

Multiple Regression Review

Antonio Pacifico

Federica Romei

October 22, 2012

1. The dataset for this exercise is `hprice1.dta` that contains 88 observations on the following data:

- *price*: house price in \$ 1000s ;
- *assess* : assessed value in \$ 1000s;
- *sqft*: size of house in square feet;
- *bdrms*: number of bedrooms;
- *colonial*: dummy variable
 - =1 if home is a colonial style;
 - =0 otherwise.

Assume homoscedasticity and normality of the errors and run the regression

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 assess + \beta_2 sqft + \beta_3 bdrms + \beta_4 colonial + u$$

- (a) Test at 5% the hypothesis that only colonial style is a significant variable in the house price determination.

Solution: We have to test

$$H_0 \quad \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$$

under homoscedasticity and normality of the errors.

We can run the first regression with all the coefficient

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 assess + \beta_2 sqft + \beta_3 bdrms + \beta_4 colonial + u$$

and call the R^2 associated to this regression $R^2_{unrestricted}$. Then we can run another regression, where we set at zero β_1 , β_2 and β_3 , i.e. :

$$price = \beta_{r,0} + \beta_{r,4} colonial + u$$

and we call the R^2 associated to this regression $R^2_{restricted}$.

We can easily compute

$$F = \frac{(R^2_{unrestricted} - R^2_{restricted})/q}{1 - R^2_{unrestricted}/(n - k_{unrestricted} - 1)}$$

where q is the number of restriction (3 in our case), n is the number of sample observation (88 in our case) and $k_{unrestricted}$ is the number of coefficient of the unrestricted regression excluded the constant (4 in our case). Once we have the F value we have to compare with $F_{q, n-k-1}$ at 5%. If $F > F_{q, n-k-1}$ we will reject H_0 , we will not reject otherwise.

First of all, write on Stata

```
reg price assess sqrft bdrms colonial
```

and you should visualize :

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 88		
-----+-----				F(4, 83) =	99.80	
Model	759860.557	4	189965.139	Prob > F	= 0.0000	
Residual	157994.038	83	1903.54263	R-squared	= 0.8279	
-----+-----				Adj R-squared	= 0.8196	
Total	917854.596	87	10550.0528	Root MSE	= 43.63	
-----+-----						
price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----						
assess	.9471415	.0982252	9.64	0.000	.7517754	1.142508
bdrms	9.942738	6.930284	1.43	0.155	-3.841318	23.72679
sqrft	-.0033656	.0168439	-0.20	0.842	-.0368675	.0301363
colonial	9.186462	10.67217	0.86	0.392	-12.04005	30.41297
_cons	-40.56921	21.65287	-1.87	0.065	-83.63589	2.497472
-----+-----						

where $R^2_{unrestricted} = 0.8279$.

Now run the restricted regression, i.e.

```
reg price colonial
```

and you should visualize :

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	88
Model	17465.8965	1	17465.8965	F(1, 86) =	1.67
Residual	900388.699	86	10469.636	Prob > F =	0.2000
				R-squared =	0.0190
				Adj R-squared =	0.0076
Total	917854.596	87	10550.0528	Root MSE =	102.32

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
colonial	30.54851	23.65159	1.29	0.200	-16.4693	77.56631
_cons	272.3704	19.69173	13.83	0.000	233.2245	311.5162

where $R^2_{restricted} = 0.019$.

We can compute the F – statistic:

$$F_{3,83} = \frac{(0.8279 - 0.019)/3}{1 - 0.8279/(88 - 4 - 1)}$$

$$F = 132.78$$

The threshold is almost 2. Then you can reject the Null Hypothesis that all coefficients, except the constant and the one for colonial, are equal to zero at 5%. Indeed $F > 2$.

Even if you don't have the threshold value, you can see that F – statistic is quite large, then you have to reject H_0

- (b) Now assume heteroschedasticity of the errors and test at 5% the hypothesis that only colonial style is a significant variable in the house price determination.

