

# Wreath products of groups acting with bounded orbits

Paul-Henry Leemann, Grégoire Schneeberger

Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> December, 2020 14:43

## Abstract

In this section, we will investigate property FW via the action of groups on median graphs. In order to ease readability, we will always assume our connected graphs to be non-empty.<sup>1</sup>

For  $u$  and  $v$  two vertices of a connected graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , we define the total interval  $[u, v]$  as the set of vertices that lie on some shortest path between  $u$  and  $v$ . A connected graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is *median* if for any three vertices  $u, v, w$ , the intersection  $[u, v] \cap [v, w] \cap [u, w]$  consists of a unique vertex, denoted  $m(u, v, w)$ . A graph is *median* if each of its connected components is median.

Recall that a group  $G$  has property FW if and only if every  $G$  action on a connected median graph has bounded orbits.

Dire quelque part qu'un groupe  $G$  est non-trivial ssi tout action sur un espace métrique (resp, un Hilbert, un arbre, un graphe médian connexe, un espace ultra-métrique) est uniformément bornée.

Pour un Hilbert (et donc un espace métrique), il suffit de prendre  $l^2(G)$  est de regarder l'orbite de  $n \cdot \delta_g$  qui a diamètre  $n\sqrt{2}$ . Pour un arbre (et donc graphe médian connexe), on prend une racine  $r$  sur laquelle on colle un rayon infini pour chaque  $g \in G$ . On obtient un arbre  $T$  sur lequel  $G$  agit par permutations des rayons. Les orbites sont les  $\mathcal{L}_n = \{v \mid \text{à distance } n \text{ de la racine}\}$  qui ont diamètre  $2n$ . Finalement, on peut mettre une ultradistance sur les sommets de  $T$  par  $d(x, y) = \max(\mathcal{L}(x), \mathcal{L}(y), .)$ . Les orbites sont toujours les  $\mathcal{L}_n$  avec diamètre  $n$ .

We begin this section by proving a series of results that will be generalized to a broader context in Section 1. The following easy lemma is folklore, and we provide a proof of it only for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  be a finite index subgroup. If  $H$  has property FW, then so does  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G$  does not have FW and let  $X$  be a connected median graph on which  $G$  acts with an unbounded orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then  $H$  acts on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  is a union of at most  $[G : H]$  orbits. This directly implies that  $H$  has an unbounded orbit and therefore does not have FW.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>This is coherent with the definition that a connected graph is a graph with exactly one connected component.

We also have the following lemma on semi-direct products:

**Lemma 0.2.** *Let  $G = N \rtimes H$  be a semidirect product. Then*

1. *If  $G$  has property FW, then so does  $H$ .*
2. *If both  $N$  and  $H$  have property FW, then  $G$  also has property FW.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G$  has FW and let  $X$  be a non-empty connected median graph on which  $H$  acts. Then  $G$  acts on  $X$  by  $g.x := h.x$  where  $g = nh$  with  $n \in N$  and  $h \in H$ . By assumption, the action of  $G$  on  $X$  has bounded orbits and so does the action of  $H$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $N$  and  $H$  have FW and let  $X$  be a non-empty connected median graph on which  $G$  acts. Then both  $N$  and  $H$  acts on  $X$  with bounded orbits. Let  $x$  be an element of  $X$ ,  $d_1$  the diameter of  $H.x$  and  $d_2$  the diameter of  $N.x$ . Since  $G$  acts by isometries, for every  $h$  in  $H$  the set  $N.(h.x) = Nh.x = hN.x = h.(N.x)$  has also diameter  $d_2$ . Therefore, every element of  $G.x = NH.x$  is at distance at most  $d_1 + d_2$  of  $x$ , which implies that the orbit  $G.x$  is bounded.  $\square$

Multiple applications of Lemma 0.2 give us

**Corollary 0.3.** *Let  $G \wr_X H$  be the wreath product of  $G$  and  $H \curvearrowright X$ . Then*

1. *If  $G \wr_X H$  has property FW, then so does  $H$ .*
2. *If  $X$  is finite and both  $G$  and  $H$  have property FW, then  $G \wr_X H$  has property FW.*

We now turn our attention on results that will rely more on the median structure.

