

Decision on ET # 2868

Editorial Express Mail System <ee_mailer@editorialexpress.com> on behalf of
Robert Taylor <rtaylor@essex.ac.uk>

Mon 3/16/2015 10:27 AM

Stationary bootstrap paper

To: Calhoun, Gray [ECONS] <gcalhoun@iastate.edu>;

 2 attachments (102 KB)

MS2868-2-0.pdf; MS2868-2-1.pdf;

ECONOMETRIC THEORY

Manuscript Number: ET#2868

Author: Gray Calhoun, Iowa State University

Title: "Block bootstrap consistency under weak assumptions"

Date Received: October 6, 2014

Dear Gray,

I have now received reports and recommendations back from the three referees on the last revision of your paper. Reports from Referees 2 and 3 are attached.

Referee 1 is happy for the paper to be published in ET. Referee 2 thinks that your paper is almost ready for publication, subject to addressing some residual issues which are outlined in his/her report. Finally, Referee 3 is perhaps the least positive at this stage, but still feels that your paper, if suitably revised, could be published in ET.

Based on these recommendations and my own reading of your paper I have decided to offer you the chance to submit a further revision of your paper. All of the issues raised in the two reports will need to be fully addressed in preparing a revision. Of particular importance, you will need to answer the technical queries raised by Referee 2 in his/her report and deal with the two issues relating to mathematical presentation raised by Referee 3 again this time, which you did not address to the referee's satisfaction in your latest revision. In my view it is important that with your next revision we reach a position where Referee 3 (and indeed Referee 2) is happy to sign your paper off for publication in ET.

I must stress that while I am offering you an opportunity to revise and resubmit this paper, I am not able to provide any guarantee of acceptance should you decide to take up my offer and resubmit a revision that deals with the comments in the attached referee reports.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will need to provide a point by point response to the comments made by the reviewers. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewers.

Revisions are to be submitted to Econometric Theory online via the Editorial Express system at <https://editorialexpress.com/et>. On the form you are asked whether the submission is a "new submission" or a "revision". Please ensure that you select "revision", taking care that you provide the right manuscript number and use the same ID that you used when submitting your paper in the first instance. You will receive a confirmation from the journal once the revised manuscript is entered into our system. If you do not receive this confirmation

it is best to check with the Editorial Office (econometric.theory@yale.edu) that your revision has been processed.

It is the policy of Econometric Theory that first revisions be submitted within 12 months following the decision letter and subsequent revisions within six months. The Editor and Co-Editors have discretion to extend these time limits in special cases.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about my decision, or if you have difficulty reading any of the attachments.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to Econometric Theory and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,

Robert Taylor
<rtaylor@essex.ac.uk>

Co-Editor, Econometric Theory

Attachments:

R2's report
R3's report