Media Engineering and Technology Faculty German University in Cairo



Endgame - Artificial Stones

Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Project I

Author: George Maged Maurice Gendi

Ahmed Ashraf AbdelKareem

Youssef Tarek Salah Eldin

Supervisors: Assoc. Prof. Haythem Ismail

Eng. Nourhan Ehab

Submission Date: 03 November, 2019

Contents

Co	Contents							
1	Intr 1.1 1.2		ion em					
2	Imp	Implementation						
	2.1	class	SearchTreeNode	. 3				
	2.2		face SearchProblem					
	2.3							
	2.4	class	GeneralSearch	. 5				
	2.5							
	2.6	Heuris	stic Functions	. 6				
		2.6.1	Heuristic 1	. 6				
		2.6.2	Heuristic 2	. 6				
3	Results							
	3.1	Examp	ple Inputs and Outputs	. 7				
		3.1.1	Example 1					
		3.1.2	Example 2	. 8				
		3.1.3	Input	. 8				
		3.1.4	Output Using AS1	. 8				
	3.2	Comm	nents	. 8				
ΑŢ	open	dix		9				
-	_			. 10				
		v	les					
Re	eferei	aces		12				

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Problem

Given a grid of size MxN the goal is to navigate the map, collect stones and kill Thanos. This is a simplified version of the idea behind the movie Avengers: End Game, simulated as a searching AI agent system in the representation of the character iron-man. The map is divided into a cells of equal width and height, each cell can have one of the following (iron-man, Thanos, warriors and stones). The searching AI agent is to find a path through the map, collecting all six infinity stones, killing warriors if necessary and moving finally to the location where Thanos resides and performing a snap action. There are a number of constraints imposed on the agent to test the capability of finishing the task optimally. Constraints are expressed as the agent's health after performing an action, actions performed by the agent may result in it's health being reduced. Following the measures in table 1.1. Also, as certain actions that agent cannot perform table 1.2.

Situation	Cost
being in an adjacent cell to a warrior	-1/warrior
choosing to kill a warrior	-2/warrior
collecting a stone	-3
being in an adjacent, or same cell as Thanos	-5

Table 1.1: Health constraints imposed on iron-man

Situation	
cannot move to same cell as a warrior	
can be present at the same cell as Thanos or the stones	;
cannot move outside the boundaries of the map	

Table 1.2: Action constraints imposed on iron-man

1.2 Example

This is an example grid with the corresponding formulated plan that solves that grid.

Input

5,5;1,2;3,1;0,2,1,1,2,1,2,2,4,0,4,1;0,3,3,0,3,2,3,4,4,3

		S	W	
	S	I		
	S	S		
W	Т	W		W
S	S		W	

Output

up, collect, left, down, collect, down, collect, right, collect, kill, down, down, left, collect, left, collect, right, up, snap

Chapter 2

Implementation

The project is implemented using java 1.8, based on Russell and Norvig's Artificial Intelligence - A Modern Approach 3rd Edition [1]. Each class is responsible for a part of solving the problem, This section is dedicated to document each class.

2.1 class SearchTreeNode

It represents the nodes in the search tree, each node consists of attributes describing the current state, cost and depth of the node.

State state

The current state of the problem stored in class State.

SearchTreeNode parent

The parent node of the current node

Operators leadingOperator

The enum Operator that lead to the current node.

int cost

The path cost from the root to the current node instance.

int heuristicCost

The path heuristic function cost assigned to the node. (default=0)

int depth

The current depth of the node.

String backTrack()

Backtrack to the root of the tree and formulate a plan using the leadingOperator variable from each node discovered during the backtracking process.

 ${\tt ArrayList{<}SearchTreeNode{>}}\ pathFormRoot()$

Same concept as backTrack() but returns the actual nodes along the path not just the plan.

int compareTo()

Our implementation of the search tree node extends the class Comparable. With a method responsible for comparing an instance of SearchTreeNode to another SearchTreeNode instance. based on the searching algorithm being used.

Algorithm	compareTo
Greedy	$heuristicCost_1 - heuristicCost_2$
A*	$(cost_1 + heuristicCost_1) - (cost_2 + heuristicCost_1)$
default	$cost_1 - cost_2$

Table 2.1: Comparable compareTo for SearchTreeNode

2.2 interface SearchProblem

This interface is a generic form of representing any search problem. It includes the following method definitions.

State initialState()

The method that will be responsible for returning the initial state of the search problem.

SearchTreeNode transitionFunction(SearchTreeNode node, Operators operator)
The method that will be responsible for taking in a node and an operator. Applying the operator on the node and returning a new node with the configured state.

boolean goalTest(State state)

The method that will be responsible for checking if a state passes the goal test of the search problem.

int pathCost(SearchTreeNode node, Operators operator)

The method that will be responsible for returning the new cost of the node based on the operator performed.

2.3 class EndGame

The EndGame class is the programmatic representation of the problem described in 1. It extends the class SearchProblem and overrides it's methods with the logic required to solve the problem.

Pair<Integer, Integer> gridSize

A pair representing the grid size, MxN as defined in 1.

Pair<Integer, Integer> thanosPosition

A pair representing the position of Thanos on the grid.

State initialState()

Parsing the input string and constructing several class attributes to describe the problem.

