

# Solutions to Exam 1

Rongfei Jin

March 10, 2025

## Problem 1

- (a) See code above
- (b) See code above
- (c) (1) Gender
  - (i) Faster multiplication on 0
  - (ii) gender encoded in 0,1 is easier to interpret because the coefficients represent the effect of being male, or no effect if being female
- (2) Income and travel
  - inc25p: 1 if income is greater than 25k, 0 otherwise
  - inc55p: 1 if income is greater than 55k, 0 otherwise
  - inc95p: 1 if income is greater than 95k, 0 otherwise
  - tra025p: 1 if travel is greater than .25h, 0 otherwise
  - tra400p: 1 if travel is greater than 4h, 0 otherwise
- (i) Design matrix achieves full rank. These transformations solve the collinearity problem since if the model has all the conditions except the last one, then the last one is determined, so numerically it is more stable.
- (ii) Easier to interpret as the coefficients are simply the effect of income greater than a certain threshold
- (d) The new model has 7 coefficients (without intercept) and NO coefficients are NA. Gender, income greater than 55k, travel time greater than 0.25h and travel time greater than 4h are significant.

Based on the significant coefficients, we can make the following interpretation.

- (i) Males are associated with 0.36 more visit than female
- (ii) Income greater than 55k is associated with 0.5 less visit than income less than 55k
- (iii) Travel time greater than 0.25h is associated with 0.6 less visit than travel time less than 0.25h
- (iv) Travel time greater than 4h is associated with 1.8 less visit than travel time less than 4h
- (e) The poisson regression has the following probability density function

$$p(y|\eta) = \frac{\eta^y e^{-\eta}}{y!}$$

where  $\eta = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p)$  is the mean of the poisson distribution. Therefore, we have

$$E(y|x_1, \dots, x_p) = \eta = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p)$$

Given female, earning \$65,000 annually, and living two miles from the park. We have the following data  $\text{gen} = 0$ ,  $\text{inc25p} = 1$ ,  $\text{inc55p} = 1$ ,  $\text{inc95p} = 0$ ,  $\text{tra025p} = 0$ ,  $\text{tra400p} = 0$ .

Therefore, we have

$$E(y|x_1, \dots, x_p) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 0 + \beta_2 1 + \beta_3 1 + \beta_4 0 + \beta_5 0 + \beta_6 0) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_2 + \beta_3) = 34.49$$

Given male, earning \$65,000 annually, and living two miles from the park. We have the following data  
 $\text{gen} = 1, \text{inc25p} = 1, \text{inc55p} = 1, \text{inc95p} = 0, \text{tra025p} = 0, \text{tra400p} = 0.$

$$E(y|x_1, \dots, x_p) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 1 + \beta_2 1 + \beta_3 1 + \beta_4 0 + \beta_5 0) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3) = 49.11$$

$$\frac{E(y|\text{male with given conditions})}{E(y|\text{female with given conditions})} = \frac{49.11}{34.49} \approx 1.42$$

$$\frac{E(y|\text{female with given conditions})}{E(y|\text{male with given conditions})} = \frac{34.49}{49.11} \approx 0.703$$

## Problem 2

- (a) See code above
- (b) (i) the center from both approaches are very close  
 (ii) the bootstrapped CI width is wider than the normal CI, which is expected since the normal CI assumes the distribution is normal, but the bootstrapped CI does not make this assumption

## Problem 3

- (a) Since  $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  we have  $P(Y = y; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!}$

We compute the Momement Generating Function

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= E(e^{tY}) \\ &= \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{ty} P(Y = y; \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{ty} \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda e^t)^y}{y!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^t} && \text{Taylor expansion} \\ &= e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute mean by deriving the first moment

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y) &= M'_Y(0) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \lambda e^{\lambda(e^0 - 1)} \\ &= \lambda \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the variance by first deriving the second moment

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y^2) &= M''_Y(0) \\ &= \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \lambda e^{\lambda(e^0 - 1)} + \lambda^2 e^{\lambda(e^0 - 1)} \\ &= \lambda + \lambda^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the variance

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(Y) &= E(Y^2) - E(Y)^2 \\ &= (\lambda + \lambda^2) - \lambda^2 \\ &= \lambda\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}p(y|\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!} \\ &= e^{\ln(\frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!})} \\ &= e^{y \ln(\lambda) - \lambda - \ln(y!)}\end{aligned}$$