Interesting results

Optimize for acceleration

GPU info

Executed time via basic method

These are the GPU run time 10 times.

```
1st time: 1113771us
2nd time: 1113888us
3rd time: 1113197us
4th time: 1113896us
5th time: 1113891us
6th time: 1113780us
7th time: 1113824us
8th time: 1113931us
9th time: 1115095us
10th time: 1115653us
```

Average time: 1.1140926 seconds

Using lower resolution

• Code in lab3_accelerate_a.cu file.

I used the method from TA in problem 1. I upsample the $\{diffx, diffY\} = \{0, 1\}, \{1, 0\}, \{0, -1\} \text{ and } \{-1, 0\}.$ There are the nearest point from center. Then, I replace the points like a triangle, there are $\{0, 2\}, \{1, -1\}$ and $\{-1, -1\}$. Because centroid point of three points is center point G(0, 0, 0), is the same with basic method (4 points).

Finally, I got a better result below. From 20,000 iterations to becomes **6,000 iterations** to converge, and the images are very similar via watching by eyes.

```
1st time: 292607us
2nd time: 288680us
3rd time: 288771us
4th time: 289976us
5th time: 289635us
6th time: 288571us
7th time: 289866us
8th time: 289869us
9th time: 288590us
10th time: 290020us
```

Average time: 0.2896585 seconds

Using SOR method

• Code in lab3_accelerate_b.cu file.

Finally, I got a better result below. From 20,000 iterations to becomes **10,000 iterations** to converge, and the images are very similar via watching by eyes.

When w = 1.35:

```
1st time: 628234us
2nd time: 628267us
3rd time: 628037us
4th time: 628185us
5th time: 628117us
6th time: 627981us
7th time: 628320us
8th time: 628162us
9th time: 627972us
10th time: 628328us
```

Average time: 0.6281603 seconds