



```

118 // The first element IS the target sum, so has the potential
119 // to be included in the lexicographically first min card subset.
120 ssum_table[0][x].include = true;
121
122 // The set containing the first element is a set, so
123 // there is 1 valid subset which composes the target sum.
124 ssum_table[0][x].no_v_ssets = 1;
125
126 // The set containing the first element has a cardinality of 1.
127 ssum_table[0][x].min_card = 1;
128
129 // The set containing the first element is unique, so it is the
130 // only valid subset of cardinality 1.
131 ssum_table[0][x].no_v_ssets_min_card = 1;
132 }
133 } // otherwise, ssum_table[0][x] init vals remain
134
135 // Populate the rest of the table using the recursive cases
136 for (i = 1; i < n; i++) // NT runtime
137 {
138     for (x = 1; x <= tgt; x++)
139     {
140         // Exclude case
141         if (ssum_table[i - 1][x].feasible)
142         {
143             // If the target sum can be composed without the new element, values carry over
144             ssum_table[i][x].feasible = true;
145             ssum_table[i][x].no_v_ssets = ssum_table[i - 1][x].no_v_ssets;
146             ssum_table[i][x].min_card = ssum_table[i - 1][x].min_card;
147             ssum_table[i][x].no_v_ssets_min_card = ssum_table[i - 1][x].no_v_ssets_min_card;
148         }
149         // Include case
150         if (x >= elems[i].x && ssum_table[i - 1][x - elems[i].x].feasible)
151         {
152             ssum_table[i][x].feasible = true;
153
154             // # of valid ssets is the sum of the # of valid ssets in the exclude and include cases// Note that # valid ssets in exclude case is already stored in exclude case, so we accumulate
155             ssum_table[i][x].no_v_ssets = ssum_table[i - 1][x].no_v_ssets
156                 + ssum_table[i - 1][x - elems[i].x].no_v_ssets;
157
158             // min card is min btween exclude and include cases -- if one is infeasible, other is min (INF const)
159             ssum_table[i][x].min_card = std::min(ssum_table[i - 1][x].min_card,
160                 ssum_table[i - 1][x - elems[i].x].min_card + 1);
161
162             // Smallest valid size has either not changed, decreased, or increased bc of new el
163             if (ssum_table[i - 1][x].min_card == ssum_table[i - 1][x - elems[i].x].min_card + 1)
164             {
165                 // # of valid ssets of min card is the sum of the # of valid ssets in the exclude and include cases
166                 // since they are both of min card
167                 ssum_table[i][x].no_v_ssets_min_card = ssum_table[i - 1][x].no_v_ssets_min_card
168                     + ssum_table[i - 1][x - elems[i].x].no_v_ssets_min_card;
169
170                 // new el may be included since min card would not change as a result of its inclusion
171                 ssum_table[i][x].include = true;
172             }
173             else if (ssum_table[i - 1][x].min_card > ssum_table[i - 1][x - elems[i].x].min_card + 1)
174             {
175                 // # of valid ssets of min card is the # of valid ssets in the include case since it is the
176                 // only one of min card
177                 ssum_table[i][x].no_v_ssets_min_card = ssum_table[i - 1][x - elems[i].x].no_v_ssets_min_card;
178
179                 // new el may be included since min card would decrease as a result of its inclusion
180                 ssum_table[i][x].include = true;
181             }
182             else if (ssum_table[i - 1][x].min_card < ssum_table[i - 1][x - elems[i].x].min_card + 1)
183             {
184                 // # of valid ssets of min card is the # of valid ssets in the exclude case since it is the
185                 // only one of min card
186                 ssum_table[i][x].no_v_ssets_min_card = ssum_table[i - 1][x].no_v_ssets_min_card;
187
188                 // NOTE: new el may not be included since min card would increase as a result of its inclusion
189             }
190         }
191     }
192 }
193
194 done = true;
195 return ssum_table[n - 1][target];
196 }
197
198 // Function: extract
199 // Desc: populates first satisfying subset of minimum
200 // cardinality which occurs lexicographically first
201 // according to the indices of the input elements.
202 std::vector<int> extract()
203 {
204     std::vector<int> lexi_first;
205
206     // start the extraction from the last element in the table
207     int i = elems.size() - 1;
208     int x = target;
209
210     // until we reach a base case...
211     while (x > 0)
212     {
213         if (ssum_table[i][x].include)
214         {
215             // add first occurring indices that have been marked
216             // for min card inclusion
217             lexi_first.push_back(i);
218
219             // locate the next element to extract by following the
220             // include case
221             x -= elems[i].x;
222         }
223         i--;
224     }
225
226     // manually reverse elements according to mapping offset
227     for (int i = lexi_first.size() - 1; i >= 0; i--)
228     {
229         // automatically reverse elements to restore original order
230         // for class user
231         std::reverse(elems.begin(), elems.end());
232     }
233     return lexi_first;
234 }
235 }; // end class
236
237 /**
238 * usage: ssum <target> <input-file>
239 *
240 * input file format:
241 *
242 * sequence of non-negative-int, string pairs
243 *
244 * example:
245 *
246 * 12 alice
247 * 9 bob
248 * 22 cathy
249 * 12 doug
250 *
251 * such a file specifies a collection of 4 integers: 12, 9, 22, 12
252 * "named" alice, bob, cathy and doug.
253 */
254 int main(int argc, char *argv[])
255 {
256     unsigned int target;
257     ssum_instance ssi;
258
259     // Extract proper command line usage
260 }