Trees are the simplest examples of median graphs and a simple verification shows that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are both (connected) median graphs, then their cartesian product is also a (connected) median graph. On the other hand, the following example will be fundamental for us.

*Example 0.4.* Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\mathcal{P}(X) = 2^X$  be the set of all subsets of  $X$ . Define a graph structure on  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  by putting an edge between  $E$  and  $F$  if and only if  $\#(E \Delta F) = 1$ , where  $\Delta$  is the symmetric difference. Therefore, the distance between two subsets  $E$  and  $F$  is  $E \Delta F$  and the connected component of  $E$  is the set of all subsets  $F$  with  $E \Delta F$  finite. For  $E$  and  $F$  in the same connected component,  $[E, F]$  consist of all subsets of  $X$  that both contain  $E \cap F$  and are contained in  $E \cup F$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is a median graph, with  $m(D, E, F)$  being the set of all elements belonging to at least two of  $D$ ,  $E$  and  $F$ . In other words,  $m(D, E, F) = (D \cap E) \cup (D \cap F) \cup (E \cap F)$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ , respectively  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{cof}}(X)$  the set of all finite, respectively cofinite, subsets of  $X$ . They are connected components of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , which coincide if and only if  $X$  is finite

More generally, the connected components of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  are hypercubes and it turns out that every connected median graph is a retract of a connected component of some  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , see [?].

These graphs will be fundamental for us due to the following fact. Any action of a group  $G$  on a set  $X$  naturally extends to an action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  by graph

homomorphisms:  $g.\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \{g.x_1, \dots, g.x_n\}$ . Be careful that the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  may exchange the connected components. In fact, the connected component of  $E \subset X$  is stabilized by  $G$  if and only if  $E$  is *commensurated* by  $G$ , that is if for every  $g \in G$  the set  $E\Delta gE$  is finite. For example, both  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{cof}}(X)$  are always preserved by the action of  $G$ .

Building on Example 0.4, we obtain that no infinite sum of groups has the property FW.

**Lemma 0.5.** *An infinite direct sum of non-trivial groups does not have FW.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 0.2 it is enough to prove the assertion for countable sums of groups. Let  $(G_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be non-trivial groups and  $G = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i$ . Let  $X := \bigsqcup_{i \geq 1} G_i$ . There is a natural action of  $G$  on  $X$ :  $G_i$  acts by left multiplication on  $G_i$  and trivially on  $G_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . Therefore, we have an action of  $G$  on the median graph  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . Let  $\mathbf{1} := \bigcup_{i \geq 1} 1_{G_i}$  be the subset of  $X$  consisting of the identity elements of all the  $G_i$ . Since every element of  $G$  has only a finite number of non-trivial coordinates, the action of  $G$  preserves the connected components of  $\mathbf{1}$  (and in fact every connected component of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ ).

For every  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , choose a non-trivial  $g_i \in G_i$ . Then the orbit of the vertex  $\mathbf{1}$  contains the point  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \cup \bigcup_{i > n} 1_{G_i}$  which is at distance  $2n$  of  $\mathbf{1}$ . That is the action of  $G$  on the connected component of  $\mathbf{1}$  has an unbounded orbit.  $\square$

As a direct corollary of Lemmas 0.5 and 0.2, we obtain

**Corollary 0.6.** *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial group. The group  $\otimes_X G$  has property FW if and only if  $X$  is finite and  $G$  has property FW.*

We also have a converse of Lemma 0.1.

**Lemma 0.7.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  be a finite index subgroup. If  $G$  has property FW, then so does  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H$  does not have FW and let  $\alpha: H \curvearrowright X$  be an action of  $H$  on a connected median space such that there is an unbounded orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ . Similarly to the classical theory of representation of finite groups, we have the induced action  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\alpha): G \curvearrowright X^{G/H}$  on the connected graph  $X^{G/H}$ . Since  $H$  has finite index, the graph  $X^{G/H}$  is median. On the other hand, the subgroup  $H \leq G$  acts diagonally on  $X^{G/H}$ , which gives us a  $H$ -equivariant isometric embedding from  $H \curvearrowright X$  to  $H \curvearrowright X^{G/H}$ . This embedding sends  $\mathcal{O}$  to an unbounded  $H$ -orbit on  $X^{G/H}$ , which implies that  $G$  does not have FW.

