The Econometrics of DSGE Models

Giuseppe Ragusa Luiss University

> EIEF Lecture 2

April 4, 2014

Neoclassical Growth Model

$$U = E_0 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} \frac{\left(C_t^{\lambda} (1 - H_t)^{1-\lambda}\right)^{1-\tau}}{1 - \tau}$$

$$Y_t = C_t + I_t$$

$$Y_t = e^{z_t} K_t^{\alpha} H_t^{1-\alpha}$$

$$K_{t+1} = I_t + (1 - \delta) K_t$$

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \ |\rho| < 1$$

$$\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$$

- C_t : consumption,
- H_t : hours
- Y_t : product
- K_t : capital
- I_t : investment
- z_t : technology shocks
- u_t: exogenous shock
- $\theta = (\beta, \lambda, \tau, \alpha, \delta, \rho, \sigma_u^2)$ structural parameters

Neoclassical Growth Model

- Both welfare theorems hold in this economy.
- Thus, we can solve directly for the social planner's problem:

$$\begin{split} \max_{\{C_t, H_t\}} E_0 \sum_{t=1}^\infty \beta^{t-1} \frac{\left(C_t^\lambda (1-H_t)^{1-\lambda}\right)^{1-\tau}}{1-\tau} \\ \text{subject to} \\ C_t + I_t &= e^{z_t} K_t^\alpha H_t^{1-\alpha} \\ K_{t+1} &= I_t + (1-\delta) K_t \\ z_t &= \rho z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \ |\rho| < 1, \ \varepsilon_t \sim \textit{N}(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2) \\ z_0, K_0 \end{split}$$

▶ maximize the utility of the household subject to the production function, the evolution of technology, the law of motion for capital, the resource constraint, and some initial k_0 and z_0 .



Neoclassical Growth Model

First Order Conditions

The model is fully characterized by the first order conditions:

$$\begin{split} 1 &= E_t \left[\beta \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{\lambda (1-\tau)} \left(\frac{1-H_{t+1}}{1-H_t} \right)^{(1-\lambda)(1-\tau)} R_{t+1} \right] \\ R_{t+1} &\equiv (1-\delta) + \mathrm{e}^{z_{t+1}} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} H_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} \\ (1-\lambda) \frac{1}{(1-H_t)} &= \frac{\lambda (1-\alpha) \mathrm{e}^{z_t} K_t^{\alpha} H_t^{-\alpha}}{C_t} \\ C_t + K_{t+1} &= \mathrm{e}^{z_t} K_t^{\alpha} H_t^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) K_t \\ z_t &= \rho z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t. \end{split}$$

- Only possible for "some" models
- Main problems
 - shocks are unobservable
 - some of the variables in the model are not directly observable
- What we would need is GMM when moment restrictions include latent variables
 - Giacomini, Gallant, Ragusa (2013)

Consider the NGM:

$$E_{t}\left[\beta\left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_{t}}\right)^{(1-\tau)}\left(\frac{1-H_{t+1}}{1-H_{t}}\right)^{(1-\lambda)(1-\tau)}R_{t+1}-1\right]=0$$
 (1)

$$R_{t+1} \equiv (1-\delta) + e^{z_{t+1}} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} H_{t+1}^{1-\alpha}$$

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{1}{(1-H_t)} = \frac{\lambda(1-\alpha)e^{z_t}K_t^{\alpha}H_t^{-\alpha}}{C_t}$$
 (2)

$$C_t + K_{t+1} = e^{z_t} K_t^{\alpha} H_t^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta) K_t$$
 (3)

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \tag{4}$$

$$Y_t = e^{z_t} K_t^{\alpha} H_t^{1-\alpha} \tag{5}$$

There are 7 parameters to estimate $\theta = (\beta, \lambda, \tau, \alpha, \delta, \rho, \sigma_u^2)$, so we need at least 7 moment conditions.

