

# COMPUTATIONS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

GESSICA ALECCI AND MICHELE GRAFFEO

ABSTRACT. These notes follow the content of the course “*Computations in algebraic geometry*” held at *Unicamp IMECC* in Spring (Brazilian fall) 2025. The final goal of the course is to learn how to parameterise locally closed subset of the Hilbert schemes of points. During the course, four main topics have been covered in four lectures. These are classical birational geometry, deformations of monomial ideals, deformations of zero-dimensional ideals, Hilbert schemes of points. Each lecture contains explicit examples of computations via Macaulay2.

## CONTENTS

1. Lecture 1	2
1.1. Notation	2
1.2. Veronese embeddings	2
1.3. Segre embeddings	5
1.4. Projections and blowups of linear subspaces	6
1.5. Standard Cremona transformation	10
2. Lecture 2	12
2.1. Notation	12
2.2. Graded modules and Hilbert–Samuel function	12
2.3. Apolarity	15
2.4. Monomial ideals and Partitions	16
2.5. Special case $n = 2$	17
2.6. The computation of $\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$ for a monomial ideal $I$	18
3. Lecture 3	21
3.1. Notation	21
3.2. The spectrum of dual numbers	21
3.3. The tangent space in algebraic geometry	23
3.4. Families of ideals of finite colength	26
3.5. Limits	29
4. Lecture 4	31
4.1. Notation	31
4.2. Definition of Hilbert scheme of points and first properties	31
4.3. The geometry of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth variety	34
4.4. Nested variants of the Hilbert scheme of points	34
4.5. Tangent space to the Hilbert scheme of points	35
4.6. The Białynicki-Birula decomposition of the punctual nested Hilbert scheme	36
4.7. Hilbert–Samuel strata and homogeneous loci	36
4.8. Elementary components and TNT property	37
4.9. Two working examples	38

4.10. Computations using the package <code>HilbertAndQuotSchemesOfPoints.m2</code>	39
References	43

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Valentina Bais and Pedro Santos for their help in writing this notes. The second author thanks Professor Marcos Jardim for the invitation to the IMECC/UNICAMP (Campinas - SP/BR). The authors are members of GNSAGA of INdAM.

## 1. LECTURE 1

In this lecture we recall the definition of classical maps in algebraic geometry such as Veronese/Segre embeddings, projections and the standard Cremona transformation. In addition, there are examples in the text of how to perform calculations related to this topic using the software *Macaulay2*, [16].

**1.1. Notation.** We work over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- We adopt throughout the Zariski topology.
- We denote by  $R$  a polynomial ring with complex coefficients. If not specified, the set of variables will be
  - $x_0, \dots, x_n$  in the projective setting,
  - $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in the affine setting,
  - $x, y$  in the affine two-dimensional setting.
- The ring  $R$  is endowed with the standard grading  $\deg x_i = 1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This gives  $R = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} R_k$  where

$$R_k = \{ f \in R \mid f \text{ homogeneous, and } \deg f = k \} \cup \{0\}.$$

- We denote by  $\mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec}(R)$  the  $n$ -dimensional affine space with coordinates (generators of  $R$ )  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , if not specified otherwise.
- Similarly,  $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj}(R)$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional projective space with homogeneous coordinates (generators of  $R$ )  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ , if not specified otherwise.
- We denote by  $H_i = \{ x_i = 0 \} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , the  $i$ -th coordinate hyperplane. Moreover, we denote by  $e_i \in \mathbb{P}^n$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , the  $i$ -th coordinate point.
- The symbols  $\text{Mat}(n, m, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset \text{Mat}(n, n, \mathbb{C})$  denote respectively the vector spaces of matrices and symmetric matrices with complex entries. The symbol  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset \text{Mat}(n, n, \mathbb{C})$  denotes the general linear group.
- Given any subset  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , we denote by  $\langle S \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  the smallest linear subspace containing  $S$ .
- $\text{Bir}(X)$  denotes the group of birational transformations of a variety  $X$ .

**1.2. Veronese embeddings.** The first non-trivial example of morphism between projective spaces is provided by the  $n$ -th Veronese embedding of degree  $d$ .

**Definition 1.1.** The  $n$ -th Veronese embedding of degree  $d$  is the morphism defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^n &\xrightarrow{\quad v_{n,d} \quad} \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-1} \\ [x_0 : \dots : x_n] &\longmapsto [x_0^{\alpha_0} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i = d]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 1.2.** The morphism  $v_{n,d}$  is a closed immersion.

**Exercise 1.3.** Prove Proposition 1.2. **Hint:** see Example 1.4.

**Example 1.4.** Let us explain in details the case  $n = 1$ . Fix homogeneous coordinates  $x_0, x_1$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $y_i$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, d$  on  $\mathbb{P}^d$ . The first-Veronese embedding of degree  $d$  reads then as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1 &\xrightarrow{v_{1,d}} \mathbb{P}^d \\ [x_0 : x_1] &\longmapsto [x_0^d : x_0^{d-1}x_1 : \dots : x_1^d]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the charts  $U_i = \{x_i \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{A}^1$ , for  $i = 0, 1$  and  $V_j = \{y_j \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{A}^d$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, d$ . Then, on  $U_i$  we have coordinates

$$t_i = \left( \frac{x_1}{x_0} \right)^{(-1)^i},$$

for  $i = 0, 1$ . The restrictions of  $v_{1,d}$  to  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  have the form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U_0 & \longrightarrow & V_0 \\ t_0 & \longmapsto & (t_0, t_0^2, \dots, t_0^d), \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U_1 & \longrightarrow & V_d \\ t_1 & \longmapsto & (t_1^d, t_1^{d-1}, \dots, t_1). \end{array}$$

In particular, the image of  $v_{1,d}$  is entirely contained in  $V_1 \cup V_d$ . Notice also that the image is a smooth curve as both the maps in (1.1) are parametrisations of smooth curves.

Let us compute the image of the morphism  $v_{1,d}$ . In order to do this, we first observe that any two consecutive entries of the map  $v_{1,d}$  have the same ratio, namely  $x_0/x_1$ . Therefore, the equality

$$(1.2) \quad [y_0 : \dots : y_d] = v_{1,d}([x_0 : x_1]) = [x_0^d : x_0^{d-1}x_1 : \dots : x_1^d]$$

implies

$$\text{rk} \begin{bmatrix} y_0 & \cdots & y_{d-1} \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_d \end{bmatrix} \leq 1.$$

Define  $Y_{1,d} \subset \mathbb{P}^d$  to be the closed subset given by

$$Y_{1,d} = \left\{ [y_0 : \dots : y_d] \in \mathbb{P}^d \mid \text{rk} \begin{bmatrix} y_0 & \cdots & y_{d-1} \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_d \end{bmatrix} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Clearly  $v_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset Y_{1,d}$ . We prove that  $v_{1,d} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow Y_{1,d}$  is invertible and this implies that  $v_{1,d}$  is a closed immersion. Its inverse is

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{1,d} &\xrightarrow{\rho_{1,d}} \mathbb{P}^1 \\ [y_0 : \dots : y_d] &\longmapsto [y_0 : y_1]. \end{aligned}$$

To see this we only have to show that the map  $\rho_{1,d}$  is well defined. This is true because it can be extended to points of the form  $[0 : 0 : y_2 : \dots : y_d] \in Y_{1,d}$  via

$$[y_0 : \dots : y_d] \mapsto [y_{d-1} : y_d].$$

Indeed, the conditions  $y_0 = y_1 = 0$  and  $y_{d-1} = y_d = 0$  are incompatible on  $Y_{1,d}$  and  $[y_0 : y_1] = [y_{d-1} : y_d]$ , by (1.2).

**Definition 1.5.** The rational normal curve of degree  $d$  is the image of the morphism  $v_{1,d}$ . If  $d = 2$ , the rational normal curve is called conic, and if  $d = 3$ , it is called twisted cubic.

**Example 1.6.** We describe now the degree-2 Veronese embeddings. In this setting the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+2}{2}-1}$  identifies with  $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Sym}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  and we get the following expression for the morphism  $v_{n,2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^n &\xrightarrow{v_{n,2}} \mathbb{P}\mathrm{Sym}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \\ [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] &\longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} x_0^2 & \cdots & \cdots & x_0 x_n \\ x_1 x_0 & x_1^2 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_0 x_n & x_1 x_n & \cdots & x_n^2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 1.7.** Prove that

$$(1.3) \quad v_{n,2}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \{ [M] \in \mathbb{P}\mathrm{Sym}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \mid \mathrm{rk} M \leq 1 \}.$$

**Hint:** Clearly  $v_{n,2}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is contained in the right hand side of Equation (1.3). For the opposite inclusion consider the projections on the  $i$ -th row (or the  $i$ -th column), for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ .

**Example 1.8.** The easiest example is the second Veronese surface, i.e. the image of the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^2 &\xrightarrow{v_{2,2}} \mathbb{P}\mathrm{Sym}(3, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{P}^5 \\ [x_0 : x_1 : x_2] &\longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} x_0^2 & x_0 x_1 & x_0 x_2 \\ x_1 x_0 & x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 x_0 & x_2 x_1 & x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

One of the many possible instances in which Veronese embeddings turn out to be useful is the description of loci parametrising homogeneous ideals.

**Exercise 1.9.** Fix some integer  $d > 1$ . Describe the locus

$$V_d^o = \left\{ [f] \in \mathbb{P}R_d \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Span} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f \right)_{i=0}^n > 1 \right\}.$$

Prove that

$$V_d^o = \mathbb{P}R_d \setminus v_{n,d}(\mathbb{P}^n).$$

**Exercise 1.10.** Two important aspects of the Veronese embedding concern its degree and its projective normality.

- Let  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a line. Then, we have  $v_{n,d}|_L \equiv v_{1,d}$ . Moreover, the generic hyperplane intersects  $v_{n,d}(L)$  in  $d$  distinct points. We say that the morphism has topological degree  $d$ .
- Let  $Y_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a hypersurface of degree  $d$ , i.e.  $Y_d = V(f)$  for some  $f \in R_d$ . Then, there exists a hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-1}$  such that  $Y_d = v_{n,d}(\mathbb{P}^n) \cap H$ . We say that  $v_{n,d}$  is projectively normal.

**Remark 1.11.** It is worth mentioning that topological degree and projective normality are defined in a much more general context. See [15] for more details on the topological degree and [20, §I.3, §II.5] for projective normality.

The following exercise shows that not all degree  $d$  closed immersions are projectively normal.

**Exercise 1.12.** Show that the composition

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$$

$$[x_0 : x_1] \longmapsto [x_0^4 : x_0^3 x_1 : x_0^2 x_1^2 : x_0 x_1^3 : x_1^4] \longmapsto [x_0^4 : x_0^3 x_1 : x_0 x_1^3 : x_1^4]$$

is a closed immersion of topological degree 4. Show that it is not projective normal.

1.2.1. *Example of computation.*

```
-- Define a function that remove the common factors from the entries of a map
cleanFactors = f -> (
  L=(entries matrix f)#0;
  G=gcd L;
  Laux= for l in L list sub(l/G,source f );
  return map(target f, source f, Laux);
)

-- Declear ambient spaces
n = 3; d = 3; N = binomial(n+d,d)-1; R = QQ[x_0 .. x_n]; S = QQ[y_0 .. y_N];
-- Construct the embedding and compute the equations
vnd1 = ( ideal R_* )^d_*; -- 1st possibility
vnd2 = (entries(monomials (sum R_*)^d))#0; -- 2nd possibility
set vnd1 == set vnd2 -- Check the two are the same
Verond = map(R,S,vnd1); -- Define the map
Equations = trim ker Verond; -- Compute equations
-- Check of the smoothness of the image of e_0={1,0,0,...,0}.
-- WLOG we restrict to { x_0 != 0 } and { y_0 != 0 }.
-- For simplicity we keep the same symbols for the variables
R0 = QQ[ x_1 .. x_n ]; S0 = QQ[ y_1 .. y_N ];
vnd10 = drop(for v in vnd1 list sub(sub( v , x_0=>1),R0),1);
Verond0 = map(R0,S0,vnd10 );
Eqs0 = trim ker Verond0;
Eqs0 == sub(sub( Equations,y_0=>1),S0) -- It agrees with dehomogenising
J = sub( jacobian Eqs0, for i from 1 to N list y_i =>0 ); -- Jacobian at e_0
0 == (rank J - N + n) -- Check it has rank N - n
-- Computation of the inverse map
use R; use S;
Inverse = map(S,R,for i from 0 to n list y_i);
cleanFactors ( Verond * Inverse ) -- Check that the composition is the identity
```

**1.3. Segre embeddings.** Segre embeddings provide a way to realise products of projective spaces as closed subsets of an ambient projective space.

**Definition 1.13.** Given two integers  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the Segre  $(n, m)$ -embedding is the morphism

$$\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \xrightarrow{s_{n,m}} \mathbb{P}\text{Mat}(n+1, m+1, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$$

$$([x_0 : \cdots : x_n], [y_0 : \cdots : y_m]) \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} x_0 y_0 & \cdots & x_0 y_m \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n y_0 & \cdots & x_n y_m \end{bmatrix}$$

**Proposition 1.14.** *The morphism  $s_{n,m}$  is a closed immersion.*

**Exercise 1.15.** Prove Proposition 1.14.

**Hint:** Define

$$S_{n,m} = \{ [M] \in \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1} \mid \text{rk } M \leq 1 \}.$$

Clearly, we have

$$s_{n,m}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m) \subset S_{n,m}.$$

To conclude consider the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{n,m} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \\ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} z_{0,0} & \cdots & z_{0,m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{n,0} & \cdots & z_{n,m} \end{array} \right] & \longmapsto & ([z_{0,0} : \cdots : z_{n,0}], [z_{0,0} : \cdots : z_{0,m}]). \end{array}$$

**Example 1.16.** For  $n = m = 1$ , we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{s_{1,1}} & \mathbb{P}^3 \\ ([x_0 : x_1], [y_0 : y_1]) & \longmapsto & \begin{bmatrix} x_0 y_0 & x_0 y_1 \\ x_1 y_0 & x_1 y_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

and

$$s_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) = \{ z_{0,0} z_{1,1} - z_{1,0} z_{0,1} = 0 \}.$$

Since all smooth quadrics of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  differ by projectivities, we deduce that all smooth quadrics of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  are isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\times 2}$ .

**Example 1.17.** Consider the diagonal

$$\Delta = \{ (p, q) \in \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n \mid p = q \} \cong \mathbb{P}^n.$$

We then restrict the Segre embedding to  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$  and we get the second Veronese embedding  $v_{n,2} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \text{Sym}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ . Precisely, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \xrightarrow{s_{n,n}|_{\Delta}} & \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^2-1} \\ \begin{array}{c} [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \\ \searrow v_{n,2} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \begin{bmatrix} x_0^2 & \cdots & \cdots & x_0 x_n \\ x_1 x_0 & x_1^2 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_0 x_n & x_1 x_n & \cdots & x_n^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{P} \text{Sym}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) & \end{array}$$

commutes.

#### 1.4. Projections and blowups of linear subspaces.

**Definition 1.18.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be quasi-projective varieties. A rational map  $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is an equivalence class of pairs  $(U, f)$ , where  $U$  is a dense open subset of  $X$  and  $f$  is a morphism from  $U$  to  $Y$ , and where two pairs  $(U_1, f_1)$  and  $(U_2, f_2)$  are equivalent if there exists a dense open subset  $V \subset U_1 \cap U_2$  such that  $f_1|_V \equiv f_2|_V$ .

Projections are the first examples of rational maps.

Let  $H, K \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be linear subspaces such that  $H \cong \mathbb{P}^k$ ,  $K \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$  and  $H \cap K = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality we put

$$K = \{x_0 = \cdots = x_k = 0\}, H = \{x_{k+1} = \cdots = x_n = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n.$$

The projection onto  $H$  with centre  $K$  is the rational map

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^n & \xrightarrow{\pi_K} & H \\ [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] & \longmapsto & [x_0 : \cdots : x_k]. \end{array}$$

Notice that we do not report the dependence on  $H$  as it is not useful for our applications and we prefer to keep the notation as simple as possible.

