

Full  
Privacy  
is Bad  
for You




# Redbelly Network

Vincent Gramoli

University of Sydney


Redbelly Network

# Hacks on Blockchains



Source: <https://www.chainalysis.com/blog/crypto-hacking-stolen-funds-2025/>

# Front Running Attacks



The overall losses caused by front-runners across major decentralized exchanges in 30 days, from April 24 to May 24, was \$279M. It was \$12M alone in the last 24 hours of this interval.

# Money Laundering with Crypto



\$455 million stolen by Lazarus, a North Korean government-backed hacking group, was laundered.


<https://www.reuters.com/business/finance/us-scraps-sanctions-tornado-cash-crypto-mixer-accused-laundering-north-korea-2025-03-21/>

# Roadmap

1. How to make blockchain more secure
2. How to make sure it is correct
3. How to make sure this is efficient
4. How to run generic applications
5. How to make sure it is reliable
6. How to mitigate front running attacks
7. How to prevent money laundering
8. Why these decisions proved us right

# How to make Blockchain more secure

# Blockchain







*Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic  
Cash System.*

S. Nakamoto, 2008.








# Blockchain




T: A sends 10\$ to B





# Blockchain





# Blockchain









# Problem: Double Spending




T1: M sends all her coins to B  
T2: M sends all her coins to C



# Problem: Double Spending




# Problem: Double Spending




[The balance attack or why forkable blockchains are ill-suited for consortium](#). C Natoli, V Gramoli. 47th Annual IEEE/IFIP International Conference on Dependable Systems and Networks (DSN), 2017.

[The attack of the clones against proof-of-authority](#). P Ekparinya, V Gramoli, G Jourjon. 27th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium (NDSS), 2020. Community Ethereum Development Conference, 2019.

# Solution: Consensus before Appending Blocks




# Solution: Consensus before Appending Blocks









# Solution: Consensus before Appending Blocks



# Solution: Consensus before Appending Blocks



# Solution: Consensus before Appending Blocks



*[DBFT: Efficient Leaderless Byzantine Consensus and its Application to Blockchains.](#) T. Crain, V. Gramoli, M. Larrea, M. Raynal. IEEE 17<sup>th</sup> Int'l Symposium on Network Computing and Applications. 2018.*

# Solution: Consensus before Appending Blocks

- Uniqueness of the block at each index is key to avoid double spending
- Reaching consensus guarantees the uniqueness of this block
- But how to make sure that the consensus protocol works as expected?

How to make sure it is correct

# Proving a Consensus Protocol is Insufficient

- Ripple consensus at the heart of XRP had a flaw in its proof
- Zyzzyva [ACM TOCS'07], the best paper at SOSR 2007, had a flaw in its manual proof [arXiv'17], it took 10 years to find it.

*[Formal Verification of Blockchain Byzantine Consensus Fault Tolerance](#). P. Tholoniati, V. Gramoli. 36th in Handbook on Blockchain. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-07535-3\_12, November 2022.*

# Formal Verification of Blockchain Consensus

- We specified DBFT in a threshold automaton (TA)
- We exploited our fairness property to reduce the TA
- We used the Byzantine Model Checker [POPL'17]
- DBFT solves consensus in any possible execution for any system size

*[Holistic Verification of Blockchain Consensus](#) N. Bertrand, V. Gramoli, M. Lazić, I. Konnov, P. Tholoniati, J. Widder. 36th International Symposium on Distributed Computing (DISC), 2022.*

# Solution: Consensus before Appending Blocks

- Formal verification of the consensus protocol reduces human errors
- It becomes almost impossible for a hacker to double spend
- But is the performance overhead of this security manageable?



*Formal Verification of Blockchain Byzantine Consensus Fault Tolerance. P. Tholoniati, V. Gramoli. 36th in Handbook on Blockchain. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-07535-3\_12, November 2022.*



How to make sure this is efficient

# Byzantine Consensus never Scaled

- Practical Byzantine consensus protocols were designed for LAN
- All Byzantine consensus protocols used in blockchain are similar
  - Hotstuff, Tendermint, SBFT, IBFT, BFT-Smart
  - They are all leader-based



- Their performance drops with the system size


[\*Planetary Scale Byzantine Consensus\*](#). G. Voron, V. Gramoli. ACM Workshop on Advanced tools, programming languages, and PLaforms for Implementing and Evaluating algorithms for Distributed systems (ApPLIED), 2023.

