

Midterm “Take home”-exam FYS3110

Candidate 83

October 14, 2016

1 Spin-1/2 systems

The following is given:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}^2 &= \hat{S}_x^2 + \hat{S}_y^2 + \hat{S}_z^2, \quad \hat{S}^\pm = \hat{S}_x \pm i\hat{S}_y \\ |\uparrow\rangle &\equiv \left| s = \frac{1}{2}, m_s = \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle, \quad |\downarrow\rangle \equiv \left| s = \frac{1}{2}, m_s = -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \\ \hat{S}^2 |\uparrow\rangle &= \hbar^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^2 |\downarrow\rangle = \hbar^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) |\downarrow\rangle \\ \hat{S}_z |\uparrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}_z |\downarrow\rangle = -\frac{\hbar}{2} |\downarrow\rangle \\ [\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y] &= i\hbar\hat{S}_z, \quad [\hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z] = i\hbar\hat{S}_x, \quad [\hat{S}_z, \hat{S}_x] = i\hbar\hat{S}_y\end{aligned}$$

1.1

$$\hat{S}_z \hat{S}^+ |\downarrow\rangle = \hat{S}_z \hat{S}_x |\downarrow\rangle + i\hat{S}_z \hat{S}_y |\downarrow\rangle$$

rewriting commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{S}_z, \hat{S}_x] &= \hat{S}_z \hat{S}_x - \hat{S}_x \hat{S}_z = i\hbar\hat{S}_y \rightarrow \hat{S}_z \hat{S}_x = i\hbar\hat{S}_y + \hat{S}_x \hat{S}_z \\ [\hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z] &= \hat{S}_y \hat{S}_z - \hat{S}_z \hat{S}_y = i\hbar\hat{S}_x \rightarrow \hat{S}_z \hat{S}_y = \hat{S}_y \hat{S}_z - i\hbar\hat{S}_x,\end{aligned}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_z \hat{S}^+ |\downarrow\rangle &= (i\hbar\hat{S}_y + \hat{S}_x \hat{S}_z + i\hat{S}_y \hat{S}_z + \hbar\hat{S}_x) |\downarrow\rangle \\ &= \left(i\hbar\hat{S}_y - \frac{\hbar}{2}\hat{S}_x - i\frac{\hbar}{2}\hat{S}_y + \hbar\hat{S}_x \right) |\downarrow\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\hat{S}_x + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\hat{S}_y \right) |\downarrow\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{S}^+ |\downarrow\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This means that $\hat{S}^+ |\downarrow\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{S}_z with eigenvalue $\hbar/2$.

1.2

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}^- \hat{S}^+ &= (\hat{S}_x - i\hat{S}_y)(\hat{S}_x + i\hat{S}_y) \\
&= \hat{S}_x^2 + i\hat{S}_x\hat{S}_y - i\hat{S}_y\hat{S}_x + \hat{S}_y^2 \\
&= \hat{S}_x^2 + \hat{S}_y^2 + i[\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y] \\
&= \hat{S}^2 - \hat{S}_z^2 - \hbar\hat{S}_z
\end{aligned}$$

This can be used to compute the norm of $|\psi_1\rangle = \hat{S}^+ |\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle = \hat{S}^+ |\uparrow\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\psi_1|\psi_1\rangle &= \langle\downarrow|\hat{S}^- \hat{S}^+ |\downarrow\rangle = \langle\downarrow|(\hat{S}^2 - \hat{S}_z^2 - \hbar\hat{S}_z)|\downarrow\rangle \\
&= \langle\downarrow|\hbar^2\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+1\right)|\downarrow\rangle - \langle\downarrow|\frac{\hbar^2}{4}|\downarrow\rangle + \langle\downarrow|\frac{2\hbar^2}{4}|\downarrow\rangle \\
&= \frac{3\hbar^2}{4} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4} + \frac{2\hbar^2}{4} = \hbar^2
\end{aligned}$$

