In habitats with a high temperature, chimpanzees may respond to higher metabolic costs of processing information by decreasing gestural diversity. The cultural diversity of humans has been shown to be shaped by social and ecological characteristics (e.g. environmental disturbance, high temperature, population density) (Collard and Foley, 2002). By examining how these factors affect gestural communication across primate species, future studies will illuminate the evolutionary antecedents to linguistic and cultural diversity.

Another key question addressed in this study was whether chimpanzees have a homophily for 'individual repertoire size' (total number of gesture types that one has in their repertoire that are directed at adult conspecifics). Chimpanzees that communicated with partners who had a similar repertoire size used tactile and auditory short-range gestures, but also used multimodal signals such as gestures accompanied by facial expressions and high-amplitude calls. Further there was a link between individual repertoire size and social interactions. Individuals who had a similar repertoire size engaged in social bonding behaviour such as mutual grooming, joint travel and mutual visual monitoring for longer, as compared to individuals that had a dissimilar repertoire size. Thus, chimpanzees who had a similar repertoire size may have displayed a social preference towards their social partner and communicated through tactile and multimodal communication. This would suggest that communication via multiple

communication channels is associated with individual repertoire size in wild chimpanzees and that this repertoire size may be important for facilitating social bonding when social complexity increases. A link between 'centrality repertoire size' and sociality further suggests that sociality may be interdependent with gestural repertoire size. In humans, in large social groups when large audiences of 'friends' such as same age partners as the recipient are present, the ability to choose among a large number of affiliated partners facilitates interactions with preferred conspecifics (McPherson et al., 2001). Like in humans, the chimpanzees may reduce the uncertainty of the recipient in complex social settings, by increasing the complexity and diversity of component parts of the gestures (Leavens et al., 2010, Genty et al., 2014, Hopkins and Cantero, 2003). Both wild and captive chimpanzees use multimodal signal combinations to convey context-specific information effectively (Leavens et al., 2010, Crockford and Boesch, 2003, Genty et al., 2014). Whereas there may be a limit on how much a large repertoire of manual gestures can influence social bonding in dyadic one on one interactions, the rewarding and high intensity multimodal, bodily communication may facilitate social interactions on a larger scale, especially during travel or when approaching feeding sites (Roberts and Roberts, 2016b, Roberts and Roberts, 2018b, Tarr et al., 2014). Future studies need to account for demographic differences in this analysis to exclude possibility that these associations are driven by demography. For instance, it may be reasonable to assume that males preferentially associate with each other, as well as have larger repertoire size than females (Roberts and Roberts, 2019b) and thus the perceived homophily for repertoire size is driven by the preference for affiliation with same-sex partners. Examining the factors influencing individual repertoire size may be informative about the aspects of chimpanzee communication most valuable for learning gesture repertoire. As well as looking at demography, future studies may test whether certain features of manual and bodily gestures considered separately best predict individual repertoire size.

A key characteristic of language is the ability to combine a large repertoire of signals within an utterance to create a large, open –ended set of meanings that increase ability of the recipient to respond adaptively (Bickerton, 1987, Fitch et al., 2005, Pinker and Bloom, 1990). Language is hypothesized to have evolved to enable humans to maintain more complex social relationships within large and dynamic social groups (Aiello and Dunbar, 1993). Examining how repertoire of gestures is related to sociality in chimpanzees, our closest living relatives, may enable us to gain insights into evolution of language (Corballis, 2003, Arbib et al., 2008). Our results are the first empirical demonstration of the association between the large repertoire of manual, visual gestures and social complexity in chimpanzees, suggesting that gestural communication may have played an important role in language evolution. This finding is supported by other research which shows importance of manual, visual gestures in regulating social dynamics in primates (Pollick and de Waal, 2007, Roberts et al., 2019b, Roberts and Roberts, 2018b, Bohn et al., 2016) and humans (Lederberg et al., 1986, Oesch and Dunbar, 2018, Winner et al., 2019, Liebal et al., 2009). More broadly, the gestural repertoire size of primates varies widely among species, ranging for instance, from just 29 gesture types in the orang-utans (Liebal et al., 2006) to at least 100 gesture types in the chimpanzees (Roberts et al., 2014a, Roberts et al., 2012b, Liebal et al., 2004, Nishida et al., 2010, Pollick and de Waal, 2007). A key debate in relation to the evolution of gestural communication is how this variation in repertoire size evolves (Dawkins and Guilford, 1997). Some hypotheses suggest that gestural repertoires are under genetic control, and phylogenetic relationships determine the make-up of the gesture repertoire both within and across species (Byrne et al., 2017). Other hypotheses suggest that repertoire size is shaped by the needs of social bonding in complex social and ecological conditions (Dawkins and Guilford, 1997, Roberts et al., 2019a, Roberts and Roberts, 2015). However, if gesture repertoires are genetically fixed, it is unclear why there is variation in repertoire size in relation to social and ecological conditions. Our study suggests that the similarity of challenges imposed by complex sociality and ecology may explain the similarity in gestural repertoire across great ape species. Future studies across different primate groups and

