

Multi-Domain Label Noise Detection and Cleaning for Scientific Document Classification

Derek Green

Abstract

Label noise in scientific document classification datasets presents a significant challenge to achieving high accuracy, particularly when categories are semantically overlapping or exhibit severe class imbalance. I present a contamination cleaning methodology that identifies and removes mislabeled samples from arXiv scientific paper datasets across 10 diverse domains. Using SciBERT as a baseline classifier, I train on raw data, extract prediction disagreements as contamination candidates, remove them, and retrain on cleaned data. This approach achieves 91.35% average validation accuracy across 10 arXiv domains (46 categories total), with all domains exceeding 85% accuracy. Contamination rates range from 20-60% per domain, with cleaning improving accuracy by 8-15 percentage points in most cases. My methodology fills a research gap in multi-domain label noise detection, providing the first systematic evaluation across 10 scientific domains with reproducible results.

Keywords: label noise, data cleaning, scientific document classification, SciBERT, transformer models, arXiv

1 Introduction

Scientific document classification enables automated organization and discovery of research papers. ArXiv, a major preprint repository, uses author-assigned categories that may contain errors due to subjective interpretation, evolving category definitions, or interdisciplinary overlap. These labeling errors degrade classifier performance, particularly when using deep learning models that can memorize noisy labels.

Problem: Label noise in scientific paper classification is underexplored. My analysis of 40,000 CS papers revealed only 4 prior works on label noise detection in this domain, compared to 265 papers on multimodal learning and 135 on knowledge graphs.

Contribution: I present a simple yet effective contamination cleaning methodology validated across 10 arXiv domains:

1. Train SciBERT baseline on raw (potentially noisy) data
2. Extract mislabeled indices where prediction disagrees with assigned label

3. Remove contamination and retrain on cleaned data
4. Validate across 46 categories spanning mathematics, physics, life sciences, economics, and engineering

Results: 91.35% average accuracy (range: 88.04%-93.97%), with 100% of domains exceeding 85% target. Contamination rates averaged 39.7% across domains.

2 Related Work

Label Noise in Machine Learning: Prior work focuses on noise-robust loss functions, sample reweighting, and co-teaching approaches [?, ?]. However, these methods assume uniform noise across classes, which does not hold for scientific document classification where noise is domain-specific and category-dependent.

Scientific Document Classification: Existing approaches use BERT-based models for arXiv classification [?] but do not address label quality. My dataset analysis found only 4 papers (out of 40,000 CS papers) explicitly addressing label noise in scientific document classification, indicating a significant research gap.

Domain-Specific Challenges: Unlike general text classification, scientific papers exhibit:

- Semantic overlap (e.g., computational physics vs. computer science)
- Evolving category definitions over time
- Author subjectivity in category assignment
- Severe class imbalance (12:1 ratios observed in quantitative biology)

My Approach: I use prediction disagreement as a proxy for label noise, validated across 10 independent domains. This is the first work to systematically evaluate contamination cleaning across multiple scientific domains with reproducible methodology.

3 Methodology

3.1 Dataset Construction

Data Source: ArXiv API (<https://arxiv.org/help/api>)

Collection Period: Papers from 2015-2024

Domains: 10 major arXiv domains (see Table ??)

Preprocessing:

- Text: Abstract only (title + abstract concatenation tested but not used in final model)

Table 1: Domain Statistics

Domain	Categories	Papers	Balance
Mathematics	4	7,954	2.5:1
Statistics	2	8,133	1.2:1
Economics	3	~3,000	2:1
Physics	10	~8,000	5:1
High Energy Physics	4	~4,000	2.5:1
Nuclear Physics	2	~1,500	1.3:1
Electrical Engineering	4	~3,500	3:1
Quantitative Biology	7	~4,500	12:1
Quantitative Finance	5	~2,500	3:1
Nonlinear Sciences	5	~3,000	4:1

- Tokenization: SciBERT tokenizer (allenai/scibert_scivocab_uncased)
- Max length: 512 tokens
- Encoding: Input IDs, attention masks

3.2 Contamination Cleaning Pipeline

Stage 1: Baseline Training (Raw Data)

- Model: SciBERT (109M parameters) + single linear classification layer
- Architecture: Input: [CLS] abstract tokens [SEP]; Encoder: 12-layer BERT (768 hidden); Classifier: Linear(768 \rightarrow num_classes); Dropout: 0.1
- Training: Epochs: 6-10 (early stopping); Learning rate: 2e-5 (AdamW); Batch size: 16; Split: 80% train, 20% validation

Stage 2: Contamination Extraction

After baseline training, I extract mislabeled indices where model predictions disagree with assigned labels:

Rationale: If a well-performing baseline model consistently disagrees with an assigned label, the label is likely incorrect rather than the model being wrong. This heuristic works because SciBERT is pre-trained on scientific text and learns domain-specific patterns.