which means that $\| |\psi_1\rangle \| = \hbar$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\psi_2|\psi_2\rangle &= \langle\uparrow|\hat{S}^- \hat{S}^+ |\uparrow\rangle = \langle\uparrow|(\hat{S}^2 - \hat{S}_z^2 - \hbar\hat{S}_z)|\uparrow\rangle \\
&= \langle\uparrow|\hbar^2\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+1\right)|\uparrow\rangle - \langle\uparrow|\frac{\hbar^2}{4}|\uparrow\rangle - \langle\uparrow|\frac{2\hbar^2}{4}|\uparrow\rangle \\
&= \frac{3\hbar^2}{4} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4} - \frac{2\hbar^2}{4} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

which means that $\| |\psi_2\rangle \| = 0$.

1.3

Phases are chosen so that the following relations hold

$$\hat{S}^+ |\downarrow\rangle = \hbar |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^- |\uparrow\rangle = \hbar |\downarrow\rangle.$$

From the the two previous problems we also know that

$$\hat{S}^+ |\uparrow\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{S}^- |\downarrow\rangle = 0$$

Introducing a new state

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle)$$

where θ is a real number. We wish to compute the “uncertainty” product $\sigma_{sx}^2 \sigma_{sy}^2$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{sx}^2 &= \langle\phi|(\hat{S}_x - \langle\phi|\hat{S}_x|\phi\rangle)^2|\phi\rangle \\
\sigma_{sy}^2 &= \langle\phi|(\hat{S}_y - \langle\phi|\hat{S}_y|\phi\rangle)^2|\phi\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

First we need to find expressions for \hat{S}_x and \hat{S}_y

$$\hat{S}^+ + \hat{S}^- = (\hat{S}_x + i\hat{S}_y) + (\hat{S}_x - i\hat{S}_y) = 2\hat{S}_x \rightarrow \hat{S}_x = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}^+ + \hat{S}^-) \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{S}^+ - \hat{S}^- = (\hat{S}_x + i\hat{S}_y) - (\hat{S}_x - i\hat{S}_y) = 2i\hat{S}_y \rightarrow \hat{S}_y = \frac{1}{2i}(\hat{S}^+ - \hat{S}^-) \quad (2)$$

It will also make things easier to calculate $\hat{S}_x |\uparrow\rangle$, $\hat{S}_x |\downarrow\rangle$, $\hat{S}_y |\uparrow\rangle$ and $\hat{S}_y |\downarrow\rangle$. These values can be found using equations 1 and 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_x |\uparrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |\downarrow\rangle & \hat{S}_x^2 |\uparrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4} |\uparrow\rangle \\ \hat{S}_x |\downarrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\rangle & \hat{S}_x^2 |\downarrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4} |\downarrow\rangle \\ \hat{S}_y |\uparrow\rangle &= -\frac{\hbar}{2i} |\downarrow\rangle & \hat{S}_y^2 |\uparrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4} |\uparrow\rangle \\ \hat{S}_y |\downarrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2i} |\uparrow\rangle & \hat{S}_y^2 |\downarrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4} |\downarrow\rangle \end{aligned}$$

A few more pieces of the problem will be nice to have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}^{+2} |\phi\rangle &= \hat{S}^{+2} (|\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle) = \hbar \hat{S}^+ e^{i\theta} |\uparrow\rangle = 0 \\ \hat{S}^{-2} |\phi\rangle &= \hat{S}^{-2} (|\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle) = \hbar \hat{S}^- |\downarrow\rangle = 0 \\ \hat{S}^+ \hat{S}^- |\phi\rangle &= \hat{S}^+ \hat{S}^- \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{S}^+ |\downarrow\rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{\sqrt{2}} |\uparrow\rangle \\ \hat{S}^- \hat{S}^+ |\phi\rangle &= \hat{S}^- \hat{S}^+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{S}^- e^{i\theta} |\uparrow\rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\{\hat{S}^+, \hat{S}^-\} |\phi\rangle = (\hat{S}^+ \hat{S}^- + \hat{S}^- \hat{S}^+) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle) = \frac{\hbar^2}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle) = \hbar^2 |\phi\rangle$$

