Detecting semantic shifts in biomedical literature through an intra-year and inter-year approach

This manuscript (<u>permalink</u>) was automatically generated from <u>greenelab/word_lapse_manuscript@6e8932c</u> on June 22, 2022.

Authors

David N. Nicholson

© 0000-0003-0002-5761 · ○ danich1 · У dnicholson329

Genomics and Computational Biology Program, University of Pennsylvania · Funded by The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, GBMF4552; The National Human Genome Research Institute, R01 HG010067

Faisal Alquaddoomi

© 0000-0003-4297-8747 · ♠ falquaddoomi

Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Colorado School of Medicine; Center for Health Artificial Intellegence (CHAI), University of Colorado School of Medicine · Funded by The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, GBMF4552; The National Human Genome Research Institute, R01 HG010067

Vincent Rubinetti

· • vincerubinetti

Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Colorado School of Medicine; Center for Health Artificial Intellegence (CHAI), University of Colorado School of Medicine · Funded by The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, GBMF4552; The National Human Genome Research Institute, R01 HG010067

Casey S. Greene

Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Colorado School of Medicine; Center for Health Artificial Intellegence (CHAI), University of Colorado School of Medicine · Funded by The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, GBMF4552; The National Human Genome Research Institute, R01 HG010067

Abstract

While we often think of words as having a fixed meaning that we use to describe a changing world, words are also dynamic and changing. Scientific research can also be remarkably fast-moving, with new concepts or approaches rapidly gaining mind share. We examined scientific writing, both preprint and pre-publication peer-reviewed text, to identify terms that have changed and examine their use. One particular challenge that we faced was that the shift from closed to open access publishing meant that the size of available corpora changed by over an order of magnitude in the last two decades. We developed an approach to evaluate semantic shift by accounting for both intra- and inter-year variability using multiple integrated models. Using this strategy and examining year-by-year changes revealed thousands of change points in both corpora. We found change points for tokens including 'cas9', 'pandemic', and 'sars' among many others. The consistent change-points between prepublication peer-reviewed and preprinted text were largely related to the COVID-19 pandemic. We developed a web app for exploration (https://greenelab.github.io/word-lapse/) that enables users to investigate individual terms. To our knowledge, this analysis is the first to examine semantic shift in biomedical preprints and pre-publication peer-reviewed text, and it lays the foundation for future work to examine how terms acquire new meaning and the extent to which that process is encouraged or discouraged by peer review.

Introduction

Language is constantly evolving, and the meaning that we ascribe to words changes over time. For example, the word "nice" was used to mean foolish or innocent back in the 15th-17th century; then, it underwent a positive shift to its current meaning of "pleasant or delightful"[1]. These shifts occur for many reasons. For example, writers may use new metaphors or substitute words for others with similar meanings in a process known as metonymy [1]. Studying these shifts can provide a nuanced understanding of how language adapts to describe our world.

Scientific fields of inquiry also change, sometimes rapidly, as researchers devise and test new hypotheses and applications. For example, the repurposing of the CRISPR-Cas9 system to a pervasive tool for genome editing has altered how we discuss molecular entities. Microbes use this as an immune system to defend against viruses. Scientists repurposed this system for genome editing [2], leading to changes in the use of the term. Science is a field with substantial written communication [3], both via published papers [4] and preprints [5,6]. Examining scientific manuscripts with computational linguistics can reveal longitudinal trends in scientific research.

Studying changes in the use of word meanings is called semantic shift detection. Approaches for semantic shift detection examine time series datasets that capture word usage patterns, both with respect to frequency and structure. Typically, these time series are generated for individual words by training a unique model on text binned by a selected time period [7,8,9]. Methods are then applied to identify "change points" where a word's meaning has changed [11].

Semantic shifts have been examined in many sources. Analysis has included newspapers [12,13,14], books [7], reddit [15], and Twitter [16]. Researchers have examined topics in information retrieval [17], and in biomedicine COVID-19 has been examined multiple times [18,19,20]. The amount of open access biomedical literature has dramatically increased in the last two decades, laying the groundwork for the large-scale analysis of semantic shifts in biomedicine.