# Problem: Competition in the Blockchain Network


- All participants try to impose their block to the rest of the system
- This competition is a waste of efforts and resources
- Participants should collaborate and combine their blocks instead

*[Red Belly: A secure, fair and scalable open blockchain](#). T Crain, C Natoli, V Gramoli. IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (S&P), 466-483, 2021.*


# Solution: Redbelly, a Collaborative Blockchain



# Solution: Redbelly, a Collaborative Blockchain




# Solution: Redbelly, a Collaborative Blockchain



# Solution: Redbelly, a Collaborative Blockchain

- Amazon EC2 instances
- One availability zone in US
- System size from 4 to 260
- 18 HT cores per node
- 60 GB memory
- 2 Gbps
- $t=6$  failures max.



[\*Red Belly: A secure, fair and scalable open blockchain.\*](#) T Crain, C Natoli, V Gramoli. *IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (S&P)*, 466-483, 2021.


# Solution: Redbelly, a Collaborative Blockchain

- Collaboration allows more nodes to commit more transactions
- Redbelly executes simple transactions fast while preventing double spending
- But how would it perform executing realistic applications?








# How to run generic applications

# Diablo Benchmark with Real DApps



*[Diablo: A Benchmark Suite for Blockchains](#). V. Gramoli, R. Guerraoui, A. Lebedev, C. Natoli, G. Voron. 18th ACM European Conference on Computer Systems (EuroSys), 2023*


# Diablo Benchmark with Real DApps

DApp	Exchange	Mobility service	Web service	Gaming	Video sharing
Workload					
Source trace	NASDAQ	Uber	FIFA	Dota 2	YouTube
Characteristics	Burst	Compute intensive	Contended	High sending rate	Very high sending rate

None of the tested blockchains (Algorand, Avalanche, Diem, Ethereum, Quorum, Solana) could commit all transactions in any of these applications!











[\*Diablo: A Benchmark Suite for Blockchains\*](#). V. Gramoli, R. Guerraoui, A. Lebedev, C. Natoli, G. Voron. 18th ACM European Conference on Computer Systems (EuroSys), 2023

# Redbelly commits all transactions



*[Deconstructing the Smart Redbelly Blockchain](#). D. Tennakoon, V. Gramoli. IEEE Transactions on Computers, DOI:10.1109/TC.2024.3475573, 2024.*

# Redbelly, the fastest among 50 blockchains

#	↕ Name	↓ Max TPS (1 block) ⓘ ⓘ	↕ Max Theor. TPS ⓘ	↕ Total Transactions ⓘ	↕ Block Time ⓘ	↕ Finality ⓘ	↕ Governance ⓘ
1	 Redbelly <span>New</span> Layer 1	97,500 tx/s ⓘ	666,970 tx/s	23 txns ⓘ	2m 37s	0s	Council ⓘ
2	 Taraxa Layer 1	61,525 tx/s ⓘ	50,000 tx/s	188,046 txns ⓘ	3.51s	0s	Council ⓘ
3	 Waterfall Layer 1	30,000 tx/s ⚠	13,333 tx/s	1,312 txns ⓘ	2.84s	24s	Council ⓘ
4	 ICP Layer 1	25,621 tx/s ⓘ	209,708 tx/s	3,554,187 txns ⓘ	0.48s	0s	On-chain ⓘ
5	 Aptos Layer 1	22,032 tx/s ⓘ	160,000 tx/s	72,731 txns ⓘ	0.13s	0.9s	On-chain ⓘ
6	 MultiversX Layer 1	13,878 tx/s ⓘ	30,000 tx/s	7,840 txns ⓘ	6s	6s	On-chain ⓘ
7	 Solana Layer 1	10,605 tx/s ⓘ	65,000 tx/s	3,400,712 txns ⓘ	0.4s	12.8s	Off-chain ⓘ
8	 NEAR Layer 1	10,380 tx/s ⓘ	12,000 tx/s ⓘ	303,677 txns ⓘ	1.15s	2s	Council ⓘ
9	 Algorand Layer 1	9,079 tx/s ⓘ	9,384 tx/s	48,780 txns ⓘ	2.81s	0s	On-chain ⓘ
10	 Hedera Layer 1	8,478 tx/s ⓘ	10,000 tx/s	69,470 txns ⓘ	2s ⓘ	7s	Council ⓘ

Source: <https://chainspect.app/dashboard?sort=theorTps> as of 16 April 2025

# Solution: Redbelly, a Collaborative Blockchain

- Redbelly can handle a high load while running complex applications
- Redbelly is fast while preventing double spending
- But how do you make sure Redbelly can be robust in case of failures?

