

# *Code Inspection Report*

*'Bom Dia Academia' Software Development  
Project*

BSc/MSc in [LEI | LIGE | METI]  
Academic Year 2018/2019 - 1º Semester  
Software Engineering I

Group 63 ...  
79177....., Francisco Bruno ..., IC2...  
73716....., Gonalo Ferreira..., IC2...  
77804....., Gonalo Canha..., IC2...  
77551....., Joo Estvo..., IC2...

ISCTE-IUL, Instituto Universitrio de Lisboa  
1649-026 Lisbon  
Portugal

December 2018

# Table of Contents

Introduction ..... 3

Code inspection – Name of the component being inspected ..... 3

Code inspection checklist ..... 3

Found defects..... 3

Corrective measures..... 6

Conclusions of the inspection process ..... 6

## Introduction

The software we built consists of a graphic interface that allows the user to connect their social network and email accounts to access academic information and materials. In this version, we have implemented access to Twitter, Email (Office 365 only) and Facebook.

For the Facebook, after update, which is done by clicking the button, an interactive post's list will show up on the left side. When selecting a post, the post content will be shown on the GUI's right side. The same for the email and twitter.

At the email page the user can scroll through their emails, and read them, forward and write an email.

## Code inspection – Name of the component being inspected

Description of the software component being inspected

Meeting date:	7/12/2018
Meeting duration:	300 minutes
Moderator:	João Estêvão
Producer:	João Estêvão
Inspector:	João Estêvão
Recorder:	João Estêvão
Component name (Package/Class/Method):	gui.Gui; emai.EmailReader; facebook.InicializadorFacebook; twitter.TwitterApp
Component was compiled:	All
Component was executed:	All
Component was tested without errors:	All
Testing coverage achieved:	78,3%

## Code inspection checklist

### 1. Variable, Attribute, and Constant Declaration Defects (VC)

- ☐ Are descriptive variable and constant names used in accord with naming conventions?
- ☐ Are there variables or attributes with confusingly similar names?
- ☒ Is every variable and attribute correctly typed?
- ☒ Is every variable and attribute properly initialized?
- ☒ Could any non-local variables be made local?
- ☒ Are all for-loop control variables declared in the loop header?
- ☐ Are there literal constants that should be named constants?
- ☐ Are there variables or attributes that should be constants?
- ☐ Are there attributes that should be local variables?
- ☒ Do all attributes have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?
- ☐ Are there static attributes that should be non-static or vice-versa?

## 2. Method Definition Defects (FD)

- ☒ Are descriptive method names used in accord with naming conventions?
- ☐ Is every method parameter value checked before being used?
- ☒ For every method: Does it return the correct value at every method return point?
- ☒ Do all methods have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?
- ☐ Are there static methods that should be non-static or vice-versa?

## 3. Class Definition Defects (CD)

- ☒ Does each class have appropriate constructors and destructors?
- ☐ Do any subclasses have common members that should be in the superclass?
- ☐ Can the class inheritance hierarchy be simplified?

## 4. Data Reference Defects (DR)

- ☒ For every array reference: Is each subscript value within the defined bounds?
- ☒ For every object or array reference: Is the value certain to be non-null?

## 5. Computation/Numeric Defects (CN)

- ☒ Are there any computations with mixed data types?
- ☒ Is overflow or underflow possible during a computation?
- ☐ For each expressions with more than one operator: Are the assumptions about order of evaluation and precedence correct?
- ☒ Are parentheses used to avoid ambiguity?