GMM Estimation: Example

Neoclassical Growth Model

We can 3 conditions from the Euler equations

$$\begin{split} E\left\{\left[\beta\left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_{t}}\right)^{\lambda(1-\tau)}\left(\frac{1-H_{t+1}}{1-H_{t}}\right)^{(1-\lambda)(1-\tau)}R_{t+1}-1\right]\right\} &= 0\\ E\left\{\left[\beta\left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_{t}}\right)^{\lambda(1-\tau)}\left(\frac{1-H_{t+1}}{1-H_{t}}\right)^{(1-\lambda)(1-\tau)}R_{t+1}-1\right]\frac{C_{t}}{C_{t-1}}\right\} &= 0\\ E\left\{\left[\beta\left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_{t}}\right)^{\lambda(1-\tau)}\left(\frac{1-H_{t+1}}{1-H_{t}}\right)^{(1-\lambda)(1-\tau)}R_{t+1}-1\right]R_{t}\right\} &= 0 \end{split}$$

Next, we take expectation of the capital equation:

$$\begin{split} 0 &= E\left[(1-\lambda)\frac{1}{(1-H_t)} - \frac{\lambda(1-\alpha)e^{z_t}K_t^{\alpha}H_t^{-\alpha}}{C_t}\right] \\ 0 &= E\left[C_t + K_{t+1} - e^{z_t}K_t^{\alpha}H_t^{1-\alpha} - (1-\delta)K_t\right] \end{split}$$

GMM Estimation: Example

Neoclassical Growth Model

Three moment restrictions are

$$0 = E(z_{t+1} - \rho z_t)$$

$$0 = E[(z_{t+1} - \rho z_t)z_t] = 0$$

$$0 = E[(z_{t+1} - \rho z_t)^2] - \sigma_z^2 = 0$$

In this case we have 8 moment restrictions a 7 parameters to estimate.

\$z_t\$ is not observable....

However,

$$Y_t = e^{z_t} K_t^{\alpha} H_t^{1-\alpha} \implies \log Y_t = z_t + \alpha \log K_t + (1-\alpha) \log H_t,$$

thus

$$z_t = \log Y_t - \alpha \log K_t + (1 - \alpha) \log H_t.$$

GMM Estimation: Caveat

 Not always possible to obtain expressions for the unobserved shocks, e.g.,

$$E\left\{\left[\beta\left(\frac{C_{t+1}v_{t+1}}{C_tv_t}\right)^{(1-\tau)}R_{t+1}-1\right]\right\}=0$$

- All variables need to be observables
 - We do not have a good measure of capital stock
- Identification difficult to show
- Other technical problems
- Unreasonable estimates of the parameters

Simulated method of moments

Basic idea

 $\underbrace{\theta = (\beta, \lambda, \tau, \alpha, \delta, \rho, \sigma_u^2)}_{\text{structural parameters}}. \text{ Let}$

$$\bar{D}_s(\theta) = \{C_s(\theta), H_s(\theta), K_s(\theta), Y_s(\theta), R_s(\theta)\}, s = 1, \dots, S \to \infty,$$

the simulated data and D_t , $t=1,\ldots,T$ the actual data. Then, at the true value of the parameter vector θ_0 , under regularity conditions,

$$\lim_{S\to\infty}\frac{1}{S}\sum_{s=0}^{S}f(\bar{D}_s(\theta_0))=E[f(D_t)],$$

for a function $f(\cdot)$. This suggest the following estimator

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f(D_t) - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{t=1}^{S} f(\bar{D}_s(\theta)) \right]' W \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f(D_t) - \frac{1}{S} \sum_{t=1}^{S} f(\bar{D}_s(\theta)) \right]$$

Likelihood approach

Recall the steps to obtaining a state space representation a DSGE model

- Obtain first order conditions of the model
- ② (log) linearize the system of equation, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1x_t(\theta) + C + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