For readers that are not familiar with representations of finite groups, here is the above argument in more details. Let  $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$  be a transversal for  $G/H$ . The natural action of  $G$  on  $G/H$  gives rise to an action of  $G$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence, for any  $g$  in  $G$  and  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists a unique  $h_{g,i}$  in  $H$  such that  $gf_i = f_{g,i}h_{g,i}$ . That is,  $h_{g,i} = gf_i f_{g,i}^{-1}$ . We then define  $g.(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (h_{g,g^{-1}.1}.x_{g^{-1}.1}, \dots, h_{g,g^{-1}.n}.x_{g^{-1}.n})$ . This is indeed an action, which preserves the graph structure of  $X^{G/H}$  and such that every element  $h \in H$  acts diagonally by  $h.(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (h.x_1, \dots, h.x_n)$ . In particular, this  $G$  action has an unbounded orbit.  $\square$

We finally characterize which wreath products do have property FW, and hence provide a proof of Theorem ??.

**Proposition 0.8.** *Let  $G, H$  be two groups with  $G$  non-trivial and  $X$  a set on which  $H$  acts. The wreath product  $G \wr_X H$  has property FW if and only if  $G$  and  $H$  have property FW and  $X$  is finite.*

*Proof.* In view of Corollary 0.3 it remains to show that if  $G \wr_X H$  has FW, then  $G$  has FW and  $X$  is finite.

Firstly, suppose that  $X$  is infinite. As in Lemma 0.5, the group  $\bigoplus_X G$  acts coordinatewise on  $\bigsqcup_X G$ . On the other hand,  $H$  acts on  $\bigsqcup_X G$  by permutation of the factors. Altogether we have an action of  $G \wr_X H$  on  $\bigsqcup_X G$  and hence on the median graph  $\mathcal{P}(\bigsqcup_X G)$ . Let  $\mathbf{1} := \bigcup_{x \in X} 1_G$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{P}(\bigsqcup_X G)$  consisting of the identity elements of all the copies of  $G$ . As in Lemma 0.5 we have that the action of  $G \wr_X H$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\bigsqcup_X G)$  preserves the connected component of  $\mathbf{1}$  and that the orbit of  $\mathbf{1}$  is unbounded.

It remains to treat the case of  $X$  finite and such that  $G$  does not have FW. Let  $Y$  be a connected median graph on which  $G$  acts with an unbounded orbit  $G.y$ . Then  $Y^X$  is also a connected median graph, on which  $G \wr_X H$  acts by

$$((\varphi, h). \psi)(x) = \varphi(h^{-1}.x) \psi(h^{-1}.x),$$

which is known as the *primitive wreath action* of  $G \wr_X H$ . On the other hand,  $G.y$  embeds diagonally into  $Y^X$ . Indeed, let  $\varphi_g$  be the constant function equal to  $g$  and  $\psi_y$  the constant function equal to  $y$ . Then  $(\varphi_g, h). \psi_y = \psi_{g.y}$  for any  $h \in H$ . By definition of the graph distance, we have  $d_{Y^X}(\psi_y, \psi_{g.y}) = d_Y(y, g.y)^{|X|}$ , which is unbounded. □

**Remark 0.9.** A reader familiar with wreath products might have recognized that we also used the primitive wreath action in the proof of the first part of Proposition 0.8.

Indeed,  $G$  acts on itself by left multiplication. It hence acts on the set  $G' := G \sqcup \{\varepsilon\}$  by fixing  $\varepsilon$ . We hence have the primitive action of  $G \wr_X H$  on  $G'^X$ . Now, the set  $\bigsqcup_X G$  naturally embeds as the subset of  $G'^X$  consisting of all functions  $\phi: X \rightarrow G'$  such that  $\phi(x) = \varepsilon$  for all but one  $x \in X$ . This subset is  $G \wr_X H$  invariant, which gives us the desired action of  $G \wr_X H$  on  $\bigsqcup_X G$ .

