





Implosion Example, Bias





Grant Hutchings

2/23/2022

In addition to the angle bias we added in `Implosion_bias.Rmd`, we will not add some noise to the observed data. In the first plot we show the experimental data without noise, then in the next plot we show the noisy versions. In `Implosion_bias.Rmd` we saw that the periodic angle bias was fairly easy to model, but here, visually, we can hardly see the difference between noise and angle bias even with a small amount of noise ($\sigma_y = .05$).




Even with this small amount of noise, it is difficult to visually tell bias from noise.



```
XTdata = transform_xt(Xsim,Tsim,Xobs,Tobs)
Ydata = transform_y(Ysim,YindSim,Yobs,YindObs,center = T,scale = T)

simBasis = get_basis(Ydata$sim$trans,nPC)
obsBasis = get_obs_basis(simBasis,Ydata$obs$trans,YindSim,YindObs,sigY=ifelse(sd_true>0,sd_true^2,1))

estLS = mv_lengthscales(XTdata,simBasis$Vt,g=1e-7)
SCinputs = get_SC_inputs(estLS,XTdata,nPC)
```



Predictions 1-3 show clear evidence of the discrepancy GP over-fitting, capturing too much noise with the angle effect. This is a problem when we move to out of sample prediction as we can see in the lower right plot. This would get better with more data, but we probably need a less flexible discrepancy model. We also see that estimated error variance is too small.

```
## Error Variance Estimation
## true sd: 0.05
## est sd : 0.0249
```