We examine these semantic shifts in this rapidly growing body of open access text. We include both published papers and preprints in our analysis. We found that novel strategies integrating multiple

models for each year sidestepped the challenge of instability in the machine learning models and allowed us to estimate intra- and inter-year variability. We identify semantic change points for each token. We examine key cases and provide the full set of research products, including change points and machine learning models, as openly licensed tools for the community. We also created a webserver that allows users to analyze tokens of interest on the fly, examining both the most similar terms within a year and temporal trends.

Methods

Biomedical Corpora Examined

Pubtator Central

Pubtator Central is an open-access resource containing annotated abstracts and full-text annotated with entity recognition systems for biomedical concepts [21]. The methods used are TaggerOne [22] to tag diseases, chemicals, and cell line entities, GNormPlus [23] to tag genes, SR4GN [24] to tag species, and tmVar [25] to tag genetic mutations. We initially downloaded this resource on December 07th, 2021, and processed over 30 million documents. This resource contains documents that date back to the pre-1800s to the year 2021; however, due to the low sample size in early years, we only used documents published from 2000 to 2021. The resource was subsequently updated with documents from 2021. We also downloaded a later version on March 09th, 2022, and merged both versions using each document's doc_id field to produce the corpus used in this analysis. We divided documents by publication year and then preprocessed each using spacy's en_core_web_sm model [26]. We replaced each tagged word or phrase with its corresponding entity type and entity id for every sentence that contained an annotation. Then, we used spacy to break sentences into individual tokens and normalized each token to its root form via lemmatization. After preprocessing, we used every sentence to train multiple natural language models designed to represent words based on their context.

Biomedical Preprints

BioRxiv [5] and MedRxiv [6] are repositories that contain preprints for the life science community. MedRxiv mainly focuses on preprints that mention patient research, while bioRxiv focuses on general biology. We downloaded a snapshot of both resources on March 4th, 2022, using their respective Amazon S3 bucket [27,28]. This snapshot contained 172,868 BioRxiv preprints and 37,517 MedRxiv preprints. These resources allow authors to post multiple versions of a single preprint. To prevent duplication bias, we filtered every preprint to its most recent version and sorted each preprint into its respective posted year. Unlike Pubtator Central, these filtered preprints do not contain any annotations. Therefore, we used TaggerOne [22] to tag every chemical and disease entity and GNormplus [23] to tag every gene and species entity for our preprint set. Once tagged, we used spacy to preprocess every preprint as described in our Pubtator Central section.

Constructing Word Embeddings for Semantic Change Detection

Word2vec [29] is a natural language processing model designed to model words based on their respective neighbors in the form of dense vectors. This suite of models comes in two forms, a skipgram model and a continuous bags of words (CBOW) model. The skipgram model generates these vectors by having a shallow neural network predict a word's neighbors given the word, while the CBOW model predicts the word given its neighbors. We used the CBOW model to construct word vectors for each year. Despite the power of these word2vec models, these models are known to differ

both due to randomization within year and year-to-year variability across years [30,31,32,33]. To control for run-to-run variability, we examined both intra-year and inter-year relationships. Each year, we trained ten different CBOW models using the following parameters: vector size of 300, 10 epochs, minimum frequency cutoff of 5, and a window size of 16 for abstracts. Every model has its own unique vector space following training, making it difficult to compare two models without a correction step. We used orthogonal Procrustes [34] to align models. We aligned all trained CBOW models for the Pubtator Central dataset to the first model trained in 2021. Likewise, we aligned all CBOW models for the BioRxiv/MedRxiv dataset to the first model trained in 2021. We used UMAP [35] to visually examine the aligned models. We trained this model using the following parameters: cosine distance metric, random_state of 100, 25 for n_neighbors, a minimum distance of 0.99, and 50 n_epochs.