**Remark 1.19.** Geometrically, for all points  $p \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus K$  we consider  $W_p = \langle p, K \rangle$ . Then we have

$$\pi_K(p) = W_p \cap H.$$

**Exercise 1.20.** Prove the following basic properties of  $\pi_K$ .

- Any linear subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $K \subset W$  and  $W \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-k}$  is contracted to a point, i.e.  $\pi_K(W) = p \in H$ .
- If  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a line then  $\pi_K(L) = p$  is a point if and only if  $L \cap K \neq \emptyset$ .<sup>1</sup>

**Definition 1.21.** Given a rational map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^n & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{P}^m \\ [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] & \longmapsto & [f_i([x_0 : \cdots : x_n]) \mid i = 0, \dots, m], \end{array}$$

where  $f_i \in R$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, m$ , denote by  $\text{dom}(\varphi)$  its maximal domain of definition and by  $\text{Ind}(\varphi)$  its indeterminacy locus, i.e. the closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  defined by the entries of  $\varphi$ . In symbols<sup>2</sup>

$$\text{dom}(\varphi) = \bigcup_{(U, f) \in \varphi} U, \text{ and } \text{Ind } \varphi = \text{Proj}(R/(f_0, \dots, f_m)).$$

Then, the graph of  $\varphi$  is

$$\text{graph } \varphi = \{(p, q) \in \text{dom}(\varphi) \times \mathbb{P}^m \mid q = \varphi(p)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m.$$

**Exercise 1.22.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  be a rational map, and denote by  $Z = \text{Ind } \varphi$  its indeterminacy locus. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \overline{\text{graph } \varphi} & \\ \varepsilon_Z \swarrow & & \searrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}^n & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{P}^m, \end{array}$$

where the closure  $\overline{\text{graph } \varphi}$  is taken in  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ , the morphisms  $\varepsilon_Z$  and  $\pi$  are the restrictions of the canonical projections to  $\overline{\text{graph } \varphi} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ .

Prove the following properties.

- The first projection  $\varepsilon_Z$  is birational. (**Hint:** Look at its restriction to  $\text{graph } \varphi$ .)
- Suppose that  $\varphi$  is the projection  $\pi_K$  in (1.4). In particular  $Z = K$  is a linear subspace. Show that
  - if  $\dim K = n - 1$ , then  $\varepsilon_K$  is an isomorphism,

<sup>1</sup>In particular, we require  $L \not\subset K$  so that it makes sense to consider  $\pi_K(L)$ .

<sup>2</sup>Recall that by definition a rational map is an equivalence class. Thus it is a set.

- the morphism  $\pi$  is a fibration with fibres isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ ,
- the preimage  $E_K = \varepsilon_K^{-1} K$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^k$ -bundle over  $K$ .

**Definition 1.23.** We say that  $\varepsilon_Z$  is the blowup of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with centre  $Z$ . We will also call blowup the variety  $\overline{\text{graph } \varphi}$  and we will denote it by  $\text{Bl}_Z \mathbb{P}^n$ . Finally we say that  $E_Z$  is the exceptional locus.

**Exercise 1.24.** Definition 1.23 is well posed, i.e. it does not depend on  $\varphi$  but only on  $\text{Ind } \varphi$ .

Precisely, show that given two rational maps  $\varphi_i : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$  having the same indeterminacy locus  $\text{Ind } \varphi_1 = \text{Ind } \varphi_2$  the two closures  $\overline{\text{graph } \varphi_i}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , are canonically isomorphic.

**Exercise 1.25.** Extend Definition 1.21, Exercise 1.22 and Definition 1.23 to the following cases.

- Products of projective spaces.
- Arbitrary quasi-projective varieties.

**Example 1.26** (Blowup at a point). We describe now the projection  $\pi_K$  in the case  $n = 2$  and  $\dim K = 0$ . Without loss of generality we put  $K = \{e_2\}$  and we consider the projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{e_2}} & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ [x_0 : x_1 : x_2] & \longmapsto & [x_0 : x_1], \end{array}$$

from  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with centre the coordinate point  $e_2 = [0 : 0 : 1]$ . Then, the blowup with centre  $e_2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bl}_{e_2} \mathbb{P}^2 &= \overline{\text{graph}(\pi_{e_2})} \\ &= \overline{\{(p, q) \in \text{dom}(\pi_{e_2}) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid \pi_{e_2}(p) = q\}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ &= \left\{ ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1]) \in \text{dom}(\pi_{e_2}) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid \det \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ y_0 & y_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ &= \{ ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1]) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid x_0 y_1 - x_1 y_0 = 0 \} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1. \end{aligned}$$

We stress that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Bl}_{e_2} \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \\ \pi_2|_{\text{Bl}_{e_2} \mathbb{P}^2} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_1|_{\text{Bl}_{e_2} \mathbb{P}^2} \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{e_2}} & \mathbb{P}^1, \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_2|_{\text{Bl}_{e_2} \mathbb{P}^2}$  is the blowup morphism and  $\pi_1|_{\text{Bl}_{e_2} \mathbb{P}^2}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration as described in Exercise 1.22.

**Exercise 1.27.** Compute the equations of some embeddings of  $\text{Bl}_{\mathbb{P}^k} \mathbb{P}^n$ .

**Example 1.28** (Blowup at two points). We construct now the blowup of the projective plane at two points as the closure of the graph a birational map given by a pair of projections. Consider the rational map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ [x_0 : x_1 : x_2] & \longmapsto & ([x_0 : x_1], [x_1 : x_2]). \end{array}$$

It is a birational map with inverse

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}^2 \\ ([y_0 : y_1], [z_0 : z_1]) & \longmapsto & [y_0 z_0 : y_1 z_0 : y_1 z_1]. \end{array}$$



The two maps have the following indeterminacy loci

$$\text{Ind}(\varphi) = \{e_0, e_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}(\psi) = \{([1:0], [0:1])\}.$$

Figure 1 depicts the construction.




FIGURE 1. Pictorial description of the construction.

As a consequence, we get the isomorphism

$$\text{Bl}_{p,q} \mathbb{P}^2 \cong \text{Bl}_r(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1),$$

where  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $r \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Exercise 1.29.** Study the blowup of the projective plane at two points.

- Check the details in Example 1.28.
- Compute the equations of  $\text{Bl}_{e_0, e_2} \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .
- Show that  $\varphi$  contracts the line through  $e_0$  and  $e_2$  on the unique point of  $\text{Ind } \psi$ .
- Show that  $\psi$  contracts the two lines through the unique point of  $\text{Ind } \psi$  on  $e_0$  and  $e_2$ .

The following exercise is a direct generalisation of the construction in Example 1.28.

**Exercise 1.30.** Let  $n = 2k + 1$  be an odd nonnegative integer and let  $Q_n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a smooth quadric. Let also  $Q_{n-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  be a smooth quadric. Prove that there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Bl}_p Q_n \cong \text{Bl}_{Q_{n-2}} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

1.4.1. *Example of computation: use the command normalCone for the blowup.*

```
cleanList = L -> (
  G=gcd L;
  if G!= 0 then return for l in L list sub(l/G,ring L#0 );
  return L
)
-- Declare ambient space
R = QQ[x_0 .. x_2];
S = R[w_0 , w_1];
projection = {x_1,x_0};
I = minors (2,matrix {projection ,S_* });
N = normalCone ideal projection ;
K =sub(ker map(ambient N , ambient ambient N ),S)
I==K -- Check the construction is the same
```

```

O = QQ [R_*|S_*]
point={for r in R_* list sub(r,0),for s in S_* list sub(s,0)};
-- Function to pass from ideal to parametrisation
evalIdeal = I -> for u in point list cleanList apply( u , v -> sub(sub(v,0/I),0) );
E = sub(ideal projection , 0 ) + sub(I,0) -- Preimage of the origin
evalIdeal E
F = saturate(sub(ideal(3*w_0 -w_1),0)+sub(I,0),sub(ideal S_*,0)) -- Fibration
evalIdeal F

```

**1.5. Standard Cremona transformation.** The standard Cremona transformation  $c_n \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , is a birational transformation of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and it is the first non trivial example of birational map. We recall now its definition.

**Definition 1.31.** The standard Cremona transformation  $c_n \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is

$$\mathbb{P}^n \xrightarrow{\quad c_n \quad} \mathbb{P}^n$$

$$[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \longmapsto \left[ \frac{1}{x_0} : \cdots : \frac{1}{x_n} \right] = [x_1 \cdots x_n : \cdots : x_0 \cdots x_{n-1}].$$

In dimension  $n = 2$  the standard Cremona transformation plays a special role as the following classical result explains.

**Theorem 1.32** (Noether-Castelnuovo, [5, 33]). *The following equality of groups holds true,*

$$\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \langle \mathbb{P}\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{C}), c_2 \rangle.$$

**Exercise 1.33.** Prove the main properties of the standard Cremona transformation listed below.

- $c_n^2 \equiv \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$
- $\text{Ind } c_n = \coprod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \{x_i = x_j = 0\}$
- $c_n(\{x_i = 0\}) = e_i$
- $\text{Fix } c_n = \{[1 : \pm 1 : \pm 1 : \cdots : \pm 1]\}$
- $|\text{Fix } c_n| = 2^n$

**1.5.1. Example of computation.**

```

-- Define a function that remove common factor from lists
cleanList = L -> (
  G=gcd L;
  if G!= 0 then return for l in L list sub(l/G,ring L#0 );
  return L
)
-- Function to pass from ideal to parametrisation
evalIdeal = I -> cleanList for l in R_* list sub(sub(l,R/I),R);
-- Declare ambient space
n = 3; R = QQ[x_0 .. x_n];
Crent = for i from 0 to n list product for j in delete (i, 0..n ) list x_j;
Cremona = map(R , R , Crent ); -- Define the map
cleanFactorsMap ( Cremona * Cremona ) -- Check it is an involution
DDD= set primaryDecomposition ideal Crent -- Compute the indeterminacy locus
-- Check that the i-th coord. hyperplane is contracted to the i-th coord. point
for i from 0 to n do print cleanList for a in Crent list sub( a , x_i=>0)
DDD1 = set primaryDecomposition minors(2,matrix{R_*,Crent});
DDD1=DDD1-DDD -- Fixed points
for d in toList DDD1 list evalIdeal d

```

**Exercise 1.34** (Blowup at three points). Consider the standard Cremona transformation  $c_2 \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & B & \\ \varepsilon \swarrow & & \searrow \theta \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{c_2} & \mathbb{P}^2 \end{array}$$

$$[x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \longmapsto \left[ \frac{1}{x_1} : \frac{1}{x_2} : \frac{1}{x_3} \right],$$

where  $B = \overline{\text{graph}(c_2)}$  is the closure of the graph.

- Realise the blowup  $\text{Bl}_{e_0, e_1, e_2} \mathbb{P}^2$  as a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ , see Figure 2.
- Realise the blowup  $\text{Bl}_{e_0, e_1, e_2} \mathbb{P}^2$  as a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .
- Show that the restriction of the canonical projection

$$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

to  $\text{Bl}_{e_0, e_1, e_2} \mathbb{P}^2$  is a closed immersion.




FIGURE 2. The resolution of the indeterminacies of the standard Cremona transformation in dimension 2.

**Exercise 1.35.** Let  $T = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} \{x_i = x_j = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be the coordinate tetrahedron, i.e. the union of the coordinate lines of  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Denote by  $X$  the blowup  $X = \text{Bl}_T \mathbb{P}^3$ .

- Realise  $X$  as a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ .
- Show that  $X$  has 12 singular points.
- Identify all the irreducible components of the exceptional locus  $E_T$ . (**Hint:** there are 10 of them.)

```
-- Declare ambient space
R = QQ[x_0 .. x_3];
S = R[w_0 .. w_3];

Crent = for i from 0 to 3 list product for j in delete (i, 0..3) list x_j;
D = minimalPrimes minors (2, matrix {Crent, S_*});
I = (select(D, d -> dim d < 6)) # 0;
E = normalCone ideal Crent;
K = sub(ker map(ambient E, ambient ambient E), S);
I == K -- Check the construction is the same
O = QQ[R_* | S_*]
point = {for r in R_* list sub(r, 0), for s in S_* list sub(s, 0)};
-- Function to pass from ideal to parametrisation
```

```

evalIdeal = I -> for u in point list cleanList apply( u , v -> sub(sub(v,0/I),0) );
I = sub(I,0);
J = jacobian I;
U = saturate(saturate(trim(minors (3,J)+I),ideal(x_0..x_3)), ideal(w_0..w_3));
for u in minimalPrimes U list evalIdeal u

```

## 2. LECTURE 2

In this second lecture, we introduce some useful tools for dealing with ideals of the polynomial ring, such as the notion of socle and Macaulay duality. Along the way, we will see many examples concerning monomial ideals. As a computational example, we treat the combinatorial problem of counting higher-dimensional partitions. Finally, we present the first examples of deformation theory in terms of the module  $\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$ .

**2.1. Notation.** We work over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- By algebra (resp.  $A$ -module) we implicitly mean  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra (resp.  $A$ -module) of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$  (resp. over  $A$ ).
- We denote by  $R$  a polynomial ring with complex coefficients and by  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$  the maximal ideal generated by the variables. If not specified, the set of variables will be
  - $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in the  $n$ -dimensional setting,
  - $x, y$  in the two-dimensional setting.
- The ring  $R$  is endowed with the standard grading  $\deg x_i = 1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This gives  $R = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} R_k$  where

$$R_k = \{ f \in R \mid f \text{ homogeneous, and } \deg f = k \} \cup \{0\}.$$

- We will denote by the same symbols the variables in  $R$  and their image in the quotient  $R/I$ .
- Given a  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$ , we denote by  $\text{len } R/I$  its colength, i.e.

$$\text{len } R/I = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} R/I.$$

- The semigroup  $\mathbb{N}^n$  is endowed with the poset structure given by componentwise comparison. All its subsets will be considered as poset with the restricted structure.

## 2.2. Graded modules and Hilbert–Samuel function.

**Definition 2.1.** An algebra  $A$  is graded if there exists a direct sum decomposition  $A = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_k$  such that the multiplication on  $A$  reads as follows in each degree  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_h \times A_k &\longrightarrow A_{h+k} \\
 (a, b) &\longmapsto ab.
 \end{aligned}$$

Given a graded algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_k$ , an  $A$ -module  $M$  is graded if  $M = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k$  and the action of  $A$  on  $M$  restricts as follows

$$A_h \times M_k \longrightarrow M_{h+k},$$

for all  $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A)$  be a local algebra. Its associated graded algebra  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{m}_A} A$  is the algebra

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{m}_A} A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_A^i / \mathfrak{m}_A^{i+1}.$$

**Exercise 2.3.** Prove the following properties.

- The associated graded algebra  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{m}_A} A$  is graded.
- Recall that a homogeneous ideal  $I \subset R$  is an ideal which admits a generating set containing only homogeneous elements. Let  $I$  be a homogeneous ideal. Show that
  - $I$  is a graded  $R$ -module,
  - $R/I$  is a graded  $R$ -module,
  - $\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$  is a graded module with

$$\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)_d = \{ \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(I, R/I) \mid \varphi(I_i) \subset (R/I)_{i+d}, \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cup \{0\}.$$

Notice that, even if  $I_d \cong (R/I)_d \cong (0)$  for all  $d < 0$ , the same is not necessarily true for the module  $\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Consider an element  $f \in R$  and write it as

$$f = \sum_{i=k_f}^{\deg(f)} f_i,$$

where  $f_i \in R_i$ , for  $i = k_f, \dots, \deg(f)$ . Then, the initial form of  $f$  is  $\text{In} f = f_{k_f}$ . Moreover, if  $I \subset R$  is any ideal, its initial ideal is

$$\text{In} I = (\{ \text{In} f \mid f \in I \}).$$

**Exercise 2.5.** Let  $I \subset R$  be any ideal. Then,

- the initial ideal  $\text{In} I$  is homogeneous,
- if  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary,  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{m}/I} R/I \cong R/\text{In} I$ . (**Hint:** see [8, §5.4, 5.5])

**Example 2.6.** Let  $f = y^2 - x^2(x + 1)$  be the polynomial defining the nodal cubic. Then, we have  $\text{In} f = y^2 - x^2$ . While, for the ideal  $I = (x + y^2 + y^3 + y^4, y^{10})$ , we have  $\text{In}(I) = (x, y^{10})$ .

