



**PROBLEM SET 5**  
**Due back by Tuesday May 2.**

---

**Name: SEGA ALESSANDRO**

**Id: 190241**

---

Instructions:

- Make sure you are working on your problem set as each problem set is different.
- **The answers to the questions of this problem set are to be given exclusively in the answer sheet**
- **The answers sheet MUST be printed and not photocopied. Photocopies will not be accepted.**
- Questions marked with the symbol ♣ admit more than one correct answer
- Please fill the boxes in the answer sheet completely using a **black pen** as follows

Question 1:  ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E

- The answer sheet must not be creased or folded otherwise your problem set won't be graded.
- You can hand back your problem set at the END of class on May 2.



1

With a sample of 706 observations, we estimate the following model:

$$\ln(hwage_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age_i + \beta_2 age_i^2 + \beta_3 educ_i + \beta_4 yngkid_i + u_i$$

and obtain these results:

$$\ln(hwage_i) = \underset{0.41175}{-1.00179} + \underset{0.01941}{0.07121}age_i - \underset{0.00024}{0.00076}age_i^2 + \underset{0.01116}{0.07131}educ_i + \underset{0.07006}{0.09439}yngkid_i$$

where  $hwage$  is the logarithm of the hourly wage in euro,  $age$  is measured in years,  $educ$  is years of education and  $yngkid$  is a variable equal to 1 in case the person has a child younger than three years.

**Question 1** What is the interpretation of  $\beta_2$ ?

- ☐ A Increasing the square of age by one year, the hourly wage decreases by 0.077% on average, ceteris paribus.
- ☐ B Increasing age by one year, the hourly wage decreases by 0.077% on average, ceteris paribus.
- ☐ C Increasing the square of age by one year, the hourly wage decreases by 0.00077 euros on average, ceteris paribus.
- ☐ D By itself does not have a proper interpretation.

**Question 2** What is the interpretation of  $\beta_4$ ?

- ☐ A If a person has small kids ( $\leq 3$  years old), he/she earns about 0.095 euros more per hour with respect to someone who does not have small kids, ceteris paribus.
- ☐ B If a person has small kids ( $\leq 3$  years old), he/she earns about 9.5% more per hour with respect to someone who does not have small kids, ceteris paribus.
- ☐ C If a person has one small kid more, he/she earns about 0.095 more per hour with respect to someone who does not have small kids, ceteris paribus.
- ☐ D If a person has one small kid more, he/she earns about 9.5% more per hour with respect to someone who does not have small kids, ceteris paribus.

**Question 3 ♣** Is  $\beta_3$  statistically higher than 0.05 at 5%?

- ☐ A Yes, it is, since the t-value is smaller than 1.64.
- ☐ B No, it is not, since the t-value is smaller than 1.96.
- ☐ C Yes, it is, since the t-value is larger than 1.64.
- ☐ D No, it is not since the t-value is larger than 1.96.
- ☐ E None of these answers are correct.

**Question 4 ♣** Is  $\beta_4$  statistically significant?

- ☐ A It is not at 10% level.
- ☐ B It is not at 2.5% level.
- ☐ C It is at 5% level.
- ☐ D It is at 1% level.
- ☐ E None of these answers are correct.



**Question 5** Keeping other variables fixed, at what age the logarithm of hourly wage is maximized?

- ☐ A At about 46.7 years.
- ☐ B At about 93.3 years.
- ☐ C At about 56.3 years.
- ☐ D At about 0, but this makes no sense.