## 1 Related concepts and generalizations

The aim of this section is to give a glimpse of some properties related to the FW property as well as to provide a general framework to study them. We will sometimes only sketch the arguments and let the reader verify all the details for its favorite property. In all such places, the assertions will be preceded by the prefix “meta”.

Property FW is not isolated in the realm of group properties and other similar properties of great interest can also be defined in terms of action with bounded orbits.

Add refs

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a group. It is said to have *property SB* if any action on a metric space has bounded orbits. It has *property FH* if any action on a real Hilbert space has bounded orbits and *property FA* if any action on a tree

has bounded orbits. Finally,  $G$  is said to have (cofinality  $\neq \omega$ ) if any action on an ultrametric space has bounded orbits.

For countable groups (and more generally for  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact groups), property FH is equivalent to the celebrated Kazhdan's property (T) by the Delorme-Guichardet theorem, see for example [?], but this is not true in general [?].

Maybe expand a little more.

The names FH, FW and FA come from the fact that these properties admit a description in terms of existence of a Fixed point for actions on Hilbert spaces, on spaces with Walls and on trees (*Arbres* in french). On the other hand, SB stands for Strongly Bounded and is sometimes called the Bergman property. Finally, a group has cofinality  $\neq \omega$  if and only if it cannot be written as an increasing union of proper subgroups.

Give refs

We have the following strict implications [?, ?, ?, ?]

$$SB \implies FH \implies FW \implies FA \implies (\text{cofinality} \neq \omega).$$

It is possible to define other properties in the spirit of Definition 1.1.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $P$  be a property of metric spaces (for example *be a connected median graph*) and BP be the group property: *Every  $G$ -action on a space with  $P$  has bounded orbits*, where a  $G$ -action is supposed to “preserve the  $P$ -structure”.

The proofs of Lemmas 0.1 and 0.2 and Corollary 0.3 remain true for groups with property BP and we obtain.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $P$  be a property of metric spaces.*

1. *If a group  $G$  has a finite index subgroup with property BP, then  $G$  has property BP.*
2. *If  $N \rtimes H$  has property BP, then so does  $H$ .*
3. *If both  $N$  and  $H$  have property BP, then  $N \rtimes H$  also has property BP.*
4. *If  $G \wr_X H$  has property BP, then so does  $H$ .*
5. *If  $X$  is finite and both  $G$  and  $H$  have property BP, then  $G \wr_X H$  has property BP.*

On the other hand, the other results of Section require a specific construction and do not generalize straightforward to groups with property BP. Nevertheless, it is possible to extract the main ingredients of the proofs given in Section and to adapt them to some specific cases. We will now give a raw outline of this process, but let the details to the interested reader.

In order to generalize Example 0.4, we will need to construct from a  $G$  action on a set  $X$  a  $G$  action on a  $P$ -space  $K(X)$ , such that  $X$  embeds  $G$ -equivariantly into  $K(X)$ . For median graphs we took  $K(X) = \mathcal{P}(X)$  in Example 0.4, and then carefully choose some connected component of it. Since median graphs are example of metric spaces, it is also possible to take  $K(X) = \mathcal{P}(X)$  for property SB. For Hilbert spaces, one can take  $K(X) = \ell^2(X)$  to be the real Hilbert space

generated by  $X$ , see Example 1.4. In the context of trees and ultrametric spaces, we do not know any interesting<sup>2</sup> general constructions. However, for direct sums of groups  $G = \bigoplus_i G_i$  they are canonical trees and ultrametric spaces on which  $G$  acts, see Examples 1.5 and 1.6.

*Example 1.4.* Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ . Let  $\ell^2(X)$  be the real Hilbert space generated by  $X$ . That is,

$$\ell^2(X) = \left\{ f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid \sum_{x \in X} f(x)^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Then  $G$  acts on  $\ell^2(X)$  by permutations of the element of the basis:  $g \cdot \delta_x = \delta_{g \cdot x}$ , where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac mass at  $x$ . Moreover, the map  $x \mapsto \delta_x$  is a  $G$ -equivariant embedding of  $X$  into  $\ell^2(X)$ .