Although in the second example the initial ideal is generated by the initial forms of a minimal set of generators, in general it is not enough to look at an arbitrary set of generators to compute it.

**Exercise 2.7.** If the scheme  $X = \text{Spec}(R/I)$  is smooth at the origin  $0 \in X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ , then we have an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ -schemes

$$\text{Spec } R/\text{In} I \cong \text{Spec } \text{Sym}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2),$$

where  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}/I$ .

**Remark 2.8.** In general, the initial ideal defines the so-called tangent cone to a variety  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^n$ . Roughly speaking it is the union of the lines having the maximum possible multiplicity intersection with  $X$  at the origin, see [8, §5.4] for more details.

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $A$  be a graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of finite type, and let  $M = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k$  be a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. The Hilbert–Samuel function associated to  $M$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} &\xrightarrow{h_M} \mathbb{N} \\ i &\longmapsto \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A)$  be an Artinian local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of finite type. The Hilbert–Samuel function associated to  $A$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} &\xrightarrow{h_A} \mathbb{N} \\ i &\longmapsto \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{m}_A^i / \mathfrak{m}_A^{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 2.10.** Definition 2.9 is well posed, i.e. the vector spaces  $M_k$  have finite dimension over  $\mathbb{C}$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark 2.11.** Notice that

$$h_A \equiv h_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{r}_m A}}.$$

Recall that a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of finite type  $A$  is of the form  $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some ideal  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Equivalently, there is a closed immersion  $\text{Spec}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  for some  $n$ .

**Exercise 2.12.** Compute  $h_R$  and show that if  $I$  is homogeneous, then

$$h_R = h_I + h_{R/I},$$

see Exercise 2.3.

**Exercise 2.13.** Let  $I \subset R$  be a  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Then

- $h_{R/I}(0) = 1$
- $|h_{R/I}| = \sum_{i \geq 0} h_{R/I}(i) = \text{len } R/I$ .

Notice that the two together imply  $0 \leq h_{R/I}(1) \leq (\text{len } R/I) - 1$ . Moreover, since  $R/I$  is a finite dimensional vector space, the function  $h_{R/I}$  must vanish definitively. Therefore, we represent it as a vector, implicitly assuming that the values not displayed are zero.

**Example 2.14.** Consider the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I = (x^2, xy, y^4)$ . Then, as a vector space,  $R/I$  has the following direct sum decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y]/I = \underbrace{1 \cdot \mathbb{C}}_{(R/I)_0} \oplus \underbrace{x \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus y \cdot \mathbb{C}}_{(R/I)_1} \oplus \underbrace{y^2 \cdot \mathbb{C}}_{(R/I)_2} \oplus \underbrace{y^3 \cdot \mathbb{C}}_{(R/I)_3}.$$

And we get

$$h_A = (1, 2, 1, 1).$$

Notice also that the  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ -module structure of the quotient  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/I$  is encoded in the diagram in Figure 3.

Precisely, moving right (resp. down) corresponds to the multiplication by  $x$  (resp.  $y$ ).

1	x
y	
y <sup>2</sup>	
y <sup>3</sup>	

FIGURE 3. Graphical description of the  $R$ -module structure of  $R/I$ .

### 2.2.1. Computation of the Hilbert–Samuel function.

```
R = QQ[x,y]; mm=ideal(x,y);
I=ideal(x^2+y^3+5*y^4,y^5,x*y)
--The function tangentCone computes the initial ideal.
J=tangentCone I
-- M2 prints the value of the H--S function of R/J computed at i asking hilbertFunction(i,J)
for i from 0 to 10 list hilbertFunction (i,J)
for i from 0 to 10 list hilbertFunction (i,R/J)
for i from 0 to 10 list hilbertFunction (i,R)
```

**Definition 2.15.** The number  $h_{R/I}(1)$  is called the embedding dimension of  $R/I$ . In symbols, we write  $\text{emb}_{R/I} = h_{R/I}(1)$ .

**Exercise 2.16.** Let  $I$  be a  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal then  $\text{emb}_{R/I}$  is the smallest integer  $k$  such that there exists a closed immersion  $\text{Spec}(R/I) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^k$  sending the unique closed point of the support of  $\text{Spec } R/I$  to the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^k$ .

**Hint:** See Exercise 2.7 and Remark 2.8.

**2.3. Apolarity.** Apolarity (or Macaulay duality) is a powerful tool in commutative algebra. This technique has many application in algebraic geometry. For instance, it helps in many cases to construct families of homogeneous ideals. The moral behind apolarity is:

*construct the quotient instead of the ideal.*

Working in characteristic 0 is crucial in this section.

Let us set

$$R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad R^* = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n].$$

We view  $R^*$  as a  $R$ -module via the action

$$R \times R^* \longrightarrow R^* \\ (x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}, p(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \longmapsto \frac{\partial^{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}}{\partial^{a_1} y_1 \cdots \partial^{a_n} y_n} p,$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This induces, for every  $k \geq 0$ , a perfect pairing

$$R_k \times R_k^* \longrightarrow R_0^* = \mathbb{C}$$

and, consequently, a notion of orthogonality.

We say that a vector subspace  $T \subset R^*$  is graded if

$$T = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} T \cap R_k^*.$$

**Definition 2.17.** An *inverse system* is a graded vector subspace  $T \subset R^*$  closed under differentiation. If  $S \subset R^*$  is a finite subset containing only homogeneous elements, then the inverse system generated by  $S$  is the smallest graded subspace  $\langle S \rangle \subset R^*$  containing  $S$  and closed under differentiation. The *apolar ideal* attached to  $T$  is

$$T^\perp = \{ r \in R \mid r \cdot T = 0 \} \subset R.$$

If  $I \subset R$  is a homogeneous ideal, its associated inverse system is

$$I^\perp = \{ r^* \in R^* \mid I \cdot r^* = 0 \} \subset R^*.$$

**Example 2.18.** Consider the subset  $S = \{ y_2^3, y_1 \} \subset R^*$ . Then we have

$$\langle S \rangle = \{ 1, y_1, y_2, y_2^2, y_2^3 \}.$$

Notice<sup>3</sup> that, if  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is the ideal in Example 2.14, then the classes in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/I$  of the elements in  $\langle S \rangle$  provide, after an appropriate relabelling of the variables, a basis for  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/I$ .

Then we compute the apolar ideal to  $\langle S \rangle$  and we get

$$\langle S \rangle^\perp = (x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^4) \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2].$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Keep in mind the moral.

In particular, we get  $R^*/\langle S \rangle^\perp \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y]/I$ .

```
--Check for previous example
R = QQ[x,y]
ideal(y^4 , x*y,x^2) == inverseSystem matrix {{y^3,x}}
```

Example 2.18 is a special instance of a more general behaviour that we explain in Remark 2.19.

**Remark 2.19.** Notice that if  $I \subset R$  is a homogeneous ideal, then  $I^\perp \subset R^*$  is a graded subspace closed under differentiation. Conversely, every graded vector subspace  $T \subset R^*$  closed under differentiation is orthogonal to the homogeneous ideal  $T^\perp \subset R$ . Moreover, if  $V \subset R_j^*$  is a vector subspace, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^\perp)_j = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} R_j^*/V,$$

which yields an isomorphism of graded vector spaces  $R/I \cong I^\perp$ , see [4, Sec. 2].

**2.4. Monomial ideals and Partitions.** From now on we focus on monomial ideals, i.e. ideals admitting a generating set consisting only of monomials. In particular these ideals are homogeneous.

**Definition 2.20.** Fix  $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . An  $(n-1)$ -dimensional partition of size  $d$  is a collection of  $d$  points  $\lambda = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d\} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$  closed with respect to  $\leq$ , i.e. such that if  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  satisfies  $\mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{a}_i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , then  $\mathbf{y} \in \lambda$ , see the 6-th item in Subsection 2.1. We call  $|\lambda| = d$  the size of  $\lambda$  and we denote by  $P_d^n$  the set of  $(n-1)$ -dimensional partitions of size  $d$ , and by  $p_d^n$  the cardinality  $|P_d^n|$ .

**Example 2.21.** One-dimensional partitions are also called linear partitions or Young diagrams or Ferrers diagrams. They are depicted as collections of squares, see Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. Example of linear partition

**Exercise 2.22.** Prove that the following associations are bijections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{m-primary monomial ideals}\} & \longleftrightarrow & \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Subsets of } \mathbb{N}^n \text{ closed by translations} \\ \text{with finite complement} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{Partitions}\} \\ \\ I & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i} \in I\} \\ & & A \xrightarrow{\psi} A^c. \end{array}$$

**Definition 2.23.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A)$  be a local Artinian  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of finite type. The socle of  $A$  is

$$\text{Soc}(A) = (0_A : \mathfrak{m}_A) = \{a \in A \mid \mathfrak{m}_A \cdot a = 0\}.$$

**Exercise 2.24.** Let  $I \subset R$  be a m-primary ideal. Prove the following properties of  $\text{Soc}(R/I)$ .

- The socle  $\text{Soc}(R/I)$  is a  $R$ -submodule of  $R/I$ .
- If  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal, then the socle  $\text{Soc}(R/I)$  is a graded  $R$ -module.
- If  $I$  is a monomial ideal, then  $\text{Soc}(R/I)$  corresponds to  $\max \psi(\varphi(I))$ , where  $\varphi, \psi$  are defined in Exercise 2.22.



### 2.4.1. Computation of the socle of $R/I$ , for $I \subset R$ monomial ideal.

```

R = QQ[x,y]; mm=ideal(x,y);
-- Function to compute the socle of R/I with M monomial ideal
socleMonomial = I-> for u in (trim sub(I:mm,R/I))_* list sub(u,R);
J=ideal(x^2,y^5,x*y)
SOCLE = socleMonomial J
K = inverseSystem matrix {SOCLE}
K==J                                     -- Check that double-perp is the identity

```

**Definition 2.25.** A subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}^n$  is admissible if every two elements  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  are not comparable with respect to the poset structure of  $\mathbb{N}^n$ .

**Exercise 2.26.** Prove that any admissible subset  $S \subset \mathbb{N}^n$  is finite.

**Hint:** Induction.

**Exercise 2.27.** There is a bijection

$$\{\mathfrak{m}\text{-primary monomial ideals}\} \longleftrightarrow \{S \subset \mathbb{N}^n \text{ admissible}\}.$$

**Hint:** See Exercise 2.24.

**2.5. Special case  $n = 2$ .** The case  $n = 2$  is special since, as we show in what follows, all admissible sets in dimension 2 are totally ordered.

**Definition 2.28.** Given two points  $(n_1, n_2), (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  not comparable with respect to the poset structure of  $\mathbb{N}^2$  we say that  $(n_1, n_2) < (m_1, m_2)$  if

$$\begin{cases} m_2 > n_2, \\ n_1 > m_1. \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 2.29.** Prove that Definition 2.28 induces a total order on every admissible subset of  $\mathbb{N}^2$ .

**Exercise 2.30.** Show that there is a bijection

$$\{\mathfrak{m}\text{-primary monomial ideals in 2 variables}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{totally } (<)\text{-ordered subsets of } \mathbb{N}^2\}.$$

**Exercise 2.31.** List all the monomial ideals in two variables of colength smaller or equal to 6.

**Exercise 2.32.** List all the pairs  $(I_1, I_2)$  of monomial ideals in two variables of respective colengths  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq 6$  such that  $\psi(\varphi(I_1)) \subset \psi(\varphi(I_2))$  and depict the respective partitions.

### 2.5.1. Pictorial description of the bijection in Exercise 2.30.

```

R = QQ[x,y]; mm=ideal(x,y); d=7;
--Function to depicts 1-partitions
drawPartition = d -> for a in d do ( print (for b from 0 to a -1 list "*");)
--Function to convert partitions to ideals
partToideal = a -> trim(ideal(x^#a)+ideal(for b from 0 to #a-1 list y^(a#b)*x^b));
--Function to compute socle
socleMonomial = I-> for u in (trim sub(I:mm,R/I))_* list sub(u,R);
-- Computation of monomial ideals of colength d in 2 variables
P = partitions(d)
M= for a in P list partToideal a;
for p in P do (

```

```

drawPartition p;
print(" ");
print(partToideal p);
print("socle: ", socleMonomial partToideal p);
print(" "); print(" ");
)

```

**Remark 2.33.** Although monomial ideals are the simplest, they can be quite complicated to work with already in dimension 3. At the following link [IYU](#) you can work with the application It's better to visualize it, which allows you to visualise plane partitions and edit them with a few clicks. Other functions are also implemented in the application, such as the calculation of the Hilbert-Samuel function, [13].

**2.6. The computation of  $\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$  for a monomial ideal  $I$ .** Let us recall the definition of minimal free resolution of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ .

**Definition 2.34.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. A minimal free resolution is an exact sequence of the form

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longleftarrow M \longleftarrow F^\bullet,$$

where

$$F^\bullet: \quad \cdots \longleftarrow F_{i-1} \xleftarrow{\delta_i} F_i \longleftarrow \cdots,$$

with all the  $F_i$ 's free modules, i.e.  $F_i \cong R^{n_i}$ , for some  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and such that  $\delta_i(F_i) \subset \mathfrak{m}F_{i-1}$ .

**Remark 2.35.** The elements in  $F_0$  are then called generators of  $M$ . The name generators is related to the fact that the first map  $F_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} M$  in (2.1) is surjective, thus the image of a generating set for  $F_0$  via  $\delta_0$  is a generating set for  $M$ .

The elements in  $F_1$  are called first syzygies. This term means relation. Since the sequence (2.1) is exact, we have  $\ker \delta_0 = \text{Im } \delta_1$ , i.e. any element in  $F_1$  gives a relation between the generators of  $M$ , via the map  $\delta_1$  and all relations are of this form.

The following theorem due to Hilbert guarantees that, when working in the polynomial setting, minimal free resolutions have finite length.

**Proposition 2.36** ([1, Hilbert syzygy theorem]). *Any  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module  $M$  of finite type has a free resolution of length at most  $n$ .*

**Exercise 2.37.** Compute a minimal free resolution of the  $R$  module  $R/I$  where  $I$  is the ideal of the twisted cubic, see Definition 1.5.

**2.6.1. The minimal free graded resolution of the ideal of twisted cubic.**

```

n=3; R = QQ [x_0 .. x_n];
-- Computation of the ideal I_C of the twisted cubic
M = matrix { apply(n,i->R_#i),apply(n,i->R_#(i+1)) }
C = trim minors(2,M);
D = res C                                -- res C computes the resolution of R/C
for i from 1 to n-1 do (
    print D.dd_i;
    print(" ");
)
-- Differential of the resolution
D.dd_1*D.dd_2                            -- Check it is a complex

```

Given an ideal  $I \subset R$ , the space of homomorphisms  $\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$  provides very important geometrical informations about the zero locus of  $I$ . Precisely it encodes the infinitesimal first order deformations of  $\text{Spec}(R/I)$  as we will see in Lecture 4.

We clearly have an injection

$$\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I) \xhookrightarrow{\ell} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(I, R/I).$$

On the other hand a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear homomorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(I, R/I)$  is also  $R$ -linear if and only if it is compatible with the syzygies of  $I$ , i.e. given a relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j i_j = 0 \in R,$$

for some  $p_j \in R$ ,  $i_j \in I$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , we require

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j \varphi(i_j) = 0 \in R/I.$$

**Remark 2.38.** These are the basics of deformation theory, see Lectures 3 and 4.

**Exercise 2.39.** If  $I \subset R$  is a monomial ideal and  $\{m_1, \dots, m_s\}$  is a minimal set of monomial generators, then a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear homomorphism is  $R$ -linear if it is compatible with the relations among pairs of minimal monomial generators. In general, in order to check  $R$ -linearity one has to consider relations involving arbitrary number of generators.

**Exercise 2.40.** Let  $I \subset R$  be a  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary homogeneous ideal.

- Show that partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  are well defined and linearly independent elements of  $\text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)_{-1}$ .
- Let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\} \subset I$  be a minimal set of homogeneous generators. Show that any  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear homomorphism in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}, \text{Soc}(R/I))$  naturally induces a  $R$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$ .