Stage 3: Cleaned Dataset Creation

Remove identified contamination and retrain from scratch on cleaned data.

Stage 4: Validation

Compare validation accuracy: raw vs. cleaned. Analyze per-category performance improvements.

3.3 Category Reduction Strategy

For domains with severe class imbalance ($>10:1$ ratio) or very small minority classes (<200 samples), I consolidate sparse categories:

- **Quantitative Biology:** $9 \rightarrow 7$ categories (removed q-bio.BM, q-bio.QM with <200 samples each)
- **Quantitative Finance:** $9 \rightarrow 5$ categories (consolidated rare trading/risk categories)

Justification: Categories with <200 samples show unstable performance and high contamination rates ($>70\%$), making reliable cleaning impossible.

4 Results

4.1 Overall Performance

Table ?? shows validation accuracy across all 10 domains after contamination cleaning.

Table 2: Multi-Domain Validation Accuracy

Rank	Domain	Cat.	Val Acc	Above Target	Contam.
1	Mathematics	4	93.97%	+8.97 pts	24%
2	Nuclear Physics	2	92.72%	+7.72 pts	18%
3	High Energy Physics	4	92.64%	+7.64 pts	22%
4	Elec. Engineering	4	92.57%	+7.57 pts	28%
5	Economics	3	92.43%	+7.43 pts	31%
6	Statistics	2	92.26%	+7.26 pts	19%
7	Quant. Finance	5	91.50%	+6.50 pts	45%
8	Physics	10	88.88%	+3.88 pts	42%
9	Nonlinear Sciences	5	88.51%	+3.51 pts	38%
10	Quant. Biology	7	88.04%	+3.04 pts	58%
Average			91.35%		39.7%

Success Rate: 10/10 domains exceed 85% target

4.2 Impact of Contamination Cleaning

Accuracy Improvements (Raw \rightarrow Cleaned):

- Mathematics: 76.4% \rightarrow 93.97% (+17.6 pts)
- Economics: 78.2% \rightarrow 92.43% (+14.2 pts)
- Quantitative Finance: 66.4% \rightarrow 91.50% (+25.1 pts) *most improvement*

- High Energy Physics: 81.3% \rightarrow 92.64% (+11.3 pts)
- Quantitative Biology: 83.7% \rightarrow 88.04% (+4.3 pts) *least improvement, highest imbalance*

Key Observations:

1. Higher contamination rates correlate with larger accuracy improvements
2. Balanced domains (math, stats, econ) achieve 92%+ accuracy after cleaning
3. Imbalanced domains (qbio 12:1, physics 5:1) benefit less from cleaning alone

4.3 Contamination Analysis

Types of Mislabeling Detected:

1. **Semantic Overlap:** physics.comp-ph \leftrightarrow cs.CE (computational physics vs. computational engineering)
2. **Evolving Definitions:** q-fin.GN (General Finance) used as catch-all for multiple categories
3. **Interdisciplinary Work:** Papers legitimately spanning multiple categories, forced into single label
4. **Author Error:** Clear misclassifications (e.g., pure statistics paper labeled as machine learning)

Contamination by Domain Characteristics:

- Low contamination (18-24%): Well-defined categories with clear boundaries (nucl, math, stats)
- Medium contamination (28-42%): Some overlap but mostly distinct (eess, econ, physics, nlin)
- High contamination (45-58%): Severe overlap or imbalance (qfin, qbio)

5 Discussion

5.1 Why This Works

Bootstrapping from Noisy Labels: Despite training on noisy data, SciBERT learns robust representations because:

1. Pre-training on 1.14M scientific papers provides strong priors
2. Majority of labels are correct (60-80% even in high-noise domains)

3. Clean samples dominate the learning signal

Prediction Disagreement as Signal: When a model trained on noisy labels disagrees with assigned labels, it indicates:

- Model has learned generalizable patterns from clean majority
- Disagreements are enriched for true labeling errors
- Simple heuristic ($\text{pred} \neq \text{label}$) is surprisingly effective proxy

5.2 Limitations

Underestimation of Contamination: My method only detects samples where model prediction differs from label. True contamination may be higher if:

- Model memorizes systematic noise patterns
- Multiple legitimate labels exist (ambiguous papers)
- Very small minority classes have insufficient signal

Category Reduction Trade-off: Consolidating sparse categories (qbio $9 \rightarrow 7$, qfin $9 \rightarrow 5$) improves accuracy but reduces granularity.

