

Property FW and wreath products of groups: a simple approach using Schreier graphs

Paul-Henry Leemann, Grégoire Schneeberger

Wednesday 30th December, 2020 15:25

Abstract

The group property FW stands in-between the celebrated Kazhdan's property (T) and Serre's property FA. Among many characterizations, it might be defined using the number of ends of Schreier graphs. Using this, we characterize which show that a finitely generated wreath product $G \wr_X H$ has property FW if and only if both G and H have property FW and X is finite.

1 Introduction

Property FW is a group property that is (for discrete groups) a weakening of the celebrated Kazhdan's property (T). It was introduced by Barnhill and Chatterji in [1] and studied extensively by Cornulier in [2]. It is a fixed point property for actions on wall spaces and hence stands between property FH (fixed points on Hilbert spaces, equivalent to property (T) for discrete groups) and property FA (fixed points on *arbres*¹), see [2] for more details.

Give ref
(cf article
de Cornulier)

When working with group properties, it is natural to ask if they are stable under “natural” group operations. For example, one may wonder when a property is stable by subgroups, quotients, direct products or semi-direct products. A slightly less common operation, but still of great use in geometric group theory, is the wreath product, see Definition 2.4.

In the context of properties defined by fixed points of actions, the first result concerning wreath products was due to Cherix, Martin and Valette and latter refined by Neuhauser and concern property (T).

Theorem 1.1 ([1, 3]). *Let G, H be two discrete groups with G non-trivial and X a set on which H acts. The wreath product $G \wr_X H$ has property (T) if and only if G and H have property (T) and X is finite.*

A somewhat similar result for property FA was obtained by Cornulier and Kar in [?]. Cornulier also obtained partial results on wreath products and property FW in [2].

The aim of this note is to give an elementary proof of the analogous of Theorem 1.1 for property FW:

¹Arbres being the french word for trees.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G, H be two finitely generated groups with G non-trivial and X a set on which H acts with finitely many orbits. The wreath product $G \wr_X H$ has property FW if and only if G and H have property FW and X is finite.*

Our proof will rely on a characterization of property FW via ends of Schreier graphs [2], see Subsection 2.1 for the relevant definitions.

At his point, the curious reader might have two questions. First, is it possible to extend Theorem 1.2 beyond the realms of finitely generated groups and of actions with finitely many orbits? And secondly, is there a link between Theorems 1.1 and 1.2? In both cases, the answer is yes. This is the subject of the forthcoming and more technical [?], which gives an unified proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 as well as of similar results for the Bergman property and more.

Organization of the paper The next section contains all the definitions as well as some examples, while Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2 and some related results.

Mettre ici les remerciements. Tu dois possiblement remercier la NSF. J'ai mis Alain en remerciement, je ne sais pas ce que tu en penses et si tu veux être plus spécifique.

Acknowledgment The author are thankful to A. Valette for comments on a previous version of this note. The first author was supported by NSF Grant No. 200021_188578.

J'ai mis en commentaire la partie sur les actions essentielles ne sachant pas comment l'introduire. Je pense que si on veut la garder, le mieux est de la mettre un peu en aparté soit à la fin de l'intro soit à la fin de l'article. Cela permet de garder quelque chose de simple et d'auto-contenu pour le reste.

2 Definitions and examples

This section contains all the definitions, as well as some useful preliminaries facts and some examples. All the results cited in this section are standard and can be found in any good book about geometric group theory, see for example [?].

Mettre ref et peut-être re-travailler l'intro.

2.1 Ends of Schreier graphs and property FW

In what follows, we will always assume that a generating sets of groups are *symmetric*, that is we will look at $S \subset G$ such that $s \in S$ if and only if $s^{-1} \in S$.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a group, H a subgroup of G and S a symmetric² generating set. The (*left*) *Schreier graph* $\text{Sch}(G, H; S)$ is the graph with vertices the left cosets $gH = \{gh \mid h \in H\}$ and with an edge between gH and sgH for every s in S .

Observe that with the above definition, a Schreier graph may have loops as well as multiple edges. Moreover, in $\text{Sch}(G, H; S)$ every vertex has degree $|S|$.

