Practical Machine Learning Course Project: Predictions with Biometric Datasets

Using devices such as Jawbone Up, Nike FuelBand, and Fitbit it is now possible to collect a large amount of data about personal activity relatively inexpensively. These type of devices are part of the quantified self movement, a group of enthusiasts who take measurements about themselves regularly to improve their health, to find patterns in their behavior, or because they are tech geeks. One thing that people regularly do is quantify how much of a particular activity they do, but they rarely quantify how well they do it. In this project, the goal will be to use data from accelerometers on the belt, forearm, arm, and dumbell of 6 participants to predict a representative "classe" variable indicative of performance.

Obtaining, partitioning, and subsetting the dataset to reflect patterns that are likely predictive

```
install.packages("caret", repos="http://cran.rstudio.org")
## Installing package into 'C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/R/win-library/3.4'
## (as 'lib' is unspecified)
## package 'caret' successfully unpacked and MD5 sums checked
##
## The downloaded binary packages are in
## C:\Users\ghb206\AppData\Local\Temp\RtmpigbPDh\downloaded_packages
install.packages("e1071", repos="http://cran.rstudio.org")
## Installing package into 'C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/R/win-library/3.4'
## (as 'lib' is unspecified)
## package 'e1071' successfully unpacked and MD5 sums checked
## The downloaded binary packages are in
## C:\Users\ghb206\AppData\Local\Temp\RtmpigbPDh\downloaded_packages
install.packages("rpart", repos="http://cran.rstudio.org")
## Installing package into 'C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/R/win-library/3.4'
## (as 'lib' is unspecified)
##
##
     There is a binary version available but the source version is
##
     later:
         binary source needs compilation
## rpart 4.1-10 4.1-11
                                    TRUE
##
     Binaries will be installed
## package 'rpart' successfully unpacked and MD5 sums checked
```

```
##
## The downloaded binary packages are in
## C:\Users\ghb206\AppData\Local\Temp\RtmpigbPDh\downloaded_packages
install.packages("randomForest", repos="http://cran.rstudio.org")
## Installing package into 'C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/R/win-library/3.4'
## (as 'lib' is unspecified)
## package 'randomForest' successfully unpacked and MD5 sums checked
##
## The downloaded binary packages are in
## C:\Users\ghb206\AppData\Local\Temp\RtmpigbPDh\downloaded_packages
install.packages("knitr", repos="http://cran.rstudio.org")
## Installing package into 'C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/R/win-library/3.4'
## (as 'lib' is unspecified)
## package 'knitr' successfully unpacked and MD5 sums checked
##
## The downloaded binary packages are in
## C:\Users\ghb206\AppData\Local\Temp\RtmpigbPDh\downloaded_packages
library(caret)
## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2
library(e1071)
library(rpart)
library(randomForest)
## randomForest 4.6-12
## Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.
##
## Attaching package: 'randomForest'
## The following object is masked from 'package:ggplot2':
##
##
       margin
library(knitr)
#Get the train and test data
mlTest <-"C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/DataSciTrack_JHU/mlTest.csv"</pre>
mlTestURL <-"https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-
```

```
testing.csv"
mlTrain <-"C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/DataSciTrack JHU/mlTrain.csv"</pre>
"https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv"
Test<-download.file(mlTestURL, mlTest, mode = "wb")</pre>
mlTestData<-read.csv("C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/DataSciTrack_JHU/mlTest.csv",</pre>
header = TRUE)
Train<-download.file(mlTrainURL, mlTrain, mode = "wb")</pre>
mlTrainData<-
read.csv("C:/Users/ghb206/Documents/DataSciTrack JHU/mlTrain.csv", header =
TRUE)
#Partion the data per the popular 70/30 split in favor of training data
holder <- createDataPartition(mlTrainData$classe, p=0.7, list=FALSE)
sevSplTrainData <- mlTrainData[holder, ]</pre>
thirSplTestData <- mlTrainData[-holder, ]</pre>
#confirm with dim() that all matches
dim(mlTrainData)
## [1] 19622
               160
dim(sevSplTrainData)
               160
## [1] 13737
dim(thirSplTestData)
## [1] 5885 160
#Now examine the completeness of the data
naTrain <-sapply(sevSplTrainData, function(x) sum(length(which(is.na(x)))))</pre>
#naTrain <- data.frame(naTrain)</pre>
#Note, this is supressed for brevity, but there are many NA's
naTest <-sapply(thirSplTestData, function(x) sum(length(which(is.na(x)))))</pre>
#naTest <- data.frame(naTest)</pre>
#As above, so the problematic columns will be removed
#Two datasets will be made...one dataset will include all of the
#columns that lack NA values and factorized categories and the
#other will include only the
```

```
#summaries (totals per category)...both will be tested with various
#ML algorithms but only summaries of the better will be provided
#the goal is to see how a vastly stripped dataset performs relative
#to a large, near complete presentation
#Again, the first will include all of the non NA containing columns
#and further filter by items being read as factors
scaleSevSplTrainData<-sevSplTrainData[ , colSums(is.na(sevSplTrainData)) ==</pre>
0]
scaleThirSplTestData< -thirSplTestData[ , colSums(is.na(thirSplTestData)) ==</pre>
numScaleSevSplTrainData <- sapply(scaleSevSplTrainData, is.numeric)</pre>
numScaleThirSplTestData <- sapply(scaleThirSplTestData, is.numeric)</pre>
newNumScaleSevSplTrainData<-scaleSevSplTrainData[,numScaleThirSplTestData]</pre>
newNumScaleThirSplTestData<-scaleThirSplTestData[,numScaleThirSplTestData]</pre>
#Re-shaping the more complete dataset
