

Multiple Regression Review

Alessandro Cipriani

Federica Romei

November 3, 2010

1. The dataset for this exercise is `hprice1.dta` that contains 88 observations on the following data:

- *price*: house price in \$ 1000s ;
- *assess* : assessed value in \$ 1000s;
- *sqft*: size of house in square feet;
- *bdrms*: number of bedrooms;
- *colonial*: dummy variable
 - =1 if home is a colonial style;
 - =0 otherwise.

Assume homoscedasticity and normality of the errors and run the regression

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 assess + \beta_2 sqft + \beta_3 bdrms + \beta_4 colonial + u$$

- (a) Test at 5% the hypothesis that only colonial style is a significant variable in the house price determination.

Solution: We have to test

$$H_0 \quad \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$$

under homoscedasticity and normality of the errors.

We can run the first regression with all the coefficient

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 assess + \beta_2 sqft + \beta_3 bdrms + \beta_4 colonial + u$$

and call the R^2 associated to this regression $R^2_{unrestricted}$. Then we can run another regression, where we set at zero β_1 , β_2 and β_3 , i.e. :

$$price = \beta_{r,0} + \beta_{r,4} colonial + u$$

and we call the R^2 associated to this regression $R^2_{restricted}$.

We can easily compute

$$F = \frac{(R^2_{unrestricted} - R^2_{restricted})/q}{1 - R^2_{unrestricted}/(n - k_{unrestricted} - 1)}$$

where q is the number of restriction (3 in our case), n is the number of sample observation (88 in our case) and $k_{unrestricted}$ is the number of coefficient of the unrestricted regression excluded the constant (4 in our case). Once we have the F value we have to compare with $F_{q, n-k-1}$ at 5%. If $F > F_{q, n-k-1}$ we will reject H_0 , we will not reject otherwise.

First of all, write on Stata

```
reg price assess sqrft bdrms colonial
```

and you should visualize :

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 88		
-----+-----				F(4, 83) =	99.80	
Model	759860.557	4	189965.139	Prob > F	= 0.0000	
Residual	157994.038	83	1903.54263	R-squared	= 0.8279	
-----+-----				Adj R-squared	= 0.8196	
Total	917854.596	87	10550.0528	Root MSE	= 43.63	
-----+-----						
price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----						
assess	.9471415	.0982252	9.64	0.000	.7517754	1.142508
bdrms	9.942738	6.930284	1.43	0.155	-3.841318	23.72679
sqrft	-.0033656	.0168439	-0.20	0.842	-.0368675	.0301363
colonial	9.186462	10.67217	0.86	0.392	-12.04005	30.41297
_cons	-40.56921	21.65287	-1.87	0.065	-83.63589	2.497472
-----+-----						

where $R^2_{unrestricted} = 0.8279$.

Now run the restricted regression, i.e.

```
reg price colonial
```

and you should visualize :

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	88
-----+-----				F(1, 86) =	1.67
Model	17465.8965	1	17465.8965	Prob > F	= 0.2000
Residual	900388.699	86	10469.636	R-squared	= 0.0190
-----+-----				Adj R-squared =	0.0076
Total	917854.596	87	10550.0528	Root MSE	= 102.32

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----						
colonial	30.54851	23.65159	1.29	0.200	-16.4693	77.56631
_cons	272.3704	19.69173	13.83	0.000	233.2245	311.5162
-----+-----						

where $R^2_{restricted} = 0.019$.

We can compute the F – statistic:

$$F_{3,83} = \frac{(0.8279 - 0.019)/3}{1 - 0.8279/(88 - 4 - 1)}$$

$$F = 132.78$$

The threshold is almost 2. Then you can reject the Null Hypothesis that all coefficients, except the constant and the one for colonial, are equal to zero at 5%. Indeed $F > 2$.

Even if you don't have the threshold value, you can see that F – statistic is quite large, then you have to reject H_0

- (b) Now assume heteroschedasticity of the errors and test at 5% the hypothesis that only colonial style is a significant variable in the house price determination.

Solution: In this case we can't use the previous formula. Then we can run the regression

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 assess + \beta_2 sqft + \beta_3 bdrms + \beta_4 colonial + u$$

on Stata as before.

```
reg price assess bdrms sqrft colonial,r
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs =      88
F(   4,      83) =   52.75
Prob > F       =   0.0000
R-squared      =   0.8279
Root MSE      =   43.63
```

		Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
price							
assess		.9471415	.122009	7.76	0.000	.7044705	1.189812
bdrms		9.942738	5.580125	1.78	0.078	-1.155907	21.04138
sqrft		-.0033656	.0176428	-0.19	0.849	-.0384564	.0317252
colonial		9.186462	11.41456	0.80	0.423	-13.51664	31.88956
_cons		-40.56921	24.97071	-1.62	0.108	-90.23495	9.096532

In this case, assuming heteroschedasticity, we use the option `robust` on Stata. As you can see the coefficients are the same, while the standard errors changed with respect to the homoschedastic case. On Stata, to perform a jointly test you can use the command `test` putting on parenthesis the coefficients you want to test. In our case:

```
test (assess=0) (bdrms=0) (sqrft=0).
```

Once you have your output you should compare $p - value$ with α you fixed. As in the $t - statistic$ if $p - value < \alpha$ you will reject H_0 at α % significant level.

In our case the output is :

```
test (assess=0) (bdrms=0) (sqrft=0)
```

```
( 1)  assess = 0
( 2)  bdrms = 0
( 3)  sqrft = 0
```

```
F(   3,      83) =   70.10
Prob > F       =   0.0000
```

Our $\alpha = 0.05$ while $p - value = 0$, then we will reject H_0 at 5% significant level.