²That is, $s \in S$ if and only if $s^{-1} \in S$.

If X is a set with a left action $G \curvearrowright X$ and S is a symmetric generating set for G , the corresponding (left) *orbital graph* $\text{Sch}_{\mathcal{O}}(G, X; S)$ is the graph with vertex set X and with an edge between x and y for every s in S such that $s.x = y$. As the notation suggest, these two definitions are the two faces of the same coin. Indeed, we have $\text{Sch}(G, H; S) = \text{Sch}_{\mathcal{O}}(G, G/H; S)$ for the action by left multiplication of G on G/H , while for every $x \in X$, the graph $\text{Sch}(G, \text{Stab}_G(x); S)$ is equal to the connected component of x in $\text{Sch}_{\mathcal{O}}(G, X; S)$.

Schreier graphs are generalizations of the well-known *Cayley graphs*, with $\text{Cayl}(G; S) = \text{Sch}(G, \{1\}; S)$.

If S and T are two generating sets of G , the graphs $\text{Sch}(G, H; S)$ and $\text{Sch}(G, H; T)$ need not to be isomorphic. However, if both S and T are finite, then $\text{Sch}(G, H; S)$ and $\text{Sch}(G, H; T)$ are quasi-isometric, see Figure 1 for some examples. The only fact we will use about quasi-isometries is that these preserve “large-scale properties” of the graph, as for examples the number of ends. Observe that the requirement that both S and T are finite is crucial for the existence of a quasi-isometry between the corresponding Schreier graphs. Indeed, for every group $\text{Cayl}(G; G \setminus \{1\}) = \text{Sch}(G, \{1\}; G \setminus \{1\})$ is always a complete graph on $|G|$ vertices, in particular $\text{Cayl}(\mathbf{Z}; \{1\})$ and $\text{Cayl}(\mathbf{Z}; \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ are not quasi-isometric.

Put ref ?




Figure 1: Fragments of two Cayley graphs of \mathbf{Z} , for the standard generating set $\{\pm 1\}$ and for the generating set $\{\pm 2, \pm 3\}$.




Figure 2: A fragment of a Schreier graph (with 4 ends) of the free group $F_2 = \langle x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1} \rangle$.

Let Γ be a graph and K a finite subset of vertices. The graph $\Gamma \setminus K$ is the subgraph of Γ obtained by deleting all vertices in K and all edges adjacent to them. It is not necessarily connected.

Definition 2.2. Let Γ be a graph. The *number of ends* of Γ is the supremum, taken over all finite K , of the number of infinite connected components of $\Gamma \setminus K$.

There exists other characterization of the number of ends in graphs, notably in terms of equivalence classes of rays see [], but Definition 2.2 is the one that best suits our purpose. A locally finite graph (i.e. every vertex has finite degree) is finite if and only if it has 0 ends.

put some reference




Figure 3: A fragment of the Cayley graph of \mathbf{Z}^2 with standard generating set.

An important fact about the number of ends of a graph, is that it is an invariant of quasi-isometry. In particular, if G is a finitely generated group it is possible to speak about the number of ends of the Schreier graph $\text{Sch}(G, H; S)$ without specifying a particular finite generating set S . While the number of ends of Cayley graphs of finitely generated groups can only be 0, 1, 2 or infinite (in which case it is uncountable) [], Schreier graphs may have any number of ends in $\mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, see Figure 2.

put ref

We are now finally able to introduce property FW.

Definition 2.3. A finitely generated group G has *property FW* if all its Schreier graphs have at most one end.

It directly follows from the definition that all finite groups have property FW, but that \mathbf{Z} does not have it. In fact, if G is a finitely generated group with an homomorphism onto \mathbf{Z} , then it does not have FW. Indeed, in this case $G = H \rtimes \mathbf{Z}$ and the Schreier graph $\text{Sch}(G, H; S)$ will be isomorphic to a Cayley graph of $\mathbf{Z} \cong G/H$ and hence has 2 ends.

