

## TAKE-HOME EXAM

### FYS4170: QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

CANDIDATE NUMBER: 15020

#### 1. TRACE OF DIRAC MATRIX PRODUCTS

The Dirac gamma matrices are in the chiral representation given in  $2 \times 2$  block form as,

$$(1) \quad \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\sigma^i$  are the Pauli sigma matrices,

$$(2) \quad \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The gamma matrices satisfy the anti-commutation relations

$$(3) \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} \equiv \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbb{1},$$

The fifth gamma matrix is defined by

$$(4) \quad \gamma^5 \equiv i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = -\frac{i}{4!}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma$$

and has the following properties

$$(5) \quad (\gamma^5)^\dagger = \gamma^5,$$

$$(6) \quad (\gamma^5)^2 = 1,$$

$$(7) \quad \{\gamma^5, \gamma^\mu\} = 0.$$

**a.** The trace of the product of two gamma matrices can be evaluated using the anti-commutator relation and the cyclic property of the trace of a matrix product,

$$(8) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = \text{Tr}[2g^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbb{1} - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu]$$

$$(9) \quad = 2g^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} \mathbb{1} - \text{Tr}[\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu]$$

$$(10) \quad = 8g^{\mu\nu} - \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu].$$

In this particular case  $g^{\mu\nu}$  is a matrix element, not the metric, and can therefore be moved outside the trace operator as in 9. In 10 the cyclic property of the trace is employed. This yields

$$(11) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = 4g^{\mu\nu}.$$

**b.** The trace of the product of two gamma matrices with the fifth gamma matrix is,

$$(12) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5] = \text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5]$$

$$(13) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^5 \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu]$$

$$(14) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \gamma^0]$$

$$(15) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu (\gamma^5)^\dagger]$$

$$(16) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5].$$

Here we have first inserted  $\mathbb{1} = \gamma^0 \gamma^0$ , then the anticommutator relation from Equation 7 is employed three times. The cyclic property of the trace is employed and finally  $\gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 = \gamma^\mu$  and the fact that  $\gamma^5$  is Hermitian (Equation 5). Adding  $\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5]$  to both sides gives  $2 \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5] = 0$  and we have that

$$(17) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5] = 0.$$

**c.** The trace of an odd number of gamma matrices is always zeros. Here is a proof for three gamma matrices

$$(18) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho] = \text{Tr}[\gamma^5 \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho]$$

$$(19) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^5]$$

$$(20) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^5 \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho]$$

$$(21) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho],$$

where first the property in Equation 6 is employed, then three anticommutations from Equation 7 and the cyclic property of the trace. Adding  $\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho]$  similar to before gives  $2 \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho] = 0$  and we end up with,

$$(22) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho] = 0.$$

This proof holds for any odd number of gamma matrices because the number of commutation relation “switches” needed will then also be odd, yielding the desired minus sign.

**d.** The trace of the product of four gamma matrices and the special  $\gamma^5$  is zero in *nearly* all cases. In fact, this is the first non-vanishing trace involving  $\gamma^5$ . Let us first try the same kind of trick as before,

$$(23) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^5] = \text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^5]$$

$$(24) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^5 \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma]$$

$$(25) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \gamma^0]$$

$$(26) \quad = -\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^5],$$

which in the same way as in the previous cases yields

$$(27) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^5] = 0.$$

However, the result is something else if all of Dirac's gamma matrices are represented in the trace. Take for instance  $(\mu\nu\rho\sigma) = (0123)$ ,

$$(28) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^5] = i \text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3]$$

$$(29) \quad = -i \text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3]$$

$$(30) \quad = -i \text{Tr}[\gamma^1 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^3]$$

$$(31) \quad = i \text{Tr}[\gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^3]$$

$$(32) \quad = -i \text{Tr}[\gamma^2 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^3]$$

$$(33) \quad = -i \text{Tr}[\mathbb{1}] = -i4.$$

A computation with the indices of two adjacent gamma matrices interchanged.

