# THE ISING MODEL IN TWO DIMENSIONS \_\_\_ FYS3150: COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS \_\_\_\_

## SEBASTIAN G. WINTHER-LARSEN GITHUB.COM/GREGWINTHER

ABSTRACT. Ising model in 2D. Metropolis algorithm etc. Shit goes down.

## Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Theory	1
2.1.	. The general Ising model	1
2.2.	. Simplified ferromagnetic Ising model	2
2.3.	Example: $2 \times 2$ Ising model	2
3.	Algorithm	4
4.	Results	4
5.	Discussion	4
6.	Conclusion	4
Ref	erences	Δ

Date: November 6, 2016.

#### 1

#### 1. Introduction

### 2. Theory

The model we will employ in this study of phase transitions at finite temperature for magnetic systems is the Ising model, named after Ernst Ising who solved a one-dimensional variant of the model[1]. The two-dimensional square lattice model, employed herein, was given an analytic description much later by Lars Onsager[2].

2.1. The general Ising model. Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of lattice positions, each with adjacent positions, forming a d-dimensional lattice. For each lattice site,  $k \in \Lambda$ , there is a discrete variable  $\sigma_k \in \{+1, -1\}$ , representing the spin of the site,  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$ , respectively. A spin configuration  $\sigma$  is a specific spin configuration of the lattice.

For two adjacent sites,  $i, j \in \Lambda$ , one has an interaction  $J_{ij}$ . A site  $j \in \Lambda$  will also have an external magnetic field  $h_j$  interacting with it. The energy of a specific system is given by the following Hamiltonian

(1) 
$$E = H(\sigma) = -\sum_{\langle ik \rangle} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j - \mu \sum_j h_j \sigma_j,$$

where the first sum is over pairs of adjacent spins. The notation  $\langle ij \rangle$  indicates that sites i and j are nearest neighbours. In the second sum,  $\mu$  is the magnetic moment.

The probability of a certain configurations is given by the Boltzmann distribution

(2) 
$$P_{\beta}(\sigma) = \frac{e^{-\beta H(\sigma)}}{Z_{\beta}}$$

where  $Z_{\beta}$  is the partition function acting as a normalisation constant, and  $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ . This would mean that by increasing the temperature T, finding the system in one particular configuration decreases. It must be so, because with a relatively higher temperature, one would expect previously unfavourable configuration to become more feasible.

There are two important expected values that are important in order to characterise a magnetic system. The  $mean\ energy$  of the system is

(3) 
$$\langle E \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{M} E_i P_{\beta}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{i=1}^{M} E_i e^{-\beta E_i},$$

and the mean magnetisation is

(4) 
$$\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathcal{M}_i P_{\beta}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathcal{M}_i e^{\beta E_i},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_i = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \sigma_j$  for all configurations  $\sigma$ , and M denotes the number of possible configurations. Another quantity of interest is magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  which tells us how much an extensive parameter changes when an intensive parameter increases. It is given by

(5) 
$$\chi = \frac{1}{k_B T} (\langle \mathcal{M}^2 \rangle - \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle^2).$$

The heat capacity, at constant volume, is given by

(6) 
$$C_V = \frac{1}{k_B T^2} (\langle E^2 \rangle - \langle E \rangle^2)$$

The minus sign on each term of the Hamiltonian  $H(\sigma)$  in equation 1 is conventional. By this sign convention, the Ising model can be classified according to the sign of the interaction. If, for all pairs i, j:

- $J_{ij} > 0$ , the interaction is ferromagnetic,
- $J_{ij} < 0$ , the interaction is anti-ferromagnetic,

•  $J_{ij} = 0$ , the spins are non-interacting.

In a ferromagnetic Ising model, spins desire to be aligned: the configurations in which adjacent spins are of the same sign have higher probability. In an anti-ferromagnetic model, adjacent spins tend to have opposite signs.

2.2. Simplified ferromagnetic Ising model. The general Ising model, as described above, will not be used in this study, but a much simpler version of it. Firstly, we will examine a system with no external magnetic field, as was originally solved analytically by Onsager[2]. Because the second sum in the Hamiltonian in equation 1 is zero, and we are left with

(7) 
$$E = H(\sigma) = -\sum_{\langle ik \rangle} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j.$$

Secondly, we assume that the coupling constant  $J_{ij}$ , that describe the interaction of a spin with its neighbour, to be constant. That is  $J_{ij} = J \forall i, j \in \Lambda$  and equation 7 is simplified further to

(8) 
$$E = H(\sigma) = -J \sum_{\langle ik \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

In this study, we will assume that we have a ferromagnetic ordering, id est J > 0. This means that neighbouring spins are aligned, because it would lead to lower energy. It is easy to see why it must be so, as  $\sigma_i \sigma_j = 1$  whenever spin i and j have the same sign.