We can begin on what is the real task at hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\phi| \hat{S}_x |\phi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle\uparrow| + e^{-i\theta} \langle\downarrow|) \hat{S}_x (|\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} |\downarrow\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle\uparrow| + e^{-i\theta} \langle\downarrow|) \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} |\downarrow\rangle + e^{i\theta} \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{4} (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{4} (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta + \cos \theta - i \sin \theta) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \cos \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi | \hat{S}_y | \phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle \uparrow | + e^{-i\theta} \langle \downarrow |) \hat{S}_y (| \uparrow \rangle + e^{i\theta} | \downarrow \rangle) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\langle \uparrow | + e^{-i\theta} \langle \downarrow |) \left(-\frac{\hbar}{2i} | \downarrow \rangle + e^{i\theta} \frac{\hbar}{2i} | \uparrow \rangle \right) \\
&= \frac{\hbar}{4i} (e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}) \\
&= \frac{\hbar}{4i} (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta - \cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \\
&= \frac{\hbar}{2} \sin \theta
\end{aligned}$$

Employing all of the above for the last algebraic exercise

$$\sigma_x^2 = \langle \phi | (\hat{S}^x - \langle \phi | \hat{S}^x | \phi \rangle)^2 | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | (\hat{S}^{x2} - \hbar \cos \theta \hat{S}^x + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \cos^2 \theta) | \phi \rangle \quad (3)$$

where

$$\langle \phi | \hat{S}^{x2} | \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle \phi | (\hat{S}^+ + \hat{S}^-)^2 | \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle \phi | (\hat{S}^+ + \{\hat{S}^+, \hat{S}^-\} + \hat{S}^-) | \phi \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}$$

and

$$\langle \phi | \hbar \cos \theta \hat{S}^x | \phi \rangle = \frac{2}{4} \hbar^2 \cos^2 \theta$$

Equation 3 becomes

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} - \frac{2\hbar}{4} \cos \theta + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \cos^2 \theta = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} (1 - \cos^2 \theta) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \sin^2 \theta \quad (4)$$

Now for the other part of the product

$$\sigma_y^2 = \langle \phi | (\hat{S}^y - \langle \phi | \hat{S}^y | \phi \rangle)^2 | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | (\hat{S}^{y2} - \hbar \sin \theta \hat{S}^y + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \sin^2 \theta) | \phi \rangle \quad (5)$$

where

$$\langle \phi | \hat{S}^{y2} | \phi \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \langle \phi | (\hat{S}^+ - \hat{S}^-)^2 | \phi \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \langle \phi | (\hat{S}^+ - \{\hat{S}^+, \hat{S}^-\} + \hat{S}^-) | \phi \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}$$

and

$$\langle \phi | \hbar \sin \theta \hat{S}^y | \phi \rangle = \frac{2}{4} \hbar^2 \sin^2 \theta$$

3 Equation 5 becomes

$$\sigma_y^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} - \frac{2\hbar^2}{4} \sin^2 \theta + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \sin^2 \theta = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} (1 - \sin^2 \theta) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \cos^2 \theta \quad (6)$$

The product of equation 3 and equation 5 is

$$\sigma_x^2 \sigma_y^2 = \frac{\hbar^4}{16} (\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta) = \frac{\hbar^4}{32} \sin^2 (2\theta) \quad (7)$$

which is zero for $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/2$. Heisenber's uncertainty relation is not violated, because only one of the components of the product is zero, $\sigma_x^2 = 0$ while $\sigma_y^2 = \hbar^2/4$. Furthermore, we see that

$$\phi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle), & \text{for } \theta = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + i|\downarrow\rangle), & \text{for } \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We do have quantum states with all uncertainties that comes with it.

