## UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO

Jorge Luiz Franco

Graph machine learning for flight delay prediction due to holding manouver

São Carlos

## Jorge Luiz Franco

# Graph machine learning for flight delay prediction due to holding manouver

Monograph presented to the Undergraduate Program in Computer Science at the Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação da Universidade de São Paulo, as part of the requirements for obtaining a bachelor's degree.

Advisor: Prof. Filipe Alves Neto Verri, Ph.D.

São Carlos 2024

S856m

Franco, Jorge Luiz Graph machine learning for flight delay prediction due to holding manouver / Jorge Luiz Franco ; orientador Filipe Alves Neto Verri. – São Carlos, 2024.

19 p. : il. (algumas color.) ; 30 cm.

 $1.\ {\rm Machine}\ {\rm Learning.}\ 2.\ {\rm Flight}\ {\rm Delay.}\ 3.\ {\rm Graphs}\ {\rm I.}\ {\rm Filipe}$ Alves Neto Verri. II. Universidade de São Paulo. III. Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação. IV. Graph $\operatorname{ML}$  for Flight Delay Prediction due to Holding Manouver

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to thank my family for helping me through this journey, specially my father, João Luiz Franco, who always has encouraged my studies. Second, I express my gratitude to my advisor, Filipe Alves Neto Verri, for guiding me this semester and introducing me to this problem. Third, I would like to thank professor Vitor Venceslau Curtis, since this work would not be possible without his help. Last but not least, I express my gratitude to my fiance, Isis, for all the patience and caring during this time, my friends for the support, and God who never abandoned me.



#### **ABSTRACT**

FRANCO, J. L. **Graph ML for Flight Delay Prediction due to Holding Manouver**. 2024. 19p. Monografia (Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso) - Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Universidade de São Paulo, São Carlos, 2024.

The growing demand for efficient last-mile delivery solutions, driven by the rise of ecommerce and urbanization, necessitates innovative approaches to manage the complexities of urban logistics. This study investigates the use of drones for last-mile delivery through a graph-based approach, focusing on the Multi-Agent Pathfinding (MAPF) problem, which involves routing multiple drones to deliver packages efficiently. Most algorithms proposed for the Last Mile Delivery Drones (LMDD) problem tend to overlook the inherent complexities of the MAPF problem. This research adopts a graph-based representation of the delivery area, transforming the problem into a network flow optimization. The proposed graphbased heuristic method is evaluated against a purely Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP)-based solution. Experimental results demonstrate that the heuristic approach not only enhances computational efficiency but also maintains high solution quality. Specifically, the heuristic outperforms MILP in terms of runtime while achieving comparable accuracy in path optimization. Additionally, a hybrid implementation combining heuristic and MILP is proposed. The exact MILP model has exponential complexity time as the number of drones is increased, which is expected since the MAPF paradigm is NP-Complete. The complexity of the heuristic is bounded by  $\mathcal{O}(N^3K \max(\log N, \log K))$ , where K is the number of drones and N is the dimension, if the grid is square. The study highlights the potential of centralized control systems for managing a fleet of delivery drones. The findings from extensive simulations indicate that the graph-based heuristic effectively balances computational efficiency and operational reliability, making it a viable solution for real-world last-mile delivery applications. This research contributes to the broader field of drone logistics by offering a scalable and robust method for optimizing drone delivery paths, thereby supporting the integration of drones into commercial delivery systems.

**Keywords**: Optimization. Graphs. Last Mile Delivery Drones.

#### **RESUMO**

FRANCO, J. L. **Graph ML for Flight Delay Prediction due to Holding Manouver**. 2024. 19p. Monografia (Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso) - Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Universidade de São Paulo, São Carlos, 2024.

A crescente demanda por soluções eficientes de entrega de última milha, impulsionada pelo crescimento do comércio eletrônico e urbanização, exige abordagens inovadoras para gerenciar as complexidades da logística urbana. Este estudo investiga o uso de drones para entrega de última milha por meio de uma abordagem baseada em grafos, focando no problema de Multi-Agent Pathfinding (MAPF), que envolve o roteamento de múltiplos drones para entregar pacotes de forma eficiente. A maioria dos algoritmos propostos para o problema de Drones de Entrega de Última Milha (LMDD, em inglês) tendem a ignorar as complexidades inerentes do problema MAPF. Esta pesquisa adota uma representação baseada em grafos da área de entrega, transformando o problema em uma otimização de fluxo de rede. O método heurístico baseado em grafos proposto é avaliado em comparação com uma solução puramente baseada em Programação Linear Inteira Mista (MILP, em inglês). Os resultados experimentais demonstram que a abordagem heurística não apenas melhora a eficiência computacional, mas também mantém alta qualidade nas soluções. Especificamente, a heurística supera a MILP em termos de tempo de execução, enquanto alcança uma precisão comparável na otimização de caminhos. Além disso, é proposta uma implementação híbrida combinando heurística e MILP. O modelo MILP exato tem complexidade exponencial conforme o número de drones aumenta, o que é esperado, uma vez que o paradigma MAPF é NP-Completo. A complexidade da heurística é limitada por  $\mathcal{O}(N^3K \max(\log N, \log K))$ , onde K é o número de drones e N é a dimensão, se a grade for quadrada. O estudo destaca o potencial de sistemas de controle centralizados para gerenciar uma frota de drones de entrega. Os resultados de simulações extensivas indicam que a heurística baseada em grafos equilibra a eficiência computacional e a confiabilidade operacional de forma eficaz, tornando-a uma solução viável para aplicações de entrega de última milha do mundo real. Esta pesquisa contribui para o campo mais amplo da logística de drones oferecendo um método escalável e robusto para otimizar os caminhos de entrega de drones, apoiando assim a integração de drones em sistemas de entrega comerciais. Este trabalho também sugere avanços com agentes inteligentes usando Aprendizado por Reforço (RL, em inglês) e Redes Neurais de Grafos (GNNs, em inglês) para melhorar o paradigma descentralizado, o qual nós provamos ser inferior à nossa metodologia centralizada, uma vez que utiliza uma otimização local em contraste com nossa otimização global.