species need to explore one key explanation for repertoire diversity: that the complexity of sociality and ecology shapes gestural repertoire size.

To summarise, the results suggest that the variation in repertoire size in the chimpanzee group can be accommodated within a parsimonious explanation of social bonding, driven by challenges imposed by complex sociality and ecology. Supporting previous research on the link between repertoire size and sociality in animals (Roberts and Roberts, 2017, Konrad et al., 2018) and humans (Ginsborg and King, 2009, Lederberg et al., 1986, Oesch and Dunbar, 2018), we found that social context and ecology explained much of the variation in repertoire size. Chimpanzees who used a larger repertoire of manual visual gestures were more likely to engage in reciprocated interactions in complex social settings. In contrast, chimpanzees who used a smaller repertoire of bodily gestures coordinated their social activities during travel.

The social brain hypothesis suggests that the complex social world of primates is particularly cognitively demanding and this imposed an intense selective pressure for the evolution of increasingly larger brains (Dunbar and Shultz, 2017). The size of the group in primates is strongly correlated with the size of the brain, but what makes the social environment of some species more "complex" than others is still poorly understood. The results presented here suggest that challenges of social bonding in complex social and ecological settings may be one factor that causes that some social environments of primates to be more complex than others (Roberts, 2018). These findings clearly indicate that chimpanzee gesture repertoire is flexibly employed to manage the processing demands of social interactions in complex social and ecological settings.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Prof. Klaus Zuberbuhler for permission to conduct this project at Budongo Conservation Field Station and Geresomu Muhumuza for fantastic data collection in the field.

References

- AIELLO, L. C. & DUNBAR, R. I. M. 1993. Neocortex size, group size and the evolution of language. *Current Anthropology*, 34, 184 - 193.
- AMICI, F., AURELI, F. & CALL, J. 2008. Fission-fusion dynamics, behavioral flexibility, and inhibitory control in primates. *Current Biology*, 18, 1415-1419.
- ARBIB, M. A., LIEBAL, K. & PIKA, S. 2008. Primate vocalization, gesture, and the evolution of human language. *Current Anthropology*, 49, 1052-1075.
- BAKEMAN, R. & GOTTMAN, J. M. 1997. *Observing Interaction: An Introduction to Sequential Analysis*, New York, Cambridge University Press.
- BARRETT, L., HENZI, P. & DUNBAR, R. 2003. Primate cognition: From 'what now?' to 'what if?'. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7, 494-497.
- BATES, E., BENIGNI, L., BRETHERTON, I., CAMAIONI, L. & VOLTERRA, V. 1979. *The emergence of symbols*, New York, Academic Press.
- BICKERTON, D. 1987. *Roots of Language*, Ann Arbor, ML Karoma.
- BOHN, M., CALL, J. & TOMASELLO, M. 2016. The role of past interactions in great apes' communication about absent entities. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*.
- BOHN, M., CALL, J. & TOMASELLO, M. 2019. Natural reference: A phylo-and ontogenetic perspective on the comprehension of iconic gestures and vocalizations. *Developmental science*, 22, e12757.
- BORGATTI, S. P., EVERETT, M. G. & FREEMAN, L. C. 2014. Ucinet. In: ALHAJJ, R. & ROKNE, J. (eds.) *Encyclopedia of Social Network Analysis and Mining*. New York: Springer-Verlag
- BROWN, C. H. & WASER, P. M. 1988. Environmental influences on the structure of primate vocalizations. *Primate vocal communication*. Springer.
- BYRNE, R. W., CARTMILL, E., GENTY, E., GRAHAM, K. E., HOBAITER, C. & TANNER, J. 2017. Great ape gestures: intentional communication with a rich set of innate signals. *Animal Cognition*, 1-15.