1.4

A system has three interacting spin degrees of freedom with the followin hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{J}{\hbar^2}(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 + \mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \mathbf{S}_3 + \mathbf{S}_3 \cdot \mathbf{S}_1) \quad (9)$$

where J is a positive number with units of energy. The spin operators are $\mathbf{S}_1 \equiv \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}$, $\mathbf{S}_2 \equiv \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_3 \equiv \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbf{S}$, where $\mathbf{S} = (S_x, S_y, S_z)$. A general state of this three-spin system is a linear combination of product states $|m_{s1}m_{s2}m_{s3}\rangle \equiv |m_{s1}\rangle \otimes |m_{s2}\rangle \otimes |m_{s3}\rangle$ where m_{si} is hte spin- z quantum number of spin number i , either up ($\frac{1}{2}$) or down ($-\frac{1}{2}$). For example: the product state $|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ is a state where spin number one is in state $|\uparrow\rangle$, spin number two is in state $|\downarrow\rangle$ and spin number three is in state $|\uparrow\rangle$.

$\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2$ can be expressed in terms of S_1^+ , S_1^- , S_2^+ , S_2^- , S_1^z and S_2^z . First we have

$$\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 = S_1^x S_2^x + S_1^y S_2^y + S_1^z S_2^z$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^x S_2^x &= \frac{1}{4}(S_1^+ S_2^+ + S_1^+ S_2^- + S_1^- S_2^+ + S_1^- S_2^-) \\ S_1^y S_2^y &= -\frac{1}{4}(S_1^+ S_2^+ - S_1^+ S_2^- - S_1^- S_2^+ + S_1^- S_2^-) \end{aligned}$$

If the ladder operators does not commute then

$$S_1^x S_2^x + S_1^y S_2^y = \frac{1}{2}(S_1^+ S_2^- + S_2^+ S_1^-)$$

and we end up with

$$\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(S_1^+ S_2^- + S_2^+ S_1^-) + S_1^z S_2^z \quad (10)$$

Computing $H |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ should now be quite straight-forward.

$$\begin{aligned}
H |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle &= \frac{J}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (S_1^+ S_2^- + S_2^+ S_1^-) |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle + S_1^z S_2^z |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (S_2^+ S_3^- + S_3^+ S_2^-) |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle + S_2^z S_3^z |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (S_3^+ S_1^- + S_1^+ S_3^-) |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle + S_3^z S_1^z |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \right) \\
&= \frac{J}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2} |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} |\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle - \frac{\hbar^2}{4} |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \right) \\
&= J \left(\frac{1}{2} |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle - \frac{1}{4} |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \right)
\end{aligned}$$

This result is confirmed by the python script in appendix A. $|\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ is not an eigen state of H .

1.5

It is relatively easy to show with matrices or algebra or a script or anything that

$$[H, S_{tot}^z] = 0 \quad (11)$$

The eigenvalues of S_{tot}^z are easy enough to compute

$$S_{tot}^z |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \frac{3\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$$

the rest are

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{tot}^z |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\
S_{tot}^z |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \\
S_{tot}^z |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\
S_{tot}^z |\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} |\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \\
S_{tot}^z |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\
S_{tot}^z |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \\
S_{tot}^z |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle &= -\frac{3\hbar}{2} |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

The “general” rule appears to be

$$S_{tot}^z |m_{s1} m_{s2} m_{s3}\rangle = \hbar(m_{s1} + m_{s2} + m_{s3}) |m_{s1} m_{s2} m_{s3}\rangle \quad (12)$$

1.6

Finding eigenvalues of H . The trick is first to express H in terms of S_{tot}^2 .

$$\begin{aligned} S_{tot}^2 &= S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2 + 2S_1 \cdot S_2 + 2S_2 \cdot S_3 + 2S_3 \cdot S_1 \\ H &= \frac{J}{2\hbar^2}(2S_1 \cdot S_2 + 2S_2 \cdot S_3 + 2S_3 \cdot S_1) \\ &= \frac{J}{2\hbar^2}(S_{tot}^2 - (S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2)) \end{aligned}$$

