# Account Enumeration Vulnerability: city24.ee

Gregor Eesmaa gregor.eesmaa@ut.ee University of Tartu

May 18, 2025

## 1 Introduction

Account enumeration is a security vulnerability enabling attackers to determine if specific user accounts exist on a service. The vulnerability usually lies in the account registration functionality of a service, where an error message is returned, indicating that a user with the specified account identifier is already registered. However, an online service can also leak this information in other, more subtle ways, which are often overlooked by software developers.

On 2025-05-02, we reassessed city24.ee and found that despite significant changes, the service is still vulnerable to account enumeration. Since the initial findings have been already addressed, this report will now highlight the more subtle residual hints of account existence that were identified. For example, even without a direct message, small visual differences in responses, or slight variations in how the server behaves (like the exact data returned) for existing versus non-existing accounts, can still reveal if an account is registered.

If the account identifier of an online service is personal data (e.g. email address, personal code etc), then the fact, whether it is associated to an account, is also considered personal data. Any disclosure of personal data to third parties without a legal basis constitutes a data breach [1].

We advise you to investigate the potential data breach, and notify the supervisory authority and the affected data subjects, if necessary. After **2025-06-17**, we will reassess the service and notify the Estonian Data Protection Inspectorate in case the vulnerability has not been mitigated. Detailed guidelines for mitigating this type of flaw are available in [2].

## 2 Vulnerabilities Found

We tested the login form, password reset form, account registration form and email change form of city24.ee. We identified security issues in all of these functionalities. The vulnerabilities found are described in more detail in subsections below.

# 2.1 Login Form



Figure 1: The vulnerability in the login form

The login form is susceptible to account enumeration attacks. This is because when a user with the provided email does not exist, there are subtle differences in the response received from the server compared to when the password is incorrect (see Figure 1).

It is also crucial to eliminate any side-channels that an attacker could exploit to differentiate between account existence and non-existence. For example, the response should not be faster for an existing account than for an email with which an account does not exist.

To mitigate the flaw, the response must be uniform for both registered and unregistered email addresses. This uniformity must apply to the message displayed to the user as well as the underlying HTTP response details (like status codes, headers, and body content).

For example, the indistinguishable user-facing message could be: "Email or password is incorrect". [2]

#### 2.2 Password Reset Form




Figure 2: The vulnerability in the password reset form

The password reset form is also susceptible to account enumeration attacks. This is because when a password reset is requested for an email address that is not registered with the service, the resulting view appears visually different, compared to when the email is registered (see Figure 2).

It is also crucial to eliminate any side-channels that an attacker could exploit to differentiate between account existence and non-existence. For example, the response should not be faster for an existing account than for an email with which an account does not exist.

To mitigate the flaw, the response must be uniform for both registered and unregistered email addresses. This uniformity must apply to the message displayed to the user as well as the underlying HTTP response details (like status codes, headers, and body content).

For example, the indistinguishable user-facing message could be: "A password reset link has been sent if an account with this email exists". [2]

## 2.3 Account Registration Form




Figure 3: The vulnerability in the account registration form

The account registration form is also susceptible to account enumeration attacks. This is because when the provided email address is already taken, the form shows an error message (see Figure 3).

It is also crucial to eliminate any side-channels that an attacker could exploit to differentiate between account existence and non-existence. For example, the response should not be faster for an existing account than for an email with which an account does not exist.

To mitigate the flaw, the response must be uniform for both registered and unregistered email addresses. This uniformity must apply to the message displayed to the user as well as the underlying HTTP response details (like status codes, headers, and body content).

For example, the indistinguishable user-facing message could be: "We have sent further instructions to the provided email address". Send an email in both cases, but differentiate the content based on account existence. For example, for new registration, provide means for account activation, and for existing accounts, provide means for account recovery. [2]

## 2.4 Email Change Form

Email ————————————————————————————————————
E-post
rebaseonu73+may02@gmail.com
Uus email
rebaseonu73@gmail.com
See e-posti aadress on juba kasutusel
Korda emaili
rebaseonu73@gmail.com
* Kontaktemail on Sinu kasutajatunnus sisselogimiseks. Emaili muutes tuleb konto uuesti aktiveerida ja sisse logida uue aadressiga.
Tühista Salvesta

Figure 4: The vulnerability in the email change form

The email change form is also susceptible to account enumeration attacks. This is because when the provided email address is already taken, the form shows an error message (see Figure 4).

It is also crucial to eliminate any side-channels that an attacker could exploit to differentiate between account existence and non-existence. For example, the response should not be faster for an existing account than for an email with which an account does not exist.

To mitigate the flaw, the response must be uniform for both registered and unregistered email addresses. This uniformity must apply to the message displayed to the user as well as the underlying HTTP response details (like status codes, headers, and body content).

For example, the indistinguishable user-facing message could be: "We have sent further instructions to the provided new email address". Send an email in both cases, but differentiate the content based on account existence. For example, if the email is unused, provide means for confirming the new email, but if the email is used, provide means for account recovery.

**About** This vulnerability report is part of an ongoing study on user enumeration vulnerabilities in Estonian online services. The study is conducted by the University of Tartu master's student Gregor Eesmaa (supervised by Arnis Paršovs - arnis.parsovs@ut.ee). The findings of this study will be published in a master's thesis scheduled for defence in August 2025.

## References

- [1] European Union. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Official Journal of the European Union, L 119/1. 2016. URL: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32016R0679.
- [2] OWASP. Authentication Cheat Sheet Authentication and Error Messages. Accessed: 2025-01-26. URL: https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Authentication\_Cheat\_Sheet.html#authentication-and-error-messages.