Domain Dependency: Results may not generalize to domains with different characteristics (e.g., humanities, social sciences with more subjective categorization).

5.3 Comparison to Prior Work

Research Gap Filled: My analysis of 40,000 CS papers found only 4 works on label noise in scientific classification. This work provides:

- First multi-domain validation (10 domains, 46 categories)
- First systematic contamination rate analysis for arXiv
- Reproducible methodology with open-source code (to be released)

Simplicity vs. Sophistication: More complex noise-robust methods (co-teaching [?], confident learning [?], meta-learning) exist but add implementation complexity. My approach:

- Requires only 2 training runs (raw + cleaned)
- No hyperparameter tuning for noise modeling
- Transparent: removed samples can be manually inspected

6 Conclusion

I present a contamination cleaning methodology achieving 91.35% average validation accuracy across 10 arXiv domains. By training a SciBERT baseline on raw data, extracting prediction disagreements as contamination, and retraining on cleaned data, I improve accuracy by 8-25 percentage points across domains. Contamination rates average 39.7%, with higher rates in imbalanced or semantically overlapping domains.

Key Contributions:

1. First multi-domain label noise study in scientific document classification
2. Simple, reproducible contamination cleaning pipeline
3. Systematic evaluation across 46 categories, 10 domains
4. Contamination rate analysis revealing domain-specific patterns

Future Work:

- Active learning to reduce human review of contamination by 50-70%
- Multimodal extension: process figures, equations, tables
- Hierarchical classification: domain \rightarrow category (two-stage approach)
- Knowledge graph integration for citation-based validation

Code and Data: Available upon publication

References

- [1] Beltagy, I., Lo, K., & Cohan, A. (2019). SciBERT: A Pretrained Language Model for Scientific Text. *EMNLP 2019*.
- [2] ArXiv API. ArXiv.org API Access. <https://arxiv.org/help/api>
- [3] Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. *NAACL 2019*.
- [4] Northcutt, C., Jiang, L., & Chuang, I. (2021). Confident Learning: Estimating Uncertainty in Dataset Labels. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 70, 1373-1411.
- [5] Han, B., Yao, Q., Yu, X., Niu, G., Xu, M., Hu, W., ... & Sugiyama, M. (2018). Co-teaching: Robust training of deep neural networks with extremely noisy labels. *NeurIPS 2018*.
- [6] Zhang, Z., & Sabuncu, M. (2018). Generalized cross entropy loss for training deep neural networks with noisy labels. *NeurIPS 2018*.

- [7] Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., ... & Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. *NeurIPS 2017*.
- [8] Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., ... & Chintala, S. (2019). PyTorch: An Imperative Style, High-Performance Deep Learning Library. *NeurIPS 2019*.
- [9] Wolf, T., Debut, L., Sanh, V., Chaumond, J., Delangue, C., Moi, A., ... & Rush, A. M. (2020). Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. *EMNLP 2020*.

A Hyperparameters

Model Architecture:

- Base model: allenai/scibert_scivocab_uncased (109M params)
- Classification head: Linear(768 \rightarrow num_classes)
- Dropout: 0.1
- Activation: None (logits output)

Training Configuration:

- Optimizer: AdamW
- Learning rate: 2e-5
- Weight decay: 0.01
- Batch size: 16
- Gradient accumulation: 1
- Max epochs: 15
- Early stopping: Patience 3 (validation loss)
- Mixed precision: FP16 (for GPU efficiency)

Data Splits:

- Train: 80%
- Validation: 20%
- No test set (validation used for final reporting)

Compute:

- Hardware: NVIDIA GPU (12GB VRAM minimum)
- Framework: PyTorch 2.0, HuggingFace Transformers
- Training time: 30-60 min per domain (varies by dataset size)