# ECOSTRESS Collection 3 Level-2 STARS NDVI & Albedo Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document

ECOsystem Spaceborne Thermal Radiometer Experiment on Space Station (ECOSTRESS)

October 8, 2025

#### **Authors**

Gregory H. Halverson
ECOSTRESS Science Team
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

Margaret Johnson ECOSTRESS Science Team Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Simon Hook ECOSTRESS Science Team Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Kerry Cawse-Nicholson ECOSTRESS Science Team Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

Claire Villanueva-Weeks
ECOSTRESS Science Team
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

© 2025 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, California 91109-8099 California Institute of Technology

This research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute or imply its endorsement by the United States Government or the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.

© 2025. California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

Document Number: ECOSTRESS Science Document no. D-1001465

#### Contacts

Readers seeking additional information about this product may contact the following:

Kerry Cawse-Nicholson

MS 183-601

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

4800 Oak Grove Dr.

Pasadena, CA 91109

Email: kerry-anne.cawse-nicholson@jpl.nasa.gov

Office: (818) 354-1594

Gregory Halverson

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

4800 Oak Grove Dr.

Pasadena, CA 91109

Email: gregory.h.halverson@jpl.nasa.gov

Office: (626) 660-6818

Simon Hook

MS 183-600

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

4800 Oak Grove Dr.

Pasadena, CA 91109

Email: simon.j.hook@jpl.nasa.gov

Office: (818) 354-0974

# **Table of Contents**

- 1. Introduction
  - Purpose
  - Scope and Objectives
- 2. Parameter Description and Requirements
- 3. STARS NDVI and Albedo Algorithm
  - Data Sources
  - BRDF Correction

- Data Fusion Methodology
- Statistical Model
- Implementation
- 4. Mask/Flag Derivation
- 5. Metadata
- 6. Acknowledgements
- 7. References

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Introduction

# Purpose

The ECOSTRESS Collection 3 Level-2 STARS (Spatial Timeseries for Automated high-Resolution multi-Sensor data fusion) product provides coincident, gap-filled NDVI and albedo estimates at 70 m ECOSTRESS standard resolution for each daytime ECOSTRESS overpass. These products are essential auxiliary data inputs for evapotranspiration (ET) algorithms and other land surface modeling applications.

NDVI and albedo are critical biophysical parameters that capture vegetation health, phenology, and surface energy balance characteristics. The STARS algorithm addresses the challenge of providing high spatial resolution (70 m), temporally complete NDVI and albedo data by fusing observations from multiple satellite instruments with complementary spatial and temporal characteristics.

# Scope and Objectives

This Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) provides the scientific and technical foundation for the STARS algorithm. It includes:

- 1. A description of the NDVI and albedo parameter characteristics and requirements.
- 2. An overview of the STARS data fusion methodology and its theoretical foundation.
- 3. Mathematical formulations and statistical models.
- 4. Implementation details for near-real-time processing.
- 5. Quality assessment and uncertainty quantification approaches.

For practical information on data access, file formats, processing workflows, and applications, please refer to the companion ECOSTRESS Collection 3 Level-2 STARS NDVI & Albedo Data Product User Guide.

3

# Parameter Description and Requirements

#### Attributes of STARS NDVI and Albedo Data

- Spatial resolution: 70 m x 70 m
- Temporal resolution: Diurnally varying to match ISS overpass characteristics
- Latency: Near real-time, as required by the ECOSTRESS Science Data System (SDS)
- Spatial extent: All land globally, excluding poleward ±60°

# Parameter Specifications

Parameter	Description	Units	Range	Source Instruments
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index	Dimensionle	esal.0 to 1.0	HLS 2.0, VIIRS VNP09GA
Albedo (α)	Broadband shortwave albedo	Dimensionle	es@s.0 to 1.0	HLS 2.0, VIIRS VNP09GA

# **Auxiliary Data Requirements**

The STARS algorithm requires high-quality surface reflectance data from multiple satellite instruments to achieve both high spatial resolution and temporal continuity:

Primary Data Sources: - Harmonized Landsat Sentinel (HLS) 2.0: 30 m spatial resolution, 3-5 day revisit - VIIRS VNP09GA: 500 m spatial resolution for NDVI, 1 km for albedo, daily coverage

Ancillary Data: - GEOS-5 FP tavg3\_2d\_aer\_Nx: Aerosol optical depth for BRDF correction - Solar geometry: Solar zenith angle for BRDF modeling

# STARS NDVI and Albedo Algorithm

The STARS algorithm produces coincident, gap-filled NDVI and albedo estimates at 70 m ECOSTRESS standard resolution through multi-instrument data fusion. This approach combines observations from high spatial resolution instruments (Sentinel-2A/B, Landsat-8/9) with moderate spatial but high temporal resolution instruments (VIIRS) to achieve both the spatial detail and temporal coverage required for ECOSTRESS applications.

