

(1) Roots are 9, 6, 132
 1, 4, 296
 -0, -81556

$$(2) \quad \underline{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -2e^{-x^2} (x^3 - x) & \frac{2x^3 (x^2 y^2 + 2)}{(1 + x^2 y^2)^2} \\ 2y & -\frac{2x^4 y}{(1 + x^2 y^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The roots, after using,

$$\vec{x}_{n+1} = \vec{x}_n - \underline{D}^{-1} f(\vec{x}), \text{ are}$$

(3) init points chosen were

$$(a) [A, \tau] = (1, 1), (1, .1), (1, 10)$$

$$(b) [A, B, \tau, \tau_2] = (1111), (111.1), \\ (111 10)$$

(c) Using this, we sometimes find that the biexp. is the same as single exp. (that is, $\tau_1 \approx \tau_2$). Other times, the data are better fit using Biexp. (all 3 datasets), if we compare the M.S. errors of the two methods. For the

third set, there is negligible improvement, which could be due to overfitting.