```

```

262 if (argc != 2)
263 {
264     fprintf(stderr, "one cmd-line arg expected: target sum\n");
265     return 0;
266 }
267 if (sscanf(argv[1], "%u", &target) != 1)
268 {
269     fprintf(stderr, "bad argument '%s'\n", argv[1]);
270     fprintf(stderr, "Expected unsigned integer\n");
271     return 0;
272 }
273
274 ssi.read_elems(std::cin); // Read in elements from stdin
275 ssum_data result = ssi.solve(target); // Get reference to last entry in dp ssum table
276 std::vector<int> lexi_first_min_card = ssi.extract(); // Extract lexi first min card subset
277
278 // Report all discovered data
279 std::cout << "### REPORT ###" << std::endl;
280 std::cout << " NUM ELEMES      : " << ssi.elems.size() << std::endl;
281 std::cout << " TARGET          : " << target << std::endl;
282 std::cout << " NUM-FEASIBLE     : " << result.no_v_ssets << std::endl;
283 std::cout << " MIN-CARD-FEASIBLE : " << result.min_card << std::endl;
284 std::cout << " NUM-MIN-CARD-FEASIBLE: " << result.no_v_ssets_min_card << std::endl;
285
286 std::cout << "Lex-First Min-Card Subset Totalling " << target << ": " << std::endl;
287 std::cout << " {" << std::endl;
288 for (int i = 0; i < lexi_first_min_card.size(); i++)
289 {
290     // Aliases for legibility
291     int id = lexi_first_min_card[i];
292     int val = ssi.elems[id].x;
293
294     std::string name = ssi.elems[id].name;
295     std::cout << " " << name << " ( id: " << id << "; val: " << val << " )"
296         << std::endl;
297 }
298 std::cout << " }" << std::endl;
299 }

```

## Explanations

I would like to preface all of these explanations by stating that my overall approach to the entire problem was to simply extend the table to accommodate more data. As such, for the raw statistics (the first 2/3 below), there are both base cases and recursive cases to consider in their computation.

Additionally, note that each of the table's entries are initialized with values that can only be overwritten; in other words, they will never go "in the other direction" for whatever metric is currently being examined.

## Distinct Subset Quantity Computation

Base cases:

- The first **column** of the dynamic programming table (as we established with the simple T/F feasibility) corresponds to a target sum of 0 for some prefix of input elements up to  $i$  for  $i \leq n$  (with 1 based indexing). In all of these cases, the number of distinct subsets which can compose the target sum is 1. This is because the only set (the empty set) which can compose a target sum of 0 (from an input of positive integers) is independent of the values of the elements themselves. See line 98 from section 1 and the comments above.
- The first **row** of the dynamic programming table corresponds to a varying target and an array consisting of the first element provided by the user. The target sum can only be composed by a singleton element if it is itself equal to the target. If this is the case, then there is exactly one valid subset which composes that target sum: the set containing the index of that element. See line 125 from section 1 and the comments above.