# **Data Sources**

The STARS algorithm utilizes complementary satellite data sources that provide different spatial-temporal trade-offs:

Resolution Category	NDVI Source	Albedo Source	Characteristics
High Spatial (<100 m)	HLS 2.0 (30 m)	HLS 2.0 (30 m)	3-5 day revisit, high spatial detail
High Temporal (daily)	VIIRS VNP09GA (500 m)	VIIRS VNP09GA (1 km)	Daily coverage, moderate resolution

## **BRDF** Correction

Prior to data fusion, a pixelwise, lagged 16-day implementation of the VNP43 algorithm (Schaaf, 2017) is used for near-real-time bi-directional reflectance function (BRDF) correction on the VNP09GA reflectance products. This produces VIIRS nadir BRDF-adjusted red and near-infrared reflectance at 500 m resolution for NDVI calculation, and 1 km estimates of black-sky albedo ( $a_{black}$ ) and white-sky albedo ( $a_{white}$ ) for VIIRS M-bands 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, and 11.

Blue-sky albedo  $(a_{blue})$  for each band is calculated as:

$$a_{blue} = SKYL \cdot a_{white} + (1 - SKYL) \cdot a_{black}$$

where SKYL is the fraction of diffuse skylight determined from a look-up table based on solar zenith angle and aerosol optical depth (AOD) retrieved from GEOS-5 FP tavg3\_2d\_aer\_Nx.

The broadband blue-sky albedo is calculated using a weighted sum of the VIIRS M-band blue-sky albedo estimates with near-to-broadband (NTB) coefficients:

VIIRS M-band Near-to-Broadband Coefficients:

1	0.2418
•	
2	-0.201
3	0.2093
4	0.1146
5	0.1146
7	0.1348
8	0.2251
10	0.1123
11	0.0860
Offset	-0.0131

Near-to-broadband albedo is estimated from the Harmonized Landsat Sentinel (HLS) products using sensor-specific coefficients. The 30 m albedo estimates from HLS are up-sampled to the 70 m ECOSTRESS standard resolution prior to data fusion.

# Sentinel-2A/B Near-to-Broadband Coefficients:

Band	NTB Coefficient
2	0.1324
3	0.1269
4	0.1051
5	0.0971
6	0.0890
7	0.0818
8	0.0722
11	0.0167
Offset	0.0002

#### Landsat-8 Near-to-Broadband Coefficients:

Band	NTB Coefficient
2	0.356
3	0.13
4	0.373
5	0.085
6	0.072
Offset	-0.018

# Data Fusion Methodology

The data fusion is performed using the Spatial Timeseries for Automated high-Resolution multi-Sensor data fusion (STARS) methodology (Johnson et al., 2022). STARS is a statistical, state-space timeseries methodology that provides streaming data fusion and uncertainty quantification through efficient Kalman filtering.

#### Statistical Model

For ECOSTRESS, the STARS method is implemented separately for NDVI and albedo. Let  $x_{i,t}$  represent NDVI/albedo to be estimated in the  $i^{th}$  70 m ECOSTRESS resolution pixel on day t. Let  $Y_{i,t}^f$  represent measurements from high spatial resolution instruments at the  $i^{th}$  70 m pixel (note that  $Y_{i,t}^f$  is missing if there are no high spatial resolution overpasses on day t). Let  $Y_{j,t}^c$  represent the coarse spatial resolution VIIRS measurement at the  $j^{th}$  cell in the VIIRS resolution grid (~500 m for NDVI, ~1 km for albedo), and let  $A_j$  be the set of all 70 m pixels overlapped by the VIIRS pixel.