We know from the previous problem that total spin angular momentum quantum number s_{tot} must be $3/2$ or $1/2$. The general formula for the energy eigenvalue of total spin quantum number squared is $S_{tot}^2 |\psi\rangle = s_{tot}(1 + s_{tot})\hbar^2 |\psi\rangle$. The eigenvalue energy for $s_{tot} = 3/2$ is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned} H |\psi\rangle &= \frac{J}{2\hbar^2}(S_{tot}^2 |\psi\rangle - (S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2) |\psi\rangle) = \frac{J}{2\hbar^2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} + 1 \right) \hbar^2 + 3 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) \hbar^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{J}{2\hbar^2} \left(\frac{15\hbar^2}{4} |\psi\rangle - 3 \frac{3\hbar^2}{4} |\psi\rangle \right) = J \frac{3}{4} |\psi\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and for $s_{tot} = 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} H |\psi\rangle &= \frac{J}{2\hbar^2}(S_{tot}^2 |\psi\rangle - (S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2) |\psi\rangle) = \frac{J}{2\hbar^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) \hbar^2 + 3 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) \hbar^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{J}{2\hbar^2} \left(\frac{3\hbar^2}{4} |\psi\rangle - 3 \frac{3\hbar^2}{4} |\psi\rangle \right) = -J \frac{3}{4} |\psi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of H is $\pm \frac{3}{4}J$.

1.7

In order to write down the normalized eigenstates of S_{tot} of total spin angular momentum quantum number $s_{tot} = \frac{1}{2}$ one must employ Clebsch-Gordan coefficient tables. First, combine two of the spins, and then the result with the third spin.

$$|s_1 m_{s1} s_2 m_{s2} s_3 m_{s3}\rangle = |s_1 m_{s1} s_2 m_{s2}\rangle \otimes |s_3 m_{s3}\rangle = |s_1 m_{s1}\rangle \otimes |s_2 m_{s2}\rangle \otimes |s_3 m_{s3}\rangle$$

A system of two spin-1/2 particles can have $s_{tot} = 0$ and $s_{tot} = 1$. The former case, the singlet, has only one possible linear combination of $s = 1/2$ kets

$$|0, 0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle, \quad (13)$$

while if $s = 1$ we have the triplet

$$|1, -1\rangle = \left| \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \quad (14)$$

$$|1, 0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \quad (15)$$

$$|1, +1\rangle = \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \quad (16)$$

Combining the combined spins where $s = 0$ with a third spin is relatively simple. The tensor product of an $s = 0$ particle with another particle is simply the latter particle. One needs to combine the singlet in equation 13 with $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} & |0, 0\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \\ & |0, 0\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

alternatively, in a simplified arrow-form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \quad (18)$$

For the triplet, in equations 14, 15 and 16 one must apply Clebsch-Gordan tables again

1.8

At time $t = 0$ the system is in state $|\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$. After some time t the system will be in state $\hat{U}(t, t_0) |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$, where $\hat{U}(t, t_0)$ is the time evolution operator (or propagator). The propagator satisfies three important properties. First, it does nothing when $t = 0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \hat{U}(t, t_0) = 1. \quad (19)$$

Second, it is unitary ($\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = 1$), and as a consequence preserves the norm of the states

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) \hat{U}(t, t_0) | \psi(t) \rangle \quad (20)$$

Third, it satisfies the composition property

$$\hat{U}(t_2, t_0) = \hat{U}(t_2, t_1) \hat{U}(t_1, t_0) \quad (21)$$

One can see from the simplest form of Schrödinger's equation that the Hamiltonian H generates the time evolution of quantum states. if $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is the state of the system at time t , then

$$H |\psi(t)\rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle. \quad (22)$$

Given the state at some initial time ($t = 0$) one can solve Schrödinger's equation in order to obtain the state at any subsequent time. Particularly, if H is independent of time, then

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt/\hbar} |\psi(0)\rangle. \quad (23)$$

This exponential operator is usually defined by the corresponding power series.

$$U(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{it}{\hbar} \right) H^k = e^{-iHt/\hbar} \quad (24)$$

If a spin system is initially in state $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ at $t_0 = 0$ then the real part of $\left| \langle \uparrow\downarrow | \hat{U}(t, 0) | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle \right|^2$ gives the probability that the system is still in that state.