$$(34) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^5] = i \text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3]$$

$$(35) \quad = -i \text{Tr}[\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3]$$

$$(36) \quad = -i \text{Tr}[\gamma^1 \gamma^1 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^2 \gamma^3]$$

$$(37) \quad = i \text{Tr}[\gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^2 \gamma^3]$$

$$(38) \quad = -i \text{Tr}[\gamma^3 \gamma^3]$$

$$(39) \quad = i \text{Tr}[\mathbb{1}] = i4.$$

The initial ordering of the for gamma matrices will change the sign compared to the initial case, because the number of commutation relation switches needed to complete the computation will change as well. This means that if two adjacent indices are interchanged, the sign will change. If two indices with another index between them are changed the sign stays the same - equivalent to two adjacent index exchanges. In other words, an even number of permutations will leave the sign unchanged, while an odd number of permutations will not. For all other cases, where two or more of the indices are equal the answer is zero. The result must therefore be proportional to the four-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol, as well as  $-i4$  from the trial computation. In conclusion,

$$(40) \quad \text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^5] = -i4\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}.$$

## 2. SCATTERING CROSS SECTION

A central result in quantum field theory is

$$(41) \quad d\sigma = \frac{1}{4vE_A E_B} |\mathcal{M}|^2 d\Pi_n,$$

**a.** In Equation 41,  $d\sigma$  is the infinitesimal cross section, whereas the cross section is defined by

$$(42) \quad \sigma \equiv \frac{\text{Number of scattering events}}{\rho_A \ell_A \rho_B \ell_B A},$$

for two colliding bunches of particles  $A$  and  $B$ .  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  are the densities of the particle bunches,  $\ell_A$  and  $\ell_B$  are the lengths of the bunches of particles, and  $A$  is the cross-sectional area common to the two bunches. The cross-section can be thought of as the probability of scattering events. It has area as unit, as it gives a measure of an area that two particles need to be within to interact. When colliding two beams of particles with well-defined momenta, one can express the likelihood of any particular final state in terms of the cross section. The relative velocity of the two particle bunches is given by  $v = |v_A - v_B|$  in the lab frame, while  $E_A$  and  $E_B$  are the energies of the particle beams.

$\mathcal{M}$  is the matrix element of the interesting part of the  $S$ (cattering) matrix ( $S = \mathbb{1} + iT$ ) after the four-momentum conservation term is factored out,

$$(43) \quad \langle \{\mathbf{p}_f\} | iT | \{\mathbf{k}_i\} \rangle \equiv {}_{\text{out}} \langle \{\mathbf{p}_f\} | \{\mathbf{k}_i\} \rangle_{\text{in}} = i\mathcal{M}(2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(\Sigma k_i - \Sigma p_f),$$

where  $\{\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\{\mathbf{p}_f\}$  are the sets of *in* and *out* states, respectively.  $\mathcal{M}$  is interesting because it describes the actual scattering. If the particles in question does not interact at all,  $S$  is simply the identity operator - the final state will be equal to the initial state.

Lastly, the infinitesimal relativistic invariant  $n$ -body final-state momenta is (a tongue twister) given by

$$(44) \quad d\Pi_n = \left( \frac{d^3 p_f}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_f} \right) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}.$$

It contains both a normalising factor (????) and the four-momentum conservation factor.

**b.**

### 3. CALCULATING $\mathcal{M}$ , FEYNMAN RULES

**a.** The invariant amplitude  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by the formula

$$(45) \quad \langle \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \dots | iT | \mathbf{k}_B \mathbf{k}_A \rangle = i\mathcal{M}(2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(k_i - k_f) = \langle f | T \left\{ \exp -i \int dt H_I \right\} | i \rangle,$$

where  $T$  is the time-ordering operator and  $H_I$  is the interaction Hamiltonian.

The general algorithm to calculate the quantity  $\mathcal{M}$  from a given interaction Hamiltonian in momentum space is as follows. First, one expands the exponential term between the bra and ket in a series of the interaction factor in the interaction Hamiltonian. The expressions of higher orders will represent interactions that are of lower probability, and we usually disregard

anything above order two<sup>1</sup> The couple of next step is to apply Wick's theorem, transferring the time ordering into a normal ordered sum of all possible contractions.

Second, one contracts external states with external operators. These contractions represents external legs in a Feynman diagram, namely incoming or outgoing particles.

Third, contract remaining internal operators with each other, if possible. These contractions correspond to propagators, or internal lines in a Feynman diagram.