The statistical model for ECOSTRESS STARS has the following form:

# Observation Equations:

$$Y_{j,t}^c = \frac{1}{|A_j|} \sum_{i \in A_j} x_{i,t} + \epsilon_{j,t}^c \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon_{j,t}^c \sim \text{Normal}(0,\sigma_c^2) \quad (1a)$$

$$Y_{i,t}^f = x_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}^f \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon_{i,t}^f \sim \text{Normal}(0,\sigma_f^2) \quad (1b)$$

State Evolution Equation:

$$x_{i,t} = x_{i,t-1} + \omega_{i,t}$$
 where  $\omega_{i,t} \sim \text{GP}(0, \tau^2, K(\cdot))$  (2)

where:

- Equations (1a,b) describe the instrument measurements as noisy observations of the target high-resolution image values  $x_{i,t}$
- VIIRS measurements (1a) represent spatial aggregates over the coarse resolution grid
- Measurement errors are mean-zero and normally distributed with standard deviations  $\sigma_c, \sigma_f$  for coarse and fine instruments, respectively
- Equation (2) describes day-to-day temporal dependence in NDVI/albedo through a first-order Markov chain
- Pixel-level changes between days  $(\omega_{i,t})$  follow a Gaussian process (GP) with covariance function  $K(\cdot)$ , modeling spatial correlation of day-to-day changes between pixels
- The standard deviation parameter  $\tau$  constrains the expected magnitude of change

To achieve scalability, STARS is implemented using a block-wise, moving window approach where blocks are defined by the coarse resolution grid plus a spatial buffer region.

# Implementation

For  $x_t=(\dots,x_{i,t},\dots)$  the vector of the n target image pixels within a block on day t, and  $y_t$  the stacked vector of available coarse and fine measurements, the timeseries model above induces the full state space model:

State Space Formulation:

$$y_t = F_t x_t + \epsilon_t \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{MultivariateNormal}(0,V) \quad (3)$$

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + \omega_t \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_t \sim \text{MultivariateNormal}(0,W) \quad (4)$$

where: - V is a diagonal matrix with elements  $\sigma_f^2$ ,  $\sigma_c^2$  -  $F_t$  is the aggregation matrix linking coarse and fine measurements to the target resolution grid (equations 1a,b)

The estimation of the target 70 m NDVI/albedo images on day t is inferred through the posterior distribution of  $x_t$  given all past and current measurements up to day t. This distribution is Gaussian with mean  $m_t$  and covariance  $C_t$ . The mean provides the estimated imagery, while the covariance provides quantified uncertainties characterizing uncertainty due to spatial and temporal downscaling.

# Kalman Filtering:

Estimates of  $m_t$  and  $C_t$  are obtained recursively through Kalman filtering equations (Kalman, 1960). Given estimates of  $m_t$ ,  $C_t$ , and new observations on day t+1 ( $y_{t+1}$ ), the updated estimates are calculated as:

$$m_{t+1} = m_t + K_{t+1}(y_{t+1} - F_{t+1}m_t)$$
 (5)

$$C_{t+1} = (I - K_{t+1}F_{t+1})(C_t + W) \quad (6)$$

where the Kalman gain matrix is:

$$K_{t+1} = (C_t + W)F_{t+1}^T[F_{t+1}(C_t + W)F_{t+1}^T + V]^{-1}$$

If no new measurements are available on day t+1, the mean estimate is propagated forward  $(m_{t+1}=m_t)$  but the covariance is increased  $(C_{t+1}=C_t+W)$ , quantifying increased uncertainty in fused estimates due to lack of available data.

#### **Key Advantages of STARS:**

- Automated spatial and temporal gap-filling: Provides complete coverage even when individual sensors have data gaps
- 2. Uncertainty quantification: Pixel-wise uncertainties are calculated and distributed as data layers
- 3. Near-real-time capability: Efficient streaming processing for operational applications
- 4. Multi-scale integration: Optimally combines data from different spatial and temporal scales

# Near-Real-Time Processing:

STARS NDVI/albedo products corresponding to each daytime L2T\_LSTE product are produced by:

- 1. Loading the means and covariances from the previous L2T\_LSTE product day
- 2. Downloading available measurements (VIIRS, HLS, etc.) between overpasses
- 3. Kalman filtering forward the NDVI/albedo estimates to the current target day

The latency of this operation depends on the availability of input products. The coincident STARS NDVI and albedo products, along with their pixel-wise uncertainties, are recorded in the

\_\_\_\_\_

# Mask/Flag Derivation

Quality flags and masks are derived from multiple sources to ensure reliable NDVI and albedo estimates:

Input Data Quality Assessment: - HLS quality flags: Cloud, cirrus, snow/ice, and water masks from HLS QA bands - VIIRS quality flags: Pixel quality indicators from VNP09GA QF bands - BRDF quality: Assessment of BRDF model fit quality and availability

STARS-Specific Quality Indicators: - Fusion confidence: Based on number and quality of input observations - Temporal consistency: Flags for unusual temporal changes that may indicate errors - Spatial coherence: Assessment of spatial consistency in fused estimates - Uncertainty thresholds: Quality levels based on estimated uncertainty magnitudes

Quality Flag Structure: - Level 1 (Best): High-quality inputs with low uncertainty - Level 2 (Good): Adequate inputs with moderate uncertainty

- Level 3 (Fair): Limited inputs or higher uncertainty - Level 4 (Poor): Heavily gap-filled with high uncertainty

Metadata

**NDVI Specifications** 

Unit of measurement: Dimensionless
Range of measurement: -1.0 to 1.0

Data type: Float32No data value: -9999Valid range: -1.0 to 1.0

# Albedo Specifications

Unit of measurement: Dimensionless
Range of measurement: 0.0 to 1.0

Data type: Float32No data value: -9999Valid range: 0.0 to 1.0

#### Common Attributes

Projection: ECOSTRESS swath (L2T\_LSTE grid)

- Spatial resolution: 70 m x 70 m
- Temporal resolution: Dynamically varying with precessing ISS overpass
- Spatial extent: All land globally, excluding poleward ±60°
- Processing level: Level-2 (L2T)
- · Latency: Near real-time
- Quality flags: 4-level system (1=best, 4=worst)

# **Uncertainty Layers**

NDVI uncertainty: Standard deviation of NDVI estimate

· Albedo uncertainty: Standard deviation of albedo estimate

Data type: Float32

Units: Same as corresponding parameter

# Acknowledgements

We thank Gregory Halverson, Laura Jewell, Gregory Moore, Caroline Famiglietti, Munish Sikka, Manish Verma, Kevin Tu, Alexandre Guillaume, Kaniska Mallick, Youngryel Ryu, and Hideki Kobayashi for their contributions to the algorithm development described in this ATBD.

# References

Iqbal, M. (2012), An Introduction to Solar Radiation, Academic Press.

Iwabuchi, H. (2006), Efficient Monte Carlo methods for radiative transfer modeling, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 63(9), 2324-2339. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3755.1

Johnson, M. C., G. Halverson, J. Susiluoto, K. Cawse-Nicholson, G. Hulley, and J. B. Fisher (2022), STARS: Spatial Timeseries for Automated high-Resolution multi-Sensor data fusion [Presentation], ECOSTRESS Science and Applications Team Meeting, Nov 2022, Ventura, CA. https://ecostress.ipl.nasa.gov/downloads/science\_team\_meetings/2022/nov2022/

Kalman, R. E. (1960), A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems, Transactions of the ASME–Journal of Basic Engineering, 82(Series D), 35-45. doi: https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3662 552

Kobayashi, H., and H. Iwabuchi (2008), A coupled 1-D atmosphere and 3-D canopy radiative transfer model for canopy reflectance, light environment, and photosynthesis simulation in a heterogeneous landscape, Remote Sensing of Environment, 112(1), 173-185. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.04.010

Liang, S. (2001), Narrowband to broadband conversions of land surface albedo I: Algorithms, Remote Sensing of Environment, 76(2), 213-238. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(00)00205-4

Roesch, A., C. Schaaf, and F. Gao (2004), Use of Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer bidirectional reflectance distribution function products to enhance simulated surface albedos, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109(D12), D12105. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004552

Schaaf, C. (2017), MCD43A1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua BRDF/Albedo Model Parameters Daily L3 Global - 500m V006 [Data set], NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. doi: https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD43A1.006

Vanino, S., A. Nino, C. De Michele, G. Falanga Bolognesi, G. D'Urso, T. Di Bene, G. Pennelli, G. Vuolo, F. Farina, A. Puglisi, and L. Puccionia (2018), Capability of Sentinel-2 data for estimating maximum evapotranspiration and irrigation requirements for tomato crop in Central Italy, Remote Sensing of Environment, 215, 452-470. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.06.035