Detecting semantic changes across time

Once word2vec models are aligned, the next step is to detect semantic change. Semantic change events are often detected through time series analysis [36]. W

Semantic change events are often detected through time series analysis [36]. We constructed a time series sequence for every token by calculating its distance within a given year (intra-year) and across each year (inter-year). We used the model pairs constructed from the same year to calculate an intra-year distance. Then, we calculated the cosine distance between each token and its corresponding counterpart for every generated pair. Cosine distance is a metric bounded between zero and two, where a score of zero means two vectors are the same, and a score of two means both vectors are different. For the inter-year distance, we used the Cartesian product of every model between two years and calculated the distance between tokens in the same way as the intra-year distance. Following both calculations, we combined both metrics by taking the ratio of the average inter-year distance over the average intra-year distance. Through this approach, tokens with high intra-year instability will be penalized and vice-verse for more stable tokens. Along with token distance calculations, it has been shown that including token frequency improves results compared to using distance alone [37]. We calculated token frequency as the ratio of token frequency in the more recent year over the frequency of the previous year. Then, we combined both the frequency and distance ratios to make the final metric.

Following time series construction, we performed change point detection, which is a process that uses statistical techniques to detect abnormalities within a given time series. We used the CUSUM algorithm [11] to detect these abnormalities. This algorithm uses a rolling sum of the differences between two timepoints and checks whether the sum is greater than a threshold. A changepoint is considered to have occurred if the sum is greater than a threshold. We used the 99th percentile on every generated timepoint as the threshold. Then, we ran the CUSUM algorithm using a drift of 0 and default settings for all other parameters.

Results

One potential results panel: comparing results with abstract and full text (since you say above full text is not so often used).

- 1. Figure 1 Visual to show that alignment works along intra year variation (Procrustes Validation)
- 2. Umap panel for an individual year to show models are separated I would start with the simplest possible way that you can show things, and only bring in more complexity like UMAP when necessary. I'm guessing that you would be able to show this with just PCA or similar, unless I'm not thinking correctly.
- 3. Umap panel to show the same year after models have been aligned
- 4. Umap panel to show across years (inter-year varation)
 - 1. might not have this as a figure given the aligned umap results, but we shall see

- 5. Figure 2 CUSUM validation
 - I think you might want another figure here that shows how you can use the variability in distance to address the challenges of variable training set size / model uncertainty. Let's see how things shake out as you're writing.
- 6. Table of found timepoint changes using this algorithm
- 7. Highlight pandemic as positive control
- 8. Nearest Neighbors upset plot ^^
- 9. Might look into lung cancer or other form of cancer results
- 10. Figure 3 Website walkthrough for the work done here
- 11. Website screenshots
 - The figures that make up the website might be nice to show/explain individually not as screenshots but as actual figures (remember the PLOS situation where using a screenshot was an issue we had to work through).
- 12. Basically a walkthrough of the website and how a user can operate the web resource (similar to preprint similarity search)

Discussion and Conclusion

- 1. We provided a website resource to allow users to see toekn association changes
- 2. Word2vec is unstable and we implemented an approach to account for that variation
- 3. Constructs groundwork for future research into token changes
- 4. Will implement biorxiv and other preprint resources as a next step.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation under award GBMF4552 and the National Institutes of Health's National Human Genome Research Institute under award R01 HG010067 to CSG. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interest

DNN performed this work as a Ph.D. student at the University of Pennsylvania. He is now employed by Digital Science. The authors report no other competing interests for this paper.

References

1. Semantic Change

Elizabeth Closs Traugott

Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Linguistics (2017-03-29) https://doi.org/gp574c

DOI: 10.1093/acrefore/9780199384655.013.323

2. A Programmable Dual-RNA-Guided DNA Endonuclease in Adaptive Bacterial Immunity

Martin Jinek, Krzysztof Chylinski, Ines Fonfara, Michael Hauer, Jennifer A Doudna, Emmanuelle Charpentier

Science (2012-08-17) https://doi.org/f22dgd

DOI: 10.1126/science.1225829 · PMID: 22745249 · PMCID: PMC6286148

3. Scientific communication pathways: an overview and introduction to a symposium

David F Zaye, WV Metanomski

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences (1986-05-01) https://doi.org/bwsxhg

