

Some Properties of Federated Byzantine Agreement Systems

Giuliano Losa

September 11, 2019

Contents

1	Definition of a Federated Byzantine Agreement System	1
2	Intact and the Cascade Theorem	2
3	The Union Theorem	3

1 Definition of a Federated Byzantine Agreement System

theory *FBA*
imports *Main*
begin

definition *project* **where**

project slices $S\ n \equiv \{Sl \cap S \mid Sl . Sl \in slices\ n\}$

— Projecting on S is the same as deleting the complement of S , where deleting is understood as in the Stellar Whitepaper.

locale *FBAS* =

fixes *slices* :: 'node \Rightarrow 'node set set — the quorum slices

and *W* :: 'node set — the well-behaved nodes

assumes *slices-ne*: $\bigwedge p . p \in W \implies slices\ p \neq \{\}$ — the set of slices of a well-behaved node is not empty

begin

definition *quorum* **where**

quorum $Q \equiv \forall p \in Q \cap W . (\exists Sl \in slices\ p . Sl \subseteq Q)$

— A quorum is a set whose well-behaved members have at least one slice included in the set.

end

2 Intact and the Cascade Theorem

locale *intact* = — Here we fix an intact set I and prove the cascade theorem.

orig:FBAS slices W

+ *proj:FBAS project slices* $I\ W$ — We consider the projection of the system on I .

for *slices* $W\ I$ +

assumes *intact-wb*: $I \subseteq W$ — An intact set is a set I satisfying those three assumptions.

and *q-avail*: *orig.quorum* I — I is a quorum in the original system.

and *q-inter*: $\bigwedge Q\ Q' . \llbracket \text{proj.quorum } Q; \text{proj.quorum } Q'; Q \cap I \neq \{\}; Q' \cap I \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies Q \cap Q' \cap I \neq \{\}$

— Any two sets that intersect I and that are quorums in the projected system intersect in I . Note that requiring that $Q \cap Q' \neq \{\}$ instead of $Q \cap Q' \cap I \neq \{\}$ would be equivalent.

begin

theorem *blocking-safe*: — A set that blocks an intact node contains an intact node. If this were not the case, quorum availability would trivially be violated.

fixes $S\ n$

assumes $n \in I$ **and** $\forall Sl \in \text{slices } n . Sl \cap S \neq \{\}$

shows $S \cap I \neq \{\}$

using *assms* *q-avail* *intact-wb* **unfolding** *orig.quorum-def*

by *auto* (*metis inf.absorb-iff2 inf-assoc inf-bot-right inf-sup-aci*(1))

theorem *cascade*:

— If U is a quorum of an intact node and S is a super-set of U , then either S includes all intact nodes or there is an intact node outside of S which is blocked by the intact members of S . This shows that, in SCP, once the intact members of a quorum accept a statement, a cascading effect occurs and all intact nodes eventually accept it regardless of what befouled and faulty nodes do.

fixes $U\ S$

assumes *orig.quorum* U **and** $U \cap I \neq \{\}$ **and** $U \subseteq S$

obtains $I \subseteq S \mid \exists n \in I - S . \forall Sl \in \text{slices } n . Sl \cap S \cap I \neq \{\}$

proof —

have *False* **if** $1: \forall n \in I - S . \exists Sl \in \text{slices } n . Sl \cap S \cap I = \{\}$ **and** $2: \neg(I \subseteq S)$

proof —

First we show that $I - S$ is a quorum in the projected system. This is immediate from the definition of quorum and assumption 1.

have *proj.quorum* $(I - S)$ **using** 1

unfolding *proj.quorum-def* *project-def*

by (*auto*; *smt DiffI Diff-Compl Diff-Int-distrib Diff-eq Diff-eq-empty-iff Int-commute*)

Then we show that U is also a quorum in the projected system:

moreover have *proj.quorum* U **using** (*orig.quorum* U)

unfolding *proj.quorum-def* *orig.quorum-def* *project-def*

by (*simp*; *meson Int-commute inf.coboundedI2*)

Since quorums of I must intersect, we get a contradiction:

ultimately show *False* using $\langle U \subseteq S \rangle \langle U \cap I \neq \{\} \rangle \langle \neg(I \subseteq S) \rangle$ *q-inter* by *auto*
 qed
 thus *?thesis* using *that* by *blast*
 qed
 end

3 The Union Theorem

Here we prove that the union of two intact sets that intersect is intact. This implies that maximal intact sets are disjoint.