Baldocchi, D. (2008), 'Breathing' of the terrestrial biosphere: lessons learned from a global network of carbon dioxide flux measurement systems, Australian Journal of Botany, 56, 1-26. doi: https://doi.org/10.1071/BT07151

Baldocchi, D., E. Falge, L. H. Gu, R. J. Olson, D. Hollinger, S. W. Running, P. M. Anthoni, C. Bernhofer, K. Davis, R. Evans, J. Fuentes, A. Goldstein, G. Katul, B. E. Law, X. H. Lee, Y. Malhi, T. Meyers, W. Munger, W. Oechel, K. T. P. U, K. Pilegaard, H. P. Schmid, R. Valentini, S. Verma, T. Vesala, K. Wilson, and S. C. Wofsy (2001), FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 82(11), 2415-2434. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<2415:FANTTS>2.3.CO;2

Bi, L., P. Yang, G. W. Kattawar, Y.-X. Hu, and B. A. Baum (2011), Diffraction and external reflection by dielectric faceted particles, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiant. Transfer, 112, 163-173. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2010.02.007

Bisht, G., V. Venturini, S. Islam, and L. Jiang (2005), Estimation of the net radiation using MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer), Remote Sensing of Environment, 97, 52-67.doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.03.014

Bouchet, R. J. (1963), Evapotranspiration re´elle evapotranspiration potentielle, signification climatiqueRep. Publ. 62, 134-142 pp, Int. Assoc. Sci. Hydrol., Berkeley, California. doi:

Chen, X., H. Wei, P. Yang, and B. A. Baum (2011), An efficient method for computing atmospheric radiances in clear-sky and cloudy conditions, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiant. Transfer, 112, 109-118. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2010.08.013

Chen, Y., J. Xia, S. Liang, J. Feng, J. B. Fisher, X. Li, X. Li, S. Liu, Z. Ma, and A. Miyata (2014), Comparison of satellite-based evapotranspiration models over terrestrial ecosystems in China, Remote Sensing of Environment, 140, 279-293. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.08.045

- Coll, C., Z. Wan, and J. M. Galve (2009), Temperature-based and radiance-based validations of the V5 MODIS land surface temperature product, Journal of Geophysical Research, 114(D20102), doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012038.
- Ershadi, A., M. F. McCabe, J. P. Evans, N. W. Chaney, and E. F. Wood (2014), Multi-site evaluation of terrestrial evaporation models using FLUXNET data, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 187, 46-61. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.11.008
- Famiglietti, C. A., J. B. Fisher, G. Halverson, and E. E. Borbas (2018), Global validation of MODIS near-surface air and dew point temperatures, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 1-9. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077813
- Fisher, J. B., K. Tu, and D. D. Baldocchi (2008), Global estimates of the land-atmosphere water flux based on monthly AVHRR and ISLSCP-II data, validated at 16 FLUXNET sites, Remote Sensing of Environment, 112(3), 901-919. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.06.025
- Fisher, J. B., R. H. Whittaker, and Y. Malhi (2011), ET Come Home: A critical evaluation of the use of evapotranspiration in geographical ecology, Global Ecology and Biogeography, 20, 1-18. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00578.
- Fisher, J. B., D. D. Baldocchi, L. Misson, T. E. Dawson, and A. H. Goldstein (2007), What the towers don't see at night: Nocturnal sap flow in trees and shrubs at two AmeriFlux sites in California, Tree Physiology, 27(4), 597-610. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.4.597
- Fisher, J. B., S. Hook, R. Allen, M. Anderson, A. French, C. Hain, G. Hulley, and E. Wood (2014), The ECOsystem Spaceborne Thermal Radiometer Experiment on Space Station (ECOSTRESS): science motivation, paper presented at American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, San Francisco.
- Fisher, J. B., F. Melton, E. Middleton, C. Hain, M. Anderson, R. Allen, M. F. McCabe, S. Hook, D. Baldocchi, P. A. Townsend, A. Kilic, K. Tu, D. D. Miralles, J. Perret, J.-P. Lagouarde, D. Waliser, A. J. Purdy, A. French, D. Schimel, J. S. Famiglietti, G. Stephens, and E. F. Wood (2017), The future of evapotranspiration: Global requirements for ecosystem functioning, carbon and climate feedbacks, agricultural management, and water resources, Water Resources Research, 53, 2618-2626. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR020175
- Fisher, J. B., Y. Malhi, A. C. de Araújo, D. Bonal, M. Gamo, M. L. Goulden, T. Hirano, A. Huete, H. Kondo, T. Kumagai, H. W. Loescher, S. Miller, A. D. Nobre, Y. Nouvellon, S. F. Oberbauer, S. Panuthai, C. von Randow, H. R. da Rocha, O. Roupsard, S. Saleska, K. Tanaka, N. Tanaka, and K. P. Tu (2009), The land-atmosphere water flux in the tropics, Global Change Biology, 15, 2694-2714. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01813.x
- García, M., I. Sandholt, P. Ceccato, M. Ridler, E. Mougin, L. Kergoat, L. Morillas, F. Timouk, R. Fensholt, and F. Domingo (2013), Actual evapotranspiration in drylands derived from in-situ and satellite data: Assessing biophysical constraints, Remote Sensing of Environment, 131, 103-118. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.12.016
- Goulden, M. L., J. W. Munger, S. M. Fan, B. C. Daube, and S. C. Wofsy (1996), Measurements of