How to make sure it is reliable


# Lack of Fault Tolerance in Blockchain

- Blockchain is not as available as cloud services
- In 2023, Solana experienced 154.5h of outages after 17 months.
- This translates into  $<99\%$  availability
- Cloud computing services typically offer  $\geq 99.9\%$ .

*[STABL: The Sensitivity of Blockchains to Failures](#). V. Gramoli, R. Guerraoui, A. Lebedev, G. Voron. 26th ACM/IFIP International Middleware Conference (Middleware), 2025.*




# Sensitivity to Failures




[\*STABL: The Sensitivity of Blockchains to Failures\*](#). V. Gramoli, R. Guerraoui, A. Lebedev, G. Voron. 26th ACM/IFIP International Middleware Conference (Middleware), 2025.

# Sensitivity to Failures



*[STABL: The Sensitivity of Blockchains to Failures](#). V. Gramoli, R. Guerraoui, A. Lebedev, G. Voron. 26th ACM/IFIP International Middleware Conference (Middleware), 2025.*

# Sensitivity to Failures



[\*STABL: The Sensitivity of Blockchains to Failures\*](#). V. Gramoli, R. Guerraoui, A. Lebedev, G. Voron. 26th ACM/IFIP International Middleware Conference (Middleware), 2025.


# Solution: Redbelly, a Collaborative Blockchain

- Redbelly is secure and efficient
- Redbelly tolerates various failures
- But how do you prevent traders from front running honest users?

# How to mitigate front running attacks

# Problem: Front Running Attacks


- Front running is illegal on Wall Street and happens every day on blockchains




- The crux of the problem is that traders can bribe validators to prioritise their transactions over the transactions of other users

# Solution 1: Oracle to fix transaction fees

- The transaction fees are fixed. More precisely, a transaction of 21,000 gas costs USD 0.01. In order to ensure this the RBNT/USD exchange rate is monitored constantly by an oracle.
- The gas price in RBNT is thus adjusted based on the current exchange rate given by multiple exchanges: the median of the values is taken as the correct one.
- When some exchange returns wrong informations, the transaction fee remains correct.



RBNT to USD by Source





# Solution 2: Lyra, enforcing the initial order

- Preserving the order of transactions slows blockchains down (9 message delays necessary to order first and reach consensus)
- We parallelised the ordering execution with the DBFT consensus execution to achieve a fast ordered consensus protocol.

*[Byzantine Ordered Consensus without Byzantine Oligarchy](#). Y. Zhang, S. Setty, Q. Cheng, L. Zhou, L. Alvisi. USENIX OSDI 2020.*



# Solution 2: Lyra, enforcing the initial order




*[Lyra: Fast and Scalable Resilience to Reordering Attacks in Blockchains](#). P. Zarbafian, V. Gramoli. 37th IEEE International Parallel & Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS), 2023.*

# Solutions: Fixed Fees and Ordered Consensus

- Fixing the transaction fees prevent someone from bribing a validator
- And ordering consensus prevents validators from front running
- But how do you eradicate money laundering then?


How to prevent money laundering

# Problem: Blockchain is Pseudo(/Ano)-nymous




**There is no accountability: hackers and terrorists can get away with anything**



# Solution: Offchain Identification






# Solution: Offchain Identification




client/wallet



identity providers




RPC endpoints




Blockchain network

# Solution: Offchain Identification






# Solution: Offchain Identification







# Solution: Offchain Identification




# Solution: Offchain Identification



# Solution: Offchain Identification



# Solution: Offchain Identification




**Privacy is preserved: No personal identifiable information (PII) is stored on the blockchain!**

# Accessing Redbelly Network



- Download wallet compatible with WalletConnect (i.e., Metamask)
- Get your identity document (i.e., passport)
- Get your phone camera to compare your photograph to your face
- Visit <https://access.redbelly.network>

# Solution: Enforcing Accountability



**Just like in traditional finance, if a transaction is deemed illegal the authorities can ask the identity provider the identity of the culprit, but this is done outside the blockchain**


# Solution: Identification Outside Blockchain

- By identifying users, they become accountable
- We can restrict access to human adults and forbid AI agents
- But is Redbelly implemented and used in production?