SearchTreeNode transitionFunction(SearchTreeNode node, Operators operator) Transitioning through the map while enforcing that actions that cannot be performed are return as a null rather than a new SearchTreeNode instance.

boolean goalTest(State state)

The goal test being, collecting all stones and killing Thanos. Without having a comulated cost of more than 100.

int pathCost(SearchTreeNode node, Operators operator)

Path cost represented at the damage imposed on iron-man at a the given node.

2.4 class GeneralSearch

This is the class responsible for handling the different kinds of searching strategies, it has the following attributes and function and a list of implemented algorithms is provided.

List of Algorithms

Algorithm	Enum
Breath-First-Search	BF
Depth-First-Search	DF
Uniform-Cost-Search	UC
Iterative-Deepening-Search	ID
Greedy Search	GR1 & GR2
A*	AS1 & AS2

Table 2.2: List of algorithms implemented

Queue<SearchTreeNode> nodes

The queue instance where nodes are stored based on the expansion sequence or their cost. For algorithms that do not consider cost, the Queue is initialized to a LinkedList instance. And as a PriorityQueue for searching strategies that consider cost.

HashMap<String, Boolean> uniqueStates

This is used to store the states that are already explored, to avoid any repeated states and make the searching process terminate faster. Each State is serialized and used a key for the HashMap instance.

Expansion Note

A given State is checked during expansion if it is repeated using the HashMap. If the State is repeated, it is discarded.

Termination

When a given SearchTreeNode is found to be a goal node. The backTrack() method is invoked on the SearchTreeNode instance and a plan is returned. However, if there is no solution discovered a null value is returned.

2.5 Important Methods

Some methods that don't belong to one class but are important to note.

String solve(String grid, SearchingAlgorithms strategy, boolean visualize) The method takes in a String grid representing the grid of the problem. A SearchingAlgorithms that chooses an algorithm to solve the problem. and a Boolean visualize that if set to true, results to a graphical representation of the solution.

2.6 Heuristic Functions

For the Greedy and A^* searching algorithms we implemented two different heuristic functions.

2.6.1 Heuristic 1

$$h_1(n) = count(s) * 3$$

where s is the **remaining stones**.

This is an **admissible** heuristic function, it will never overestimate the cost. This is due the fact that the cost of killing or passing by warriors and being in an adjacent cell as Thanos will dominate this function.

2.6.2 Heuristic 2

$$h_2(n) = \begin{cases} min(\{3 * \frac{distance(\mathbf{I}, s_i)}{diagonal}\}) & \text{if } count(s) > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } count(s) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where I, s_i and s are Iron Man's position, a stone in s and remaining stones respectively.

This is an **admissible** heuristic function with two cases. The first case covers the situation were there are stones left to collect, In which case, we compute the distance between all stones and iron-man divided by the greatest diagonal that can fit in the grid multiplied by 3. This is admissible because the first case always bound the cost of closest stone between 0 and 3.

Chapter 3

Results

3.1 Example Inputs and Outputs

3.1.1 Example 1

Input

8,8;0,3;4,4;1,1,2,2,3,3,5,0,5,5,0,7;1,0,0,1,0,5,2,4,3,1,4,1,4,3

Comparison

Strategy	Cost	Nodes Expanded
BF	46	467795
DF	66	335976
UC	31	482913
ID	58	791579
GR1	47	150
GR2	72	101438
AS1	31	436316
AS2	31	482913

Output Using AS1

down, down, kill, right, right, right, up, up, collect, down, down, down, down, down, left, left, collect, down, left, left, up, kill, down, right, right, right, up, up, up, left, left, left, up, up, left, kill, down, right, down, right, down, right, down, right, down, right, down, right, down, down, right, left, left, left, left, left, left, left, down, collect, up, left, collect, up, right, right, down, down, right, down, down, snap;31;436316

3.1.2 Example 2

3.1.3 Input

15, 15; 12, 13; 5, 7; 7, 0, 9, 14, 14, 8, 5, 8, 8, 9, 8, 4; 6, 6, 4, 3, 10, 2, 7, 4, 3, 11, 10, 0

Comparison

Strategy	Cost	Nodes Expanded
BF	38	736617
DF	82	27060
UC	30	776545
ID	38	760854
GR1	41	559
GR2	72	137351
AS1	30	453853
AS2	30	776545

3.1.4 Output Using AS1

up, right, up, up, up, up, up, up, left, left, left, left, left, up, up, left, left, left, left, up, left, up, left, left, left, down, down, down, down, down, down, collect, up, up, up, up, right, up, right, down, down, down, down, down, down, left, left, left, left, down, collect, up, up, up, right, right, right, right, right, right, left, right, left, left,

3.2 Comments

Considering the examples mentioned above. UC, AS1 and AS2 produce the same cost, which is the optimal cost. However, AS1 explores less nodes than AS2 which explores less nodes than UC. Meaning, $h_1(n)$ dominates $h_2(n)$. GR1 explores the least amount of nodes, but not with an optimal cost. The most expensive strategy is DF considering it outputs the first goal node it hits without considering any costs. ID costs falls between BF and DF.

Appendix

List of Tables

1.1	Health constraints imposed on iron-man	1
1.2	Action constraints imposed on iron-man	1
2.1	Comparable compareTo for SearchTreeNode	4
2.2	List of algorithms implemented	5

Bibliography

[1] Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Prentice Hall Press, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 3rd edition, 2009.