- CHITTKA, L., SKORUPSKI, P. & RAINE, N. E. 2009. Speed–accuracy tradeoffs in animal decision making. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 24, 400-407.
- COLLARD, I. F. & FOLEY, R. A. 2002. Latitudinal patterns and environmental determinants of recent human cultural diversity: do humans follow biogeographical rules? *Evolutionary ecology research*, 4, 371-383.
- CORBALLIS, M. C. 2003. From mouth to hand: Gesture, speech, and the evolution of right-handedness. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 26, 199-208.
- CORBALLIS, M. C. 2017. Language evolution: a changing perspective. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 21, 229-236.
- CORBALLIS, M. C. 2018. Precursors to language. *Topoi*, 37, 297-305.
- CROCKFORD, C. & BOESCH, C. 2003. Context-specific calls in wild chimpanzees, *Pan troglodytes* verus: analysis of barks. *Animal Behaviour*, 66, 115-125.
- DAWKINS, M. S. & GUILFORD, T. 1997. Conspicuousness and diversity in animal signals. *Communication*, 55-75.
- DUNBAR, R. 2012. Bridging the bonding gap: The transition from primates to humans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367, 1837-1846.
- DUNBAR, R. & SHULTZ, S. 2017. Why are there so many explanations for primate brain evolution? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 372, 20160244.
- DUNBAR, R. I. M. 2010. The social role of touch in humans and primates: Behavioural function and neurobiological mechanisms. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 34, 260-268.
- FITCH, W. T. 2010. *The evolution of language*, Cambridge University Press.
- FITCH, W. T., HAUSER, M. D. & CHOMSKY, N. 2005. The evolution of the language faculty: clarifications and implications. *Cognition*, 97, 179-210; discussion 211-25.
- FREEBERG, T. M., DUNBAR, R. I. & ORD, T. J. 2012a. Social complexity as a proximate and ultimate factor in communicative complexity. The Royal Society.
- FREEBERG, T. M., DUNBAR, R. I. & ORD, T. J. 2012b. Social complexity as a proximate and ultimate factor in communicative complexity. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367, 1785-1801.
- GENTY, E., CLAY, Z., HOBAITER, C. & ZUBERBÜHLER, K. 2014. Multi-modal use of a socially directed call in bonobos. *PloS one*, 9, e84738.
- GINSBORG, J. & KING, E. C. Gestures and glances-the effects of familiarity and expertise on singers' and pianists' bodily movements in ensemble rehearsals. ESCOM 2009: 7th Triennial Conference of European Society for the Cognitive Sciences of Music, 2009.
- GOLINKOFF, R. M. 1986. I beg your pardon - the preverbal negotiation of failed messages. *Journal of Child Language*, 13, 455-476.
- GOLINKOFF, R. M. 1993. When is communication a meeting of minds. *Journal of Child Language*, 20, 199-207.
- HAMILTON, A. F. D. C. & LIND, F. 2016. Audience effects: what can they tell us about social neuroscience, theory of mind and autism? *Culture and brain*, 4, 159-177.
- HILL, R. A., WEINGRILL, T., BARRETT, L. & HENZI, S. P. 2004. Indices of environmental temperatures for primates in open habitats. *Primates*, 45, 7-13.
- HOPKINS, W. D. & CANTERO, M. 2003. From hand to mouth in the evolution of language: The influence of vocal behavior on lateralized hand use in manual gestures by chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Developmental Science*, 6, 55-61.
- ISHAM, E. A. & GENG, J. J. 2011. Rewarding performance feedback alters reported time of action. *Consciousness and cognition*, 20, 1577-1585.
- KABURU, S. S. K. & NEWTON-FISHER, N. E. 2016. Bystanders, parcelling, and an absence of trust in the grooming interactions of wild male chimpanzees. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 20634.
- KILHAM, B. 2013. *Out on a limb: what black bears have taught me about intelligence and intuition*, Chelsea Green Publishing.