Likelihood approach

Recall the steps to obtaining a state space representation a DSGE model

- Obtain first order conditions of the model
- (log) linearize the system of equation, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1x_t(\theta) + C + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

observation equation

Likelihood approach

Recall the steps to obtaining a state space representation a DSGE model

- Obtain first order conditions of the model
- (log) linearize the system of equation, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1x_t(\theta) + C + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

observation equation

Likelihood approach

Recall the steps to obtaining a state space representation a DSGE model

- Obtain first order conditions of the model
- (log) linearize the system of equation, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1x_t(\theta) + C + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

State space models

Set aside for the moment the structural parameter θ , and write:

$$y_{t} = \underset{(k \times 1)}{H} x_{t} + \underset{(k \times r)}{m} \eta_{t}, \quad \eta_{t} \sim N(0, R)$$
$$x_{t} = \underset{(s \times 1)}{G} x_{t-1} + \underset{(s \times q)}{M} \varepsilon_{t}, \quad \varepsilon_{t} \sim N(0, Q)$$

The objective is to estimate $\{x_t\}_{t=1}^T$ given the observables $\{y\}_{t=1}^T$.

Smoothed and filtered distribution

Two objects of interest

Filtered distribution

$$p(x_t|y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots y_1) \equiv p(x_t|y^{t-1})$$

Smoothed distribution

$$p(x_t|y_T, y_{T-1}, ..., y_1) \equiv p(x_t|y^T)$$

Statistical properties

- η_t is independent of x_t
- ε_t is independent of x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t and y_1, \dots, y_t
- Markov property

$$x_t | x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{t-1} \sim x_t | x_{t-1}$$

roughly speaking: if we know x_{t-1} , then knowledge of x_{t-2}, \dots, x_1, x_0 does not give any more information about x_t



Statistical properties, ctd.

Let

$$\Sigma_t = E(x_t - E[x_t])(x_t - E[x_t])',$$

denote the variance of $\{x_t\}$ at time t

• The variance, by independence of ε_t and $\{x_t\}$, follows recursion

$$\Sigma_{t+1} = G\Sigma_t G' + MQM'$$

• If G is *stable*, the variance converges Σ which solves the Lyapunov equation

$$\Sigma = G\Sigma G' + MQM'.$$

Intuition

 y^T and x^T are jointly normal, as they are linear combination of $x_0, \varepsilon_t, \eta_t$:

$$x_t = G^T x_0 + \sum_{j=0}^t G^t M \varepsilon_{t-j},$$

$$y_t = H\left(G^T x_0 + \sum_{j=0}^t G^t \varepsilon_{t-j}\right) + m \eta_t.$$

Thus,

$$E[x_t|y^t] = E[x_t] + \sum_{x_t y^t} \sum_{y^t}^{-1} (y^t - E[y^t])$$

$$Var(x_t|y^t) = \sum_{t} + \sum_{x_t y^t} \sum_{y^t}^{-1} \sum_{x_t y^t}'$$

Problem

The inverse in the formula $\Sigma_{y^t}^{-1}$ is of size $(kt \times kt)$ which grows with t......

The Kalman filter is a clever method for computing

- **2** $E[x_{t+1}|y^t]$
- $Var(x_t|y^t)$
- \bullet $Var(x_{t+1}|y^t)$

Notation

We use the notation

$$x_{t|s} = E[x_t|y^s]$$

 $\Sigma_{t|s} = E(x_t - x_{t|s})(x_t - x_{t|s})'$

Suppose we have (from previous recursion)

$$x_{t|t-1}$$
 and $\Sigma_{t|t-1}$

Two steps:

[Updading] Obtain

$$x_{t|t}$$
 and $\Sigma_{t|t}$

in terms of $x_{t|t-1}$ and $\Sigma_{t|t-1}$.

② [Prediction] Obtain

$$x_{t+1|t}$$
 and $\Sigma_{t+1|t}$

in terms of $x_{t|t}$ and $\Sigma_{t|t}$.