Property FW admits many distinct characterizations that allow to define it for groups that are non-necessarily finitely generated and even for topological groups. We refer the reader to [2] for a survey of these characterizations.

Est-ce qu'on dit que tout graphe de degré pair est isomorphe à un Schreier ?

2.2 Wreath products

Let X be a set and G a group. We view $\bigoplus_X G$ as the set of functions from X to G with finite support:

$$\bigoplus_X G = \{\varphi: X \rightarrow G \mid \varphi(x) = 1 \text{ for all but finitely many } x\}.$$

This is naturally a group, where multiplication is taken componentwise.

If H is a group acting on X , then it naturally acts on $\bigoplus_X G$ by $(h.\varphi)(x) = \varphi(h^{-1}.x)$. This leads to the following definition

Definition 2.4. Let G and H be groups and X be a set on which H acts. The (retracted) wreath product $G \wr_X H$ is the group $(\bigoplus_X G) \rtimes H$.

A prominent source of examples of wreath products are the ones of the form $G \wr_H H$, where H acts on itself by left multiplication. In particular, the group $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) \wr_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}$ has become well-known under the name of the *lamplighter group*.

Another (trivial) examples of wreath products are direct products $G \times H$ which corresponds to wreath products over a singleton $G \wr_{\{*\}} H$.

Let S be a generating set of G and T a generating set of H . Suppose that H acts transitively on X and let $x \in X$ be any point. Finally, let δ_x^s be the element of $\bigoplus_X G$ defined by $\delta_x^s(x) = s$ and $\delta_x^s(y) = 1_G$ if $y \neq x$ and let $\mathbf{1}$ be the constant function with value 1. It is then standard that

$$\{(\delta_x^s, 1_H) \mid s \in S\} \cup \{(\mathbf{1}, t) \mid t \in T\}$$

is a generating set for $G \wr_X H$.

On the other hand, it follows from the definition of the wreath product that we have

$$G \wr_X H = \bigoplus_{Y \text{ is an } H\text{-orbit}} (G \wr_Y H).$$

We hence obtain

Lemma 2.5. *The group $G \wr_X H$ is finitely generated if and only if both G and H are finitely generated and H acts on X with finitely many orbits.*

Using the above lemma, we could reformulate Theorem 1.2 in the following way:

Proposition 2.6. *Let G, H be two groups with G non-trivial and X a set on which H acts. Suppose that all three of G, H and $G \wr_X H$ are finitely generated. Then the wreath product $G \wr_X H$ has property FW if and only if G and H have property FW and X is finite.*

3 Proof of the main result

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. This proof is split into two parts: Lemma 3.1 and its Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. We then conclude by a result on finite index subgroups.

Est-ce qu'on veut rajouter que si H est un sous-groupe d'indice fini de G , alors G a FW ssi H a FW ? C'est le seul petit résultat qui fait sens à prouver. En effet, ceux sur les sommes directes font intervenir des groupes qui ne sont pas de type fini.

We begin by a result on semi-direct products.

Lemma 3.1. *Let N and H be two finitely generated groups and $N \rtimes H$ a semi-direct product. Then*

1. *If $N \rtimes H$ has FW, then so does H ,*
2. *If both N and H have FW, then G also has FW.*

Proof. Let S , respectively T , denotes a finite generating set of N , respectively H . It is well known that $G = N \rtimes H$ is finitely generated by $U = (S \times \{1\}) \cup (\{1\} \times T)$.

Suppose that H does not have property FW. Then there exists a Schreier graph $\Gamma = \text{Sch}(H, K; T)$ of H with more than one end. The group $G = N \rtimes H$ acts on Γ via $(n, h).x := h.x$. Since H acts transitively on Γ , so does G . In fact, the graph of the action G on the vertices of Γ is isomorphic to the graph

Γ with some additional loops for generators in $S \times \{1\}$. As adding loops does not change the number of ends, this Schreier graph has more than one end and therefore G does not have property FW.