locale *intersecting-intact* =
i1:intact slices $W I_1$ + *i2:intact slices* $W I_2$ — We fix two intersecting intact sets I_1 and I_2 .
 + *proj:FBAS project slices* $(I_1 \cup I_2)$ W — We consider the projection of the system on $I_1 \cup I_2$.
 for *slices* $W I_1 I_2$ +
assumes *inter*: $I_1 \cap I_2 \neq \{\}$
begin

theorem *union-quorum*: *i1.orig.quorum* $(I_1 \cup I_2)$ — $I_1 \cup I_2$ is a quorum in the original system.
 using *i1.intact-axioms* *i2.intact-axioms*
 unfolding *intact-def* *FBAS-def* *intact-axioms-def* *i1.orig.quorum-def*
 by (*metis* *Int-iff* *Un-iff* *le-supI1* *le-supI2*)

theorem *union-quorum-intersection*:
assumes *proj.quorum* Q_1 and *proj.quorum* Q_2 and $Q_1 \cap (I_1 \cup I_2) \neq \{\}$ and $Q_2 \cap (I_1 \cup I_2) \neq \{\}$
shows $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (I_1 \cup I_2) \neq \{\}$
 — Any two sets that intersect $I_1 \cup I_2$ and that are quorums in the system projected on $I_1 \cup I_2$ intersect in $I_1 \cup I_2$.
proof —

First we show that Q_1 and Q_2 are quorums in the projections on I_1 and I_2 .

have *i1.proj.quorum* Q_1 using $\langle \text{proj.quorum } Q_1 \rangle$
 unfolding *i1.proj.quorum-def* *proj.quorum-def* *project-def*
 by *auto* (*metis* *Int-Un-distrib* *Int-iff* *Un-subset-iff*)
moreover have *i2.proj.quorum* Q_2 using $\langle \text{proj.quorum } Q_2 \rangle$
 unfolding *i2.proj.quorum-def* *proj.quorum-def* *project-def*
 by *auto* (*metis* *Int-Un-distrib* *Int-iff* *Un-subset-iff*)
moreover have *i2.proj.quorum* Q_1 using $\langle \text{proj.quorum } Q_1 \rangle$
 unfolding *proj.quorum-def* *i2.proj.quorum-def* *project-def*
 by *auto* (*metis* *Int-Un-distrib* *Int-iff* *Un-subset-iff*)
moreover have *i1.proj.quorum* Q_2 using $\langle \text{proj.quorum } Q_2 \rangle$
 unfolding *proj.quorum-def* *i1.proj.quorum-def* *project-def*
 by *auto* (*metis* *Int-Un-distrib* *Int-iff* *Un-subset-iff*)

Next we show that Q_1 and Q_2 intersect if they are quorums of, respectively, I_1 and I_2 . This is the only interesting part of the proof.

```

moreover have  $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (I_1 \cup I_2) \neq \{\}$ 
  if  $i1.proj.quorum\ Q_1$  and  $i2.proj.quorum\ Q_2$  and  $i2.proj.quorum\ Q_1$ 
    and  $Q_1 \cap I_1 \neq \{\}$  and  $Q_2 \cap I_2 \neq \{\}$ 
  for  $Q_1\ Q_2$ 
proof –
  obtain  $n$  where  $n \in I_1 \cap I_2$  using inter by blast
  have  $i1.proj.quorum\ I_2$ 
  proof –
    have  $i1.orig.quorum\ I_2$  by (simp add: i2.q-avail)
    thus ?thesis unfolding  $i1.orig.quorum-def\ i1.proj.quorum-def\ project-def$ 
      by auto (meson Int-commute Int-iff inf-le2 subset-trans)
  qed
  moreover note  $\langle i1.proj.quorum\ Q_1 \rangle$ 
  ultimately have  $Q_1 \cap I_2 \cap I_1 \neq \{\}$  using  $i1.q-inter\ inter\ \langle Q_1 \cap I_1 \neq \{\} \rangle$ 
by blast
  moreover note  $\langle i2.proj.quorum\ Q_2 \rangle$ 
  moreover note  $\langle i2.proj.quorum\ Q_1 \rangle$ 
  ultimately have  $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap I_2 \neq \{\}$  using  $i2.q-inter\ \langle Q_2 \cap I_2 \neq \{\} \rangle$  by
blast
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib1)
qed

```

Next we show that Q_1 and Q_2 intersect if they are quorums of the same intact set. This is obvious.

```

moreover
  have  $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (I_1 \cup I_2) \neq \{\}$ 
    if  $i1.proj.quorum\ Q_1$  and  $i1.proj.quorum\ Q_2$  and  $Q_1 \cap I_1 \neq \{\}$  and  $Q_2 \cap$ 
 $I_1 \neq \{\}$ 
    for  $Q_1\ Q_2$ 
    by (simp add: Int-Un-distrib i1.q-inter that)
  moreover
  have  $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap (I_1 \cup I_2) \neq \{\}$ 
    if  $i2.proj.quorum\ Q_1$  and  $i2.proj.quorum\ Q_2$  and  $Q_1 \cap I_2 \neq \{\}$  and  $Q_2 \cap$ 
 $I_2 \neq \{\}$ 
    for  $Q_1\ Q_2$ 
    by (simp add: Int-Un-distrib i2.q-inter that)

```

Finally we have covered all the cases and get the final result:

```

  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    by (smt Int-Un-distrib Int-commute assms(3,4) sup-bot.right-neutral)
qed

end

end

```