Recursive cases:

- If it is feasible to compose a given target sum without the newest element, then it must be the case that the number of distinct subsets totaling the target is at least as large as it was without that element. In other words, if the newest element doesn't contribute in any way to the feasibility of the target sum (the "exclude" case), the number of distinct subsets that total the target sum in

consideration the new element will remain equal to the number before consideration. See line 146; the number of distinct subsets (the value, in this case, as indicated by the above comment) will carry over from the prefix that excludes that element.

- It follows straightforwardly from this notion that if the newest element *does* contribute in some way to the feasibility of the target sum (the "include" case), that there will be more distinct subsets that result from its inclusion. In fact, there will be exactly as many more distinct subsets as can be computed by looking up previously populated values in the table that take into account the value at the newest index. Refer to line 157 to see this computation. Notice that this computation only occurs if it's feasible to include the newest element in the input sequence, as defined by line 151. To explain this intuitively, since the newest element has a new index, any valid subset that includes its index will be distinct by our definition -- regardless of whether or not the values in these new sets match previously existing subsets that achieve the target sum.

## Minimum Sized Subset Computation

Base cases:

- The first **column** refers to prefixes that compose a sum of 0. Since this can only be done by the empty set and the empty set has a cardinality of 0, any such prefix must have a minimum cardinality of 0 for a target sum of 0. See line 101, its comment and its enclosing loop.
- The first **row** refers to varying target sums, and seeing if they can be composed by the first element in the sequence. If this is the case, then the set containing such a singleton has a cardinality of 1. Otherwise, the minimum cardinality remains at its initial value of "infinity" to indicate that the set cannot be composed by any number of elements. See line 128, its comment, and its enclosing branch and loop.

Recursive cases:

- Since we have initialized all values of the table to infinity (see line 11 and line 82 for my implementation of this concept), we do not have to clutter our code with additional branching based on feasibility of a particular case.
- If we are dealing with the exclude case, the new element does not contribute to any of the data, so the minimum cardinality remains the same as the input sequence right up to before the new element occurs. See line 147.
- If we are dealing with the include case (but also, generally), there are 3 possibilities:
  1. The "previous" (excluding the new element) minimum cardinality of any satisfying subset is exactly equal to the minimum cardinality of any satisfying subset which includes the element. See the conditional on line 164.
  2. The "previous" (excluding the new element) minimum cardinality of any satisfying subset is strictly greater than the minimum cardinality of any satisfying subset which includes the element. See the conditional on line 174.
  3. The "previous" (excluding the new element) minimum cardinality of any satisfying subset is strictly less than the minimum cardinality of any satisfying subset which includes the element. See the conditional on line 174.

The new minimum cardinality is simply the minimum between the two cases. See line 160.

## Lexicographically First Minimum Size Subset Extraction

The approach to this problem was different than the raw statistics above, so I will preface it before diving in.

The first thing we might observe is that if we start at the "end" entry (the entry corresponding to the full input sequence and whole target sum) and trace back through the table while biasing ourselves toward only choosing elements whose indices exist in minimum cardinality subsets, then we will always have a set of indices which correspond to the lexicographically *last* minimum sized subset. It follows that if we reverse the order of the input sequence that we will reverse the lexicographic ordering of indices which compose minimum cardinality subsets as well, thus yielding the lexicographically *first* subset of the original input sequence. This reversal will be the first operation of the `solve` member function of the class; see line 74. This is the big picture idea, the rest is left to implementation details.

The data which this approach cruxes on is a flag which will indicate to our extraction algorithm whether or not a particular index should be included in the set it returns. We can add this as a property of the existing `ssum_data` structure -- call it `includes`. It is initialized to false and changed as necessary. See line 82.

Dynamic programming table flag population...

Base cases:

- Since no positive integer can be included in a set which composes a sum of 0, it must be the case that the entire left column of the table has this flag set to false. This is redundant in the code, but good for legibility. See line 94 and related comment.
- If the first element in the reversed sequence is exactly the target sum, then that must be included in the lexicographically first subset since its minimum cardinality is 1. Else, the flag remains false. See line 121.