Solution: In this case we can't use the previous formula. Then we can run the regression

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 assess + \beta_2 sqft + \beta_3 bdrms + \beta_4 colonial + u$$

on Stata as before.

```
reg price assess bdrms sqrft colonial,r
```

Linear regression

Number of obs = 88
 F(4, 83) = 52.75
 Prob > F = 0.0000
 R-squared = 0.8279
 Root MSE = 43.63

		Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	price						
	assess	.9471415	.122009	7.76	0.000	.7044705	1.189812
	bdrms	9.942738	5.580125	1.78	0.078	-1.155907	21.04138
	sqrft	-.0033656	.0176428	-0.19	0.849	-.0384564	.0317252
	colonial	9.186462	11.41456	0.80	0.423	-13.51664	31.88956
	_cons	-40.56921	24.97071	-1.62	0.108	-90.23495	9.096532

In this case, assuming heteroschedasticity, we use the option `robust` on Stata. As you can see the coefficients are the same, while the standard errors changed with respect to the homoschedastic case. On Stata, to perform a jointly test you can use the command `test` putting on parenthesis the coefficients you want to test. In our case:

```
test (assess=0) (bdrms=0) (sqrft=0).
```

Once you have your output you should compare p -value with α you fixed. As in the t -statistic if p -value $< \alpha$ you will reject H_0 at α % significant level.

In our case the output is :

```
test (assess=0) (bdrms=0) (sqrft=0)
```

```
( 1) assess = 0
( 2) bdrms = 0
( 3) sqrft = 0
```

```
F( 3, 83) = 70.10
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

Our $\alpha = 0.05$ while p -value = 0, then we will reject H_0 at 5% significant level.

(c) Run the regression:

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 assess + \beta_2 bdrms + \beta_3 sqrft + \beta_4 lotsd + \beta_5 colonial + u$$

A friend of yours claims that the marginal effect of the size of lot in square feet on the house's price is the same as the marginal effect of size of house in square feet on the house's price. Test in at least 2 ways this sentence.

Solution: You can run a regression on Stata typing :

```
reg price assess bdrms sqrft lotsize colonial,r
```

You will visualize the output:

```
reg price assess bdrms sqrft lotsize colonial,r
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs =      88
F( 5,      82) =    44.18
Prob > F       =    0.0000
R-squared      =    0.8309
Root MSE      =    43.511
```

		Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
price							
assess		.904078	.1223519	7.39	0.000	.6606812	1.147475
bdrms		9.630255	5.777185	1.67	0.099	-1.862407	21.12292
sqrft		.0010714	.0180266	0.06	0.953	-.0347892	.0369319
lotsize		.0005993	.0002328	2.57	0.012	.0001362	.0010623
colonial		9.547572	11.49432	0.83	0.409	-13.3183	32.41344
_cons		-40.44767	24.81617	-1.63	0.107	-89.81494	8.919605

Then you can test

$$H_0 : \beta_3 = \beta_4$$

typing

```
test (sqrft-lotsize=0)
```

and you will get the output:

```
r. test (lotsize - sqrft=0)
```

```
( 1) - sqrft + lotsize = 0
```

```
F( 1,      82) =    0.00
Prob > F      =    0.9791
```

Since $p - value > 0.05$ you cannot reject H_0 at 5% significance level.

Notice that the test has only one restriction. We can then rewrite the equation as:

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 assess + \beta_2 bdrms + \gamma sqrft + \eta(lotsd + sqrft) + \beta_5 colonial + u$$

where

$$\gamma = \beta_3 - \beta_4$$

and test that :

$$H_0 : \gamma = 0$$

In Stata you should create a new variable

```
gen lotsd= sqrft + lotsize
```

then you should type:

```
reg price assess bdrms sqrft colonial lotsd,r
```

and you will get:

```
reg price assess bdrms sqrft colonial lotsd,r
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs =      88
F( 5,      82) =    44.18
Prob > F       =    0.0000
R-squared      =    0.8309
Root MSE      =    43.511
```

		Robust					
price		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
+-----							
assess		.904078	.1223519	7.39	0.000	.6606812	1.147475
bdrms		9.630255	5.777185	1.67	0.099	-1.862407	21.12292
sqrft		.0004721	.0179405	0.03	0.979	-.0352173	.0361615
colonial		9.547572	11.49432	0.83	0.409	-13.3183	32.41344
lotsd		.0005993	.0002328	2.57	0.012	.0001362	.0010623
_cons		-40.44767	24.81617	-1.63	0.107	-89.81494	8.919605

$\gamma = 0.03$ and it has a $p_{value} = 0.979 > 0.05$ which means that you cannot reject H_0 at 5% significance level.