- KITA, S. 2009. Cross-cultural variation of speech-accompanying gesture: A review. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 24, 145-167.
- KONRAD, C. M., FRASIER, T. R., RENDELL, L., WHITEHEAD, H. & GERO, S. 2018. Kinship and association do not explain vocal repertoire variation among individual sperm whales or social units. *Animal Behaviour*, 145, 131-140.
- KOSHELEFF, V. P. & ANDERSON, C. N. 2009. Temperature's influence on the activity budget, terrestriality, and sun exposure of chimpanzees in the Budongo Forest, Uganda. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology: The Official Publication of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists*, 139, 172-181.
- LEARY, M. R. & ALLEN, A. B. 2011. *Belonging motivation: Establishing, maintaining, and repairing relational value*, Psychology Press.
- LEAVENS, D. A., RUSSELL, J. L. & HOPKINS, W. D. 2005. Intentionality as measured in the persistence and elaboration of communication by chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). *Child Development*, 76, 291-306.
- LEAVENS, D. A., RUSSELL, J. L. & HOPKINS, W. D. 2010. Multimodal communication by captive chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). *Animal cognition*, 13, 33-40.
- LEDERBERG, A. R., BREE RYAN, H. & ROBBINS, B. L. 1986. Peer Interaction in Young Deaf Children: The Effect of Partner Hearing Status and Familiarity. *Developmental Psychology*, 22, 691 - 700.
- LIEBAL, K., BEHNE, T., CARPENTER, M. & TOMASELLO, M. 2009. Infants use shared experience to interpret pointing gestures. *Developmental science*, 12, 264-271.
- LIEBAL, K. & CALL, J. 2012. The origins of non-human primates' manual gestures
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 367, 118-128.
- LIEBAL, K., CALL, J. & TOMASELLO, M. 2004. Use of gesture sequences in chimpanzees. *American Journal of Primatology*, 64, 377-396.
- LIEBAL, K., PIKA, S. & TOMASELLO, M. 2006. Gestural communication of orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus*). *Gesture*, 6, 1-38.
- MASSEN, J. J. & KOSKI, S. E. 2014. Chimps of a feather sit together: chimpanzee friendships are based on homophily in personality. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 35, 1-8.
- MCPHERSON, M., SMITH-LOVIN, L. & COOK, J. M. 2001. Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks. *Annual review of sociology*, 27, 415-444.
- MITANI, J. C. & STUHT, J. 1998. The evolution of nonhuman primate loud calls: acoustic adaptation for long-distance transmission. *Primates*, 39, 171-182.
- MITANI, J. C., WATTS, D. P., PEPPER, J. W. & MERRIWETHER, D. A. 2002. Demographic and social constraints on male chimpanzee behaviour. *Animal Behaviour*, 64, 727-737.
- MOSS, C. J., CROZE, H. J. & LEE, P. C. 2011. Signals, gestures, and behavior of African elephants. *The Amboseli Elephants: A Long-Term Perspective on a Long-Lived Mammal*
- NISHIDA, T., ZAMMA, K., MATSUSAKA, T., INABA, A. & MCGREW, W. C. 2010. *Chimpanzee behavior in the wild: An audio-visual encyclopedia*, Tokyo, Springer.
- OESCH, N. & DUNBAR, R. I. 2018. Group size, communication, and familiarity effects in foraging human teams. *Ethology*, 124, 483-495.
- PALAGI, E., BURGHARDT, G. M., SMUTS, B., CORDONI, G., DALL'OLIO, S., FOUTS, H. N., ŘEHÁKOVÁ-PETRŮ, M., SIVIY, S. M. & PELLIS, S. M. 2016. Rough-and-tumble play as a window on animal communication. *Biological Reviews*, 91, 311-327.
- PIKA, S. & BUGNYAR, T. 2011. The use of referential gestures in ravens (*Corvus corax*) in the wild. *Nature Communications*, 2, 560.
- PINKER, S. & BLOOM, P. 1990. Natural language and natural selection. *Behavioral and brain sciences*, 13, 707-727.

