# STA2002 - Homework 4

# Xue Zhongkai 122090636

# Problem 1.

(a) Given  $X \sim N(\mu_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ , the type-II error is

$$\beta = P(\bar{x} > \mu_0 - \mathbf{z}_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \mid \mu = \mu_1) = P(\bar{x} - \mu_1 + \mu_1 - \mu_0 > -\mathbf{z}_\alpha \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}) = P(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > -\mathbf{z}_\alpha - \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}})$$

By definition of the normal cdf, it is equivalent to

$$\beta = 1 - \Phi(-\mathbf{z}_{\alpha} - \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}) = \Phi(\mathbf{z}_{\alpha} + \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}})$$

With the symmetry of the bell-like cdf,

$$\mathbf{z}_{\beta} = 2 \cdot \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} - (\mathbf{z}_{\alpha} + \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}) = -\mathbf{z}_{\alpha} + \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

That is equivalent to,

$$n = (\mathbf{z}_{\alpha} + \mathbf{z}_{\beta})^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^2}$$

(b) With the requirements, while  $\mathbf{z}_{0.05} = -1.6449$ ,  $\mathbf{z}_{0.025} = -1.9600$ 

$$n \ge (-1.6449 - 1.9600)^2 \times \frac{0.12^2}{(-0.02)^2} = 467.86 \approx 468$$

The least value for n should be 468.

## PROBLEM 2.

Set the test statistic to be

$$\mathbf{z} = \frac{cX + dY - \sigma}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{m} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

For two-sided test, the reject region is

$$|\mathbf{z}| > \mathbf{z}_{\alpha/2}$$

#### Problem 3.

(a) Since  $X_i$  are independent to each other, the joint pdf of ith and jth order statistics is

$$f_{X_{(i)},X_{(j)}}(x,y) = \frac{n![F(x)]^{i-1}}{(i-1)!(j-i-1)!(n-j)!} \cdot [F(y) - F(x)]^{j-i-1} \cdot [1 - F(y)]^{n-j} \cdot f(x) \cdot f(y)$$

Then joint pdf of  $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is

$$f_{X_{(1)},X_{(n)}}(u_1,u_2) = \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} \cdot [F(u_2) - F(u_1)]^{n-2} \cdot f(u_1) \cdot f(u_2), \ u_1 < u_2$$

Given the sample range stastistics  $R = x_{(n)} - x_{(1)}$ ,

$$F(r) = P(R \le r) = P(x_{(n)} - x_{(1)} \le r) = \iint_{u_2 - u_1 \le r} f_{X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}}(u_1, u_2) du_1 du_2$$

To compute this double integral,

$$F(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{u_1}^{u_1+r} \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} \cdot [F(u_2) - F(u_1)]^{n-2} \cdot p(u_1) \cdot p(u_2) \, du_2 du_1$$

Set  $y = F(u_2) - F(u_1)$ , then  $\partial y = f(u_2)\partial u_2$ . Plug it in,

$$\int_{u_1}^{u_1+r} y^{n-2} \cdot p(u_1) \, dy = \frac{1}{n-1} [F(u_1+r) - F(u_1)]^{n-1} p(u_1)$$

That is why

$$F(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot [F(u_1+r) - F(u_1)]^{n-1} p(u_1) du_1 = n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [F(u_1+r) - F(u_1)]^{n-1} p(u_1) du_1, \ r > 0$$

which is exactly the same as given.

(b) Set  $v = x_{(1)}$ , then  $x_{(n)} = R + v$ , we have pdf

$$f_{R,v}(r,v) = n(n-1) \cdot [F(r+v) - F(v)]^{n-2}$$

Given the fact it follows U(0,1),

$$f_{R,v}(r,v) = n(n-1) \cdot [r+v-v]^{n-2} = n(n-1) \cdot r^{n-2}$$

As r + v < 1, further we have

$$f_R(r) = \int_0^{1-r} n(n-1) \cdot r^{n-2}, \ dv = n(n-1) \cdot r^{n-2}, \ 0 < r < 1$$

To make it clear,

$$f_R(r) = \frac{r^{(n-1)-1} \cdot (1-r)^{2-1}}{\frac{(n-2)! \cdot 1!}{n!}} = \frac{r^{(n-1)-1} \cdot (1-r)^{2-1}}{\frac{\Gamma(n-1) \cdot \Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(n+1)}} = \frac{r^{(n-1)-1} \cdot (1-r)^{2-1}}{\beta(n-1,2)}$$

Thus, it is true that

$$R \sim \mathbf{Beta}(n-1,2)$$

The expectation for the Beta distribution is

$$\mathbf{E}(R) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{n-1}{n+1}$$

## Problem 4.

The mean for the word "also" of the assumed Poisson distribution in author's 200 passages is

$$\lambda = \frac{0 \times 22 + 1 \times 53 + 2 \times 58 + 3 \times 39 + 4 \times 20 + 5 \times 5 + 6 \times 2 + 7 \times 1}{200} = \frac{41}{20} = 2.05$$