**Question 6** Using a subset of the variables in the previous model, we would like to write a new one such that we obtain the elasticity of the hourly wage to education, and that, given an increase of one year in age, it returns a change in hourly wage in percent points. Choose the correct model among these:

- ☐ A  $\ln(hwage_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(age_i) + \beta_2 educ_i + u_i$
- ☐ B  $hwage_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(age_i) + \beta_2 educ_i + u_i$
- ☐ C  $\ln(hwage_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age_i + \ln(\beta_2 educ_i) + u_i$
- ☐ D  $hwage_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age_i + \beta_2 \ln(educ_i) + u_i$
- ☐ E  $\ln(hwage_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age_i + \beta_2 \ln(educ_i) + u_i$



2

Let us define with  $Y$  the amount of cholesterol in  $\text{mlg}$  in the blood and with  $\text{Med}$  a dummy variable which takes the value of 1 for medication B and 0 for medication A, where A and B are two different medications that lower cholesterol.  $\text{Female}$  is a dummy variable which takes the value of 1 for females and 0 otherwise.

Consider the following regression:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{med} + \beta_2 \times \text{female} + \beta_3 \times \text{med} \times \text{female} + u.$$

**Question 7** What is the effect of using medication B with respect to no medication for men?

- ☐ A  $\beta_0$
- ☐ B  $\beta_1$
- ☐ C  $\beta_1 - \beta_0$
- ☐ D  $\beta_0 + \beta_1$
- ☐ E None of the others.

**Question 8** Suppose you use this model:  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{med} + \beta_2 \times \text{female} + u$  What would be the underlying assumption in this case?

- ☐ A There are no gender differences in the average cholesterol level.
- ☐ B Males and females choose to take the same medication (either A or B).
- ☐ C Medication A and B may operate differently between females and males.
- ☐ D Medication A and B do not operate differently between females and males.
- ☐ E None of the others.

**Question 3**

These data are taken from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey survey conducted in 1996. These data were provided by Professor Harvey Rosen of Princeton University and were used in his paper with Craig Perry "The Self-Employed Are Less Likely Than Wage-Earners to Have Health Insurance. So What?" in Douglas Holtz-Eakin and Harvey S. Rosen, eds., *Entrepreneurship and Public Policy*, MIT Press 2004.

Among the variables in the dataset, **ins** is a dummy equal to one if the interviewee has the insurance; **selfemp** is equal to one if the interviewee is a self-employed workers; **gender** is equal to one if the individual is a male; **married** is one if the individual is married; **health** is one if the individual reports to be in good health; **educ** is 0 if the person has no education, 1 if he/she achieved middle school diploma, 2 for the high school diploma, 3 for the bachelor degree, 4 for the master degree and 5 for the PhD; **age** is in years and **age2** is the square of age.

We estimate two models:

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(ins = 1|X) = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times selfemp + \beta_2 \times married + \beta_3 \times gender + \beta_4 \times health \\ & + \beta_5 \times gender * health + \beta_6 \times educ + \beta_7 \times age + \beta_8 \times age^2 \end{aligned}$$

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	0.2974634	0.0580248	5.13	0.0000003
selfemp	-0.1742361	0.0141740	-12.29	< 2e-16
married	0.1181062	0.0094187	12.54	< 2e-16
gender	-0.0232270	0.0343575	-0.68	0.49903
health	0.0744310	0.0247243	3.01	0.00262
genderxhealth	-0.0206248	0.0353131	-0.58	0.55920
educ	0.0529807	0.0029210	18.14	< 2e-16
age	0.0105315	0.0027482	3.83	0.00013
age2	-0.0000788	0.0000333	-2.37	0.01796

---

Heteroskedasticity robust standard errors used

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(ins = 1|X) = & \Phi(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \times selfemp + \beta_2 \times married + \beta_3 \times gender + \beta_4 \times health \\ & + \beta_5 \times gender * health + \beta_6 \times educ + \beta_7 \times age + \beta_8 \times age^2) \quad (II) \end{aligned}$$

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	-0.844932	0.195991	-4.31	0.000016
selfemp	-0.651923	0.046842	-13.92	< 2e-16
married	0.455241	0.034845	13.06	< 2e-16
gender	-0.040238	0.111653	-0.36	0.71856
health	0.300503	0.082988	3.62	0.00029
genderxhealth	-0.124880	0.116613	-1.07	0.28422
education	0.226139	0.012852	17.60	< 2e-16
age	0.029150	0.009899	2.94	0.00323
age2	-0.000162	0.000126	-1.29	0.19821

---

**Question 9** Is being self employed significantly linked to having an insurance under model (I)?

- ☐ A Yes, since the coefficient  $\beta_1$  is significant.
- ☐ B Yes, since the model includes the variable "selfemp".
- ☐ C No, since the coefficient  $\beta_1$  is not significant.
- ☐ D It depends on the values of all other covariates.