carbon sequestration by long-term eddy covariance: methods and a critical evaluation of accuracy, Global Change Biology, 2, 169-182. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.1996.tb00070.x

Halverson, G., M. Barker, S. Cooley, and S. Pestana (2016), Costa Rica agriculture: applying ECOSTRESS diurnal cycle land surface temperature and evapotranspiration to agricultural soil and water managementRep.

Iqbal, M. (2012), An introduction to solar radiation, Elsevier.

Iwabuchi, H. (2006), Efficient Monte Carlo Methods for Radiative Transfer Modeling, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 63(9), 2324-2339. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3755.1

Johnson, M. C., G. Halverson, J. Susiluoto, K. Cawse-Nicholson, G. Hulley, and J. B. Fisher, (2022) STARS: Spatial Timeseries for Automated high-Resolution multi-Sensor data fusion [Presentation], ECOSTRESS Science and Applications Team Meeting, Nov 2022, Ventura, CA. https://ecostress.jpl.nasa.gov/downloads/science\_team\_meetings/2022/nov2022/

June, T., J. R. Evans, and G. D. Farquhar (2004), A simple new equation for the reversible temperature dependence of photosynthetic electron transport: a study on soybean leaf, Functional Plant Biology, 31(3), 275-283. doi: https://doi.org/10.1071/FP03250

Jung, M., M. Reichstein, and A. Bondeau (2009), Towards global empirical upscaling of FLUXNET eddy covariance observations: validation of a model tree ensemble approach using a biosphere model, Biogeosciences, 6, 2001-2013. doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-2001-2009

Kirtman, B. P., Min, D., Infanti, J. M., Kinter, J. L., III, Paolino, D. A., Zhang, Q., van den Dool, H., Saha, S., Mendez, M. P., Becker, E., Peng, P., Tripp, P., Huang, J., DeWitt, D. G., Tippett, M. K., Barnston, A. G., Li, S., Rosati, A., Schubert, S. D., Rienecker, M., Suarez, M., Li, Z. E., Marshak, J., Lim, Y., Tribbia, J., Pegion, K., Merryfield, W. J., Denis, B., & Wood, E. F. (2014). The North American Multimodel Ensemble: Phase-1 Seasonal-to-Interannual Prediction; Phase-2 toward Developing Intraseasonal Prediction, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 95(4), 585-601. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00050.1

Kobayashi, H., and H. Iwabuchi (2008), A coupled 1-D atmosphere and 3-D canopy radiative transfer model for canopy reflectance, light environment, and photosynthesis simulation in a heterogeneous landscape, Remote Sensing of Environment, 112(1), 173-185. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2007.04.010

Lagouarde, J., and Y. Brunet (1993), A simple model for estimating the daily upward longwave surface radiation flux from NOAA-AVHRR data, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 14(5), 907-925. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169308904386

Liang, X., D. P. Lettenmaier, E. Wood, and S. J. Burges (1994), A simple hydrologically based model of land surface water and energy fluxes for general circulation models, Journal of Geophysical Research, 99(D7), 14415-14428. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD00483

Mallick, K., A. Jarvis, J. B. Fisher, K. P. Tu, E. Boegh, and D. Niyogi (2013), Latent heat flux and canopy conductance based on Penman-Monteith, Priestley-Taylor equation, and

Bouchet's complementary hypothesis, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 14, 419-442. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-12-0117.1