DOI: 10.1021/ci00050a001

4. PubMed Central: The GenBank of the published literature

Richard J Roberts

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2001-01-09) https://doi.org/bbn9k8

DOI: 10.1073/pnas.98.2.381 · PMID: 11209037 · PMCID: PMC33354

5. **bioRxiv: the preprint server for biology**

Richard Sever, Ted Roeder, Samantha Hindle, Linda Sussman, Kevin-John Black, Janet Argentine, Wayne Manos, John R Inglis

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2019-11-06) https://doi.org/ggc46z

DOI: 10.1101/833400

6. Medical preprint server debuts

Jocelyn Kaiser

Science (2019-06-05) https://doi.org/gpxkkf

DOI: 10.1126/science.aay2933

7. Diachronic Word Embeddings Reveal Statistical Laws of Semantic Change

William L Hamilton, Jure Leskovec, Dan Jurafsky *arXiv* (2018-10-26) https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.09096

8. Multi-Label Zero-Shot Learning via Concept Embedding

Ubai Sandouk, Ke Chen

arXiv https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.00282

9. Deep Neural Models of Semantic Shift

Alex Rosenfeld, Katrin Erk

Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers) (2018) https://doi.org/gp574f

DOI: 10.18653/v1/n18-1044

10. Bayesian Online Changepoint Detection

Ryan Prescott Adams, David JC MacKay arXiv (2007-10-22) https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3742

11. Adaptive filtering and change detection

Fredrik Gustafsson, Fredrik Gustafsson *Citeseer* (2000)

12. Tracing armed conflicts with diachronic word embedding models

Andrey Kutuzov, Erik Velldal, Lilja Øvrelid

Proceedings of the Events and Stories in the News Workshop (2017) https://doi.org/ghx5gj

DOI: 10.18653/v1/w17-2705

13. Words are Malleable: Computing Semantic Shifts in Political and Media Discourse

Hosein Azarbonyad, Mostafa Dehghani, Kaspar Beelen, Alexandra Arkut, Maarten Marx, Jaap Kamps

arXiv(2017-11-16) https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.05603

14. Reading Between the Lines: Prediction of Political Violence Using Newspaper Text

HANNES MUELLER, CHRISTOPHER RAUH

American Political Science Review (2017-12-14) https://doi.org/gdj77d

DOI: 10.1017/s0003055417000570

15. Detection of Emerging Drugs Involved in Overdose via Diachronic Word Embeddings of Substances Discussed on Social Media

Austin P Wright, Christopher M Jones, Duen Horng Chau, R Matthew Gladden, Steven A Sumner *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* (2021-05) https://doi.org/gp8zph

DOI: 10.1016/j.jbi.2021.103824 · PMID: 34048933

16. Statistically Significant Detection of Linguistic Change

Vivek Kulkarni, Rami Al-Rfou, Bryan Perozzi, Steven Skiena *arXiv* (2014-11-13) https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.3315

17. Semantic word shifts in a scientific domain

Baitong Chen, Ying Ding, Feicheng Ma

Scientometrics (2018-07-13) https://doi.org/gd7bd7

DOI: 10.1007/s11192-018-2843-2

18. Semantic Changepoint Detection for Finding Potentially Novel Research Publications

Bhavish Dinakar, Mayla R Boguslav, Carsten Görg, Deendayal Dinakarpandian *Biocomputing 2021* (2020-11) https://doi.org/gp574d

DOI: 10.1142/9789811232701 0011

19. How COVID-19 Is Changing Our Language: Detecting Semantic Shift in Twitter Word Embeddings

Yanzhu Guo, Christos Xypolopoulos, Michalis Vazirgiannis *arXiv* (2021-02-17) https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07836

20. Natural Language Processing Reveals Vulnerable Mental Health Support Groups and Heightened Health Anxiety on Reddit During COVID-19: Observational Study

Daniel M Low, Laurie Rumker, Tanya Talkar, John Torous, Guillermo Cecchi, Satrajit S Ghosh *Journal of Medical Internet Research* (2020-10-12) https://doi.org/ghm9v2