finalScaleSplTrainData<-
cbind(sevSplTrainData$user_name,sevSplTrainData$classe,
newNumScaleSevSplTrainData)
finalScaleSplTestData<-
cbind(thirSplTestData$user_name,thirSplTestData$classe,
newNumScaleThirSplTestData)
colnames(finalScaleSplTrainData)[1] <- "user_name"</pre>
colnames(finalScaleSplTrainData)[2] <- "classe"</pre>
colnames(finalScaleSplTestData)[1] <- "user_name"</pre>
colnames(finalScaleSplTestData)[2] <- "classe"</pre>
finalScaleSplTrainData$X <-NULL</pre>
finalScaleSplTestData$X <-NULL</pre>
#The second will be just the "total" categories
scaleTwoSevSplTrainData<-sevSplTrainData[ , grep("total",</pre>
colnames(sevSplTrainData))]
scaleTwoThirSplTestData<-thirSplTestData[ , grep("total",</pre>
colnames(thirSplTestData))]
```

```
#More supressed output...the var column is all NA per the below so dropped
#sum(is.na(scaleTwoSevSplTrainData$var total accel belt))
#sum(is.na(scaleTwoThirSplTestData$var_total_accel_belt))
#NOTE: "scaledTwo" reflects the same column sum as "scaled"
scaleTwoSevSplTrainData$var total accel belt<-NULL</pre>
scaleTwoThirSplTestData$var_total_accel_belt<-NULL</pre>
#Re-shape smaller "total" dataset after the grep command
scaleTwoSevSplTrainData<-
cbind(sevSplTrainData$user_name,sevSplTrainData$classe,
scaleTwoSevSplTrainData)
scaleTwoThirSplTestData<-
cbind(thirSplTestData$user_name,thirSplTestData$classe,
scaleTwoThirSplTestData)
colnames(scaleTwoSevSplTrainData)[1] <- "user name"</pre>
colnames(scaleTwoSevSplTrainData)[2] <- "classe"</pre>
colnames(scaleTwoThirSplTestData)[1] <- "user name"</pre>
colnames(scaleTwoThirSplTestData)[2] <- "classe"</pre>
#The two data partitions are formatted and ready for ML algorithm
Performing the ML analysis (Random Forest)
#Test the minimal set first with "random forest"
set.seed(3730977)
randForestModel1 <- randomForest(classe ~ ., data=scaleTwoSevSplTrainData)</pre>
randForestPrediction1 <- predict(randForestModel1, scaleTwoThirSplTestData,</pre>
type = "class")
randForestConfMatrix1 <- confusionMatrix(randForestPrediction1,</pre>
scaleTwoThirSplTestData$classe)
#randForestConfMatrix1 output not shown as the model was only
#minimally accurate
#Now test the assumed "more robust" set with "random forest"
randForestModel2 <- randomForest(classe ~ ., data=finalScaleSplTrainData)</pre>
randForestPrediction2 <- predict(randForestModel2, finalScaleSplTestData,</pre>
```

```
type = "class")
randForestConfMatrix <- confusionMatrix(randForestPrediction2,</pre>
finalScaleSplTestData$classe)
randForestConfMatrix
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction
                           C
                                     Ε
                Α
                      В
                                D
##
            A 1674
                      1
                                0
##
                0 1138
                           2
                                0
                                     0
            C
##
                 0
                      0 1021
                                4
                                     0
           D
                 0
                      0
                           3 960
                                     0
##
##
            Е
                 0
                      0
                           0
                                0 1082
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.9983
##
                    95% CI: (0.9969, 0.9992)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.2845
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.9979
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
                        Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
##
## Sensitivity
                          1.0000
                                   0.9991
                                            0.9951
                                                     0.9959
                                                              1.0000
                                   0.9996
## Specificity
                          0.9998
                                            0.9992
                                                     0.9994
                                                              1.0000
## Pos Pred Value
                          0.9994
                                   0.9982
                                            0.9961
                                                     0.9969
                                                              1.0000
## Neg Pred Value
                          1.0000
                                   0.9998
                                            0.9990
                                                     0.9992
                                                              1.0000
## Prevalence
                          0.2845
                                   0.1935
                                            0.1743
                                                     0.1638
                                                              0.1839
## Detection Rate
                          0.2845
                                   0.1934
                                            0.1735
                                                     0.1631
                                                              0.1839
## Detection Prevalence
                          0.2846
                                   0.1937
                                            0.1742
                                                     0.1636
                                                              0.1839
## Balanced Accuracy
                          0.9999
                                   0.9994
                                            0.9972
                                                     0.9976
                                                              1.0000
```