From the Poisson distribution with parametre 2.05, we may compute  $p_i$ , the hypothesized probability associated with *i*th interval:

$$p_{1} = P(X = 0) = \frac{e^{-2.05}(2.05)^{0}}{0!} = 0.1287$$

$$p_{2} = P(X = 1) = \frac{e^{-2.05}(2.05)^{1}}{1!} = 0.2639$$

$$p_{3} = P(X = 2) = \frac{e^{-2.05}(2.05)^{2}}{2!} = 0.2705$$

$$p_{4} = P(X = 3) = \frac{e^{-2.05}(2.05)^{3}}{3!} = 0.1848$$

$$p_{5} = P(X = 4) = \frac{e^{-2.05}(2.05)^{4}}{4!} = 0.0947$$

$$p_{6} = P(X \ge 5) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{6} p_{i} = 0.0574$$

The last two are combined for too small  $E_i$ . By calculating  $E_i = np_i$ , the expected frequencies follow:

Number of "also"	Observed frequeny	Expected frequency
0	22	25.74
1	53	52.78
2	58	54.10
3	39	36.96
4	20	18.94
≥5	8	11.48

Set the null hypothesis  $H_0$ : The distribution is Poisson, with  $H_1$ : The distribution is NOT Poisson.

Assume the test stastic to be

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{5} \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

With stastics listed above,

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(22 - 25.74)^2}{25.74} + \frac{(53 - 52.78)^2}{52.78} + \frac{(58 - 54.10)^2}{54.10} + \frac{(39 - 36.96)^2}{36.96} + \frac{(20 - 18.94)^2}{18.94} + \frac{(8 - 11.48)^2}{11.48} = 2.0523$$

With rejection region

$$\chi^2 < \chi^2_{0.05}(6-1) = 11.070,$$

we fail to reject  $H_0$ .

As a result, it is reasonable to assume it to be a Poisson distribution.

### Problem 5.

(a) Use 30 scores as a sample, we have the sample mean and the sample variable

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 64.9$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \mathbf{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) = 230.56$$

Set the null hypothesis  $H_0$ : The score is adequately described by a normal distribution. For  $X \sim N(64.9, 230.56)$  with k = 5 cells,

Class interval	Observed frequeny	Expected frequency
$-\infty < x < 52.12$	8	6
$52.12 \le x < 61.05$	6	6
$61.05 \le x < 68.75$	4	6
$68.75 \le x < 77.70$	4	6
$77.70 \le x < \infty$	8	6

Check the test stastic to be

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{5} \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = 2.667$$

Since two parameters have been estimated,

$$\chi^2_{0.05}(5-2-1) = \chi^2_{0.05}(2) = 5.991$$

With the fact that

$$\chi^2 < \chi^2_{0.05}(2),$$

we fail to reject  $H_0$ .

As a result, we can reasonably assume it is a normal distribution.

(b) Set the null hypothesis  $H_0$ : Class I and Class II are independent, i.e.  $p_{i,j} = p_{i,\cdot} \times p_{\cdot,j}$ Under  $H_0$ , estimate the probabilities like

$$\hat{p}_{1.} = \frac{8+5+2}{30} = 0.5$$
  $\hat{p}_{2.} = \frac{4+7+4}{30} = 0.5$ 

and

$$\hat{p}_{.1} = \frac{8+4}{30} = 0.4$$
  $\hat{p}_{.2} = \frac{5+7}{30} = 0.4$   $\hat{p}_{.3} = \frac{2+4}{30} = 0.2$ 

we have the test statistic

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{(y_{i,j} - n\hat{p_{i,j}}\hat{p_{\cdot,j}})^2}{n\hat{p_{i,j}}\hat{p_{\cdot,j}}} = 2.33$$

Under significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,

$$\chi^2_{0.05}(2 \times 1) = \chi^2_{0.05}(2) = 5.991$$

With the fact that

$$\chi^2 < \chi^2_{0.05}(2),$$

fail to reject  $H_0$ . That is, they are independent.

As a result, we can reasonably assume they are independent.

# Problem 6.

Set  $H_0$ : Working life of these four batches have no significant differences, *i.e.*  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu$ . By analysing the illustrative data, we find it is a single-factor experiment with 4 treatments of the factor (A, B, C, D), each has 7, 5, 8, 6 observations respectively. That is,

$$m = 4$$
  $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 = 7 + 5 + 8 + 6 = 26$ 

With a simple numerical process, we have

Treatments	$\sum_{j=1}^{7} x_{ij}$	$\sum_{j=1}^{7} x_{ij}^2$
Treatment A	11,760	19,785,400
Treatment B	8,310	13,828,100
Treatment C	13,290	22,191,700
Treatment D	9,410	14,778,700
Totals	42,770	70,583,900

Further we have

$$SS(TO) = 70,583,900 - \frac{42770^2}{26} = 227,250$$

$$SS(T) = \frac{1}{7} \times 11760^2 + \frac{1}{5} \times 8310^2 + \frac{1}{8} \times 13290^2 + \frac{1}{6} \times 9410^2 - \frac{42770^2}{26} = 47,399.167$$

$$SS(E) = 227,250 - 47,399.167 = 179,850.833$$

Given the significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,

$$F = \frac{SS(T)/(m-1)}{SS(E)/(n-m)} = \frac{47,399.167/(4-1)}{179,850.833/(26-4)} = 1.93 < 3.0491 = F_{0.05}(3,22)$$

Thus we fail to reject  $H_0$ .

As a result, we can reasonably assume these four batches have NO significant differences.