Suppose now that both N and H have property FW. We want to show that every Schreier graphs of G have at most one end. If they are all finite, then there is nothing to prove (and G is finite). So let Γ be an infinite Schreier graph of G with respect to the generating set U . The groups N and H acts on Γ by restriction of the action of G . That is, $n.x = (n, 1).x$ and $h.x = (1, h).x$ for x a vertex of Γ . For each vertex x we define Γ_x^H (and respectively Γ_x^N) as the Schreier graph obtained from the action of H (respectively N) on the H -orbit (respectively N -orbit) of x . These are subgraphs of Γ . As N and H have property FW, the graphs Γ_x^H and Γ_x^N are either finite or one-ended. We want to prove that in this case Γ has exactly one end.

Let K be a finite set of vertices of Γ . If x is in K and Γ_x^H is finite, add all vertices of Γ_x^H to K . By doing so for every x in K , we obtain a new finite set $K \subset K'$ of vertices of Γ . We will show that $\Gamma \setminus K'$ has only one infinite connected component. By definition of K' , if x is not in K' , then either Γ_x^H has one end or Γ_x^H does not contains vertices in K' .

Let x and y be two vertices, each of them lying in some infinite connected component of $\Gamma \setminus K'$. We will construct a path from x to y in $\Gamma \setminus K'$ as a concatenation of three smaller paths as follow, see Figure 4. First, a path in $\Gamma_x^H \setminus K'$ from x to some z , then a path in $\Gamma_z^N \setminus K'$ from z to some $z' \in (\Gamma_z^N \cap \Gamma_y^H) \setminus K'$, and finally a path in $\Gamma_y^H \setminus K'$ from z' to y . In order to finish the proof, it remains to exhibit elements z and z' and the three desired paths.




Figure 4: The path between x and y .

The action of G on Γ being transitive, there exists an element (n_0, h_0) of $N \rtimes H$ such that $(n_0, h_0).x = y$. Since K' is finite, the set $\Gamma_x^H \setminus K'$ is infinite. Moreover, there is infinitely many z in $\Gamma_x^H \setminus K'$ such that either Γ_z^N is one-ended or Γ_z^N does not intersect K' . For such a z there exists h such that $(1, h).x = z$. Now, the vertex $z' := (hh_0^{-1}n_0, h).x$ is both equal to $(hh_0^{-1}n_0, 1)(1, h_0).x = (hh_0^{-1}n_0, 1).z$ and to $(1, hh_0^{-1})(n_0, h_0).x = (1, hh_0^{-1}).y$. That is, z' is in $\Gamma_z^N \cap \Gamma_y^H$. A simple computation show us that the map $z \mapsto z'$ is injective: $z'_1 = z'_2$ if and only if $z_1 = z_2$. Since K' is finite, there is only finitely many z' in K' and hence there is infinitely many $z \in \Gamma_x^H$ such that both z and z' are not in K' and either Γ_z^N is one-ended or Γ_z^N does not intersect K' .

In order to finish the proof, observe that the three graphs Γ_x^H , Γ_y^H and Γ_z^N

are all either one-ended or do not intersect K' . Therefore, there is a path in $\Gamma_x^H \setminus K'$ from x to z as desired, and so on for the paths from z to z' and z' to y . We just have proved that for any finite K the graph $\Gamma \setminus K$ has only one infinite connected component and therefore that Γ is one-ended. \square

By iterating the last lemma, we obtain

Corollary 3.2. *Let G and H be two finitely generated groups and X a H -set such that the number of orbits is finite. Then,*

1. *If $G \wr_X H$ has property FW, then so does H ,*
2. *If both G and H have property FW and X is finite, then $G \wr_X H$ has property FW.*

The following Lemma finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G and H be two finitely generated groups such that $G \neq \{1\}$ and H acts on some set X with finitely many orbits. If $G \wr_X H$ has property FW, then*

1. *G has property FW,*
2. *X is finite.*

Proof. We will prove the contrapositives. The idea is to construct, for each of the two cases, a Schreier graph of $G \wr_X H$ with more than one end by exhibiting some well-chosen action of $G \wr_X H$. We fix some finite generating sets S and T of G and H and let

$$U := \{(\delta_x^s, 1_H) \mid s \in S\} \cup \{(1, t \mid t \in T\}$$

be the standard generating set of $G \wr_X H$.