The following theorem is one possible incarnation of the celebrated result by Fogarty [10] about smoothness of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth surface.

**Theorem 2.41.** Let  $I \subset R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  be a  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Then we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_R(I, R/I) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} R/I.$$

On the other hand the dimension of the non-negative part of the space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}(I, \mathbb{C}[x, y]/I)$  was computed independently by Iarrobino and Briançon in [23] and [3] respectively.

**Theorem 2.42** ([3, Thm. III.3.1] and [23, Thm 1]). Let  $I \subset R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  be a  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary homogeneous ideal and let  $h_{R/I}$  be the associated Hilbert–Samuel function. Denote<sup>4</sup> by  $d, s > 0$  the integers such that

$$h_{R/I} = (1, 2, \dots, d, h_d, \dots, h_{d+s-1}, 0, \dots),$$

with  $h_d < d + 1$  and  $h_i \geq h_{i+1}$ , for  $i \geq d$ . Then, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)_k = |h_{R/I}| - d - \sum_{i \geq d} \binom{h_{i-1} - h_i}{2},$$

and

---

<sup>4</sup>Notice that there always exist such  $d, s$ .

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R/I)_0 = \sum_{i \geq d} (h_{i-1} - h_i + 1)(h_i - h_{i+1}).$$

**Example 2.43.** Consider the ideal  $I = (x^2, xy, y^2)$  and let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$  be a  $R$ -linear homomorphism. Since  $I$  is monomial, by Exercise 2.39, the homomorphism  $\varphi$  is uniquely determined by the images of  $x^2, xy, y^2$ .

Consider, for  $A = (\alpha, \alpha_x, \alpha_y, \beta, \beta_x, \beta_y, \gamma, \gamma_x, \gamma_y) \in \mathbb{C}^6$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(x^2, xy, y^2) &\xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}_A} R/I \\ x^2 &\longmapsto \alpha + \alpha_x x + \alpha_y y \\ xy &\longmapsto \beta + \beta_x x + \beta_y y \\ y^2 &\longmapsto \gamma + \gamma_x x + \gamma_y y. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the map  $\overline{\varphi}_A$  extends to a (unique)  $R$ -linear homomorphism  $\varphi_A \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$  if and only in the following conditions are satisfied

$$y\overline{\varphi}_A(x^2) - x\overline{\varphi}_A(xy) = 0, \quad x\overline{\varphi}_A(y^2) - y\overline{\varphi}_A(xy) = 0, \quad y^2\overline{\varphi}_A(x^2) - x^2\overline{\varphi}_A(y^2) = 0.$$

This imposes the conditions

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0.$$

Notice that, since the last syzygy is algebraically dependent from the first two, it is enough to consider the first two syzygies to compute the module  $\operatorname{Hom}(I, R/I)$ .

We also remark that this result can be obtained via a different argument. Indeed, any  $\mathbb{C}$ -homomorphism taking values in the socle is  $R$ -linear by Exercise 2.40. This, together with Fogarty's result, see Theorem 2.41, already implies conditions (2.3). As expected, we get

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R/I) = 2 \cdot 3 = 6.$$

Notice that the tangent space is concentrated in degree  $-1$ .

### 2.6.2. Computations from Example 2.43.

```
R = QQ[x,y];
m2 = (ideal R_*)^2; -- Square of the maximal ideal
N = Hom(m2,R/m2) -- Computation of Hom module
hilbertFunction (-1,N) -- Degree -1 H--S function of N
F = res m2; -- Resolution of R/m2
A = F.dd_1 -- Generators
B = F.dd_2 -- First syzygies
-- Straightforward computation of Hom(m2,R/m2)
S = R[a_1..a_3,b_1..b_3,c_1..c_3]
m2 = sub(m2,S);
Syz_1 = entries (B_0);
Syz_2 = entries (B_1);
-- Impose syzygies to the image of a morphism
Im = for i from 1 to 3 list (a_i + b_i *x + c_i*y) -- Image of a morphism
Check = ideal for j from 1 to 2 list sum for i from 0 to 2 list (Im#i)*(Syz_j#i) -- Imposition
sub(Check , S/m2) -- Recover the conditions a_1=a_2=a_3=0
```

**Exercise 2.44.** Compute the modules  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$  for  $I$  one of the following ideals

- $I = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^d) \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , for  $n, d \geq 2$ ,
- $I = (x, y) \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ ,
- $I = (x, y, z)^2 \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ ,
- $I = (x, y)^2 + (z, t)^2 + (xz - yt) \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, t]$ .

In the last case show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R/I)_{-1} = 4.$$

In particular, by Exercise 2.40, we get

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R/I)_{-1} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right).$$

2.6.3. *Some computations for Exercise 2.44.*

```
-- Ideal I1
R1 = QQ[x,y]; I1 = ideal R1_*; N1 =Hom(I1,R1/I1);
-- Ideal I2
R2 = QQ[x,y,z]; I2 = (ideal R2_*)^2; N2 =Hom(I2,R2/I2);
-- Ideal I3
R3=QQ[x,y,z,t]; I3=(ideal(x,y))^2+(ideal(z,t))^2+det(matrix{{x,z},{y,t}}); N3=Hom(I3,R3/I3);
-- Dimension of tangent spaces
(degree N1, degree N2, degree N3)
-- Degree -1 part of N3
hilbertFunction(-1,N3)
```

**Exercise 2.45.** Consider the power of the maximal ideal  $I = (x, y)^k \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ , for some  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$  is concentrated in degree  $-1$ .

```
n= 3; k= 4; R = QQ[x_1..x_n]; m=ideal R_*;
N=Hom(m^k,R/m^k);
-- User-friendly output
for i from -2 to 2 do print("h("|toString i "|")="|toString hilbertFunction(i,N))
```

### 3. LECTURE 3

In this lecture we introduce the notion of a (flat) family of subschemes. We will first focus on families over the spectrum of dual numbers and then show how these families can be lifted to other Artinian  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras of finite type. The theory will be presented mainly by means of working examples. The last part deals with the concept of limit of a flat family.

#### 3.1. Notation.

- We denote by  $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}^n$  the affine space  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  to emphasise the choice of coordinates.
- Similarly, we write  $\mathbb{P}_{x_0, \dots, x_n}^n$  to underline the choice of homogeneous coordinates  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .
- Given two varieties  $X, Y$ , and two points  $p \in X, q \in Y$  points, we denote by  $(X, p) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (Y, q)$  a morphism from  $X$  to  $Y$  sending the point  $p$  to the point  $q$ .

3.2. **The spectrum of dual numbers.** The easiest example of non reduced scheme is the double point.

**Definition 3.1.** The ring of dual numbers is the quotient ring  $\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$ . According to the standard notation in the literature we denote it by  $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the class of  $t$  in the quotient.

In what follows we denote its spectrum by  $D_{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The scheme  $D_\varepsilon$  has a unique closed point corresponding to the maximal ideal  $(\varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ . The big difference from the one-point scheme  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$  is that the "ring of functions" of  $D_\varepsilon$ , i.e. its coordinate ring, does not only consist in constants but it contains classes of polynomials of degree at most one, see Remark 3.3 for more details. Indeed, we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \cong_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(1, \varepsilon).$$

**Remark 3.3.** The ring of dual numbers (or equivalently its spectrum) is the algebraic geometrical analogue of the notion of "*infinitesimal*" from other subjects. To give an idea, we present some informal explanations below.

- In analysis the small-O in Landau notation stands for an infinitesimal function around a given point  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e. a function whose powers are negligible with respect to constant functions.
- In differential geometry, partial derivatives describe the local properties of a function in an arbitrary small neighbourhood of a given point.

Another useful interpretation is to think of the spectrum of dual numbers as the scheme theoretic intersection of a conic and a line tangent to it. For example, it can be obtained as the limit of the intersection of a conic with a secant line that tends to be tangent to the conic.

**Remark 3.4.** In order to realise  $D_\varepsilon$  as a closed subscheme of the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n$  supported at the origin one has to fix a line  $L = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ , where  $\ell_i \in R_1$  is a linear form, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and then intersect it with the closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  defined by the vanishing of the square of maximal ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2$ .

To make an example, suppose that  $L$  is defined by the vanishing of the linear forms  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ , then we get the isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + \mathfrak{m}^2} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^2)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_n]}{(x_n^2)} \cong \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon].$$

Usually,  $D_\varepsilon$  is depicted as a closed point, together with a vector corresponding to the direction of  $L$ .



FIGURE 5. Pictorial description of  $D_\varepsilon$ .

**Exercise 3.5.** Let  $Z$  be an affine scheme of length 2 with a unique closed point. Then,  $Z$  is isomorphic (as  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme) to the spectrum of the ring of dual numbers, i.e.

$$Z \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon].$$

**Hint:** Fix the 2-dimensional vector space  $V \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ , a polynomial ring  $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with complex coefficients and the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$  generated by the variables. You need to endow  $V$  of a structure of  $R$ -module in such a way there is a vector  $v \in V$  such that  $R \cdot v = V$ . This is the same as to fix linear maps  $X_i \in \text{End}(V)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with suitable properties:

- the  $X_i$ 's commute,
- the  $R$ -module structure induced on  $V$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,
- the vector  $v \in V$  generates  $V$  via the  $R$ -action, i.e.  $\text{Span}(X_i \cdot v \mid i = 1, \dots, n) = V$ .

For instance, if  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  we obtain

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And the  $R$ -module structure induced on  $V$  is

$$V \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{(\alpha y - \beta x) + \mathfrak{m}^2}.$$

### 3.2.1. Solution to exercise Exercise 3.5.

```
R=QQ[a_(1,1)..a_(2,2),b_(1,1)..b_(2,2)];
X= sub (transpose genericMatrix (R,a_(1,1),2,2),{a_(1,2)=>0} );
Y= sub(transpose genericMatrix (R,b_(1,1),2,2),{b_(1,2)=>0} );
Cond1 = radical trim ideal (flatten entries X^2)           -- Nilpotence
Cond2 = radical trim ideal (flatten entries Y^2)           -- Nilpotence
Cond3 = radical trim ideal (flatten entries X*Y)           -- Nilpotence
Cond4 = radical trim ideal (flatten entries (X*Y-Y*X))     -- Commutativity
Cond = trim ( Cond1 + Cond2 + Cond3 + Cond4 )
X = sub( sub (X, R/Cond) , R)
Y = sub( sub (Y, R/Cond) , R)
```

**Exercise 3.6.** Show that, if  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is any zero-dimensional closed subscheme of length 2, then there exists a unique line  $L \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  such that  $Z \subset L$ .

**3.3. The tangent space in algebraic geometry.** Let  $X$  be a variety and let  $p \in X$  be a closed point. The tangent space  $T_p X$  has different characterisations. We recall now the most classical definition and then we discuss an equivalent formulation.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $X$  be a variety and let  $p \in X$  be a closed point. The tangent space  $T_p X$  is the following vector space

$$T_p X = (\mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2)^\vee,$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is the maximal ideal of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ .

As in differential geometry, where the tangent space is identified with the space of derivatives, in algebraic geometry there is a similar interpretation in terms of (pointed) closed immersions  $(D_\varepsilon, (\varepsilon)) \rightarrow (X, p)$ , see Remark 3.3.

**Exercise 3.8.** Let  $X$  be a variety and let  $p \in X$  be a closed point. The tangent space  $T_p X$  is isomorphic to the following vector space

$$T_p X \cong \{ \varphi : (D_\varepsilon, (\varepsilon)) \rightarrow (X, p) \}.$$

Notice that the exercise also requires to endow the left hand side of a structure of complex vector space.

**Hint:** We need a local ring homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} \xrightarrow{\varphi^\#} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$  sending the unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  to  $(\varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ . Start showing that  $\mathfrak{m}_p^2$  must be contained in  $\ker \varphi$ .

**Example 3.9.** In this example we compute the tangent space to the singular point of the nodal cubic.

Let  $C \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  be the curve defined by the vanishing of the polynomial  $f = y^2 - x^2(x+1)$ . Then, the Jacobian criterion tells us that the unique singular point of  $C$  is the origin  $(0,0) \in C \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ . Indeed, the Jacobian of  $f$  is

$$\text{Jac } f = \begin{pmatrix} -3x^2 - 2x \\ 2y \end{pmatrix}$$

and its rank drops on  $C$  only for  $x = y = 0$ .




FIGURE 6. Pictorial description of two tangents to the nodal cubic at the origin.

Let us compute the tangent space to  $C$  at the origin in terms of Exercise 3.8. A morphism  $D_\varepsilon \rightarrow C$  sending the unique closed point of  $D_\varepsilon$  to the origin, corresponds to a ring homomorphism

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{(f)} \xrightarrow{\varphi^\#} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$$

sending the maximal<sup>5</sup> ideal  $(x, y) \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(f)$  to  $(\varepsilon)$ . This is equivalent to endow the ring  $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$  of a structure of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ -module, see Remark 3.4.

In other words we ask for which  $[a : b] \in \mathbb{P}^1$  the association

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{(f)} &\xrightarrow{\varphi^\#} \frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{(ax + by) + \mathfrak{m}^2} \\ 1 &\longmapsto 1, \end{aligned}$$

is a well defined  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ -linear homomorphism. This is the case if and only if  $f \in (ax + by) + \mathfrak{m}^2$ .

Denote by  $I_{[a:b]}$  the ideal  $I_{[a:b]} = (ax + by) + \mathfrak{m}^2$ . If we put  $b = 0$ , then we clearly have

$$f \in I_{[1:0]} = (x, y^2).$$

If we put  $b \neq 0$  then we have

$$f = (y + ax)(y - ax) + x^2(a^2 - 1 - x) \in I_{[a:1]}.$$

Therefore, we recover the tangent space to the nodal cubic at its singular point as the tangent space of the whole affine plane at the origin  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{A}^2$ .

### 3.3.1. Computations with the nodal cubic.

```
R=QQ[x,y]; f = y^2 - x^2*(x+1);
Sings = trim (ideal(f)+ minors(1, jacobian f));      -- Jacobian ideal
Sings = trim oo                                       -- Minimal generators
-- Ambient variables for the pencil of lines trough the (0,0)
S = R[a,b];
f = sub (f, S);
I= trim ( ideal(a*x+b*y) + (ideal(x,y))^2)           -- Generic first order vector at (0,0)
I + f == I                                           -- Check f is in I
```

**Exercise 3.10.** The quadric cone is the hypersurface  $Q = \{x^2 - yz = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ .

- Show that the unique singular point of  $Q$  is the origin  $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{A}^3$ .
- Compute the tangent space in terms of closed immersions  $D_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow Q$ .

<sup>5</sup>We use the same notation for the variables and their classes in the quotient ring. Be aware of this fact.



- Show that the quadric cone is a cone with vertex at the origin, i.e. that for any  $p \in Q$  the line  $L_p = \langle (0, 0, 0), p \rangle$  generated by  $p$ , and the origin is entirely contained in  $Q$ .

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (t^2)/(t^3) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^3) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is natural to ask if a tangent vector  $\varphi : D_\varepsilon \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  lifts to a morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^3) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}^\#} X$ , i.e. if there exists a morphism  $\bar{\varphi}^\#$  making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_X & \xrightarrow{\varphi^\#} & \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2) \\ & \searrow \bar{\varphi}^\# & \uparrow \pi \\ & & \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^3), \end{array}$$

where  $I_X \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denotes the ideal of  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ .

**Remark 3.11.** Notice that the existence of the lifting is a local problem, and it only depends on the kind of singularity  $(X, p)$ .

In particular, the hypothesis  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is not restrictive as our problem is local in nature and any point of a scheme has an affine neighbourhood.

**Example 3.12.** Let us consider again the nodal cubic  $C \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ , see Example 3.9. In this example we detect tangents to  $C$  at the origin that can be lifted to  $\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^3)$ . Non-explicit computations are given in the M2 code below.