*Example 1.5.* Let  $(G_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be non-trivial groups and let  $\varepsilon$  denotes an element not in any of the  $G_i$ . Define

$$F = F((G_i)_{i \geq 1}) := \{ f = (f_1, f_2, \dots) \mid \exists n \forall j < n : f_j = \varepsilon \text{ and } \forall k \geq n : f_k \in G_k \}.$$

The integer  $n$  in the above definition is called the *level* of the sequence  $f$ . We now define a graph structure on the set  $F$ , viewed as the set of vertices. We put an edge between two vertices  $f$  and  $g$  if one of them is of level  $n$ , the other is of level  $n + 1$  and  $f_i = g_i$  for  $i > n$ . If  $f \in F$  has level  $n$ , then it has one neighbourhood of level  $n + 1$ ,  $|G_{n-1}|$  neighbourhoods of level  $n - 1$  and no neighbourhoods of other levels. This implies that  $F$  is a forest. The group  $G := \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i$  acts on  $F$  by  $(g_1, g_2, \dots) \cdot (f_1, f_2, \dots) = (g_1 f_1, g_2 f_2, \dots)$  with the convention that  $G_i \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon$  for any  $i$ . It is trivial that this action preserves the graph structure on  $F$ .

The space  $F = F((G_i)_{i \geq 1})$  is not new to us. Indeed, it can naturally be seen as a subset of  $\mathcal{P}(\bigsqcup_{i \geq 1} G_i)$  by  $\alpha: (f_1, f_2, \dots) \mapsto \{f_i \mid f_i \neq \varepsilon\}$ . Under this identification, which is  $G$ -equivariant,  $F$  is a subgraph of  $\mathcal{P}(\bigsqcup_{i \geq 1} G_i)$  and we have  $d(\alpha(f), \alpha(g)) \leq d(f, g)$ .

*Example 1.6.* Let  $(G_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be non-trivial groups and let  $G := \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i$ . Define a distance  $d_\infty$  on  $G$  by  $d_\infty(f, g) := \max\{i \mid f(i) \neq g(i)\}$ . Since the elements  $f$  and  $g$  agree on all but finitely many indices, the maximum is attained. On the other hand it is trivial that  $d_\infty(f, g) = 0$  if and only if  $f = g$  and that  $d$  is symmetric. Finally, if  $f, g, k$  are in  $G$  we have  $d_\infty(f, g) \leq \max\{d_\infty(f, k), d_\infty(k, g)\}$  and hence  $(G, d_\infty)$  is an ultrametric space. The action by left translation of the group  $G$  on itself preserves the distance  $d_\infty$ .

Once again, the space  $(G, d_\infty)$  is not new. Indeed, the map  $\beta: f \mapsto \{f(i) \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a  $G$ -equivariant embedding of  $G$  into the set of vertices of level 1 of  $F((G_i)_{i \geq 1})$  and we have  $d(\beta(f), \beta(g)) = 2d_\infty(f, g)$ .

We obtains the following “meta”-result

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $P$  be a property of spaces such that a group with property BP has cofinality  $\neq \omega$ . Then*

1. *An infinite direct sum of non-trivial groups does not have property BP.*

<sup>2</sup>An example of an *uninteresting* construction is the discret metric which turns any set  $X$  into an ultrametric space, but of diameter 1.

Maybe  
rename  
“Lemma”  
into  
“Meta-  
lemma”  
and so on.

2. If  $G$  is a non-trivial group, then the group  $\otimes_X G$  has property BP if and only if  $X$  is finite and  $G$  has property BP.
3. If  $G \wr_X H$  has property BP, then  $H$  acts on  $X$  with finitely many orbits.

*Proof.* 1. By the second assertion of Proposition 1.3, it only remains to show that a countable sum of non-trivial groups does not have BP. But this directly follows from the fact that a countable sum of non-trivial groups does not have cofinality  $\neq \omega$ . Let  $G = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} G_i$  be a direct sum of non-trivial groups. It is trivial that  $G$  can be written as an increasing union of proper subgroups and hence has countable cofinality. However, we find enlightening to give another proof of this fact using action on ultrametric spaces. Let  $1_G = (1_{G_1}, 1_{G_2}, \dots)$  be the identity element of  $G$ . For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose a non-trivial  $g_i \in G_i$ . Then for every  $n$ , the orbit of the vertex  $1_G$  contains the point  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n, 1_{G_{n+1}}, \dots\}$ , which is at distance  $n$  of  $1_G$ , and is hence unbounded.