Mallick, K., A. J. Jarvis, E. Boegh, J. B. Fisher, D. T. Drewry, K. P. Tu, S. J. Hook, G. Hulley, J. Ardö, and J. Beringer (2014), A Surface Temperature Initiated Closure (STIC) for surface energy balance fluxes, Remote Sensing of Environment, 141, 243-261. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.10.022

Mallick, K., Boegh, E., Trebs, I., Alfieri, J. G., Kustas, W. P., Prueger, J. H., ... & Jarvis, A. J. (2015). Reintroducing radiometric surface temperature into the P enman-M onteith formulation. Water Resources Research, 51(8), 6214-6243. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016106

Mallick, K., Toivonen, E., Trebs, I., Boegh, E., Cleverly, J., Eamus, D., ... & Garcia, M. (2018). Bridging Thermal Infrared Sensing and Physically-Based Evapotranspiration Modeling: From Theoretical Implementation to Validation Across an Aridity Gradient in Australian Ecosystems. Water Resources Research, 54(5), 3409-3435. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2017WR021357

Mallick, K., Baldocchi, D., Jarvis, A., Hu, T., Trebs, I., Sulis, M., ... & Kustas, W. P. (2022). Insights Into the Aerodynamic Versus Radiometric Surface Temperature Debate in Thermal-Based Evaporation Modeling. Geophysical Research Letters, 49(15), e2021GL097568. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL097568

McCabe, M. F., A. Ershadi, C. Jimenez, D. G. Miralles, D. Michel, and E. F. Wood (2016), The GEWEX LandFlux project: evaluation of model evaporation using tower-based and globally grid-ded forcing data, Geosci. Model Dev., 9(1), 283-305. doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-283-2016

Michel, D., C. Jiménez, D. Miralles, M. Jung, M. Hirschi, A. Ershadi, B. Martens, M. McCabe, J. Fisher, and Q. Mu (2016), TheWACMOS-ET project–Part 1: Tower-scale evaluation of four remote-sensing-based evapotranspiration algorithms, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(2), 803-822. doi: https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-010611421

Miralles, D., C. Jiménez, M. Jung, D. Michel, A. Ershadi, M. McCabe, M. Hirschi, B. Martens, A. Dolman, and J. Fisher (2016), The WACMOS-ET project, part 2: evaluation of global terrestrial evaporation data sets, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(2), 823-842. doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-823-2016

Miralles, D. G., T. R. H. Holmes, R. A. M. De Jeu, J. H. Gash, A. G. C. A. Meesters, and A. J. Dolman (2011), Global land-surface evaporation estimated from satellite-based observations, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 15(2), 453-469. doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-453-2011

Monteith, J. L. (1965), Evaporation and the environment, Symposium of the Society of Exploratory Biology, 19, 205-234.

Mu, Q., M. Zhao, and S. W. Running (2011), Improvements to a MODIS global terrestrial evapotranspiration algorithm, Remote Sensing of Environment, 111, 519-536. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.02.01

Papale, D., and A. Valentini (2003), A new assessment of European forests carbon exchange by

eddy fluxes and artificial neural network spatialization, Global Change Biology, 9, 525-535. doi: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00609.x

Papale, D., Reichstein, M., Aubinet, M., Canfora, E., Bernhofer, C., Kutsch, W., Longdoz, B., Rambal, S., Valentini, R., Vesala, T., and Yakir, D.: Towards a standardized processing of Net Ecosystem Exchange measured with eddy covariance technique: algorithms and uncertainty estimation, Biogeosciences, 3, 571–583. doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-3-571-2006

Pastorello, G., Trotta, C., Canfora, E. et al. The FLUXNET2015 dataset and the ONEFlux processing pipeline for eddy covariance data. Sci Data 7, 225 (2020). doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0534-3

Penman, H. L. (1948), Natural evaporation from open water, bare soil and grass, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A, 193, 120-146. doi: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1948.0037

Potter, C. S., J. T. Randerson, C. B. Field, P. A. Matson, P. M. Vitousek, H. A. Mooney, and S. A. Klooster (1993), Terrestrial ecosystem production: a process based model based on global satellite and surface data, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 7(4), 811-841. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/93gb02725

Prata, A. J. (1996), A new long-wave formula for estimating downward clear-sky radiation at the surface, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 122(533), 1127-1151. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49712253306

Price, J. C. (1977), Thermal inertia mapping: a new view of the earth, Journal of Geophysical Research, 82(18), 2582-2590. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/JC082i018p02582

Priestley, C. H. B., and R. J. Taylor (1972), On the assessment of surface heat flux and evaporation using large scale parameters, Monthly Weather Review, 100, 81-92. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1972)100<0081:OTAOSH>2.3.CO;2

Roesch, A., C. Schaaf, and F. Gao (2004), Use of Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer bidirectional reflectance distribution function products to enhance simulated surface albedos, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109(D12), doi: 10.1029/2004JD004552.