In practice, we ask for which polynomial

$$ax + by + cx^2 + dxy + ey^2 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y],$$

it is possible to build a commutative diagram of the form

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{f} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{(ax+by)+\mathfrak{m}^2} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{(ax+by+cx^2+dxy+ey^2)+\mathfrak{m}^3}. \end{array}$$

First, we put  $b = 0$  and thus  $a \neq 0$ , and we ask

$$f \stackrel{?}{\subset} (ax + cx^2 + dxy + ey^2) + \mathfrak{m}^3.$$

This question has clearly a negative answer, see M2 computations below if you need further confirmation. On the other hand, if we put  $b \neq 0$ , a direct computation shows that  $f \subset (y + ax + cx^2 + dxy + ey^2) + \mathfrak{m}^3$  if and only if

$$a^2 = b^2 \neq 0,$$

where the last inequality is consequence of the commutativity of the diagram (3.1), and of Example 3.9 where we required  $[a : b] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ .

### 3.3.2. Computations for Example 3.12.

```

U = frac(QQ[a,b,c,d,e]); T = U[x,y];
f = y^2 - x^2*(x+1);
curv = a*x+b*y+c*x^2+d*x*y+e*y^2 ;
I= trim ( ideal(curv) + (ideal(x,y))^3) ;
I + f == I
C = coefficients sub(sub(f,T/I),T);
Sol = ideal for u in apply (flatten entries C#1,d-> sub(d,U)) list numerator u;
minimalPrimes Sol
J1 = sub(I , {a=>1,b=>1}) ;
J2 = sub(I , {a=>1,b=>-1}) ;
J3 = sub(I , {a=>1,b=>-2}) ;
J1 + f == J1
J2 + f == J2
J3 + f == J3

```

### 3.4. Families of ideals of finite colength.

**Definition 3.13.** Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective variety and let  $B$  be a scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A family of closed subschemes of  $X$  parametrised by  $B$  (or a  $B$ -family of closed subschemes of  $X$ ) is a closed  $B$ -flat subscheme

$$Z \subset B \times X.$$

When  $B \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I)$  with  $\sqrt{I}$  maximal, we say that  $Z$  is an infinitesimal family.

When  $B = D_\varepsilon$  is the spectrum of dual numbers, we say that  $Z$  is a first order (infinitesimal) family<sup>6</sup>, see Lecture 4.

Flatness is a classical algebraic notion, see [8, §I.6]. Since we are interested on families of zero-dimensional schemes, or families parametrised by the spectrum of dual numbers  $D_\varepsilon$ , we report two sufficient conditions for flatness in these settings.

**Theorem 3.14** ([20, Chap. III Theorem 9.9]). *Let  $B, X$  be two quasi projective varieties with  $B$  integral. Let also  $Z \subset B \times X$  be a closed subscheme. Suppose also that for any  $b \in B$  the fibre  $Z_b = Z \cap (\{b\} \times X)$  is zero dimensional. Then,  $Z$  is  $B$ -flat if and only if the association*

$$b \mapsto \text{len } Z_b$$

*is constant.*

The second criterion for flatness we present is known as Artin criterion and it expresses flatness over  $\text{Spec } A$ , for  $A$  local Artinian algebra, in terms of syzygies of the so-called "*central fibre*", i.e. the fibre over the unique closed point of  $\text{Spec } A$ , we will expand on this in Lecture 4.

**Theorem 3.15** (Artin criterion for flatness [2]). *Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables and complex coefficients. Let also  $A$  be a local Artinian algebra of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let also  $I \subset R$  and  $I_A \subset R_A = R \otimes A$  be two ideals. Suppose that there is an exact sequence*

$$(3.2) \quad R^\ell \rightarrow R^m \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$$

---

<sup>6</sup>This terminology is not classical, in Lecture 4 we introduce the notion of infinitesimal deformation solving this notational problem.

and a complex

$$(3.3) \quad R_A^\ell \rightarrow R_A^m \rightarrow R_A \rightarrow R_A/I_A \rightarrow 0$$

such that the part

$$R_A^m \rightarrow R_A \rightarrow R_A/I_A \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Then, if (3.3)  $\otimes_A \mathbb{C} = (3.2)$ , the module  $R_A/I_A$  is  $A$ -flat.

**Remark 3.16.** Notice that Artin criterion is precisely what we asked in Subsection 2.6 to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear homomorphism in order to be  $R$ -linear. This is not a case as we will show in Lecture 4.

**Exercise 3.17.** Prove the following facts about infinitesimal families.

- Show that the "graph" of a tangent vector defines a first order family, i.e. show that the fibre product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_\varepsilon \times_X X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_X \\ D_\varepsilon & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

defines a first order family  $D_\varepsilon \times_X X \subset D_\varepsilon \times X$ .

- Interpret the lifts in Example 3.12 as infinitesimal families.

**Exercise 3.18.** This exercise suggests the relation between (lifts to spectra of local Artinian algebras of) tangent vectors and (infinitesimal) first order families.

- In the same setting of Example 3.12, find conditions on the complex numbers  $u, v, r, s$  such that the infinitesimal family corresponding to the ideal  $(y + x + cx^2 + dxy + ey^2) + \mathfrak{m}^3$ , where  $c, d, e \in \mathbb{C}$ , lifts to

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{(y + x + cx^2 + dxy + ey^2 + ux^3 + vx^2y + rxy^2 + sy^3) + \mathfrak{m}^4}.$$

- Show that a tangent vector  $(D_\varepsilon, (\varepsilon)) \hookrightarrow (Q, (0, 0, 0))$  lifts to an infinitesimal family

$$(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^3), (t)) \hookrightarrow (Q, (0, 0, 0)),$$

if and only if it factors as shown in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (D_\varepsilon, (\varepsilon)) & \hookrightarrow & (Q, (0, 0, 0)) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & (\mathbb{A}^1, 0). \end{array}$$

### 3.4.1. Computations for Exercise 3.18.

```
V = frac(QQ[c,d,e,u,v,r,s]);
O = V[x,y]
f = y^2 - x^2*(x+1);
curv = x+y+c*x^2+d*x*y+e*y^2+u*x^3 +v*x^2*y + r*x*y^2+s*y^3
I= trim ( ideal(curv) + (ideal(x,y))^4)
I + f == I
C = coefficients sub(sub(f,0/I),0);
Sol = ideal for k in apply (flatten entries C#1,d-> sub(d,V)) list numerator k;
Sol = (minimalPrimes Sol )#0_#0
J = sub( I , c=> -(- 2*d + 2*e - 1)/2)
J + f == J
```

**Example 3.19.** We put  $X = \mathbb{A}_x^1$  and  $B = \mathbb{A}_a^1$ . Then, a family of closed subschemes of  $X$  parametrised by  $B$  is a closed subscheme  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}_a^1 \times \mathbb{A}_x^1 \cong \mathbb{A}_{a,x}^2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]$  flat over  $\mathbb{A}_a^1$ . Therefore, to define  $Z$ , we need to give an ideal  $I_Z \subset \mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]$  defining an  $\mathbb{A}_a^1$ -flat family  $Z$ , i.e. we look for a commutative diagram of the following form,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_a^1 \times \mathbb{A}_x^1 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{A}_a^1, \end{array}$$

where  $Z$  is  $\mathbb{A}_a^1$ -flat.

Let us pick the ideal  $I_Z = (x - \alpha) \subset \mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]$ , defining the diagonal  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{A}_{a,x}^2$ . Notice that, for all  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{\mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]}{(\alpha - \alpha_0, x - \alpha)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]}{(\alpha - \alpha_0, x - \alpha_0)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(x - \alpha_0)} \cong \mathbb{C}[\alpha_0] \cong \mathbb{C} & \longleftarrow & \frac{\mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]}{(x - \alpha)} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}[\alpha, x] \\ & & \swarrow & & \uparrow \\ & \frac{\mathbb{C}[\alpha]}{(\alpha - \alpha_0)} \cong \mathbb{C}[\alpha_0] \cong \mathbb{C} & \longleftarrow & & \mathbb{C}[\alpha], \end{array}$$

which guarantees flatness of the family as  $\text{len } Z_{\alpha_0} = 1$  for all  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , see Theorem 3.14. Figure 7 is a pictorial description of the family  $Z$ .




FIGURE 7. Pictorial description of the family  $Z$ . The family is the yellow curve and the fibre over  $\alpha_0$  is the red point.

**Example 3.20.** Again, as in Example 3.19 we put  $X = \mathbb{A}_x^1$  and  $B = \mathbb{A}_a^1$ . This time, we consider the ideal  $I_Z = (x - 1)(x - \alpha) \subset \mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]$  defining the union of the diagonal  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{A}_{a,x}^2$  and the line having equation  $x = 1$ .

When  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 1$ , the fibre  $Z_{\alpha_0}$  consists of two distinct points, see Figure 8.




FIGURE 8. The fibre over  $\alpha_0 \neq 1$  consists of the two red points of  $X = \mathbb{A}_x^1$ .

Now we look at the fibre over the point  $1 \in \mathbb{A}_a^1$ . This is computed via the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{\mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]}{(\alpha - 1, (x - 1)^2)} \cong \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] & \longleftarrow & \frac{\mathbb{C}[\alpha, x]}{(x - 1)(x - \alpha)} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}[\alpha, x] \\ & & \swarrow & & \uparrow \\ & \frac{\mathbb{C}[\alpha]}{(\alpha - 1)} & \longleftarrow & & \mathbb{C}[\alpha], \end{array}$$

whose geometric counterpart is

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} D_\varepsilon & \hookrightarrow & Z & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\alpha,x}^2 \\ \downarrow & & & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \{1\} & \hookrightarrow & & & \mathbb{A}_\alpha^1. \end{array}$$

Summarising, all the fibres have length 2 and thus  $Z$  is flat over  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^1$  and it defines an  $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^1$ -family of closed subschemes of  $\mathbb{A}_x^1$ , see Theorem 3.14.

Again, in Figure 9 we present a pictorial version of the diagram Equation (3.4).




FIGURE 9. Pictorial counterpart of the commutative diagram Equation (3.4).

The following exercise explains how to build a  $D_\varepsilon$ -family starting from a morphism  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$ , see [2] for more details.

**Exercise 3.21.** Let  $I \subset R$  be a  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal and let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(I, R/I)$  be a  $R$ -linear homomorphism. Then, the ideal

$$I_\varepsilon = (f + \varepsilon \cdot \widetilde{\varphi(f)} \mid f \in I, \text{ and } \widetilde{\varphi(f)} \in R \text{ is a representative of } \varphi(f) \in R/I \subset \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \otimes R,$$

defines a  $D_\varepsilon$ -family of closed subschemes of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . In particular, the family defined by  $I_\varepsilon$  is a first order infinitesimal family.

**3.5. Limits.** We conclude this lecture by discussing limits of (families of) ideals.

**Theorem 3.22** ([20, Proposition 9.8]). *Let  $B$  be a smooth irreducible variety of dimension 1, and let  $p \in B$  be a closed point. Let also  $Z \subset (B \setminus p) \times \mathbb{P}^n$  be a closed subscheme flat over  $B \setminus p$ . Then, there exists a unique closed subscheme  $\overline{Z} \subset B \times \mathbb{P}^n$  flat over  $B$ , whose restriction to  $(B \setminus p) \times \mathbb{P}^n$  is  $Z$ .*

**Remark 3.23.** In Theorem 3.22 we can replace  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with any  $X$  projective.

If the fibres of the restriction of the projection  $\pi_B|_Z : Z \rightarrow B$  are zero-dimensional and all have the same support, we can replace  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . This is true because zero-dimensional schemes are the only projective schemes that can be embedded in affine spaces, and the requirement that they have the same support guarantees that they cannot escape at infinity.

**Example 3.24.** In order to deal with limits, it is crucial to work with  $\dim Y = 1$ .

Consider the ideal  $I_{s,t} = (x, y) \cap (x-s, y-t) \subset \mathbb{C}[s, t]_{(s,t)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  defining a closed subscheme  $Z$  of the product  $(\mathbb{A}_{s,t}^2 \setminus (0,0)) \times \mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$ . Then, we have

$$I = (x, y) \cap (x-s, y-t) = (x, y) \cdot (x-s, y-t),$$

where the last equality is a consequence of the fact that the ideals  $(x, y)$  and  $(x-s, y-t)$  define disjoint subschemes of  $(\mathbb{A}_{s,t}^2 \setminus (0,0)) \times \mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$ . Then, for any  $(s_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{A}_{s,t}^2 \setminus (0,0)$  the fibre  $Z_{(s_0, t_0)}$  has length 2. On the

other hand, the limit at the origin  $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{A}_{s,t}^2$  is

$$Z_{(0,0)} = \text{Spec } R/(x, y)^2,$$

and it has length 3. Therefore, the closure subscheme  $\overline{Z} \subset \mathbb{A}_{s,t}^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$  is not  $\mathbb{A}_{s,t}^2$ -flat and it does not define an  $\mathbb{A}_{s,t}^2$ -family of closed subschemes of  $\mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$ , see Theorem 3.14.

See also Exercise 3.29

**Remark 3.25.** Naive limits do not work!!!

Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{A}^n$  be a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -family of zero-dimensional subschemes of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , and let  $I_Z \subset \mathbb{C}[s, s^{-1}] \otimes R$  be its defining ideal.

Suppose that we want to compute the ideal of the limit  $\overline{Z}_0 \subset R \otimes \mathbb{C}[s]$  following Theorem 3.22. It is in general not enough to put  $s = 0$  to get the required result. The correct procedure is to first saturate the ideal  $I_Z \cap R \otimes \mathbb{C}[s]$  by the ideal  $(s)$  and then make the substitution  $s \rightarrow 0$ .

Geometrically, saturation corresponds to flattification, i.e. it corresponds to substitute the fibre over the origin of  $I_Z \cap \mathbb{C}[s]$  with the unique fibre making the family flat, see Remark 3.27

**Definition 3.26.** Let  $I, J \subset R$  be two ideals, then the saturation of  $I$  with respect to  $J$  is the following ideal

$$(I : J^\infty) = \bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty} (I : J^d).$$

**Remark 3.27.** Geometrically the ideal  $(I : J)$  correspond to the following operation

$$\overline{\text{Spec}(R/I) \setminus \text{Spec}(R/J)} \subset \text{Spec}(R).$$

Similarly, saturation remove from the zero-locus of  $I$  all the thickenings of the zero locus of  $J$  and then takes the closure.

**Example 3.28.** Let us see saturation in action.

Consider the ideal  $I_s = (x, y) \cdot (x - s, y - s) \subset \mathbb{C}[s, x, y]$  defining a closed subscheme  $Z$  of the product  $\mathbb{A}_s^1 \times \mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$ . Then, for any  $s_0 \in \mathbb{A}_s^1 \setminus 0$  the fibre  $Z_{s_0}$  has length 2. On the other hand

$$Z_0 = \text{Spec } R/(x, y)^2,$$

has length 3. Therefore, the subscheme  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2 \times \mathbb{A}_s^1$  is not  $\mathbb{A}_s^1$ -flat and it does not define an  $\mathbb{A}_s^1$ -family of closed subschemes of  $\mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$ , see Theorem 3.14.

Now, we saturate the ideal  $I_s$  with respect to the ideal  $s$  and we get the ideal

$$\begin{aligned} (I_s : (s)^\infty) &= ((x, y) \cdot (x - s, y - s), (s)^\infty) \\ &= ((x^2 - xs, y^2 - ys, xy - xs, xs - ys), (s)^\infty) \\ &= (x^2 - xs, x - y) \end{aligned}$$

defining a closed subscheme  $\tilde{Z}_s \subset \mathbb{A}_s^1 \times \mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2$ .

Finally, note that  $Z_{s_0} = \tilde{Z}_{s_0}$  for  $s_0 \in \mathbb{A}_s^1 \setminus 0$ , but now  $\text{len } \tilde{Z}_0 = 2$ . This provides a  $\mathbb{A}_s^1$ -family structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

**Exercise 3.29.** Show that saturation does not solve the non-flatness issue in Example 3.24.

### 3.5.1. Computations for Examples 3.24 and 3.28 and Exercise 3.29.

```

R=QQ[x,y,s,t];
I=intersect(ideal(x-s,y-s),ideal(x-t,y+t));
J= ideal(x-s,y-s)*ideal(x-t,y+t);
I==J
degree I
degree sub(I,{s=>0,t=>0})
R=QQ[x,y,s];
I=ideal(x,y)*ideal(x-s,y-s);
degree I
degree sub(I,s=>0)
ISat = saturate(I,s)
degree ISat
degree sub(ISat,s=>0)

```

## 4. LECTURE 4

In this lecture, we introduce the notion of Hilbert scheme of points and its nested variants. After giving some historical notes and some state of the art on the problems concerning the geometry of these schemes, we focus on understanding their tangent spaces. We then present an example of explicit computations and two Macaulay2 computations performed with the new package `HilbertAndQuotSchemesOfPoints.m2` developed by Paolo Lella [31].