Suppose we have (from previous recursion)

$$x_{t|t-1}$$
 and $\Sigma_{t|t-1}$

Two steps:

[Updading] Obtain

$$x_{t|t}$$
 and $\Sigma_{t|t}$

in terms of $x_{t|t-1}$ and $\Sigma_{t|t-1}$.

Prediction Obtain

$$x_{t+1|t}$$
 and $\Sigma_{t+1|t}$

in terms of $x_{t|t}$ and $\Sigma_{t|t}$.

The Updating step

Notice that

$$y_t = Hx_t + m \eta_t$$
$$x_t = Gx_{t-1} + M\varepsilon_t$$

implies that the conditionals (w.r.t. y^{t-1})

$$y_t|y^{t-1} = Hx_t|y^{t-1} + m\eta_t|y^{t-1}$$

 $x_t|y^{t-1} = Gx_{t-1}|y^{t-1} + M\varepsilon_t$

are jointly normal

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_t|y^{t-1}\\y_t|y^{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \sim \textit{N} \begin{bmatrix} \textit{Gx}_{t|t-1}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{t|t-1} & \Sigma_{t|t-1}\textit{H}'\\\textit{HX}_{t|t-1}, \begin{pmatrix} H\Sigma_{t|t-1} & H\Sigma_{t|t-1}\textit{H}' + \textit{mRm}' \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Updating step, ctd.

Now, notice that

$$x_t|y^t \sim (x_t|y^{t-1})|(y_t|y^{t-1}).$$

Using the formula for conditional normals

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t|t} &= x_{t|t-1} + \sum_{t|t-1} H' (H \sum_{t|t-1} H' + mRm')^{-1} (y_t - H x_{t|t-1}) \\ \sum_{t|t} &= \sum_{t|t-1} + \sum_{t|t-1} H' (H \sum_{t|t-1} H' + mRm')^{-1} H \sum_{t|t-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be calculated (given $x_{t|t-1}$ and $\Sigma_{t|t-1}$).

The Prediction step

From

$$x_t = Gx_{t-1} + M\varepsilon_t$$

follows that

$$egin{aligned} x_{t+1|t} &= \textit{G} x_{t|t} + \textit{M} & \underbrace{\varepsilon_{t|t}} \\ & \underbrace{= \textit{E}[\varepsilon_t|y^t] = \textit{E}[\varepsilon_t] = 0}_{\text{by independence of } \mathcal{E}_t \text{ and } y^t} \\ &= \textit{G} x_{t|t}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{t+1|t} &= E(x_{t+1|t} - x_{t+1}) E(x_{t+1|t} - x_{t+1})' \\ &= E(Gx_{t|t} - Gx_t - M\varepsilon_t) (Gx_{t|t} - Gx_t - M\varepsilon_t)' \\ &= G\Sigma_{t|t} G' + MQM' \end{split}$$

Updating step

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t|t} &= x_{t|t-1} + \sum_{t|t-1} H' (H \sum_{t|t-1} H' + mRm')^{-1} (y_t - H x_{t|t-1}) \\ \sum_{t|t} &= \sum_{t|t-1} + \sum_{t|t-1} H' (H \sum_{t|t-1} H' + mRm')^{-1} H \sum_{t|t-1}, \end{aligned}$$

Prediction step

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t+1|t} &= Gx_{t|t} \\ \Sigma_{t+1|t} &= E(x_{t+1|t} - x_{t+1})E(x_{t+1|t} - x_{t+1})' \\ &= E(Gx_{t|t} - Gx_t - M\varepsilon_t)(Gx_{t|t} - Gx_t - M\varepsilon_t)' \\ &= G\Sigma_{t|t}G' + MQM' \end{aligned}$$

Initial value

- ullet To run the filter we need initial values of $x_{t-1|t-1}$ and $\Sigma_{t-1|t-1}$
- Common practice:

 x_0 , and Σ_0 from the unconditional distribution

e.g,

$$egin{aligned} x_0 &\sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G^t M \mathcal{E}_{t-j} \ &\Sigma_0 = \Sigma \implies ext{(solve } \Sigma = G \Sigma G' + M Q M') \end{aligned}$$

