Adaptive time stepping Key idea: Run 2 methods simultaneously with different truncation errors (orders): e.g. O(h4) LTE e.g. 1) Ynot = < method A>, 2) Ynti = 2method8>, 1.9. O(h5) LTE Approximate the error as err = | Yn+1 - Yn+1 | If err > a user-chosen tolerance, reduce h (e.g. by half) and recompute the whole step, repeating until the bound is satisfied is satisfied. Q: Is this reasonable? Why? Observe yne = y(the) + O(h) = y(the) + Chl + O(h)

for some value C.

Lf method B is one andre made. If method B is one order more accurate, O(hpti), then  $y_{n+1} = y(t_{n+1}) + O(h^{p+1})_{o}$ Method A's true error is  $|y_{n+1} - y(t_{n+1})| = Ch^{p} + O(h^{p+1})$ Our estimated error is  $|y_{n+1} - y_{n+1}| = Ch^{p} + O(h^{p+1})$  also, ... The dominant (lowest order) term of the error matches! 3. Our estimated error is a reasonable approximation to use to adjust h, without knowing the true solin.

Predicting A Good Step Size We can estimate the leading error coefficient C as C= [Ynti-Ynti] where "hold" is the current (hold) P (most recent) step size. Assuming C changes slawly/smoothly in time, we can estimate the next step's error as errnext = 1/n+2 - y(tn+2) = C(hnew) Plugging in for C, we have:

Anext step size, to be determined. errnext = 1/nti-yntil (hnew) = (hnew) Whati-yntil. Given a desired error tolerance, "tol", set errnext = tol, and solve for Mnew: hnen = hold ( tol ) P To (roughly!) compensate for our approximations, we may be conservative by scaling our televance down by some factor a, e.g. a= = or d= = 4, etc. hnew will not be exact, but hopefully a good guess.