### 4.1. Notation.

- In this lecture, by variety we mean irreducible and reduced schemes, i.e. an integral scheme.
- A fat point is  $\text{Spec}(A)$  for  $A$  local Artinian  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of finite type and a fat nesting is a chain of inclusions  $Z_1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_r$  of fat points.

**4.2. Definition of Hilbert scheme of points and first properties.** Let  $d$  be a positive integer, and let  $X$  be a quasi-projective variety. Recall that the *Hilbert functor* of  $d$  points in  $X$  is the association  $\text{Hilb}^d X : \text{Sch}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{SET}$  defined by

$$(\text{Hilb}^d X)(B) = \{ B\text{-families of closed subschemes of } X \text{ of length } d \}.$$

By a celebrated result of Grothendieck, the functor  $\text{Hilb}^d X$  is representable and the fine moduli space  $\text{Hilb}^d X$  representing it is a quasi-projective scheme called *Hilbert scheme of  $d$  points on  $X$* , see [17].

We give below a reformulation of the definition of Hilbert scheme relying on the notion of representability.

**Exercise 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a quasi-projective variety and let  $d$  be a non-negative integer. The Hilbert scheme of  $d$  points on  $X$  is a pair  $(\text{Hilb}^d X, \mathcal{Z}_X^d)$  where

- $\text{Hilb}^d X$  is a quasi-projective scheme,
- $\mathcal{Z}_X^d \subset (\text{Hilb}^d X) \times X$  is a  $\text{Hilb}^d X$ -family of zero-dimensional closed subschemes of  $X$  of length  $d$ ,

such that, for any pair  $(B, \mathcal{Z}_B)$  with

- $B$  quasi-projective scheme,
- $\mathcal{Z}_B \subset B \times X$   $B$ -family of zero-dimensional closed subschemes of  $X$  of length  $d$ ,

there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi_{B, \mathcal{Z}_B} : B \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^d X$  such that  $(\varphi_{B, \mathcal{Z}_B}, \text{id}_X)^{-1} \mathcal{Z}_X^d = \mathcal{Z}_B$ .

**Remark 4.2.** From Exercise 4.1 is clear that each point of  $\text{Hilb}^d X$  corresponds to a zero-dimensional closed subscheme  $Z \subset X$  of length  $d$ . Thus, we denote by  $[Z]$  the point corresponding to the scheme  $Z$ .

Another important piece of information we get from Exercise 4.1 is that, giving a  $B$ -family of zero-dimensional subschemes of length  $d$  of  $X$  is equivalent to giving a morphism  $B \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^d X$ . This observation is crucial and many of the constructions concerning Hilbert schemes (or moduli spaces in general) are based on this fact.

**Remark 4.3.** Although it is not known, in general, for which pair  $(X, d)$  the Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}^d X$  is irreducible, there is a component which can always be defined. Precisely, the *smoothable component* is the irreducible component defined as the closure of the open subscheme  $U \subset \text{Hilb}^d X$  parametrising closed and reduced zero-dimensional subschemes of length  $d$  of  $X$ .

**Definition 4.4.** A point  $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}^d X$  is *smoothable* if it belongs to the smoothable component and *cleavable* otherwise. On the other hand a component is *elementary* if it parametrises only fat points.

**Definition 4.5.** The Hilbert–Chow morphism is the projective morphism associating to any point  $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}^d X$  its cycle-theoretic counterpart, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hilb}^d X &\xrightarrow{\text{HC}_{X,d}} \text{Sym}^d(X) \\ [Z] &\longmapsto \sum_{p \in X} \text{mult}_p(Z) \cdot p, \end{aligned}$$

see [37, §6.1.1] for more details. In this setting, the punctual Hilbert scheme at  $p$ , denoted by  $\text{Hilb}_p^d X$  is the fibre  $\text{HC}_{X,d}^{-1}(d \cdot p)$ , which set-theoretically corresponds to the set of fat points supported at the origin.

**Exercise 4.6.** If  $X$  is a smooth variety the fibres of the Hilbert–Chow morphism over the cycles of the form  $d \cdot p$  are isomorphic for all  $p \in X$ .

**Notation 4.7.** Since we work with smooth varieties, the isomorphism class of the quasi-projective scheme  $\text{Hilb}_p^d X$  does not depend neither on  $p$  nor on  $X$ , see Exercise 4.6. Thus, from now on, by punctual Hilbert scheme we mean the fibre  $\text{HC}^{-1}(d \cdot 0)$  of the morphism

$$\text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{\text{HC}_{\mathbb{A}^n,d}} \text{Sym}^d(\mathbb{A}^n),$$

where  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^n$  is the origin.

Moreover, in order to ease the notation, we omit the dependence on  $X$  and on  $d$  and we put  $\text{HC} := \text{HC}_{X,d}$ .

**Exercise 4.8.** If  $C$  is a smooth curve, the Hilbert–Chow morphism  $\text{Hilb}^d C \xrightarrow{\text{HC}} \text{Sym}^d C$  is an isomorphism.

**Hint:** Points on a smooth curve are effective divisors.

**Theorem 4.9** ([17, 10]). *Let  $S$  be a smooth quasi-projective surface. Then, the Hilbert–Chow morphism*

$$\text{Hilb}^d S \xrightarrow{\text{HC}} \text{Sym}^d S$$

*is a crepant<sup>7</sup> resolution of singularities.*

<sup>7</sup>See [35] for the definition of crepant resolution.



**Example 4.10.** Let us study the geometry of  $\text{Hilb}^2 \mathbb{A}^2$ . We first describe the symmetric product. We have

$$\text{Sym}^2 \mathbb{A}^2 \cong (\mathbb{A}_{x,y}^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{a,b}^2) / \mathfrak{S}_2,$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  is the symmetric group in two letters and it acts by swapping the pairs  $(x, y)$  and  $(a, b)$ . Thus, we have

$$\text{Sym}^2 \mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, a, b]^{\mathfrak{S}_2} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x + a, y + b, x - a, y - b]^{\mathfrak{S}_2}.$$

Where the second equality is a linear change of coordinates. Now, we put

$$u_1 = x + a, \quad u_2 = y + b, \quad v_1 = x - a, \quad v_2 = y - b,$$

and we get

$$\text{Sym}^2 \mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2]^{\mathfrak{S}_2} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u_1, u_2] \otimes \mathbb{C}[v_1^2, v_1 v_2, v_2^2] \cong \mathbb{A}^2 \times A_1,$$

where  $A_1$  denotes the first du Val singularity.

Now, by Theorem 4.9, the Hilbert–Chow morphism realises the Hilbert scheme as the crepant resolution of  $\mathbb{A}^2 \times A_1$  whose geometry is very well understood, see [36, 14].

**Example 4.11.** In this example we investigate the geometry of  $\text{Hilb}^2 \mathbb{P}^n$  for every  $n \geq 3$ . Recall that, for every zero-dimensional subscheme of length 2 of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  there exists a unique line  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  containing  $Z$ , see Exercise 3.6. Consider the following closed subscheme

$$\{([Z], p) \in (\text{Hilb}^2 \mathbb{P}^n) \times \mathbb{P}^n \mid p \in \langle Z \rangle \cong \mathbb{P}^1\} \subset (\text{Hilb}^2 \mathbb{P}^n) \times \mathbb{P}^n.$$

By the universal property of the Grassmannian we get a Zariski locally trivial fibration<sup>8</sup>

$$\text{Hilb}^2 \mathbb{P}^n \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Gr}(1, n) \cong \text{Gr}(2, n+1),$$

whose fibres are isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme of two points on the projective line. Explicitly, the scheme  $\text{Hilb}^2 \mathbb{P}^n$  admits a structure of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle over  $\text{Gr}(1, n)$ , see Exercise 4.8.

**Exercise 4.12.** The smoothable component  $V_{\text{sm}}^{d,X} \subset \text{Hilb}^d X$  has dimension

$$\dim V_{\text{sm}}^{d,X} = d \cdot \dim X.$$

Elementary components are considered the building blocks of Hilbert schemes of points as a consequence of the following result given by Iarrobino in [22].

**Theorem 4.13.** *All the irreducible components of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth variety are generically étale-locally products of elementary ones.*

**Example 4.14.** Let us explain Theorem 4.13 via an example. Consider the open subset  $V_{\text{sm},o}^{d,X} \subset V_{\text{sm}}^{d,X}$  defined by

$$V_{\text{sm},o}^{d,X} = \{[Z] \in V_{\text{sm}}^{d,X} \mid Z \text{ is reduced}\}.$$

Consider also the open subset

$$\text{Sym}_o^d X = (\text{Sym}^d X) \setminus \Delta \cong (\text{Sym}^d \text{Hilb}^1 X) \setminus \Delta,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the locus of non-reduced cycles. Then the Hilbert–Chow morphism restricts to an isomorphism

$$V_{\text{sm},o}^{d,X} \cong \text{Sym}_o^d X$$

---

<sup>8</sup>**Exercise:** Trivialise the morphism.

yielding a degree  $d!$  rational map

$$(\mathrm{Hilb}^1 X)^{\times d} \xrightarrow{d!:1} V_{\mathrm{sm}}^{d,X}.$$

**4.3. The geometry of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth variety.** We focus now on Hilbert schemes of points on smooth varieties. Since all the question we address here are local in nature, we can safely put  $X = \mathbb{A}^n$  when we perform explicit computations, see [37, Chap. 6].

**Notation 4.15.** As there is a bijection between closed subschemes  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  and their ideals  $I_Z \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we denote points of the Hilbert scheme  $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{A}^n)$  by  $[Z]$  or  $[I_Z]$  interchangeably.

The geometry of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth quasi-projective variety  $X$  has been intensively studied in the last decades and it still offers many hard open questions, see [28].

By a classical result of Fogarty, we know that the Hilbert scheme of points of a connected variety  $X$  is connected<sup>9</sup> for all  $d$  [10]. If  $X$  is smooth and irreducible, then  $\mathrm{Hilb}^d X$  is smooth, and hence irreducible, as long as  $\dim X \leq 2$  [10]. In higher dimension,  $\mathrm{Hilb}^d X$  is smooth for  $\dim X \geq 3$  and  $d \leq 3$ , and singular otherwise, see Theorem 4.19 and Exercise 2.44. In [27] Jelisiejew showed that its singularities are pathological proving that Hilbert schemes of points satisfy Vakil's Murphy's Law up to retraction [40].

Another open question concerns the irreducibility of these schemes. It is known that, when  $\dim X \geq 4$ ,  $\mathrm{Hilb}^d X$  is irreducible for  $d \leq 7$  and reducible otherwise [25, 32, 4]. On the other hand, the problem of determining the irreducibility of  $\mathrm{Hilb}^d X$  for a smooth irreducible threefold  $X$  is only partially solved. Indeed, it has been recently proved that  $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{A}^3)$  is irreducible for  $d \leq 11$  [41, 21, 7], and by a classical result of Iarrobino it is reducible for  $d \geq 78$  [24], but nothing is known for the intermediate cases.

The schematic structure of the Hilbert schemes offers much more difficult questions. It was shown recently in [29] that, if  $\dim X \geq 4$  then  $\mathrm{Hilb}^d X$  has generically non reduced components for  $d \geq 21$ . On the other hand the question of whether the Hilbert scheme on a smooth threefold is reduced is still open, and it is considered a hard problem in algebraic geometry due to the lack of tools to detect nonreducedness, see [27, 39] for other examples of nonreduced Hilbert Schemes.

**4.4. Nested variants of the Hilbert scheme of points.** Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety and let  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  be a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers. The  $\mathbf{d}$ -nested Hilbert functor of  $X$ ,  $\underline{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{\mathbf{d}} X : \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sets}$ , is the contravariant functor defined as follows

$$(\underline{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{\mathbf{d}} X)(B) = \{ \mathcal{Z}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{Z}_r \mid \mathcal{Z}_i \text{ is a } B\text{-families of closed subschemes of } X \text{ of length } d_i, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r \}.$$

Analogously to the classical Hilb-functor, the nested one  $\underline{\mathrm{Hilb}}^{\mathbf{d}} X$  is representable by a quasi-projective scheme, see [38, Theorem 4.5.1] and [30]. We call<sup>10</sup> this scheme the  $\mathbf{d}$ -nested Hilbert scheme and we denote it by  $\mathrm{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} X$ . We will denote points of the nested Hilbert scheme by  $[(Z_i)_{i=1}^r]$ , see Remark 4.2.

**Exercise 4.16.** Write the correct definition of nested Hilbert–Chow morphism and of punctual nested Hilbert scheme.

**Hint:** Set theoretically the punctual nested Hilbert scheme parametrise fat nestings supported at the origin.

<sup>9</sup>The same result for the Hilbert scheme of points on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  has been proven by Hartshorne in [19]. We also stress that working with zero-dimensional subschemes is crucial in order to have connectedness.

<sup>10</sup>This scheme is sometimes called flag Hilbert scheme, see [30, 38].

The geometry of *nested* Hilbert schemes on surfaces is still largely unexplored. In [11], it has been proven that the nested Hilbert scheme is irreducible as soon as the nesting consists of two subschemes. On the other hand, in [34] there are examples of reducible nested Hilbert schemes on surfaces with  $r = 5$ .

The schematic structure of  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} S$  is studied for instance in [12] where it is proven that there exists an increasing sequence  $0 < d_1 < \dots < d_5$  of positive integers such that  $\text{Hilb}^{1, d_1, \dots, d_5} S$  has generically nonreduced components. See Cheah's work [6] for a characterisation of smoothness (in all dimensions).

In higher dimension, the situation gets soon very pathological. For instance  $\text{Hilb}^{1,8} \mathbb{A}^4$  admits generically nonreduced components, see [12].

**4.5. Tangent space to the Hilbert scheme of points.** We are now ready to give the notion of first order deformation of a given closed subscheme  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ .

**Definition 4.17.** A *first order deformation* of a scheme  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & Z_{D_\varepsilon} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} & \hookrightarrow & D_\varepsilon \end{array}$$

where  $f$  is a flat morphism, such that the induced morphism  $Z \rightarrow Z_{D_\varepsilon} \times_{D_\varepsilon} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$  is an isomorphism.

**Remark 4.18.** A first order deformation is a first order family, see Definition 3.13.

As a consequence of the universal property of the Hilbert scheme, we can associate to each first order deformation of  $Z$  a morphism of schemes  $D_\varepsilon \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$  mapping the unique closed point of  $D_\varepsilon$  to  $[I_Z]$ . We denote the collection of these morphisms by  $\text{Hom}_{I_Z}(D_\varepsilon, \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n)$ .

Recall that this set has a canonical structure of vector space and it is canonically isomorphic to the tangent space  $T_{[I_Z]} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$ , see Exercise 3.8. The following result gives a different characterisation of the tangent space of the Hilbert scheme at a given point  $[I] \in \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$ , which is more suitable for computations.

**Theorem 4.19** ([9, Corollary 6.4.10]). *Let  $[I] \in \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$  be any point and let  $T_{[I]} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$  denote the tangent space of  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$  at  $[I]$ . Then,*

$$T_{[I]} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}(I, \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I) \simeq \text{Hom}_I(D_\varepsilon, \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n).$$

**Remark 4.20.** In Lecture 2, Exercise 2.44 we have computed many examples of tangent spaces to the Hilbert scheme.

In the nested setting, the tangent space to the nested Hilbert scheme is a vector subspace of the direct product of tangent spaces to classical Hilbert schemes cut out by the nestings conditions.

