

- We are really defining the Laplacian as  $\nabla^2 = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2$  and wish to show that this is the same as  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla})$

$$\vec{\nabla} \phi = \partial_x \phi \hat{i} + \partial_y \phi \hat{j} + \partial_z \phi \hat{k} = \partial_i \phi$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \phi) = \partial_i \partial_i \phi = (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2) \phi$$

this uses  $\nabla \phi$

We wish to use  $\nabla\phi$  4 and  $\nabla\phi$  6, to show that  $\nabla\phi$  7 results, which effectively shows

$$\nabla^2 = \nabla \cdot \nabla$$

in orthogonal coordinates

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi = \sum_i \frac{1}{h_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \left( \frac{h_i}{h_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \phi \right) = \frac{1}{h_i^2} \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \left( \frac{h_i^2}{h_i^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \phi \right)$$

which is just  $\nabla\phi$  7.