DOI: <u>10.2196/22635</u> · PMID: <u>32936777</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7575341</u>

21. PubTator central: automated concept annotation for biomedical full text articles

Chih-Hsuan Wei, Alexis Allot, Robert Leaman, Zhiyong Lu

Nucleic Acids Research (2019-05-22) https://doi.org/ggzfsc

DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkz389 · PMID: 31114887 · PMCID: PMC6602571

22. TaggerOne: joint named entity recognition and normalization with semi-Markov Models

Robert Leaman, Zhiyong Lu

Bioinformatics (2016-06-09) https://doi.org/f855dg

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw343 · PMID: 27283952 · PMCID: PMC5018376

23. **GNormPlus: An Integrative Approach for Tagging Genes, Gene Families, and Protein Domains**

Chih-Hsuan Wei, Hung-Yu Kao, Zhiyong Lu

BioMed Research International (2015) https://doi.org/gb85jb

DOI: 10.1155/2015/918710 · PMID: 26380306 · PMCID: PMC4561873

24. **SR4GN: A Species Recognition Software Tool for Gene Normalization**

Chih-Hsuan Wei, Hung-Yu Kao, Zhiyong Lu

PLoS ONE (2012-06-05) https://doi.org/gpq498

DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0038460</u> · PMID: <u>22679507</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC3367953</u>

25. tmVar 2.0: integrating genomic variant information from literature with dbSNP and ClinVar for precision medicine

Chih-Hsuan Wei, Lon Phan, Juliana Feltz, Rama Maiti, Tim Hefferon, Zhiyong Lu

Bioinformatics (2017-09-01) https://doi.org/gbzsmc

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx541 · PMID: 28968638 · PMCID: PMC5860583

26. spaCy 2: Natural language understanding with Bloom embeddings, convolutional neural networks and incremental parsing

Matthew Honnibal, Ines Montani (2017)

- Machine access and text/data mining resources | bioRxiv https://www.biorxiv.org/tdm 27.
- 28. Machine access and text/data mining resources | medRxiv https://www.medrxiv.org/tdm

29. **Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space**

Tomas Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, Jeffrey Dean arXiv (2013-09-10) https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.3781

30. **Factors Influencing the Surprising Instability of Word Embeddings**

Laura Wendlandt, Jonathan K Kummerfeld, Rada Mihalcea

arXiv(2020-06-05) https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.09692

DOI: 10.18653/v1/n18-1190

Stability of Word Embeddings Using Word2Vec 31.

Mansi Chugh, Peter A Whigham, Grant Dick

Al 2018: Advances in Artificial Intelligence (2018) https://doi.org/gpxkkc

DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-03991-2 73

32. **Evaluating the Stability of Embedding-based Word Similarities**

Maria Antoniak, David Mimno

Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics (2018-12) https://doi.org/gf39k8

DOI: 10.1162/tacl a 00008

33. **Predicting Word Embeddings Variability**

Benedicte Pierrejean, Ludovic Tanguy

Proceedings of the Seventh Joint Conference on Lexical and Computational Semantics (2018)

https://doi.org/gh6qpc

DOI: 10.18653/v1/s18-2019

A generalized solution of the orthogonal procrustes problem 34.

Peter H Schönemann

Psychometrika (1966-03) https://doi.org/dx77sz

DOI: <u>10.1007/bf02289451</u>

35. **UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction** Leland McInnes, John Healy, James Melville arXiv(2020-09-21) https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03426

36. Statistically Significant Detection of Linguistic Change

Vivek Kulkarni, Rami Al-Rfou, Bryan Perozzi, Steven Skiena *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web* (2015-05-18) https://doi.org/ghcv6k

DOI: 10.1145/2736277.2741627

37. Improving semantic change analysis by combining word embeddings and word frequencies

Adrian Englhardt, Jens Willkomm, Martin Schäler, Klemens Böhm *International Journal on Digital Libraries* (2019-05-20) https://doi.org/gpxkkd DOI: 10.1007/s00799-019-00271-6