**Proposition 4.21.** *Let us fix some non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  and a point  $[(Z)_{i=1}^r] \in \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$ . Then, there is a natural identification of the tangent space  $T_{[(Z)_{i=1}^r]} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$  with the vector subspace of the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r T_{[Z_i]} \text{Hilb}^{d_i} \mathbb{A}^n$  consisting of  $r$ -tuples  $(\varphi_i)_{i=1}^r$  such that all the*

squares of the following diagram

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} I_1 & \longleftrightarrow & I_2 & \longleftrightarrow & I_3 & \cdots & I_{r-1} & \longleftrightarrow & I_r \\ \varphi_1 \downarrow & & \varphi_2 \downarrow & & \varphi_3 \downarrow & & \varphi_{r-1} \downarrow & & \varphi_r \downarrow \\ R/I_1 & \longleftarrow & R/I_2 & \longleftarrow & R/I_3 & \cdots & R/I_{r-1} & \longleftarrow & R/I_r \end{array}$$

commutes, see [38, Section 4.5].

**4.6. The Białynicki-Birula decomposition of the punctual nested Hilbert scheme.** For a fat point  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  supported at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{A}^n$  defined by the ideal  $I_Z \subset R$ , and given an integer  $k \geq 0$ , we set

$$I_Z^{\geq k} = I_Z \cap \mathfrak{m}^k \subset I_Z \quad \text{and} \quad (R/I_Z)^{\geq k} = (\mathfrak{m}^k + I_Z)/I_Z \subset (R/I_Z).$$

**Definition 4.22.** Let  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$  be a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers and let  $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}_0^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n \subset \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$  be a point. The *nonnegative part of the tangent space*  $T_{[Z]} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$  is the vector subspace

$$T_{[Z]}^{\geq 0} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n = \left\{ \delta \in T_{[Z]} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n \mid \delta_i(I_{Z_i}^{\geq k}) \subset (R/I_{Z_i})^{\geq k} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and for } i = 1, \dots, \ell \right\} \subset T_{[Z]} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n.$$

Notice that nonnegative tangent vectors can be understood as commutative diagrams of the form (4.1) where  $\delta_i \in T_{[Z_i]}^{\geq 0} \text{Hilb}^{d_i} X$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ .

The nonnegative part of the tangent space can be interpreted as the tangent space to the so-called Białynicki-Birula decomposition of the punctual nested Hilbert scheme, whose definition we recall now. Consider the lift of the diagonal action of the torus  $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s, s^{-1}]$  on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , given by homotheties, to the nested Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_0^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$ . The *Białynicki-Birula decomposition of the punctual nested Hilbert scheme* is the quasiprojective scheme  $\text{Hilb}_0^{\mathbf{d},+} \mathbb{A}^n$  representing the functor  $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  sending

$$B \mapsto \left\{ \overline{\mathbb{G}}_m \times B \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n \mid \varphi \text{ is } \mathbb{G}_m\text{-equivariant} \right\},$$

where by convention one sets  $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s^{-1}]$ , see [26, 12].

**Proposition 4.23** ([26, Thm. 4.11]). *Let  $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}_0^{\mathbf{d},+} \mathbb{A}^n$  be a point. Then*

$$T_{[Z]} \text{Hilb}_0^{\mathbf{d},+} \mathbb{A}^n = T_{[Z]}^{\geq 0} \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n.$$

**Remark 4.24.** Set-theoretically  $\text{Hilb}_0^{\mathbf{d},+} \mathbb{A}^n$  coincides with the punctual nested Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_0^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbb{A}^n$ , in the sense that there is a bijective morphism between the reductions of these two schemes.

On the other hand, the Hilbert–Samuel strata  $H_{\mathbf{h}}$ , recalled in Subsection 4.7 below, are union of connected components of the punctual Hilbert scheme endowed with the schematic structure induced by the Białynicki-Birula decomposition. This induces a canonical schematic structure on the Hilbert–Samuel strata and it allows us to define morphisms with target  $H_{\mathbf{h}}$  canonically; this, in turn, provides an upper bound for the dimension of the fibre of the initial ideal morphism (4.3).

**4.7. Hilbert–Samuel strata and homogeneous loci.** We are ready to introduce the *Hilbert–Samuel stratification*. We refer the reader to [18] for more details.

**Definition 4.25.** Given a function  $\mathbf{h}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with finite support, the *Hilbert–Samuel stratum*  $H_{\mathbf{h}}$  is the (possibly empty) locally closed subset

$$H_{\mathbf{h}} = \left\{ [I] \in \text{Hilb}_0^{|\mathbf{h}|} \mathbb{A}^n \mid \mathbf{h}_I = \mathbf{h} \right\} \subset \text{Hilb}_0^{|\mathbf{h}|} \mathbb{A}^n.$$

We endow it with the schematic structure induced by the Białynicki-Birula decomposition, see Remark 4.24.

The *Hilbert–Samuel stratification*

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Hilb}_0^d \mathbb{A}^n = \coprod_{|\mathbf{h}|=d} H_{\mathbf{h}}$$

will be our main tool in later computations (cf. Remark 4.24).

**Remark 4.26.** In the nested setting, there is a nested version of the decomposition (4.2). This is achieved by considering non-decreasing sequences of Hilbert–Samuel functions.

The standard scaling action  $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  lifts to the Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_0^d \mathbb{A}^n$ . Under this action, the Hilbert–Samuel strata are  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -invariant locally closed subsets of the punctual Hilbert scheme. Hence, the action of the torus  $\mathbb{G}_m$  restricts to an action on  $H_{\mathbf{h}}$ , for all  $\mathbf{h}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Set theoretically, the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -fixed locus of the action on  $H_{\mathbf{h}}$  consists of *homogeneous* ideals (with fixed Hilbert–Samuel function  $\mathbf{h}$ ). This locus defines a closed subset [18, Prop. 1.5]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{h}} \subset H_{\mathbf{h}},$$

which we endow with the “*multigraded Hilbert scheme*” schematic structure, see [18]. Theorem 4.31 explains this schematic structure in detail.

**Proposition 4.27** ([4, p. 773]). *Fix  $\mathbf{h} = (1, h_1, \dots, h_t)$ . There is a morphism*

$$(4.3) \quad H_{\mathbf{h}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbf{h}}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{h}}$$

*which, on closed points, sends an ideal to its initial ideal. We call  $\pi_{\mathbf{h}}$  the initial ideal morphism.*

In the 2-dimensional case, the initial ideal morphism recalled in Proposition 4.27 behaves particularly well, as proven by Briançon and Iarrobino, see Theorem 2.42.

**Theorem 4.28.** *For  $n = 2$ , the Hilbert–Samuel strata  $H_{\mathbf{h}}$  are affine bundles over  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{h}}$ . Their dimension is computed via Theorem 2.42 and Proposition 4.23.*

#### 4.8. Elementary components and TNT property.

**Definition 4.29.** The *negative tangent space* at a fat point  $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}_0^d \mathbb{A}^n$  is

$$\mathbb{T}_{[Z]}^{<0} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n = \frac{\mathbb{T}_{[Z]} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n}{\mathbb{T}_{[Z]}^{\geq 0} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n}.$$

**Exercise 4.30.** The fixed locus of the diagonal action of the torus  $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s, s^{-1}]$  on  $\text{Hilb}^d X$  agrees with the locus parametrising nestings of homogeneous ideals. As a consequence, given a nesting  $(I_1 \supset \dots \supset I_r)$  of homogeneous ideals, the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action lifts to the tangent space  $\mathbb{T}_{[(I_i)_{i=1}^r]} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n$  and it induces an eigenspace decomposition

$$\mathbb{T}_{[(I_i)_{i=1}^r]} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{T}_{[(I_i)_{i=1}^r]}^{=k} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n.$$

Show that this direct sum decomposition is consistent with Definition 4.22 meaning that

$$\mathbb{T}_{[(I_i)_{i=1}^r]}^{\geq 0} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{T}_{[(I_i)_{i=1}^r]}^{=k} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}_{[(I_i)_{i=1}^r]}^{<0} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n = \bigoplus_{k < 0} \mathbb{T}_{[(I_i)_{i=1}^r]}^{=k} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n,$$

see [26, Section 2] for more details.

**Theorem 4.31** ([18, Proposition 1.6], [26, Theorem 4.2 & 4.11]). *Let  $[I] \in \text{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{A}^n)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$  be a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -fixed point, i.e. a point corresponding to a homogeneous ideal and denote by  $\mathbf{h}$  its Hilbert–Samuel function. Let also  $F_{[I]} = \pi_{\mathbf{h}}^{-1}[I]$  be the fibre of the initial ideal morphism over  $[I]$ . Then, we have the following isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$T_{[I]} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{h}} \cong T_{[I]}^0 \text{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{A}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad T_{[I]} F_{[I]} = T_{[I]}^{>0} \text{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{A}^n).$$

**Remark 4.32.** As shown in [26], when  $[Z] \in \text{Hilb}^{d,+} \mathbb{A}^n$  is a fat point, the tangent space of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  at its support  $\{0\} = \text{Supp } Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  maps to the tangent space to  $\text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n$  at  $[Z]$ . Similarly, this happens for fat nestings and we give now some details. Let us identify the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , with a basis of the tangent space  $T_0 \mathbb{A}^n$  and let us consider a fat nesting  $[(Z_i)_{i=1}^r] \in \text{Hilb}^{d,+} \mathbb{A}^n$ . In this setting we have a natural map

$$T_0 \mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}} T_{[(Z_i)_{i=1}^r]} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n,$$

associating tangent vectors to  $\mathbb{A}^n$  at the origin to deformations consisting of infinitesimal translations. More precisely, the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , maps to an infinitesimal translation of all the schemes  $Z_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , along the  $j$ -th coordinate axis that preserves the nesting conditions.

We denote by  $\theta$  the map  $\theta : T_0 \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow T_{[(Z_i)_{i=1}^r]}^{<0} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n$  defined as the composition of  $\tilde{\theta}$  with the canonical projection defining the negative tangent space, see Definition 4.22.

**Definition 4.33.** Let  $[(Z_i)_{i=1}^r] \in \text{Hilb}^{d,+} \mathbb{A}^n$  be a fat nesting. Then, the point  $[(Z_i)_{i=1}^r]$  has TNT (Trivial Negative Tangents) if the map

$$T_{\text{Supp}(Z_i)_{i=1}^r} \mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{\theta} T_{[(Z_i)_{i=1}^r]}^{<0} \text{Hilb}^d \mathbb{A}^n$$

is surjective.

The next theorem is a generalisation of [26, Theorem 4.9] and it relates the existence of ideals having TNT and the existence of elementary components.

**Theorem 4.34** ([12, Theorem 4]). *Let  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  be any non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers and let  $V \subset \text{Hilb}^{\mathbf{d}} X$  be an irreducible component. Suppose that  $V$  is generically reduced. Then  $V$  is elementary if and only if a general point of  $V$  has trivial negative tangents.*

#### 4.9. Two working examples.

**Example 4.35** (The curvilinear locus). The curvilinear locus is the locally closed subset  $\mathcal{C}^d \subset \text{Hilb}_0^d \mathbb{A}^n$  defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^d = \{ [Z] \in \text{Hilb}_0^d \mathbb{A}^n \mid \text{emb}_Z = 1 \},$$

endowed with the Białynicki-Birula schematic structure. Note that this make sense as  $\mathcal{C}^d$  agrees with the stratum  $H_{\mathbf{h}}$ , where

$$\mathbf{h} = \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_d.$$

We show now that  $\mathcal{C}^d$  is a  $\mathbb{A}^{(n-1)(d-2)}$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ .

The homogeneous locus  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{h}}$  is clearly isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  by Exercise 1.9 and all the points of  $\mathcal{C}^d$  correspond to schemes isomorphic to each other, see Remark 2.19. Consider the initial ideal morphism

$$\mathcal{C}^d \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbf{h}}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{h}}.$$

Since all the points in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{h}}$  correspond to schemes isomorphic to each other, the fibres of  $\pi_{\mathbf{h}}$  are all isomorphic as well. Thus, we fix the ideal  $I = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^d)$ , and hence the point  $[I] \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{h}}$ , and we compute the fibre  $F_{[I]} = \pi_{\mathbf{h}}^{-1}([I])$ , do Exercise 4.36 for the trivialisation.

As an application of Theorem 4.31 a direct computation show we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{[I]} F_{[I]} = (n-1)(d-2),$$

which gives

$$\dim F_{[I]} \leq (n-1)(d-2).$$

To show that  $F_{[I]} \cong \mathbb{A}^{(n-1)(d-2)}$  we give an explicit  $\mathbb{A}^{(n-1)(d-2)}$ -family, see Remark 4.2. The required family corresponds to the ideal

$$\bar{I} = \left( x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \alpha_i^{(1)} x_n^i, \dots, x_{n-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \alpha_i^{(n-1)} x_n^i, x_n^d \right) \subset \mathbb{C}[\alpha_i^{(j)} \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1, j = 2, \dots, d-1] \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

In order to conclude, it is now enough to apply Theorem 3.14. Indeed, given a vector

$$\bar{\alpha} = (\bar{\alpha}_i^{(j)} \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1, j = 2, \dots, d-1) \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-1)(d-2)},$$

the biholomorphism

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto \left( x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \bar{\alpha}_i^{(1)} x_n^i, \dots, x_{n-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \bar{\alpha}_i^{(n-1)} x_n^i, x_n \right)$$

induces an isomorphism between the ideal corresponding to the point  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-1)(d-2)}$  and its initial ideal.

**Exercise 4.36.** Provide an explicit trivialisation for the initial ideal morphism in Example 4.35.

**Exercise 4.37.** Study the geometry of the stratum  $H_{(1,2,2,1)} \subset \text{Hilb}^8 \mathbb{A}^2$ .

- Show that  $H_{(1,2,2,1)}$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -bundle over  $\mathcal{H}_{(1,2,2,1)}$ .  
**Hint:** Apply Theorem 4.28.
- Show that there is a stratification

$$\mathcal{H}_{(1,2,2,1)} = A \amalg B,$$

where  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $B \cong \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus A$ .

**Hint:** See Exercise 1.9.

#### 4.10. Computations using the package `HilbertAndQuotSchemesOfPoints.m2`.

In this subsection we present two examples of computations via the Macaulay2 package developed by Paolo Lella, [16, 31]. The first example concerns the classical Hilbert scheme and it shows how to detect the Iarrobino–Emsalem component in  $\text{Hilb}^8 \mathbb{A}^4$ , [25]. In the second example we explicitly show the non-reducedness of the nested Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}^{1,8} \mathbb{A}^4$ , see [12]. To conclude, it is worth mentioning that the package `HilbertAndQuotSchemesOfPoints.m2` also offers the possibility of working with the Quot scheme, the relative documentation can be found at the corresponding link.