## 6. Comparison/Relational Defects (CR)

- ☐ For every boolean test: Is the correct condition checked?
- ☒ Are the comparison operators correct?
- ☐ Has each boolean expression been simplified by driving negations inward?
- ☒ Is each boolean expression correct?
- ☐ Are there improper and unnoticed side-effects of a comparison?
- ☐ Has an "&" inadvertently been interchanged with a "&&" or a "|" for a "||"? Found defects

## 7. Control Flow Defects (CF)

- ☒ For each loop: Is the best choice of looping constructs used?
- ☒ Will all loops terminate?
- ☐ When there are multiple exits from a loop, is each exit necessary and handled properly?
- ☐ Does each switch statement have a default case?
- ☐ Are missing switch case break statements correct and marked with a comment?
- ☒ Do named break statements send control to the right place?
- ☐ Is the nesting of loops and branches too deep, and is it correct?
- ☐ Can any nested if statements be converted into a switch statement?
- ☐ Are null bodied control structures correct and marked with braces or comments?
- ☒ Are all exceptions handled appropriately?

## 8. Input-Output Defects (IO)

- ☒ Have all files been opened before use?
- ☒ Are the attributes of the input object consistent with the use of the file?
- ☒ Have all files been closed after use?

- ☐ Are there spelling or grammatical errors in any text printed or displayed?
- ☐ Are all I/O exceptions handled in a reasonable way?

#### 9. Module Interface Defects (MI)

- ☒ Are the number, order, types, and values of parameters in every method call in agreement with the called method's declaration?
- ☒ Do the values in units agree (e.g., inches versus yards)?
- ☒ If an object or array is passed, does it get changed, and changed correctly by the called method?

#### 10. Comment Defects (CM)

- ☒ Does every method, class, and file have an appropriate header comment?
- ☒ Does every attribute, variable, and constant declaration have a comment?
- ☐ Is the underlying behavior of each method and class expressed in plain language?
- ☒ Is the header comment for each method and class consistent with the behavior of the method or class?
- ☒ Do the comments and code agree?
- ☒ Do the comments help in understanding the code?
- ☒ Are there enough comments in the code?
- ☐ Are there too many comments in the code?

#### 11. Layout and Packaging Defects (LP)

- ☒ Is a standard indentation and layout format used consistently?
- ☒ For each method: Is it no more than about 60 lines long?
- ☒ For each compile module: Is no more than about 600 lines long?

#### 12. Modularity Defects (MO)

- ☒ Is there a low level of coupling between modules (methods and classes)?
- ☐ Is there a high level of cohesion within each module (methods or class)?
- ☒ Is there repetitive code that could be replaced by a call to a method that provides the behaviour of the repetitive code?
- ☐ Are the Java class libraries used where and when appropriate?

#### 13. Storage Usage Defects (SU)

- ☒ Are arrays large enough?
- ☐ Are object and array references set to null once the object or array is no longer needed?

#### 14. Performance Defects (PE)

- ☐ Can better data structures or more efficient algorithms be used?
- ☒ Are logical tests arranged such that the often successful and inexpensive tests precede the more expensive and less frequently successful tests?
- ☒ Can the cost of recomputing a value be reduced by computing it once and storing the results?
- ☒ Is every result that is computed and stored actually used?
- ☐ Can a computation be moved outside a loop?
- ☒ Are there tests within a loop that do not need to be done?
- ☐ Can a short loop be unrolled?
- ☒ Are there two loops operating on the same data that can be combined into one?
- ☐ Are frequently used variables declared register?

☐ Are short and commonly called methods declared inline?

**Identify and describe found defects, opinions and suggestions.**

Found defect Id	Package, Class, Method, Line	Defect category	Description
1	gui.GUI; relistaTweets(), relistaEmails(), relistaPosts()	2	These methods are not used, though, we kept for later use
2	Email.EmailReader;	10	Too many comments
3	gui.GUI; events()	11	Method is too long; We kept it this way in order to keep all events together
4	Email.EmailReader; readEmails()	7	Method does not handle all exceptions
5	email.EmailReader; readEmails()	10	Method could be broken in smaller methods
6			
7			
8			
9			

## **Corrective measures**

*1-Delete them;*

*2-Delete them;*

*3-Break in smaller methods;*

*4-Include exceptions;*

*5-Break in smaller methods;*

## **Conclusions of the inspection process**

*Further improvements for our software include reorganize hierarchy and methods in order to make it more clear.*