Suppose that X is an infinite set. Since H acts on X with finitely many orbits, there exists an infinite orbit X' . Let x_0 be an arbitrary vertex of X' . The group G acting on itself by left multiplications, we have the so-called *imprimitive action* of the wreath-product $G \wr_X H$ on $Y := G \times X'$:

$$(\varphi, h).(g, x) := (\varphi(h.x)g, h.x).$$

Since both $G \curvearrowright G$ and $H \curvearrowright X'$ are transitive, the action $G \wr_X H \curvearrowright Y$ is also transitive. Therefore, the orbital Schreier graph of $G \wr_X H \curvearrowright Y$ is isomorphic to the Schreier graph $\Gamma := \text{Sch}(G \wr_X H, \text{Stab}(1_G, x_0), U)$. We decompose this graph into leaves of the form $Y_g = \{g\} \times X'$. There are two types of edges in Γ , which are coming from the two sets of generators, see Figure 5. The first ones, of the form $(1, t)$, give us on each leaf a copy of the orbital Schreier graph of $H \curvearrowright X'$. Indeed,

$$(1, t).(g, x) = (g, t.x).$$

The second ones, of the form $(\delta_{x_0}^s, 1)$, give us loops everywhere except on vertices of the form (g, x_0) . By direct computation, we see that the vertices (g, x_0) and (sg, x_0) connect the leaves Y_g and Y_{sg} ,

$$(\delta_{x_0}^s, 1).(g, x) = \begin{cases} (g, x) & \text{if } x \neq x_0, \\ (sg, x) & \text{if } x = x_0. \end{cases}$$




Figure 5: The leaf structure of the orbital Schreier graph of $G \wr_X H \curvearrowright Y$. Plain edges correspond to generators of the form $(\mathbf{1}, t)$ while dotted edges correspond to generators of the form $(\delta_{x_0}^s, 1)$.

If we remove a vertex (g, x_0) we disconnect the leaf Y_g from the rest of Γ . As X' is infinite each leaf is infinite and the number of ends of Γ is then at least $|G| \geq 2$. We just proved that if X is infinite the group $G \wr_X H$ does not have property FW.

Suppose now that G does not have property FW. There exists a subgroup K of G such that $\text{Sch}(G, K, S)$ has more than one end. Let x_0 be any point of X and X' be its orbit under the action of H . We have the imprimitive action of $G \wr_X H$ on $G/K \times X$, which we could restrict to an action on $G/K \times X'$:

$$(\varphi, h).(gK, x) = (\varphi(h.x)gK, h.x).$$

As above, the action is transitive and the orbital Schreier graph of this action is isomorphic to a Schreier graph Γ . We decompose this graph into leaves in the same way. Now we look at the subgraph made up of vertices (g, x_0) and edges $(\delta_{x_0}^s, 1)$ and we remark that it is isomorphic to the Schreier graph $\text{Sch}(G, K, S)$ which has more than one end. Then Γ has also more than one end, which finishes the proof. \square

We conclude this note by recording the following result on finite index subgroups.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a finitely generated subgroup and let $H \leq G$ be a finite index subgroup. Then G has property FW if and only if H has property FW.*

Proof. Since H is a finite index subgroup of the finitely generated group H , it is itself finitely generated. So let S be a finite symmetric generating set for H and let $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be a set of representatives of G/H . Then $T = S \cup \{g_1^\pm, \dots, g_n^\pm\}$ is a finite symmetric generating set for G .

Suppose that H has property FW and let Γ be a Schreier graph of G with respect to T . □

References

- [1] P. A. Cherix, F. Martin, and A. Valette. Spaces with measured walls, the Haagerup property and property (T). *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 24(6):1895–1908, dec 2004.
- [2] Y. Cornuier. Group actions with commensurated subsets, wallings and cubings. page 58, 2013.
- [3] M. Neuhauser. Relative property (T) and related properties of wreath products. *Math. Zeitschrift*, 251(1):167–177, sep 2005.