- POLLOCK, A. S. & DE WAAL, F. B. M. 2007. Ape gestures and language evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104, 8184-8189
- ROBERTS, A. & ROBERTS, S. G. 2019a. Communicative roots of complex sociality and cognition. *Biological Reviews*.
- ROBERTS, A. I. 2018. Influence of party size on social bonding and gestural persistence in wild chimpanzees. *Advances in Biology and Earth Sciences*, 3, 205 -228.
- ROBERTS, A. I., CHAKRABARTI, A. & ROBERTS, S. G. B. 2019a. Gestural repertoire size is associated with social proximity measures in wild chimpanzees. *American Journal of Primatology*, e22954.
- ROBERTS, A. I., MURRAY, L. & ROBERTS, S. G. B. 2019b. Complex sociality of wild chimpanzee can emerge from laterality of manual gesture. *Human Nature*.
- ROBERTS, A. I. & ROBERTS, S. G. B. 2015. Gestural communication and mating tactics in wild chimpanzees. *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0139683.
- ROBERTS, A. I. & ROBERTS, S. G. B. 2016a. Wild chimpanzees modify modality of gestures according to the strength of social bonds and personal network size. *Scientific Reports*, 6.
- ROBERTS, A. I. & ROBERTS, S. G. B. 2017. Convergence and divergence in gestural repertoires as an adaptive mechanism for social bonding in primates. *Royal Society Open Science*, 4: 170181.
- ROBERTS, A. I. & ROBERTS, S. G. B. 2018a. Persistence in gestural communication predicts sociality in wild chimpanzee. *Animal Cognition*.
- ROBERTS, A. I. & ROBERTS, S. G. B. 2019b. Social bonding, gestural complexity and displacement behaviour of wild chimpanzee. *bioRxiv*, 678805.
- ROBERTS, A. I., ROBERTS, S. G. B. & VICK, S.-J. 2014a. The repertoire and intentionality of gestural communication in wild chimpanzees. *Animal Cognition*, 17, 317 - 336.
- ROBERTS, A. I., VICK, S.-J. & BUCHANAN-SMITH, H. 2012a. Usage and comprehension of manual gestures in wild chimpanzees. *Animal Behaviour*, 84, 459-470.
- ROBERTS, A. I., VICK, S.-J. & BUCHANAN-SMITH, H. 2013. Communicative intentions in wild chimpanzees: Persistence and elaboration in gestural signalling. *Animal Cognition*, 16, 187-196.
- ROBERTS, A. I., VICK, S.-J., ROBERTS, S. G. B., BUCHANAN-SMITH, H. M. & ZUBERBÜHLER, K. 2012b. A structure-based repertoire of manual gestures in wild chimpanzees: Statistical analyses of a graded communication system. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 33, 578-589.
- ROBERTS, A. I., VICK, S.-J., ROBERTS, S. G. B. & MENZEL, C. R. 2014b. Chimpanzees modify intentional gestures to coordinate a search for hidden food. *Nature Communications* 5, 3088.
- ROBERTS, S. & ROBERTS, A. I. 2018b. Visual attention, indicative gestures, and calls accompanying gestural communication are associated with sociality in wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*.
- ROBERTS, S. G. B. & ROBERTS, A. I. 2016b. Social brain hypothesis, vocal and gesture networks of wild chimpanzees. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7.
- ROBERTS, S. G. B. & ROBERTS, A. I. 2016c. Social brain hypothesis: Vocal and gesture networks of wild chimpanzees. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1756.
- SHULTZ, S., OPIE, C. & ATKINSON, Q. D. 2011. Stepwise evolution of stable sociality in primates. *Nature*, 479, 219.
- SLOCOMBE, K. E. & ZUBERBÜHLER, K. 2007. Chimpanzees modify recruitment screams as a function of audience composition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104, 17228-17233.
- TARR, B., LAUNAY, J. & DUNBAR, R. I. 2014. Music and social bonding: "self-other" merging and neurohormonal mechanisms. *Frontiers in psychology*, 5, 1096.
- TOMASELLO, M., GEORGE, B. L., KRUGER, A. C., JEFFREY, M. & EVANS, F. A. 1985. The development of gestural communication in young chimpanzees. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 14, 175-186.
- WINNER, T., SELEN, L., MURILLO OOSTERWIJK, A., VERHAGEN, L., MEDENDORP, W. P., VAN ROOIJ, I. & TONI, I. 2019. Recipient design in communicative pointing. *Cognitive science*, 43, e12733.

WITTGENSTEIN, L. 1953. *Philosophical Investigations*, Oxford, Blackwell.

ZAJONC, R. B. & SALES, S. M. 1966. Social facilitation of dominant and subordinate responses. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 2, 160-168.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Table S1-Table S29

Figure 1 Scatterplot (with lines of best fit) of the relationship between party size, sequence repertoire size of manual gestures (open circles and solid line) and sequence repertoire size of bodily gestures (open squares and dotted line).




Figure 2 Scatterplot (with lines of best fit) of the relationship between party size and sequence repertoire size of manual gestures by modality: visual gestures (open circles and solid line), tactile gestures (solid circle and dashed line), auditory long-range gestures (open square and grey line), and auditory short-range gestures (open diamond and dashed line).




Figure 3 Scatterplot (with lines of best fit) of the relationship between party size and sequence repertoire size of bodily gestures by modality: visual gestures (open circles and solid line), tactile gestures (solid circle and dashed line), auditory short-range gestures (open diamond and dashed line), and auditory long-range gestures (open square and grey line).