Recursive cases:

- The main question here is, "when do we want to include an element?" The answer is when it belongs to a set of overall minimum cardinality. Thus, we only want to set this flag in the include case of the nested loop. However, we don't want to set it *any* time we can include a particular element in a satisfying subset since it has to be of minimum cardinality. Thus, the solution is to only set this flag to be true when the new element's inclusion in the subset will result in either a new, smaller minimum cardinality compared to what has previously been discovered **or** when its inclusion will result in a maintained minimum cardinality. In other words, we only want to include the new element when its inclusion in a particular subset results in its cardinality being less than or equal to what it previously was before this element's consideration. See line 164 to line 190, specifically taking note of line 172, line 181, line 189 and related comments.

At this point, we have everything we need to extract the lexicographically first subset from the original sequence. However, because of my chosen implementation, there are some annoying kinks to work out.

Now that these flags are populated, we can perform our traceback. We start at the bottom right entry, referring to the result of the overall problem. We will "pop off" any elements marked with the include flag, starting at the original target and continuing until the target sum is exactly 0. Note that it would have been

equivalent on line 211 to put `while (x != 0)`, but the existing version is easier to follow based on the decrementation of the intermediate target. We will shorten the reversed input sequence element by element (see line 223), until we have pushed all of our indices into our vector that represents the lexicographically first subset.

After the while loop ends, we will be left with a vector of indices that encode the lexicographically first starting from the end of the reversed sequence. In order to normalize the indices for our report, we must take care of the offset imposed by the reversal. To do this, we subtract whatever index we got from the size and then also subtract 1 from that (to take care of the 0 based indexing implementation). Finally (although, in retrospect, might have been more appropriate elsewhere), we reverse the input sequence to its initial order before returning the lexicographically first subset.

---

## Data

---

### Run 1

```
→src (main) X ./ssum 269 < electoral.txt
### REPORT ###
NUM ELEMS           : 51
TARGET              : 269
NUM-FEASIBLE        : 16976480564070
MIN-CARD-FEASIBLE   : 11
NUM-MIN-CARD-FEASIBLE: 1
Lex-First Min-Card Subset Totaling 269:
{
  CA ( id: 4; val: 55)
  FL ( id: 9; val: 29)
  GA ( id: 10; val: 16)
  IL ( id: 13; val: 20)
  MI ( id: 22; val: 16)
  NY ( id: 32; val: 29)
  NC ( id: 33; val: 15)
  OH ( id: 35; val: 18)
  PA ( id: 38; val: 20)
  TX ( id: 43; val: 38)
  VA ( id: 46; val: 13)
}
```

### Run 2

```
→src (main) X ./ssum 220 < purple.txt
### REPORT ###
  NUM ELEMS           : 31
  TARGET              : 220
  NUM-FEASIBLE        : 9958625
  MIN-CARD-FEASIBLE   : 13
  NUM-MIN-CARD-FEASIBLE: 57
Lex-First Min-Card Subset Totaling 220:
{
  AZ ( id: 0; val: 11)
  CO ( id: 2; val: 9)
  FL ( id: 5; val: 29)
  GA ( id: 6; val: 16)
  IN ( id: 7; val: 11)
  MI ( id: 12; val: 16)
  MN ( id: 13; val: 10)
  NJ ( id: 19; val: 14)
  NC ( id: 20; val: 15)
  OH ( id: 21; val: 18)
  PA ( id: 23; val: 20)
  TX ( id: 26; val: 38)
  VA ( id: 27; val: 13)
}
```

### Run 3

```
→src (main) X ./ssum 121 < purple.txt
### REPORT ###
  NUM ELEMS           : 31
  TARGET              : 121
  NUM-FEASIBLE        : 9958625
  MIN-CARD-FEASIBLE   : 5
  NUM-MIN-CARD-FEASIBLE: 2
Lex-First Min-Card Subset Totaling 121:
{
  FL ( id: 5; val: 29)
  GA ( id: 6; val: 16)
  OH ( id: 21; val: 18)
  PA ( id: 23; val: 20)
  TX ( id: 26; val: 38)
}
```