- Other approaches available (we will see them later)
 - ▶ Diffuse Kalman filter (Rosenberg, 1973; Ansley and Kohn, 1985)
 - Estimate x_0 and Σ_0

Filtered and smoothed distributions

Now we now how to recover the following quantities

$$\{x_{t|t-1}\}_{t=1}^{T} \text{ and } \{x_{t|t}\}_{t=1}^{T}$$

 $\{\Sigma_{t|t-1}\}_{t=1}^{T} \text{ and } \{\Sigma_{t|t}\}_{t=1}^{T}$

which gives us

Filtered distribution

$$x_t | y^{t-1} \sim N(x_{t|t-1}, \Sigma_{t|t-1}),$$

Smoothed distribution

$$x_t|y^T \sim N(x_{t|T}, \Sigma_{t|T}).$$

We can also get something else.....



The likelihood function

The Kalman Filter also gives the likelihood, that is,

$$p(y^T) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(y_t | y^{t-1})$$

From the updating step

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_t | y^{t-1} \\ y_t | y^{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{bmatrix} Gx_{t|t-1}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{t|t-1} & \Sigma_{t|t-1}H' \\ Hx_{t|t-1}, \begin{pmatrix} H\Sigma_{t|t-1} & H\Sigma_{t|t-1}H' + mRm' \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

thus

$$p(y^T) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(y_t|y_{t-1}),$$

where

$$p(y_t|y_{t-1}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2}|\Gamma|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(u-\mu)'\Omega^{-1}(u-\mu)\right)$$

with

$$\mu = Hx_{t|t-1},$$

DSGE Model and Kalman Filter

Let's put back in the parameters

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= H(\theta) x_t + m(\theta) \eta_t, & \eta_t \sim N(0, R(\theta)) \\ (k \times 1) & (k \times s) & (k \times r) \end{aligned}$$

$$x_t &= G(\theta) x_{t-1} + M(\theta) \varepsilon_t, & \varepsilon_t \sim N(0, Q(\theta)) \\ (s \times 1) & (s \times s) & (s \times q) \end{aligned}$$

- For a given θ
 - Run the Kalman filter
 - Calculate the likelihood function

$$p(y^T; \theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(y_t|y_{t-1}, \theta),$$

where

$$\mu(\theta) = H(\theta) x_{t|t-1}(\theta)$$

$$\Omega(\theta) = H(\theta) \Sigma_{t|t-1}(\theta) H(\theta)' + m(\theta) R(\theta) m(\theta)'$$

DSGE Model Estimation

Now we can finally see how we estimate θ :

Maximum Likelihood

$$\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(y_t | y_{t-1}, \theta),$$

Bayesian approach

$$p(\theta|y^t) = \frac{p(y^T; \theta)p(\theta)}{p(y^T)}$$

For each θ

(Log) linearize the system of equation from FOC, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1(\theta)x_t(\theta) + C(\theta) + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

$$\ell(y^T, \theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(y_t | y_{t-1}, \theta)$$

For each θ

(Log) linearize the system of equation from FOC, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1(\theta)x_t(\theta) + C(\theta) + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

$$\ell(y^T, \theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(y_t | y_{t-1}, \theta)$$

For each θ

(Log) linearize the system of equation from FOC, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1(\theta)x_t(\theta) + C(\theta) + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

$$\ell(y^T, \theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(y_t | y_{t-1}, \theta)$$

For each θ

(Log) linearize the system of equation from FOC, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1(\theta)x_t(\theta) + C(\theta) + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

$$\ell(y^T, \theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(y_t | y_{t-1}, \theta)$$