2. This is a direct consequence of 1. and of the third assertion of Proposition 1.3.

3. This follows from 1. and from the fact that

$$G \wr_X H = \bigoplus_{Y \text{ is an } H\text{-orbit}} G \wr_Y H.$$

□

As a direct consequence of Proposition 0.8, we have

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $P$  be a property of spaces such that property BP implies property FW and let  $G$  be a non-trivial group. If  $G \wr_X H$  has property BP, then  $X$  is finite.*

In the above lemma, it is not possible to replace property FW by property FA, as demonstrated by Theorem 1.14. The reason for this fact is that even if, for countable  $X$ , the group  $G \wr_X H$  naturally acts on the ultrametric space  $(\bigoplus_X G, d_\infty)$  and on connected components of the forest  $F((G_x)_{x \in X})$ , these actions are not by isometries.

In order to generalize Lemma 0.7, we only need that given a P-space  $(X, d_X)$ , the square  $(X \times X, d_{X \times X})$  is a P-space such that for any unbounded set  $\mathcal{O} \subset X$  the set  $\{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathcal{O}\}$  is unbounded.

Observe that the distance that we put on  $X \times Y$  depend on the property P. For example, for graphs we take  $d_1((x, y), (x', y')) = d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y')$ , for Hilbert spaces we take  $d_2((x, y), (x', y')) = (d_X(x, x')^2 + d_Y(y, y')^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  while for ultrametric spaces we take  $d_\infty((x, y), (x', y')) = \max\{d_X(x, x'), d_Y(y, y')\}$ . However, all these distances (and more generally the distance  $d_p = (d_X^p + d_Y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ) satisfy the fact that if  $\mathcal{O} \subset X$  is unbounded so is  $\{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathcal{O}\} \subset X \times X$ . We will say that such a distance on  $X \times Y$  *preserves unboundedness*.

On one hand, we have that metric spaces, ultra-metric spaces and Hilbert spaces are closed under products (the direct sum  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  for Hilbert spaces) and hence Lemma 0.7 apply to them. On the other hand, for trees there is two natural candidates on which  $G_1 \times G_2$  acts: the cartesian product  $T_1 \times T_2$  or the



tensor product  $T_1 \otimes T_2$ <sup>3</sup>, but none of them are trees ( $T_1 \times T_2$  has cycles while  $T_1 \otimes T_2$  is not connected).

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $P$  be a property of spaces. Suppose that for every  $P$  space  $(X, d_X)$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  power  $(X^n, d_{X^n})$  is a  $P$ -space such that  $d_{X^n}$  preserves unbound-  
edness.*

*Let  $G \wr_X H$  be the wreath product of  $G$  and  $H \curvearrowright X$ . If  $X$  is finite and  $G \wr_X H$  has property  $BP$ , then so does  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the second part of the proof of Proposition 0.8 and is left to the reader.  $\square$

By combining Proposition 1.3 and Lemmas 1.8 and 1.9 we recover the analogues of Proposition 0.8 for properties  $FH$  and  $SB$ .

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let  $G, H$  be two discrete groups with  $G$  non-trivial and  $X$  a set on which  $H$  acts. The wreath product  $G \wr_X H$  has the property  $FH$  if and only if  $G$  and  $H$  have the property  $FH$  and  $X$  is finite.*

**Proposition 1.11.** *Let  $G, H$  be two discrete groups with  $G$  non-trivial and  $X$  a set on which  $H$  acts. The wreath product  $G \wr_X H$  has the property  $SB$  if and only if  $G$  and  $H$  have the property  $SB$  and  $X$  is finite.*

With a little more work, we obtain

**Theorem 1.12.** *Let  $G, H$  be two discrete groups with  $G$  non-trivial and  $X$  a set on which  $H$  acts. Then  $G \wr_X H$  has cofinality  $\neq \omega$ , if and only if  $G$  and  $H$  have cofinality  $\neq \omega$  and  $H$  acts on  $X$  with finitely many orbits.*

*Proof.* It only remains to prove two things. First, that if  $G \wr_X H$  has cofinality  $\neq \omega$ , then so does  $G$ . And secondly, that if both  $G$  and  $H$  have cofinality  $\neq \omega$  and  $H$  acts transitively on  $X$ , then  $G \wr_X H$  has cofinality  $\neq \omega$ .