Ryu, Y., D. D. Baldocchi, H. Kobayashi, C. van Ingen, J. Li, T. A. Black, J. Beringer, E. van Gorsel, A. Knohl, B. E. Law, and O. Roupsard (2011), Integration of MODIS land and atmosphere products with a coupled-process model to estimate gross primary productivity and evapotranspiration from 1 km to global scales, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25(4), GB4017. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GB004053

Ryu, Y., D. D. Baldocchi, T. A. Black, M. Detto, B. E. Law, R. Leuning, A. Miyata, M. Reichstein, R. Vargas, C. Ammann, J. Beringer, L. B. Flanagan, L. Gu, L. B. Hutley, J. Kim, H. McCaughey, E. J. Moors, S. Rambal, and T. Vesala (2012), On the temporal upscaling of evapotranspiration from instantaneous remote sensing measurements to 8-day mean daily-sums, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 152(0), 212-222. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.09.010

Santanello Jr, J. A., & Friedl, M. A. (2003). Diurnal covariation in soil heat flux and net

- radiation. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 42(6), 851-862. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2003)042<0851:DCISHF>2.0.CO;2
- Shuttleworth, W. J., & Wallace, J. (1985). Evaporation from sparse crops-an energy combination theory. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 111, 839–855. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711146910
- Stone, P. H., S. Chow, and W. J. Quirr (1977), July climate and a comparison of January and July climates simulated by GISS general circulation model, Monthly Weather Review, 105(2), 170-194. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1977)105<0170:TJCAAC>2.0.CO;2
- Su, Z. (2002), The Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS) for estimation of turbulent heat fluxes, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 6, 85-99. doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-6-85-2002
- Vinukollu, R. K., E. F. Wood, C. R. Ferguson, and J. B. Fisher (2011), Global estimates of evapotranspiration for climate studies using multi-sensor remote sensing data: Evaluation of three process-based approaches, Remote Sensing of Environment, 115, 801-823. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.11.006
- Wang, K., P. Wang, Z. Li, M. Cribb, and M. Sparrow (2007), A simple method to estimate actual evapotranspiration from a combination of net radiation, vegetation index, and temperature, Journal of Geophysical Research, 112(D15107), doi:10.1029/2006JD008351. doi: Wang, K., P. Wang, Z. Li, M. Cribb, and M. Sparrow (2007), A simple method to estimate actual evapotranspiration from a combination of net radiation, vegetation index, and temperature, Journal of Geophysical Research, 112(D15107), doi:10.1029/2006JD008351.
- Wind, G., S. Platnick, M. D. King, P. A. Hubanks, M. J. Pavolonis, A. K. Heidinger, P. Yang, and B. A. Baum (2010), Multilayer cloud detection with the MODIS near-infrared water vapor absorption band, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 49, 2315-2333. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAMC2364.1
- Yang, F., M. A. White, A. R. Michaelis, K. Ichii, H. Hashimoto, P. Votava, A.-X. Zhu, and R. R. Nemani (2006), Prediction of continental-scale evapotranspiration by combining MODIS and Ameri-Flux data through support vector machine, Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on, 44(11), 3452-3461. doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2006.876297
- Zhang, L., B. Wylie, T. Loveland, E. Fosnight, L. L. Tieszen, L. Ji, and T. Gilmanov (2007), Evaluation and comparison of gross primary production estimates for the Northern Great Plains grasslands, Remote Sensing of Environment, 106(2), 173-189. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.08.012
- Zhang, Q., X. Xiao, B. H. Braswell, E. Linder, J. Aber, and B. Moore (2005), Estimating seasonal dynamics of biophysical and biochemical parameters in a deciduous forest using MODIS data and a radiative transfer model, Remote Sensing of Environment, 99, 357-371. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.09.009