#### 4.10.1. Reducibility of $\text{Hilb}^8 \mathbb{A}^4$ by Iarrobino–Emsalem.

```

i1 : loadPackage ("HilbertAndQuotSchemesOfPoints",Reload=>true);
i2 :

-----
----      EXAMPLE 1 - Hilbert Schemes      ----
-----

-- the Hilbert scheme of 8 points in  $\mathbb{A}^4$  is not irreducible
R = QQ[x,y,z,w];
i3 : I = (ideal(x,y))^2 + (ideal(z,w))^2 + ideal(x*z+y*w)
          2      2      2      2
o3 = ideal (x , x*y, y , z , z*w, w , x*z + y*w)
o3 : Ideal of R
i4 : EmsalemIarrobino = hilbertSchemePoint I
o4 = [w^2]
      [z*w]
      [z^2]
      [x*z]+y*w
      [y^2]
      [x*y]
      [x^2]
      [y*w^2]
      [x*w^2]
      [y*z*w]
      [y*z^2]
      8 4
o4 : Spec(QQ)-point of Hilb (A )
i5 :
-- Tangent space
ts = tangentSpace EmsalemIarrobino
o5 = ([w^2])+T_0*E*y*z+T_1*E*x*w+T_2*E*y*w+2*T_23*E*w
      ([z*w])+T_3*E*y*z+T_4*E*x*w+T_5*E*y*w+T_23*E*z+(1/2)*T_24*E*w
      ([z^2])+T_6*E*y*z+T_7*E*x*w+T_8*E*y*w+T_24*E*z
      ([x*z]+y*w)+T_9*E*y*z+T_10*E*x*w+T_11*E*y*w+(1/2)*T_24*E*x+T_23*E*y+(1/2)*T_22*E*z+T_18*E*w
      ([y^2])+T_12*E*y*z+T_13*E*x*w+T_14*E*y*w+2*T_18*E*y
      ([x*y])+T_15*E*y*z+T_16*E*x*w+T_17*E*y*w+T_18*E*x+(1/2)*T_22*E*y
      ([x^2])+T_19*E*y*z+T_20*E*x*w+T_21*E*y*w+T_22*E*x
      ([y*w^2])+2*T_23*E*y*w
      ([x*w^2])+2*T_23*E*x*w
      ([y*z*w])+T_23*E*y*z+(1/2)*T_24*E*y*w
      ([y*z^2])+T_24*E*y*z
      8 4
o5 : Tangent space at a Spec(QQ)-point to Hilb (A )
i6 :
-- dimension
dim ts
o6 = 25
i7 :
-- Dimension of the smoothable component
use R;
i8 : LexPoint = hilbertSchemePoint ideal(x,y,z,w^8)
o8 = [z]
      [y]
      [x]
      [w^8]
      8 4
o8 : Spec(QQ)-point of Hilb (A )
i9 : dim tangentSpace LexPoint
o9 = 32
i10 :
-- The ideal is homogeneous => the tangent space is graded
hilbertSeries ts
-1
o10 = 4T + 21
o10 : ZZ[T]

```



```

i11 : hilbertFunction (-1,ts)
o11 = 4
i12 :
-- TNT property
hasTNTproperty EmsalemIarrobino
o12 = true
i13 :
-- First order deformations
firstOrderDef = firstOrderDeformations ts;
i14 : tv = first firstOrderDef
o14 = [w^2]
      ([z*w])+(1/2)*E*w
      ([z^2])+E*z
      ([x*z]+y*w)+(1/2)*E*x
      [y^2]
      [x*y]
      [x^2]
      [y*w^2]
      [x*w^2]
      ([y*z*w])+(1/2)*E*y*w
      ([y*z^2])+E*y*z
      8 4
o14 : Tangent vector to Hilb (A )
i15 : degree ts
o15 = 25
i16 : homomorphism tv
o16 = {1} | 0 1/2w z 1/2x 0 0 0 0 1/2yw yz |
o16 : Matrix
i17 : source homomorphism tv, target homomorphism tv
o17 = (image | w2 zw z2 xz+yw y2 xy x2 yw2 xw2 yzw yz2 |, cokernel {1} | w2 zw z2 xz+yw y2 xy x2 yw2 xw2 yzw yz2 |)
o17 : Sequence
i18 :
-- Lifting deformations
negativeTangentVectors = firstOrderDeformations (-1,ts)
o18 = {[w^2]
      ([z*w])+(1/2)*E*w
      ([z^2])+E*z
      ([x*z]+y*w)+(1/2)*E*x
      [y^2]
      [x*y]
      [x^2]
      [y*w^2]
      [x*w^2]
      ([y*z*w])+(1/2)*E*y*w
      ([y*z^2])+E*y*z
      , ([w^2])+2*E*w
      ([z*w])+(1/2)*E*z
      ([z^2])
      ([x*z]+y*w)+E*y
      [y^2]
      [x*y]
      [x^2]
      ([y*w^2])+2*E*y*w
      ([x*w^2])+2*E*x*w
      ([y*z*w])+(1/2)*E*y*z
      [y*z^2]
      , [w^2]
      [z*w]
      [z^2]
      ([x*z]+y*w)+(1/2)*E*z
      [y^2]
      ([x*y])+(1/2)*E*y
      ([x^2])+E*x
      [x^2]
      [y*w^2]
      [x*w^2]
      [y*z*w]
      [y*z^2]
      , [w^2]
      [z*w]
      [z^2]
      ([x*z]+y*w)+E*w
      ([y^2])+2*E*y
      ([x*y])+E*x
      [x^2]
      [y*w^2]
      [x*w^2]
      [y*z*w]
      [y*z^2]
      }

o18 : List
i19 : for def in negativeTangentVectors do print (def, liftDeformation def)
([w^2]
      ([z*w])+(1/2)*E*w
      ([z^2])+E*z
      ([x*z]+y*w)+(1/2)*E*x
      [y^2]
      [x*y]
      [x^2]
      [y*w^2]
      [x*w^2]
      ([y*z*w])+(1/2)*E*y*w
      ([y*z^2])+E*y*z
      , [w^2]
      ([z*w])+(1/2)*t*w
      ([z^2])+t*z+(1/4)*t^2
      ([x*z]+y*w)+(1/2)*t*x
      [y^2]
      [x*y]
      [x^2]
      [y*w^2]
      [x*w^2]
      ([y*z*w])+(1/2)*t*y*w
      ([y*z^2])+t*y*z+(1/4)*t^2*y
      )
      , ([w^2])+2*E*w
      ([z*w])+(1/2)*E*z
      ([z^2])
      ([x*z]+y*w)+E*y
      [y^2]
      , ([w^2])+2*t*w+t^2
      ([z*w])+(1/2)*t*z
      ([z^2])
      ([x*z]+y*w)+t*y
      [y^2]

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} [x*y] \\ [x^2] \\ ([y*w^2]) + 2*E*y*w \\ ([x*w^2]) + 2*E*x*w \\ ([y*z*w]) + E*y*z \\ [y*z^2] \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} [x*y] \\ [x^2] \\ ([y*w^2]) + 2*t*y*w + t^2*y \\ ([x*w^2]) + 2*t*x*w + t^2*x \\ ([y*z*w]) + t*y*z \\ [y*z^2] \end{pmatrix} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} [w^2] \\ [z*w] \\ [z^2] \\ ([x*z] + y*w) + (1/2)*E*z \\ [y^2] \\ ([x*y]) + (1/2)*E*y \\ ([x^2]) + E*x \\ [y*w^2] \\ [x*w^2] \\ [y*z*w] \\ [y*z^2] \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} [w^2] \\ [z*w] \\ [z^2] \\ ([x*z] + y*w) + (1/2)*t*z \\ [y^2] \\ ([x*y]) + (1/2)*t*y \\ ([x^2]) + t*x + (1/4)*t^2 \\ [y*w^2] \\ [x*w^2] \\ [y*z*w] \\ [y*z^2] \end{pmatrix} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} [w^2] \\ [z*w] \\ [z^2] \\ ([x*z] + y*w) + E*w \\ ([y^2]) + 2*E*y \\ ([x*y]) + E*x \\ [x^2] \\ [y*w^2] \\ [x*w^2] \\ [y*z*w] \\ [y*z^2] \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} [w^2] \\ [z*w] \\ [z^2] \\ ([x*z] + y*w) + t*w \\ ([y^2]) + 2*t*y + t^2 \\ ([x*y]) + t*x \\ [x^2] \\ [y*w^2] \\ [x*w^2] \\ [y*z*w] \\ [y*z^2] \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

#### 4.10.2. Non-reducedness of $\text{Hilb}^{1,8} \mathbb{A}^4$ .

```

i1 : loadPackage ("HilbertAndQuotSchemesOfPoints",Reload=>true);
i2 :
-----
---- EXAMPLE 2 - Nested Hilbert Schemes ----
-----
-- the nested Hilbert scheme of (1,8) points in A^4 has a non reduced component
R = QQ[x,y,z,w];
i3 : I = (ideal(x,y))^2 + (ideal(z,w))^2 + ideal(x*z+y*w)
      2      2      2      2
o3 = ideal (x , x*y, y , z , z*w, w , x*z + y*w)
o3 : Ideal of R
i4 : 0 = ideal gens R
o4 = ideal (x, y, z, w)
o4 : Ideal of R
i5 : EmsalemIarrobinoNested = nestedHilbertSchemePoint {0,I}
o5 = [w]
      [z]
      [y]
      [x]
      contains
      [w^2]
      [z*w]
      [z^2]
      [x*z]+y*w
      [y^2]
      [x*y]
      [x^2]
      [y*w^2]
      [x*w^2]
      [y*z*w]
      [y*z^2]
      (1,8) 4
o5 : Spec(QQ)-point of Hilb (A )
i6 :

```

```

-- Tangent space
ts = tangentSpace EmsalemIarrobinoNested
o6 = ([w])+T_0*E
      ([z])+T_1*E
      ([y])+T_2*E
      ([x])+T_3*E
      contains
      ([w^2])+T_4*E*y*z+T_5*E*x*w+T_6*E*y*w+2*T_27*E*w
      ([z*w])+T_7*E*y*z+T_8*E*x*w+T_9*E*y*w+T_27*E*z+(1/2)*T_28*E*w
      ([z^2])+T_10*E*y*z+T_11*E*x*w+T_12*E*y*w+T_28*E*z
      ([x*z]+y*w)+T_13*E*y*z+T_14*E*x*w+T_15*E*y*w+(1/2)*T_28*E*x+T_27*E*y+(1/2)*T_26*E*z+T_22*E*w
      ([y^2])+T_16*E*y*z+T_17*E*x*w+T_18*E*y*w+2*T_22*E*y
      ([x*y])+T_19*E*y*z+T_20*E*x*w+T_21*E*y*w+T_22*E*x+(1/2)*T_26*E*y
      ([x^2])+T_23*E*y*z+T_24*E*x*w+T_25*E*y*w+T_26*E*x
      ([y*w^2])+2*T_27*E*y*w
      ([x*w^2])+2*T_27*E*x*w
      ([y*z*w])+T_27*E*y*z+(1/2)*T_28*E*y*w
      ([y*z^2])+T_28*E*y*z
      (1,8)  4
o6 : Tangent space at a Spec(QQ)-point to Hilb      (A )
i7 :
-- dimension
dim ts
o7 = 29
i8 : hilbertSeries ts
      -1
o8 = 8T  + 21
o8 : ZZ[T]
i9 : hasTNTproperty EmsalemIarrobinoNested
o9 = false
i10 :
-- Dimension of the smoothable component
use R;
i11 : nestedLexPoint = nestedHilbertSchemePoint {0,ideal(x,y,z,w^8)}
o11 = [w]
      [z]
      [y]
      [x]
      contains
      [z]
      [y]
      [x]
      [w^8]
      (1,8)  4
o11 : Spec(QQ)-point of Hilb      (A )
i12 : dim tangentSpace nestedLexPoint
o12 = 32

```

## REFERENCES

- [1] Marian Aprodu and Jan Nagel, *Koszul cohomology and algebraic geometry*, University Lecture Series, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [2] Michael Artin, *Lectures on Deformations of Singularities*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1976.
- [3] Joel Briançon, *Description de Hilb<sup>n</sup>C{x, y}*, Invent. Math. **41** (1977), 45–89.
- [4] Dustin A. Cartwright, Daniel Erman, Mauricio Velasco, and Bianca Viray, *Hilbert schemes of 8 points*, Algebra Number Theory **3** (2009), no. 7, 763–795.
- [5] Guido Castelnuovo, *Le trasformazioni generatrici del gruppo cremoniano nel piano*, Atti della R. Acc. delle Scienze di Torino **36** (1901), 861–874.
- [6] Jan Cheah, *Cellular decompositions for nested Hilbert schemes of points*, Pacific J. Math. **183** (1998), no. 1, 39–90.

- [7] Theodosios Douvropoulos, Joachim Jelisiejew, Bernt Ivar Utstøl Nødland, and Zach Teitler, *The Hilbert scheme of 11 points in  $\mathbb{A}^3$  is irreducible*, Combinatorial algebraic geometry, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 80, Fields Inst. Res. Math. Sci., Toronto, ON, 2017, pp. 321–352.
- [8] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [9] Barbara Fantechi, Lothar Göttsche, Luc Illusie, Steven L. Kleiman, Nitin Nitsure, and Angelo Vistoli, *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 123, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, Grothendieck's FGA explained.
- [10] John Fogarty, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 511–521.
- [11] Chandranandan Gangopadhyay, Parvez Rasul, and Ronnie Sebastian, *Irreducibility of some nested Hilbert schemes*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **152** (2024), no. 5, 1857–1870.
- [12] Franco Giovenzana, Luca Giovenzana, Michele Graffeo, and Paolo Lella, *Unexpected but recurrent phenomena for Quot and Hilbert schemes of points*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **82** (2024), no. 1, 145–170.
- [13] Michele Graffeo, *It's better to visualize it. Version 9(3.0)*, An app to deal with plane partitions available at <https://graffeomichele.github.io/IBTVI.html>.
- [14] Michele Graffeo, *Moduli spaces of  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ -constellations over  $\mathbb{A}^2$* , Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **27** (2025), no. 03, 2450019.
- [15] Michele Graffeo and Giorgio Gubbiotti, *Growth and integrability of some birational maps in dimension three*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **25** (2024), no. 3, 1733–1793.
- [16] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <http://www2.macaulay2.com>.
- [17] Alexander Grothendieck, *Fondements de la géométrie algébrique. Extraits du Séminaire Bourbaki, 1957–1962.*, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1962.
- [18] Mark Haiman and Bernd Sturmfels, *Multigraded Hilbert schemes*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 4, 725–769.
- [19] Robin Hartshorne, *Connectedness of the Hilbert scheme*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 29, 5–48.
- [20] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [21] Abdelmoumine A. Henni and Marcos Jardim, *Commuting matrices and the hilbert scheme of points on affine spaces*, Advances in Geometry **18** (2018), no. 4, 467–482.
- [22] Anthony Iarrobino, *The number of generic singularities*, Rice Univ. Stud. **59** (1973), no. 1, 49–51.
- [23] Anthony Iarrobino, *Punctual Hilbert schemes*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1977), no. 188, viii+112.
- [24] Anthony Iarrobino, *Compressed algebras: Artin algebras having given socle degrees and maximal length*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), no. 1, 337–378.
- [25] Anthony Iarrobino and Jacques Emsalem, *Some zero-dimensional generic singularities; finite algebras having small tangent space*, Compositio Math. **36** (1978), no. 2, 145–188.
- [26] Joachim Jelisiejew, *Elementary components of Hilbert schemes of points*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **100** (2019), no. 1, 249–272.
- [27] Joachim Jelisiejew, *Pathologies on the Hilbert scheme of points*, Invent. Math. **220** (2020), no. 2, 581–610.
- [28] Joachim Jelisiejew, *Open problems in deformations of Artinian algebras, Hilbert schemes and around*, [ArXiv:2307.08777](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.08777), 2023.
- [29] Joachim Jelisiejew, *Generically nonreduced components of Hilbert schemes on fourfolds*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **82** (2024), no. 1, 171–184.
- [30] Jan O. Kleppe, *The Hilbert-flag scheme, its properties and its connection with the Hilbert scheme. Applications to curves in 3-space*, Unpublished, see <https://www.cs.hioa.no/jank/papers.htm>.
- [31] Paolo Lella, *HilbertAndQuotSchemesOfPoints: A Macaulay2 package. Version beta*, A Macaulay2 package available at <http://www.paololella.it/publications/lm2/>.
- [32] Guerino Mazzola, *Generic finite schemes and Hochschild cocycles*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55** (1980), no. 2, 267–293.
- [33] M. Noether, *Ueber Flächen, welche Schaaren rationaler Curven besitzen*, Math. Ann. **3** (1870), 161–226.
- [34] Ritvik Ramkumar and Alessio Sammartano, *Rational Singularities of Nested Hilbert Schemes*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2024), no. 2, 1061–1122.
- [35] Miles Reid, *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 345–414.
- [36] Miles Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*, Complex algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1993), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 3–159.
- [37] Andrea T. Ricolfi, *An invitation to modern enumerative geometry*, SISSA Springer Series, vol. 3, Springer, Cham, [2022] ©2022.

- [38] Edoardo Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 334, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [39] Michał Szachniewicz, *Non-reducedness of the hilbert schemes of few points*, 2021.
- [40] Ravi Vakil, *Murphy's law in algebraic geometry: badly-behaved deformation spaces*, Invent. Math. **164** (2006), no. 3, 569–590.
- [41] Klemen Šivic, *On varieties of commuting triples III*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437** (2012), no. 2, 393–460.

(G. Alecci) POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129, TORINO (ITALY)

*Email address:* `gessica.alecci@polito.it`

(M. Graffeo) SISSA, VIA BONOMEA 265, 34136, TRIESTE (ITALY)

*Email address:* `mgraffeo@sissa.it`