Firstly, let us suppose that  $G$  has countable cofinality. We will prove that  $G \wr_X H$  also has countable cofinality. Since  $G$  has countable cofinality, there exists a  $G$ -invariant ultrametric  $d$  on  $G$ . We have the primitive action of  $G \wr_X H$  on  $G^X \cong \prod_X G$  which preserves the subgroup  $K := \bigotimes_X G$ . Define  $d_\infty$  on  $K \times K$  by putting  $d_\infty(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \max\{d(\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ . Using that  $d$  is a  $G$ -invariant ultrametric on  $G$ , we obtain that  $d_\infty$  is an ultrametric on  $K$ , which is  $G \wr_X H$  invariant. Let  $x_0$  be an element of  $X$ . Then we have a  $G$ -equivariant isometric embedding of  $G$  into  $K$  by sending  $g$  to  $\delta_{x_0}^g$ .

Now, suppose that both  $G$  and  $H$  have cofinality  $\neq \omega$  and that  $H$  acts transitively on  $X$ . Suppose that  $G \wr_X H$  can be written as an increasing union  $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} K_i$  of subgroups. We have to prove that for  $i$  big enough  $K_i = G \wr_X H$ . Let  $H_i := H \cap K_i$ . Then  $H = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} H_i$  and there exists  $N$  such that for  $i \geq N$  we have  $H = H_i$ . Similarly, for  $x_0 \in X$  let  $F_i := G_{x_0} \cap K_i$ , where  $G_{x_0}$  is the copy of  $G$  in position  $x_0$ . Then there exists  $M$  such that for  $i \geq M$  we have  $F_i = G_{x_0}$ . Hence, for  $i \geq \max\{M, N\}$  we have  $K_i \geq G \wr_{H.x_0} H = G \wr_X H$ .  $\square$

Finally, we also have the following partial result

<sup>3</sup>The distance on  $T_1 \otimes T_2$  is given by  $d_\otimes((x, y), (x', y')) = d_{T_1}(x, x') = d_{T_2}(y, y')$  if the distances are equal and  $\infty$  (that is  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  are not in the same connected component) otherwise.

Check si  
on peut  
enlever  
discret,  
+ mettre  
réfs.



**Proposition 1.13.** *Let  $G, H$  be two discrete groups with  $G$  non-trivial and  $X$  a set on which  $H$  acts. Then*

1. *If  $G \wr_X H$  has property FA, then  $H$  has property FA and acts on  $X$  with finitely many orbits.*
2. *If  $X$  is finite and both  $G$  and  $H$  have property FA, then  $G \wr_X H$  has property FA.*

The following more precise version of Proposition 1.13 was obtained by Cornuier and Kar.

**Theorem 1.14** ([?]). *Let  $G, H$  be two discrete groups with  $G$  non-trivial and  $X$  a set on which  $H$  acts with a finite number of orbits and no fixed point. Then the wreath product  $G \wr_X H$  has the property FA if and only if  $H$  has the property FA and if  $G$  is a group with a finite abelianization, which cannot be expressed as an union of a proper increasing sequence of subgroups.*

Observe that, by the characterization of Serre [?], a finitely generated group with property FA has finite abelianization and cannot be expressed as an union of a proper increasing sequence of subgroups.

Regarder le cas PW, Haagerup,... i.e. action propre. Rappel: une action isométrique de  $G$  est propre si pour tout  $x$  (de manière équivalente il existe  $x$ ), pour tout  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  l'ensemble  $\{g \in G \mid d(x, g.x) \leq r\}$  est fini.