 The second random forest based model (built with far more data) performed much better in terms of accuracy. For added assurance, the Kappa, which is a measure of observed relative to expected accuracy is 1.0.

Performing the second ML analysis (Decison Tree)

```
set.seed(37485)
dTreeModel1 <- rpart(classe ~ ., data=scaleTwoSevSplTrainData,
method="class")
dTreePfrediction1 <- predict(dTreeModel1, scaleTwoThirSplTestData, type =
"class")
dTreeConfMatrix1 <- confusionMatrix(dTreePfrediction1,
scaleTwoThirSplTestData$classe)</pre>
```

```
#dTreeConfMatrix1 / supressed due to low accuracy
dTreeModel2 <- rpart(classe ~ ., data=finalScaleSplTrainData, method="class")</pre>
dTreePfrediction2 <- predict(dTreeModel2, finalScaleSplTestData, type =</pre>
"class")
dTreeConfMatrix2 <- confusionMatrix(dTreePfrediction2,</pre>
finalScaleSplTestData$classe)
dTreeConfMatrix2
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
             Reference
##
## Prediction
                 Α
                      В
                            C
                                 D
                                      Ε
##
            A 1585
                    141
                            4
                                19
                                     17
                    794
            В
                42
                                35
                                     72
##
                           36
            C
##
                 5
                     53 887
                                65
                                     75
            D
                36
                     93
                               719
##
                           32
                                     61
##
            Е
                 6
                     58
                               126 857
                           67
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.8228
                     95% CI: (0.8128, 0.8324)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.2845
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
##
                      Kappa : 0.7755
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : < 2.2e-16
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                         Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                                                       0.7459
                           0.9468
                                    0.6971
                                             0.8645
                                                                0.7921
## Specificity
                           0.9570
                                    0.9610
                                             0.9593
                                                       0.9549
                                                                0.9465
## Pos Pred Value
                           0.8975
                                    0.8110
                                             0.8175
                                                       0.7641
                                                                0.7693
## Neg Pred Value
                           0.9784
                                    0.9297
                                             0.9710
                                                       0.9504
                                                                0.9528
## Prevalence
                           0.2845
                                    0.1935
                                             0.1743
                                                       0.1638
                                                                0.1839
## Detection Rate
                           0.2693
                                    0.1349
                                             0.1507
                                                       0.1222
                                                                0.1456
## Detection Prevalence
                           0.3001
                                    0.1664
                                             0.1844
                                                       0.1599
                                                                0.1893
## Balanced Accuracy
                                    0.8291
                                             0.9119
                                                       0.8504
                                                                0.8693
                           0.9519
```

• Once again, the expanded model had a resasonably high level of accuracy, and a strong Kappa statistic. Since the random forest model performed better, however, it was chosen for the predictive exercise. Of course, it should be pointed out that these results are seed specific and driven by the underlying dataset. In addition, the 70/30 partition could play a role as well.

Now get the predictions on the small test set (not derived from the original training partition)

```
#in order to predict, the file needs to resemble the format in out best model
scale1MLTestData<-mlTestData[ , colSums(is.na(mlTestData)) == 0]</pre>
scale2MLTestData <- sapply(scale1MLTestData, is.numeric)</pre>
scale3MLTestData<-scale1MLTestData[,scale2MLTestData]</pre>
#newNumScaleSevSplTrainData<-scaleSevSplTrainData[,numScaleThirSplTestData]</pre>
scale4MLTestData<-cbind(mlTestData$user_name,</pre>
scale3MLTestData)
colnames(scale4MLTestData)[1] <- "user name"</pre>
scale4MLTestData$X <- NULL</pre>
randForestModelPredict <- predict(randForestModel2, scale4MLTestData, type =</pre>
"class")
randForestModelPredict
## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
## B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B
## Levels: A B C D E
#These results were able to correctly predict the "classe" category for
#each tested instance
```