2.3. **Example:**  $2 \times 2$  **Ising model.** It would be beneficial to test the waters of the ocean of the vast ocean that is the Ising model, by a two-dimensional model with lattice dimension L=2 and periodic boundary conditions. This model has  $s=2^4=16$ . different configurations  $\sigma$ . The energy of a given configuration would be

$$E_i = -J \sum_{\langle kl \rangle}^4 \sigma_k \sigma_l.$$

Figure 1 shows an arbitrary configuration of a  $2 \times 2$  spin lattice. The energy for this configuration is

$$E_i = -J((+1)(-1) + (+1)(-1) + (+1)(-1) + (+1)(-1)) = 8J$$

which happens to be the highest energy possible for the system. When all spins are parallel we see that  $E_i = -8J$  which is the lowest energy possible. If only one spin would differ from the others, the energy would be  $E_i = 0$  and so on. Of the 16 possible configurations, several will have degeneracies  $(\Omega(E_i))$ , which corresponds to the number of configurations with the same energy. Moreover, the magnetisation of a particular configuration is simply the sum of the spins and is easy to calculate. All the possible configurations of this system can be found in table 1.



FIGURE 1. Sample  $2 \times 2$  spin lattice.

Now to compute the physical quantities as discussed above, expected value for energy  $\langle E \rangle$ , expected value for magnetisation  $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle$ , expected value for specific heat

Table 1. All possible configurations of a  $2 \times 2$  Ising model

No of ↑	$\Omega(E_i)$	$E_i$	$\mathcal{M}_i$
4	1	-8J	4
3	4	0	2
2	4	0	0
2	2	8J	0
1	4	0	-2
0	1	-8J	-4

 $\langle C_V \rangle$ , and susceptibility  $\chi$ . For the 2 × 2 Ising model these quantities have closed form expressions. The partition function for the system is given by

(9) 
$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{1} 6e^{-\beta E_i} = e^{\beta 8J} + 12 + 2e^{-\beta 8J} + e^{\beta 8J} = 4\cosh(\beta 8J) + 12$$

The expected energy is

(10) 
$$\langle E \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln(4 \cosh(\beta J) + 12) = -8J \frac{\sinh(8\beta J)}{\cosh(8\beta J) + 3}.$$

The mean magnetisation for this system is easiest to compute with equation 4, merely adding all possible states and dividing by the partition function.

(11) 
$$\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} (-4e^{8\beta J} - 8e^0 + 8e^0 + 8e^{8\beta J}) = 0$$

the expected absolute magnetisation, on the other hand, becomes

(12) 
$$\langle |\mathcal{M}| \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} (4e^{8\beta J} + 8e^0 + 8e^0 + 4e^{8\beta J}) = \frac{4 + 2e^{8\beta J}}{\cosh(8\beta J) + 3}.$$

The expected value for specific heat is

(13) 
$$\langle C_V \rangle = \frac{1}{k_b T^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2}$$

inserting equation 10 gives

$$\begin{split} \langle C_V \rangle &= -\frac{1}{k_B T^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left( -8J \frac{\sinh(8\beta J)}{\cosh(8\beta J) + 3} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_B T^2} \left( \frac{64J^2 \cosh(8\beta J)}{\cosh(8\beta J) + 3} - \frac{64J^2 \sinh^2(8\beta J)}{(\cosh(8\beta J) + 3)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_B T^2} \frac{64J^2}{\cosh(8\beta J) + 3} \left( \cosh(8\beta J) - \frac{\sinh^2(8\beta J)}{\cosh(8\beta J) + 3} \right) \end{split}$$

The susceptibility  $\chi$  of a thermodynamic system is easy to compute if one knows what the variance of magnetisation,  $\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ ), is. Rewriting equation 5 gives

(14) 
$$\chi = \frac{1}{k_B T} \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}^2.$$

Using equations 11 and 12 one can deduce that the variance of the magnetisation must be

(15) 
$$\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}^{2} = \langle \mathcal{M}^{2} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle^{2} = \frac{32}{Z} (e^{8\beta J} + 1) - 0 = \frac{8(e^{8\beta J} + 1)}{\cosh(8\beta J) + 3}.$$

Inserting 15 into 14 yields the susceptibility for the system

(16) 
$$\chi = \frac{8(e^{8\beta J} + 1)}{k_B T (\cosh(8\beta T) + 3)}$$

Bear in mind that  $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$ , and that all the quantities computed are functions of T. The results computed here can be used as comparison for numerical computations.

- 3. Algorithm
  - 4. Results
- 5. Discussion
- 6. Conclusion

## References

- [1]Ising, E., Beitrag zur Theorie des Ferromagnetismus, Z. Phys., 31, pp. 253-258 (1925).
- [2] Onsager, L., Crystal statistics. I. A two-dimensional model with an order-disorder transition, Physical Review, Series II, 65 (3-4), pp. 117-149 (1944).