

# Comment on Snizkho et *al.*, Phys. Rev. Resarch 4, 023179 (2021)

Graciana Puentes<sup>1,2</sup>

1- *Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Pabellon 1, Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina*

2-*CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires. Instituto de Física de Buenos Aires (IFIBA). Buenos Aires, Argentina.*

In a recent paper published in Phys. Rev. Research by Snizkho et *al.* [1], the authors explore two timely concepts at the forefront of quantum mechanics. Namely, quantum weak measurements and topological phase transitions. In particular, they address the measurement-induced geometric phase which emerges following an infinite sequence of weak measurements. Moreover, they outline a general framework for treating measurement-induced phase factors, and apply it to a broad class of measurements. Nevertheless, we argue that the general framework proposed by the authors strongly relies on a continuous limit approximation which does not hold in the limiting cases of infinite measurement strength, and infinite measurement backaction, as explored by the authors.

More specific, for the continuous measurement limit, an analytic expression for the measurement-induced geometric phase is obtained by first considering the explicit form of the Kraus decomposition, given by:

$$\langle \psi_0 | M_{N-1}^{(0)} \dots M_1^{(0)} | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \uparrow | \delta R (M^{(0)} \delta R)^{N-1} | \uparrow \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $|\psi_0\rangle$  is the initial state,  $\delta R$  is a matrix independent of the measurement index  $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ , and  $M^{(0)}$  is a  $2 \times 2$  diagonal Kraus operator, whose diagonal elements are  $(1, \text{Exp}(-2\frac{C+iA}{N}) + O(\frac{1}{N^2}))$  [1]. The calculation of the matrix power  $(M^{(0)} \delta R)^{N-1}$  is performed by expressing the product  $(M^{(0)} \delta R)$  in its diagonal basis, in the form  $V D V^{-1}$ , where  $D = (I + \frac{\Lambda}{N})^N$ , and  $V$  is the corresponding matrix for the change of basis.

For the continuous-measurement limit ( $N \rightarrow \infty$ ), the authors invoke the approximation  $(I + \frac{\Lambda}{N})^N \approx e^\Lambda$ , which is of course only valid if  $\Lambda$  is finite, as it can be easily demonstrated following L'Hopitals rule. Doing some basic matrix manipulation, it can be shown that for the specific Kraus operators considered in [1],  $\Lambda$  matrix takes the form:

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} -C - i(\pi + A) - \tau & 0 \\ 0 & -C - i(\pi + A) + \tau \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $C$  is the measurement strength,  $A$  is the non-Hermitian component of the measurement backaction [1], and  $\tau$  is a function of  $C$ ,  $A$ , and the polar angle  $\theta$ , of the form  $\tau = \sqrt{Z^2 - \pi^2 \sin^2 \theta}$ , with  $Z = (C + iA + i\pi \cos \theta)$ . From these derivations, the authors obtain an analytic expression for the geometric phase, of the form:

$$\sqrt{P} e^{\chi_{\text{geom}}} = e^{i\pi(\cos \theta - 1) - Z} (\cosh \tau + Z \sinh \tau / \tau), \quad (3)$$

recovering the analytic expression in [2]. For ease of notation we consider a fixed measurement orientation  $d = +$ . In what follows, the authors explore the limiting cases  $A \rightarrow \infty$  and  $C \rightarrow \infty$ , by expanding the logarithmic of Eq. (3), at large  $A$  and large  $C$  [1].

For the case of  $A \rightarrow \infty$ , they obtain :

$$\chi_{\text{geom}} = \pi(\cos \theta - 1) + \frac{\pi^2 \sin^2 \theta}{2A} \quad (4)$$

$$- \frac{\pi^2 \sin^2 \theta}{4A^2} [e^{2C} \sin(2A + 2\pi \cos \theta) - 2\pi \cos \theta] + O(A^{-3}) \quad (5)$$

while for the case of  $C \rightarrow \infty$  they obtain:

$$\chi_{\text{geom}} = \pi(\cos \theta - 1) + \frac{\pi^2 \sin^2 \theta}{2C^2} [A + \pi \cos \theta] + O(C^{-3}). \quad (6)$$

Note that for the case of  $C \rightarrow \infty$  and  $A \rightarrow \infty$  the geometric phase diverges (Eq. 6). Nevertheless, it should be noted that due to the linear dependence of  $\Lambda$  on  $C$  and  $A$  (Eq. (2)), it turns out that  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , when  $C \rightarrow \infty$  or  $A \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, in such limiting cases where  $\Lambda$  is unbounded, the approximation  $(I + \frac{\Lambda}{N})^N \approx e^\Lambda$  does not hold, thus Eq. (3) cannot be used.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kyrylo Snizkho, Nihal Rao, Parveen Kumar, and Yuval Gefen, *Weak-measurement-induced phases and dephasing: Broken symmetry of the geometric phase*, Phys. Rev. Research **3**, 043045 (2021).
- [2] Valentin Gebhart, Kyrylo Snizkho, Thomas Wellens, Andreas Buchleitner, Alessandro Romito, and Yuval Gefen, *Topological transition in measurement-induced geometric phases*, PNAS **117**, 5706 (2020).