Fourth, draw Feynman diagrams. The two preceding steps is all that is necessary to do so. For each vertex, internal line (propagator) and external line, there are associated Feynman rules, or factors, which have been calculated during the development of quantum field theory over the past 60 years. The rules vary for what kind of interaction and what kind of particles we are modeling (photons, fermions, QED,  $\phi^4$  etc). We now also disregard any unconnected diagrams, as these are (in our simple processes) representations of interactions with identical initial and final states i.e. does not contribute to  $T$  matrix. Moreover, we *amputate* the connected diagrams, for example to remove parts of a diagram with propagators connected to the same leg in a "loop". These parts have nothing to do with the actual interaction.

Fifth, integrate over undetermined momentum (if in momentum space) and divide by symmetry factor. The symmetry factor arises from the fact that there may be several ways to draw Feynman diagrams, analogous to several ways to do the same contractions.

**b.**

#### 4. CURRENT CONSERVATION AND CHARGE OF A DIRAC FIELD

The axial vector current is defined by

$$(46) \quad j_A^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi.$$

The current is conserved if the divergence is zero.

$$(47) \quad \partial_\mu j_A^\mu = (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 (\partial_\mu \psi)$$

$$(48) \quad = (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi - \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \psi).$$

It is not immediately clear when this expression is zero. A step on the way is to state the Lagrangian and find the Euler-Lagrange equations. The Lagrangian for the Lagrangian is

$$(49) \quad \mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i \not{\partial} - m) \psi = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \bar{\psi} m \psi.$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for  $\bar{\psi}$  is

---

<sup>1</sup>At least in this course.

$$(50) \quad \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = 0$$

$$(51) \quad 0 - (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m\psi) = 0$$

$$(52) \quad \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = -im\psi,$$

and for  $\bar{\psi}$ ,

$$(53) \quad \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = 0$$

$$(54) \quad \partial_\mu i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu - (-m\bar{\psi}) = 0$$

$$(55) \quad \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu = im\bar{\psi}.$$

Inserting Equation 52 and Equation 55 into Equation 48 yields

$$(56) \quad \partial_\mu j_A^\mu = im\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi + \bar{\psi}\gamma^5im\psi = 2im\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi,$$

and we see that if  $m = 0$  that the axial vector current must be conserved. In order to calculate the charge, we split the axial vector current up into a left-handed and right-handed part by a linear combination.

$$(57) \quad j_A^\mu = j_R^\mu - j_L^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \left( \frac{1+\gamma^5}{2} \right) \psi - \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \left( \frac{1-\gamma^5}{2} \right) \psi = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi.$$

These left- and right-handed current are individually conserved. The Dirac equation in terms of left-handed and right-handed fields ( $\psi_L$  and  $\psi_R$ ) is

$$(58) \quad (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = \begin{pmatrix} -m & i(\partial_0 + \sigma \cdot \nabla) \\ i(\partial_0 - \sigma \cdot \nabla) & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

We see that when  $m = 0$ , we get a set of decoupled equations

$$(59) \quad i(\partial_0 - \sigma \cdot \nabla)\psi_L = 0$$

$$(60) \quad i(\partial_0 + \sigma \cdot \nabla)\psi_R = 0.$$

It will therefore be alright to compute the charge from the right- and left-handed current from Equation 57 separately. To be precise, one can look at one component of the Weyl spinor representation of  $\psi$  at a time and employ the following eigenvalue relation

$$(61) \quad \gamma^5\psi_{L/R} = \mp\psi_{L/R}.$$

We get

$$(62) \quad j_L^\mu = (\bar{\psi}_L \quad \bar{\psi}_R) \gamma^\mu \left( \frac{1-\gamma^5}{2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix} = \bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu\psi_L$$

$$(63) \quad j_R^\mu = (\bar{\psi}_L \quad \bar{\psi}_R) \gamma^\mu \left( \frac{1+\gamma^5}{2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix} = \bar{\psi}_R\gamma^\mu\psi_R$$

## 5. COMPLEX SCALAR FIELDS AND “PHOTONS”

The interaction of a complex scalar field  $\phi$  is interacting with massless bosons (“photons”)  $B^\gamma$ . The interaction is described by the following interaction Lagrangian,

$$(64) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{Int}} = g^2 B_\mu B^\mu |\phi|^2 + ig B_\mu (\phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi).$$

5.1. **a.** We wish to compute the amplitude of  $\phi\phi^* \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , two scalar particles annihilating into two photons, to lowest order in  $g$ .