**Question 10** Is being married significantly linked to having an insurance under model (II)?

- ☐ A Yes, since the coefficient  $\beta_2$  is significant.
- ☐ B No, since the coefficient  $\beta_2$  is not significant.
- ☐ C It depends on the values of all other covariates.
- ☐ D Yes, since the model includes the variable "married".

**Question 11** Does increasing level of education have a significant impact on the probability to buy an insurance at 5% level under model (I)?

- ☐ A No, since the coefficient  $\beta_6$  is not significant.
- ☐ B It depends on the values of all other covariates.
- ☐ C Yes, since the model includes the variable "educ".
- ☐ D Yes, since the coefficient  $\beta_6$  is significant.

**Question 12** Does increasing level of education have a positive impact on the probability to buy an insurance at 5% level under model (II)?

- ☐ A Yes, since the t-test on the coefficient  $\beta_6$  is higher than 1.64.
- ☐ B No, since the coefficient  $\beta_6$  is not significant.
- ☐ C Yes, since the model includes the variable "educ".
- ☐ D It depends on the values of all other covariates.

**Question 13 ♣** Under model (I), which of the following statements are true?

- ☐ A If the person is a female, the effect of good health on the probability to have an insurance is  $\beta_4 + \beta_5$ .
- ☐ B A higher education is associated, on average, with an higher probability of having an insurance, *ceteris paribus*.
- ☐ C We cannot interpret the estimated coefficients in terms of magnitude.
- ☐ D Statistically speaking, having a good health has the same impact on the probability of having an insurance for male and female, holding other factors constant.
- ☐ E *None of these answers are correct.*

**Question 14 ♣** Under model (I), which of the following statements are true?

- ☐ A Statistically speaking, having a good health has the same impact on the probability of having an insurance for men and women.
- ☐ B The probability of having an insurance is linked to age in a linear fashion.
- ☐ C The older an individual grows, the higher the probability of having an insurance.
- ☐ D On average, self employed people are less likely to have an insurance, controlling for all other factors.
- ☐ E *None of these answers are correct.*

**Question 15** In model (I) we used heteroskedastic-robust standard errors. Could have we used homoskedastic standard errors instead?

- ☐ A No, because homoskedasticity is not possible when the dependent variable is a dummy.
- ☐ B Only if we knew that the errors were in facts homoskedastic.
- ☐ C No, because homoskedasticity rarely occurs in nature.
- ☐ D No, because the errors are very likely to autocorrelated.



+115/7/48+

**Question 16** What is the estimated probability that a 24 years old non-educated non-self-employed single female who is not in good health and with middle school diploma will buy insurance coverage?

- ☐ A More than 95%.
- ☐ B Approximately 0.5.
- ☐ C Less than 5%.
- ☐ D I cannot say because the model is nonlinear.



+115/8/47+





---

Name: **SEGA ALESSANDRO** Id: **190241**

---

- Answers must be given exclusively on this sheet: answers given on the other sheets will be ignored.
  - **This sheet MUST be printed out and not photocopied. Photocopies will not be accepted.**
  - Please fill the boxes below completely using a **black pen**.
  - Do not crease or fold.
  - Due back: Tuesday, May 2 (right after class)
- 

Question 1: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

Question 2: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

Question 3: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E

Question 4: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E

Question 5: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

Question 6: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E

Question 7: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E

Question 8: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E

Question 9: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

Question 10: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

Question 11: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

Question 12: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

Question 13: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E

Question 14: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐ E

Question 15: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D

Question 16: ☐ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D