For each θ

(Log) linearize the system of equation from FOC, to obtain

$$\Gamma_0(\theta)E[x_{t+1}] = \Gamma_1(\theta)x_t(\theta) + C(\theta) + \Psi(\theta)z_t$$

Solve the linear rational expectation system, to obtain

$$x_{t+1} = G_0(\theta) + G(\theta)x_t + M(\theta)\varepsilon_{t+1}$$

Measurement equation (linking data to model variables)

$$\underbrace{y_t}_{\text{observables}} = H(\theta)x_t\underbrace{(+m(\theta)\eta_t)}_{\text{meas. error}}$$

$$\ell(y^T, \theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(y_t | y_{t-1}, \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_T \equiv \arg\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t=1}^T \log p(y_t|y_{t-1}, \theta)$$

Under regularity conditions

$$\hat{\theta}_T \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta$$
, and $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, H^{-1} \mathscr{I} H^{-1})$,

where

$$H = -E \left[\frac{\partial \log \phi(y_t; \mu(\theta), \Omega(\theta))}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right]$$
Hessian of log-likelihood

and

$$\mathscr{I} = E\left[\frac{\partial \log \phi(y_t; \mu(\theta), \Omega(\theta))}{\partial \theta'} \frac{\partial \log \phi(y_t; \mu(\theta), \Omega(\theta))}{\partial \theta'}\right]$$

Fischer information matrix

Regularity conditions

• Identification of the model:

$$\theta \neq \tilde{\theta}$$
, then $p(y^T; \tilde{\theta}) \neq p(y^T; \theta)$,

different value of the parameter must correspond to different values of the likelihood function.

This assumption is difficult to assess in DSGE model — that although linear are highly non-linear in the structural parameters

- Canova, Fabio, and Luca Sala. "Back to square one: identification issues in DSGE models." Journal of Monetary Economics 56.4 (2009): 431-449.
- Iskrev, Nikolay. "Local identification in DSGE models." Journal of Monetary Economics 57.2 (2010): 189-202.
- Komunjer, Ivana, and Serena Ng. "Dynamic identification of dynamic stochastic general equilibrium models." Econometrica 79.6 (2011): 1995-2032.

Regularity conditions, ctd

 Model is correctly specified: if it is not, the MLE estimator is still asymptotically consistent, but for a pseudo-true value

$$\hat{\theta}_{T} \xrightarrow{p} \theta^{PT}$$

The pseudo true value maximize the Kullback Leibler

White, Halbert. "Maximum likelihood estimation of misspecified models." Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society (1982): 1-25.

Misspecified likelihood estimation

ullet Let $g(y^T)$ the "true" density of the data. The model is misspecified if

$$g(y^T) \notin \{p(y^T; \theta), \quad \theta \in \Theta\}$$

• In this case, it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{PT} &= \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} \int \log \left(\frac{p(\boldsymbol{y}^T; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{g(\boldsymbol{y}^T)} \right) g(\boldsymbol{y}^T) \\ &= \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} \underbrace{\int \log \left(\frac{g(\boldsymbol{y}^T)}{p(\boldsymbol{y}^T; \boldsymbol{\theta})} \right) g(\boldsymbol{y}^T)}_{\text{Kullback Leibler "distance"}} \end{aligned}$$

minimize the Kullback-Leibler distance (see White, 1982)

• Remember: "all models are false, but some are useful"

Regularity conditions, ctd

Identification

$$\theta \neq \theta_0 \implies \log p(y^T, \theta) \neq \log p(y^T, \theta)$$

• Compactness of parameter space

$$\theta \in \Theta$$
, where Θ is a compact set

• Continuity of $p(y^T, \theta)$

$$\Pr\left[\log p(y^T, \theta) \in C^0(\Theta)\right] = 1$$

Stochastic Dominance

$$|\log p(y_t|y_{t-1};\theta)| < D(y_t), \quad \text{all } \theta \in \Theta, t \leq T$$

where

$$\int D(y^T)p(y^T,\theta_0)dy^T < \infty$$