To make things a little simpler, I am

2

Here we consider a operator $e^{-\hat{H}s}$, where s is a real positive number with units of inverse energy and \hat{H} is a know Hamiltonian. The ground state $|E_0\rangle$ is not known, but we do know a way to compute $|\psi(s)\rangle \equiv e^{-\hat{H}s} |\psi\rangle$ efficiently for any s and $|\psi\rangle$. This can then be used to compute the ground state expected value $\langle E_0 | \hat{O} | E_0 \rangle$ for a given Hermitian operator \hat{O} .

First, let us assume that a state $|\psi\rangle$ can be written as a linear combination of eigenstates, such that

$$|\psi(s)\rangle = e^{-\hat{H}s} |\psi\rangle = e^{-\hat{H}s} \sum_i C_i |E_i\rangle = \sum_i e^{-E_i s} C_i |E_i\rangle$$

if s is sufficiently large, $s \gg 1$, all the terms in the sum above will be killed except for the ground state

$$|\psi\rangle \approx e^{-E_0 s} C_0 |E_0\rangle$$

Then we get

$$\langle \psi(s) | \psi(s) \rangle = e^{-2E_0 s} |C_0|^2 \langle E_0 | E_0 \rangle = e^{-2E_0 s} |C_0|^2 \quad (25)$$

$$\langle \psi(s) | \hat{O} | \psi(s) \rangle = e^{-2E_0 s} |C_0|^2 \langle E_0 | \hat{O} | E_0 \rangle \quad (26)$$

Dividing equation 26 by equation 25 will for a large s yield the desired result

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \psi(s) | \hat{O} | \psi(s) \rangle}{\langle \psi(s) | \psi(s) \rangle} = \langle E_0 | \hat{O} | E_0 \rangle \quad (27)$$

A Numerical computation of $H|\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$

'''

TAKE HOME MIDTERM EXAM, Quantum Mechanics FYS3110
The first part of this script is to check the computation
in problem 1.4.
 '''

```
import numpy as np
import scipy.linalg
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt

up = np.array([[1], [0]])
dn = np.array([[0], [1]])

S_plus = np.array([[0, 1], [0, 0]])
S_minus = np.array([[0, 0], [1, 0]])
Sz = (1.0/2)*np.array([[1, 0], [0, -1]])

S1z = np.kron(Sz, np.kron(np.eye(2), np.eye(2)))
S2z = np.kron(np.eye(2), np.kron(Sz, np.eye(2)))
S3z = np.kron(np.eye(2), np.kron(np.eye(2), Sz))

Sztot = S1z + S2z + S3z

S1_plus = np.kron(S_plus, np.kron(np.eye(2), np.eye(2)))
S2_plus = np.kron(np.eye(2), np.kron(S_plus, np.eye(2)))
S3_plus = np.kron(np.eye(2), np.kron(np.eye(2), S_plus))

S1_minus = np.kron(S_minus, np.kron(np.eye(2), np.eye(2)))
S2_minus = np.kron(np.eye(2), np.kron(S_minus, np.eye(2)))
S3_minus = np.kron(np.eye(2), np.kron(np.eye(2), S_minus))

# Hamilton operator w/o (J/hbar^2) factor
def Hamilton(state):
    return \
    (1.0/2)*\
    (np.dot(S1_plus, np.dot(S2_minus, state)) +\
    np.dot(S2_plus, np.dot(S1_minus, state))) +\
    np.dot(S1z, np.dot(S2z, state)) +\
    (1.0/2)*\
    (np.dot(S2_plus, np.dot(S3_minus, state)) +\
    np.dot(S3_plus, np.dot(S2_minus, state))) +\
    np.dot(S2z, np.dot(S3z, state)) + \
    (1.0/2)*\

```

```
(np.dot(S3_plus , np.dot(S1_minus , state)) +\
np.dot(S1_plus , np.dot(S3_minus , state))) +\
np.dot(S3z , np.dot(S1z , state))
```

```
updndn = np.kron(up, np.kron(dn, dn))
print("Hamiltonian(up down down) = ")
print(Hamilton(updndn))
```