Palavras-chave: Otimização. Grafos. Drones de Entrega de Última Milha.

## LIST OF FIGURES

## LIST OF TABLES

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

LMDD Last Mile Delivery Drones

MAPF Multi-Agent Pathfinding

BFS Breadth First Search

MILP Mixed Integer Linear Programming

MOEA Multiobjective Evolutionary Algorithm

UAV Unmanned aerial vehicle

NSGA-I Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm

PLS Pareto Local Search

MIP Mixed Integer Programming

TSP Traveling Salesman Problem

TS Tabu Seach

GVNS General Variable Neighborhood Search

ALNS Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search

SA Simulated Annealing

UTM Unmanned Aircraft System Traffic Management

FAA Federal Aviation Administration

BVLOS Beyond Visual Line of Sight

NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration

RL Reinforcement Learning

GNN Graph Neural Network

## **CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION
2	THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK
2.1	Classical graph learning
2.2	Deep graph learning
2.2.1	Spectral-based GNNs
3	PROBLEM DESCRIPTION
4	MATERIALS AND METHODS
5	RESULTS
6	CONCLUSION
	REFERENCES

## 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND RELATED WORKS

Graph machine learning can be tracked backwards to the problem of 'learning' on data that is inherently a graph (SILVA; ZHAO, 2016; CHAMI et al., 2022) or can be modeled as a graph (VERRI; ZHAO, 2013; YOU et al., 2020). This field encompasses a variety of tasks, including node/edge classification, network construction, link prediction, graph classification, graph cut/partitioning, network embeddings, graph coarsening/reduction, which rely on learning representations from graph-structured data. Over the last decades, researchers have developed numerous approaches to tackle these challenges, initially these techniques were most developed by complex networks researchers. However, in the last decade with the advancements in deep learning, the field has seen a significant shift towards the merging of three main communities: graph signal processing, deep learning and complex nets.

As described, defining the field of graph machine learning is not straightforward, as it encompasses a broad range of methods and applications. The tasks mentioned above are just a few examples of the many challenges that can be addressed through graph-based learning techniques. For clarity, these tasks can be categorized into three main learning paradigms: supervised, unsupervised, and semi-supervised learning. In this study, we are interested on the (semi-)supervised learning paradigm, which encompasses a variety of techniques designed to leverage learning to (partially-)labeled data (VERRI; URIO; ZHAO, 2018; AMANCIO et al., 2014). But we can refine even more, in fact, this work will focus in the subset of graph elements prediction(classification/regression) methods.

In this chapter, we provide an overview of the theoretical framework of graph machine learning for node/edge prediction. Here we consider the division of the field into classical graph learning and deep graph learning, where here 'classical' refers to the machine learning techniques applied to graphs before the advent of graph neural networks, where standard ML algorithms were applied to graph data and the topological information measures were encoded as features together with the tabular data (COSTA, 2007; SILVA; ZHAO, 2016). This bipartition is what will pave the way of our explanation, since the last decade has seen a complex interplay between these two approaches. The field's evolution can be traced back to when Bruna et al. (2013) introduced one of the first GNN architectures leaned on the theory of graph signal processing. Concurrently, researchers were developing node embedding techniques like DeepWalk (PEROZZI; AL-RFOU; SKIENA, 2014) and node2vec (GROVER; LESKOVEC, 2016), which bridged classical and deep approaches while remaining using complex networks concepts. The subsequent years saw a surge in GNN architectures, including Graph Convolutional Networks (KIPF; WELLING, 2016) and GraphSAGE (HAMILTON; YING; LESKOVEC, 2017), marking a shift towards more

sophisticated deep learning approaches for graphs and the unification of the field.

In the following sections, we explain each subset, their theory and applications, and how they have evolved over time. We also discuss the challenges and limitations of these methods.

