Randomization Analysis 15:08

Grant Buckles

Friday 21st October, 2016

	Engaged - Number of Responses
0	5392
1	1617
2	676
2 3	347
4	551
5	296
6	209
7	155
8	174
9	130
10	105
11	67
12	82
13	46
14	38
15	28
16	27
17	17
18	10
19	7
20	3
21	3 3
22	
24	2 1
26	
27	4
28	3
29	3
32	1
33	1
37	1

Table 1: Distribution of Engagement

	Engaged - No/Yes
0	5392
_1	4607

Table 2: Distribution of Engagement

	Opinion	В	С	Total
1	Did Not Enter Address	3152	3149	6301
2		94.5%	94.5%	
3	Entered Address	182	184	366
4		5.5%	5.5%	
5	Total	3334	3333	6667
6		50%	50%	

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics - Address Entry Following Redo

	Engaged	A	В	С	Total
1	0	1689	1854	1849	5392
2		31.3%	34.4%	34.3%	53.9%
3		50.7%	55.6%	55.5%	
4	1	1643	1480	1484	4607
5		35.7%	32.1%	32.2%	46.1%
6		49.3%	44.4%	44.5%	
7	Total	3332	3334	3333	9999
8		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	

Table 4: Descriptive Statistics - Engagement amongst groups

Table 5: P-value - Test of Proportion Group A vs. Group B

Table 6: P-value - Test of Proportion Group B vs. Group C

Table 7: P-value - Test of Proportion Group A vs. Group C

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	$\Pr(> z)$
(Intercept)	-0.2258	0.0913	-2.47	0.0134
nolookup	0.1254	0.0308	4.07	0.0000
prime	0.0041	0.0308	0.13	0.8945
days	0.0072	0.0112	0.65	0.5177
lottery	0.0767	0.0455	1.68	0.0920
subsidy	-0.0986	0.0508	-1.94	0.0523
control	0.0517	0.0549	0.94	0.3459

Table 8: Logistic regression - Engagement