Solutions to Exam 1

Rongfei Jin

March 10, 2025

Problem 1

- (a) See code above
- (b) See code above
- (c) (1) Gender
 - (i) Faster multiplication on 0
 - (ii) gender encoded in 0,1 is easiser to interpret because the coefficients represents the effect of being male, or no effect if being female
 - (2) Income and travel

inc25p: 1 if income is greater than 25k, 0 otherwise

inc55p: 1 if income is greater than 55k, 0 otherwise

inc95p: 1 if income is greater than 95k, 0 otherwise

tra025p: 1 if travel is greater than .25h, 0 otherwise

tra400p: 1 if travel is greater than 4h, 0 otherwise

(i) Design matrix achieves full rank. These transformations solve the collinearity problem since if the model

has all the condition except the last one, then the last one is determined, so numerically it is more stable.

- (ii) Easier to interpret as the coefficients are simply the effect of income greater than a certain threshold
- (d) The new model has 7 coefficients (without intercept) and NO coefficients are NA. Gender, income greater than 55k, travel time greater than 0.25h and travel time greater than 4h are significant.

Based on the significant coefficients, we can make the following interpretation.

- (i) Males are associated with 0.36 more visit than female
- (ii) Income greater than 55k is associated with 0.5 less visit than income less than 55k
- (iii) Travel time greater than 0.25h is associated with 0.6 less visit than travel time less than 0.25h
- (iv) Travel time greater than 4h is associated with 1.8 less visit than travel time less than 4h
- (e) The possion regression has the following probability density function

$$p(y|\eta) = \frac{\eta^y e^{-\eta}}{y!}$$

where $\eta = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \ldots + \beta_p X_p)$ is the mean of the possion distribution. Therefore, we have

$$E(y|x_1,\ldots,x_p) = \eta = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_p x_p)$$

Given female, earning \$65,000 annually, and living two miles from the park. We have the following data gen = 0, inc25p = 1 inc55p = 1, inc95p = 0, tra400p = 0.

Therefore, we have

$$E(y|x_1,...,x_p) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 0 + \beta_2 1 + \beta_3 1 + \beta_4 0 + \beta_5 0 + \beta_6 0) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_2 + \beta_3) = 34.49$$

DS5220 Exam 1 Rongfei Jin

Given male, earning \$65,000 annually, and living two miles from the park. We have the following data gen = 1, inc25p = 1 inc55p = 1, inc95p = 0, tra400p = 0.

$$E(y|x_1, ..., x_p) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 1 + \beta_2 1 + \beta_3 1 + \beta_4 0 + \beta_5 0) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3) = 49.11$$

$$\frac{E(y|\text{male with given conditions})}{E(y|\text{female with given conditions})} = \frac{49.11}{34.49} \approx 1.42$$

$$\frac{E(y|\text{female with given conditions})}{E(y|\text{male with given conditions})} = \frac{34.49}{49.11} \approx 0.703$$

Problem 2

- (a) See code above
- (b) (i) the center from both approaches are very close
 - (ii) the bootstrapped CI width is wider than the normal CI, which is expected since the normal CI assumes the distribution is normal, but the bootstrapped CI does not make this assumption

Problem 3

(a) Since $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ we have $P(Y = y; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!}$ We compute the Momement Generating Function

$$M_Y(t) = \mathcal{E}(e^{tY})$$

$$= \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{ty} P(Y = y; \lambda)$$

$$= \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{ty} \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda e^t)^y}{y!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^t}$$

$$= e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$$

Taylor expansion

Now we compute mean by deriving the first moment

$$E(Y) = M'_{Y}(0)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} e^{\lambda(e^{t}-1)} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \lambda e^{\lambda(e^{0}-1)}$$

$$= \lambda$$

Now we compute the variance by first deriving the second moment

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}(Y^2) &= M_Y''(0) \\ &= \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \lambda e^{\lambda(e^0 - 1)} + \lambda^2 e^{\lambda(e^0 - 1)} \\ &= \lambda + \lambda^2 \end{split}$$

DS5220 Exam 1 Rongfei Jin

Now we compute the variance

$$Var(Y) = E(Y^{2}) - E(Y)^{2}$$
$$= (\lambda + \lambda^{2}) - \lambda^{2}$$
$$= \lambda$$

(b)

$$\begin{split} p(y|\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!} \\ &= e^{\log(\frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!})} \\ &= e^{y\log(\lambda) - \lambda - \log(y!)} \end{split}$$

(c) since $\log(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}$, we have

$$p(y|\mathbf{x}, \beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \exp\left\{ [\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}] y - \exp\left\{ \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x} \right\} - \log(y!) \right\}$$

$$E(y|\mathbf{x}, \beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \lambda = \exp\left\{\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}\right\}$$

(d) Let $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$. We have

$$\ell(\beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta} | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \log \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ [\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_i] y_i - \exp \left\{ \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_i \right\} - \log(y_i!) \right\}$$

Problem 4

(a)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} [-\ell(\beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta} | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})] = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \exp(\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} [-\ell(\beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta} | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})] = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \exp(\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{x}_i$$

(b)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta_0^2} [-\ell(\beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta} | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})] = -\sum_{i=1}^n \exp(\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}^2} [-\ell(\beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta} | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})] = -\sum_{i=1}^n \exp(\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^T$$

DS5220 Exam 1 Rongfei Jin

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} [-\ell(\beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta} | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})] = -\sum_{i=1}^n \exp(\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{x}_i$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0^2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^2}$ is a matrix with $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta_i{}^2}$ as its diagonal elements.

(c) for the function to be convex, the Hessian matrix must be positive definite. Therefore, we need to show that for any vector \mathbf{v} , $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{v} > 0$.