## 2.1 Classical graph learning

These early efforts focused on shallow learning techniques such as feature engineering, graph traversal algorithms, and spectral methods, which laid the foundation for understanding graph structure and dynamics. Methods like community detection, centrality measures, and link prediction became key tools for analyzing large-scale networks in areas such as social science, biology, and infrastructure systems. By modeling relationships as graphs, these approaches enabled researchers to capture both local and global properties, leading to significant insights in network theory and real-world applications.

#### 2.2 Deep graph learning

The rise of deep learning has revolutionized the field of graph machine learning, enabling the development of more powerful and scalable models for graph data. Graph neural networks can be divide in two main categories: spectral-based and spatial-based. Here is a trick thing, the GCN architecture (KIPF; WELLING, 2016) is commonly divulgated as a spatial-based method, since it is more intuitive talking about the convolution operation in the spatial domain, where we simply aggregate information from the immediate neighbors. However, the GCN is a spectral-based method, in fact, it can be thought as a simplification of the first spectral GNN (BRUNA et al., 2013) proposed and that builds the math behind GCNs. That said, first we introduce the spectral-based GNNs and then the spatial-based ones.

#### 2.2.1 Spectral-based GNNs

Spectral methods are rooted in graph signal processing. The core idea is that a signal on a graph can be represented as node features, where each feature vector at a node corresponds to a 'signal' defined over the graph. In this context, the graph Laplacian L = D - A, where D is the degree matrix and A is the adjacency matrix, plays a crucial role. It captures the structure of the graph and can be used to perform operations analogous to Fourier transforms in classical signal processing. Spectral methods can be categorized into two types: eigenvalue-based, where the focus is on creating a graph filter in the Fourier domain, and eigenvector-based, where the goal is to use a spectral basis to decompose the signal (BO et al., 2023).

As we already stated, Bruna et al. (2013) was the first spectral GNN proposed and the ideia was to translate the ideias from the standard CNN for images to graphs,

thats why it's called Spectral CNN (SCNN). The ideia of the SCNN is to use the spectral decomposition of the graph Laplacian  $L = U\Lambda U^T$  to define a convolution operation in the Fourier domain, where the graph fourier transform in a signal f become  $\hat{f} = U^T f$ . The convolution operation is defined as  $g_{\theta} \star x = Ug_{\theta}U^T x$ , where  $g_{\theta}$  is a filter parameterized by  $\theta$ . The SCNN is a powerful method, but it has some limitations, like the need of the eigendecomposition of the Laplacian, which is computationally expensive and the lack of generalization to unseen nodes.

## **3 PROBLEM DESCRIPTION**

## **4 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Our data is a lot imbalanced, but there is little work on imbalanced data in the literature for graph machine learning and most of the work is based on oversampling ((??) GRAPH SMOTE), however current literature shows that oversampling can lead to overfitting and poor generalization. In this work, we will deal with class imbalancing using undersampling for the GAT.

## 5 RESULTS

## 6 CONCLUSION

#### **REFERENCES**

- AMANCIO, D. R. *et al.* A systematic comparison of supervised classifiers. **PLOS ONE**, Public Library of Science, v. 9, n. 4, p. 1–14, 04 2014. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094137.
- BO, D. et al. A Survey on Spectral Graph Neural Networks. 2023. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.05631.
- BRUNA, J. et al. Spectral networks and locally connected networks on graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6203, 2013.
- CHAMI, I. et al. Machine learning on graphs: A model and comprehensive taxonomy. **Journal of Machine Learning Research**, v. 23, n. 89, p. 1–64, 2022. Available at: http://jmlr.org/papers/v23/20-852.html.
- COSTA, L. d. F. Characterization of complex networks: A survey of measurements. Advances in physics, Taylor & Francis, v. 56, n. 1, p. 167–242, 2007.
- GROVER, A.; LESKOVEC, J. node2vec: Scalable feature learning for networks. *In*: Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining. [S.l.: s.n.], 2016. p. 855–864.
- HAMILTON, W.; YING, Z.; LESKOVEC, J. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. Advances in neural information processing systems, v. 30, 2017.
- KIPF, T. N.; WELLING, M. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907, 2016.
- PEROZZI, B.; AL-RFOU, R.; SKIENA, S. Deepwalk: Online learning of social representations. *In*: **Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining**. [S.l.: s.n.], 2014. p. 701–710.
- SILVA, T.; ZHAO, L. Machine Learning in Complex Networks. Springer International Publishing, 2016. ISBN 9783319172897. Available at: https://books.google.com.br/books?id=WdDurQEACAAJ.
- VERRI, F. A. N.; URIO, P. R.; ZHAO, L. Advantages of edge-centric collective dynamics in machine learning tasks. **Journal of Applied Nonlinear Dynamics**, L&H Scientific Publishing, v. 7, n. 3, p. 269–285, 2018.
- VERRI, F. A. N.; ZHAO, L. High level data classification based on network entropy. *In*: **The 2013 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)**. [S.l.: s.n.], 2013. p. 1–5.
- YOU, J. et al. Handling missing data with graph representation learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, v. 33, p. 19075–19087, 2020.