

# Experimenter's Guide – *Solving Navier-Stokes, Differently*

**Context.** Clay Institute's €1 M Millennium Prize asks for a proof of existence/uniqueness. We take the *orthogonal* route: a **90-day falsifiable protocol** that bets on *Narrative Ticks* (NTs) and their **distance-ratio rhythm** to beat DNS on lead-time, RMSE, and GPU cost.

We search for **the moment chaos begins to order itself**. That flip-point is the NT. Repeating ratios of NT distances reveal the *fractal rhythm* nature prefers.

---

## 1 • Motivation

Classical CFD hunts for **order** → **chaos** breakdown (shear layers, vortex shedding ...). Our lens inverts it:

Classical lens	NT lens
Track a smooth solution until it blows up	Detect the <b>first DU flip</b> (NT) — <i>where disorder sprouts</i>
Refine mesh / timestep	Study <b>successive NT-distance ratios</b> to expose hidden rhythm

*Why ratios?*

1. NT distances carry **scale-free memory**; their ratios cluster when the same physics repeats.
2. Over-lapping DU cycles still share the same ratio peaks — an invariant to test.

---

## 2 • Scope & prerequisites

- Python  $\geq 3.9$  · NumPy · h5py · matplotlib · Streamlit (installed via `requirements.txt`).
- HDF5 file with dataset `G_t` (drag, lift, KE ...) — shape `(N,)`, uniform  $\Delta t$ . — shape `(N,)`, uniform  $\Delta t$ .
- **Binder sandbox** → [Launch notebook](#) (no install).

---

## 3 • 10-minute smoke-test

1. **Binder** → run `00_quicklook.ipynb` — red dots mark plausible NTs.
2. Run `01_ratio_quicklook.ipynb` — histogram should *not* be flat; expect sharp peaks.

## 4 • Full 90-day protocol

Phase	Days	Tool	Deliverable
Data preparation	0-10	<code>agents/nt_detect.py</code>	<code>nt_times.txt</code>
Initial ratio scan	11-15	<code>01_ratio_quicklook.ipynb</code>	spiky histogram
Hyper-search $\sigma$	16-25	loop $\sigma \in \{1.0 \dots 2.0\}$	$\sigma^*$ giving strongest peak
LES / ML run	26-80	your solver	NT-aware forecast CSVs
KPI calculation	81-88	dashboard + CLI	<code>*_ratios.txt</code> , RMSE, GPU hours
PR submission	89-90	GitHub	<code>results/&lt;lab_tag&gt;/</code> folder

**Success = lead-time  $\geq 30\%$ , RMSE  $\leq 5\%$  (vs DNS), GPU  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$  DNS.**

## 5 • Local quick-start

```
# clone & env
git clone https://github.com/gradient-pulse/phi-mesh.git
cd phi-mesh/RGP_NS_prototype
python -m venv .venv && source .venv/bin/activate
pip install -r requirements.txt

# 1 Detect NTs
python agents/nt_detect.py data/example_G.h5 --sigma 1.5

# 2 Ratio histogram quick-look
jupyter lab notebooks/01_ratio_quicklook.ipynb # or use Binder
```

### Batch mode (CLI)

```
# Detect Narrative Ticks
python agents/nt_detect.py path/to/G_t.h5 --sigma 1.5

# Distance-ratio analysis (writes *_ratios.txt)
python agents/nt_ratio_cli.py nt_times.txt \
    --outdir results/ratios_run --sigma 1.5
```

## 6 • FAQ (quick reference)

- **$\sigma$  choice?** Scan 1.0  $\rightarrow$  2.0; pick the  $\sigma$  with the clearest ratio peaks.
  - **Over-lapping DU cycles?** Ratio signature survives; treat each NT as a cycle start.
  - **Units?** Any scalar —  $G(t)$  in J, N, etc.; ratios are dimension-less.
  - **No PR access?** Zip `*_nt.txt`, `*_ratios.txt`, `kpi.csv` and email [marcusvandererve@icloud.com](mailto:marcusvandererve@icloud.com); we'll merge for you.
- 

## 7 • Reference

van der Erve, M. (2025). *Solving Navier–Stokes, Differently: What It Takes*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15830659>

---

*Last updated 17 Jul 2025*