$$(65) \quad i\mathcal{M} \hat{=} \langle \gamma\gamma | T \left\{ \exp \left( -i \int_{-T}^T dt H_I \right) \right\} | \phi\phi^* \rangle_{\text{connected, amputated}}$$


where  $H_I = - \int d^x \mathcal{L}_{\text{Int}}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{M} &= \langle \gamma\gamma | T \left\{ \exp \left( i \int d^4x g^2 B_\mu B^\mu \phi^* \phi + ig B_\mu (\phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi) \right) \right\} | \phi\phi^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma\gamma | T \left\{ \text{ext} \left( i \int d^4x g^2 B_\mu B^\mu \phi\phi^* \right) \text{ext} \left( ig \int d^4x B_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^* \right) \text{ext} \left( -ig \int d^4x B_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi \right) \right\} | \phi\phi^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Performing an expansion to lowest order of the exponential factors in  $g$  yields,

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{M} &= \langle \gamma\gamma | T \left\{ \left( \mathbb{1} + ig^2 \int d^4x B_\mu B^\mu \phi\phi^* + \dots \right) \left( \mathbb{1} + ig \int d^4x B_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^* + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left( \mathbb{1} - ig \int d^4x B_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi + \dots \right) \right\} | \phi\phi^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma\gamma | \mathbb{1} | \psi\psi^* \rangle + \langle \gamma\gamma | ig^2 \int d^4x B_\mu B^\mu \phi^* \phi | \psi\psi^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \gamma\gamma | g^2 \int d^4x B_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^* B_\nu \phi^* \partial^\nu \phi | \psi\psi^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \gamma\gamma | T \left\{ ig \int d^4x B_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^* \right\} | \psi\psi^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \gamma\gamma | T \left\{ -ig \int d^4x B_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi \right\} | \psi\psi^* \rangle + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The two last terms will not be fully contracted and is therefore ignored, terms that are in higher of second order in  $g$  are also ignored. The two contracted terms leads to the following three Feynman diagrams.



## 6. COMPTON SCATTERING

The expression for the spin-averaged squared matrix element can be simplified to

$$(66) \quad |\bar{\mathcal{M}}|^2 = 2e^4 \left[ \frac{p \cdot k'}{p \cdot k} + \frac{p \cdot k}{p \cdot k'} + \left( 1 + \frac{m_e^2}{p \cdot k} - \frac{m_e^2}{p \cdot k'} \right) - 1 \right]$$

6.1. **a.** In the lab frame, where the electron is initially at rest, we have the following momenta:

$$(67) \quad k = (\omega, \omega \hat{z})$$

$$(68) \quad p = (m, \mathbf{0})$$

$$(69) \quad k' = (\omega', \omega' \sin \theta, 0, \omega' \cos \theta)$$

$$(70) \quad p' = (E', \mathbf{p}')$$

where  $\omega$  is the photon energy and  $\theta$  is the scattering angle of the photon. In  $|\bar{\mathcal{M}}|^2$ , one can contract the four-momenta to

$$(71) \quad p \cdot k = m\omega$$

$$(72) \quad p \cdot k' = m\omega'$$

6.2. **b.** We now want to express  $\omega'$  in terms of  $\omega$  and  $\theta$ . Remembering the Lorentz invariance of the square of the four momentum ( $p^2 = p'^2 = m^2$ ) and employing conservation of momentum we get

$$(73) \quad m^2 = (p')^2 = (p+k-k')^2 = p^2 + 2p(k-k') + (k-k')^2 = p^2 + 2p(k-k') + k^2 - 2k \cdot k' + k'^2$$



employing Equation 71 and Equation 72, computing  $k \cdot k'$  and that  $p^2 = m^2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 &= m^2 + 2m(\omega - \omega') - 2\omega\omega'(1 - \cos\theta) \\ 0 &= m\omega - m\omega' - \omega\omega' + \omega\omega'\cos\theta \\ 0 &= m\omega - \omega'(m + \omega - \omega\cos\theta) \\ \omega' &= \frac{m\omega}{m + \omega - \omega\cos\theta} \\ (74) \quad \omega' &= \frac{\omega}{1 + \frac{m}{\omega}(1 - \cos\theta)} \end{aligned}$$