Why these decisions proved us right




# Redbelly Network in Production Today




# Node Operator Program



## Requirements:




8 hardware threads



16 GB memory RAM



1 TB storage space




Ubuntu 24.04.1

## Signup bonus (currently):



250,000 RBNTs, including












150,000 vested over 4 years



and 100,000 RBNTs staked

# Real World Assets (RWAs)

<div><b>Redbelly Coin Staking Contract</b> RBNT <span>Verified</span></div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Staking</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$0.015</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$3.1M</div></div> <div>0x43A1dc10...c5bd2817</div>	<div><b>Project of Cerrado and Amazonia ...</b> CH7</div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Carbon Credits</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$73</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$25.2B</div></div> <div>0xC2375752...2DB2C740</div>	<div><b>Domus Nova</b> D0N0</div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Real Estate</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$110</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$877.6K</div></div> <div>0x3c6F30B0...3Df2e022</div>
<div><b>Buckhorn Mine</b> AV20 <span>Verified</span></div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Carbon Credits</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$73</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$28.7B</div></div> <div>0x0DB762E2...d589A023</div>	<div><b>FeTi70</b> FETI70</div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Commodities</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$100</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$420.0K</div></div> <div>0xDA601f48...5c7D64A2</div>	<div><b>Hutly Shadow</b> SHUT <span>Verified</span></div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Equities</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$0.629</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$226.7M</div></div> <div>0x93239eBE...F947Ea84</div>
<div><b>Liquidise ORD Shares</b> ORD <span>Verified</span></div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Company Shares</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$2.78</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$10.0M</div></div> <div>0x57C9d8a5...F7C0e3DE</div>	<div><b>Joe Brown Well #5</b> AV21 <span>Verified</span></div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Carbon Credits</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$72</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$12.1M</div></div> <div>0xDB762E27...589A023C</div>	<div><b>Joe Brown Well #9</b> AV22 <span>Verified</span></div> <div><div>Category</div><div>Carbon Credits</div></div> <div><div>Price per Token</div><div>\$72</div></div> <div><div>Total Value Tokenized</div><div>\$11.0M</div></div> <div>0xDB762E27...589A023C</div>

Source: <https://redbellyassets.xyz/assets> as of 10 Aug. 2025 (beta version)

# Central banks tested blockchains for years

## Permissionless



Jasper 1  
Ethereum



Brazil 1  
Ethereum



Ubin 1  
Ethereum

## Private



Acacia 1  
Quorum



Brazil 2  
Corda vs HLF



Jasper 2  
Corda



Stella 1  
HLF



Ubin 2  
Corda vs HLF



Khokha  
Quorum



Helvetia 1  
SDX



Ensemble  
mBridge



HLF  
HL Besu  
mBridge

## Centralised



Thailand 1  
mBridge



China 1  
e-RMB



Helvetia 2  
SDX



Luxembourg  
DL3S



France 2  
DL3S

# Central bank partners with public blockchain

## Permissionless

	Jasper 1 Ethereum
	Brazil 1 Ethereum
	Ubin 1 Ethereum

## Private

	Acacia 1 Quorum		Stella 1 HLF		Helvetia 1 SDX
	Brazil 2 Corda vs HLF		Ubin 2 Corda vs HLF		Ensemble mBridge
	Jasper 2 Corda		Khokha Quorum		HLF HL Besu mBridge

## Centralised

	Thailand 1 mBridge		China 1 e-RMB		Helvetia 2 SDX		Luxembourg DL3S		France 2 DL3S
--	-----------------------	--	------------------	---	-------------------	--	--------------------	--	------------------

## Public

	Acacia Redbelly Network
---	----------------------------

# Conclusion

Full privacy can be detrimental to blockchain users

Redbelly Network is the result of almost a decade of research

It was only commercialized recently


It requires its users to identify offchain to ensure accountability

It is the platform of choice for industry and government to tokenise RWA

<https://x.com/VincentGramoli>

# Extra Material

<https://x.com/VincentGramoli>



Backup