

there are roughly 41,000 partners. Source: Mansoor Iqbal https://www.businessofapps.com/data/twitch-statistics/

Business Problem

2012

MONTHLY BROADCASTERS 3.39M 3.84M 3.64M 2.001 1.70M 2M 1.50M 900K

2016

2020

2018

the platform, livestreaming started out as a hobby for people sharing their love of gaming - something they do only

because its fun. For a select few who build a strong community and gain a larger audience, they can turn their

Being a partner has a lot of perks, but also a few restrictions. Partners get a subscription button added to their

channel, where viewers can pay \$5 for 1 month access to subscriber-only emotes, no advertisements, and channel

specific rewards. Partners also get a share of the ad revenue for ads ran on their channel. As part of the contract

concurrent broadcasters, and 1.44 million concurrent viewers at a given time. Of those 3.8 million broadcasters,

As of February 2020, there are 3.8 million unique broadcasters on the website, with an average of 56,000

channel into a primary source of income by becoming a partner with Twitch.

that partners sign, they cannot livestream on other platforms.

Online entertainment isn't going anywhere but up. As a result of increasing scale, more online companies must rely on automated systems. For example - Twitch competitors Youtube Live and Facebook Gaming use automated

Source: Mansoor Iqbal https://www.businessofapps.com/data/twitch-statistics/

2014

viewership as a result of the pandemic lockdowns.

less strict depending on the needs of Twitch.

account_age : time since account creation

broadcaster_type: (partner, affiliate, unaffiliated)

continues-fuel-livestreaming-growth/

systems to detect copywrite infringment and obscene content. As the number of broadcasters and viewers continues to rise, and as the entertainment industry shifts more online, the number of people turning to livestreaming as a source of income increases. Applications for partnership are currently reviewed manually by Twitch staff, with applicants waiting 2-4 weeks to

get results. Because partnership is approved manually by different individuals, there can be a personal selective

Livestreaming is emerging as a premiere online industry, with significant growth over the years. As of December

2020, the effects of COVID are still being realized, however there are reports of 24-50% increase in Twitch

bias towards or against certain demographics. In some cases, very successful streamers with well above average metrics have been denied partnership, while much smaller channels are approved, leading to distrust between the broadcaster and Twitch. The goal of this analysis is to provide a model that can approve or deny channels for partnership based on their channel metrics, removing the need for manual review, which frees up resources, decreases feedback time to streamers on the status of their partnership application, and having a system without personal influences.

Additionally, the nature of the model allows for dynamically adjusting the threshold for approval, to make it more or

Source: Thomas Wilde https://www.geekwire.com/2020/twitch-sets-audience-record-october-pandemic-

Data Over the course of a week, at different times during the day, the Twitch API was queried through all of the pages of

currently live broadcasters to generate a list of ~800,000 broadcasters. These broadcasters were then filtered to

only channels older than 60 days, with over 900 viewers (~300,000 broadcasters). This is to filter out brand new

channels, and only to examine channels that meet the basic number of viewers to be considered for partnership as

described in the Path to Partnership achievement. From the twitch api, the data being collected covers: view_count : total number of lifetime channel views

From these ~300K broadcasters, a random sample of 10% of those channels (~30k) was chosen to obtain a reasonably sized dataset. For these ~30k channels, data was webscraped from twitchtracker.com. The channel metrics being examined are lifetime aggregate channel data. The specific metrics gathered are: hours_streamed: total number of hours stream has been live

days_of_activity: total number of days where stream was live total_games_streamed: total number of games streamed

peak_viewers : peak number of concurrent viewers

average viewers: average number of concurrent viewers

hours_watched_daily : average number of hours watched by viewers per day followers_per_stream: average number of followers gained per stream

followers_per_hour: average number of followers per hour

average games: average number of games played per stream

Data Assumptions

features.

Methods

Results

0.30

0.25

0.20

daily_broadcast_time : average hours channel is live per day

views_per_hour : average number of views per hour hours_watched: total hours watched by viewers

active_days_per_week: average of how many days per week the broadcast is live

views_per_stream: average number of concurrent viewers per stream

A key assumption being made with this analysis is that a channel's total lifetime aggregate metrics can be used to classify a channel by partnership. Not having access to the date when a channel is partnered, analysis can only be made on the metrics as they currently are, not when the channel was partnered. As a result, theoretically this

specific metrics and would not have this bias.

Features not used in this analysis that Twitch currently uses in their partner selection is chat interaction - how active are the viewers in the chat room. This information would theoretically be important to classifying small streams, as a small stream with a very active chat could be partners. Additionally, Twitch makes a distinction between 'natural' views and views gained through hosts and raids (when one channel sends all of its viewers to another channel, and only natural views count towards their decision. This analysis has no method of identifying natural views from views gained through hosts or raids, and will assume that

Describe the process for analyzing or modeling the data. For Phase 1, this will be descriptive analysis.

views are natural. The theoretical effect of this assumption is a slight deflation of importance in view-related

biased analysis should produce a harsher classifier, with the idea that once a channel is partnered it will continue to

grow and inflate its metrics, and by association inflate the decision boundary. If a model produced from this analysis

were to be used by Twitch in its partnership approval process, in deployment, Twitch would have access to those

Questions to consider: • How did you prepare, analyze or model the data? Why is this approach appropriate given the data and the business problem?

Training and validation accuracy

Epochs

Training and validation precision

Training precision

Validation precision

0.6

₾ 0.4

0.2

Training acc

0.93 0.10 0.92 0.05

Epochs

Training and validation loss

Training loss

Validation loss

In the figures above, this model performs the best of all the iterations. The reduction in complexity, as well as the dropout regularization, has the model consistently perform better on the validation set than on the training set smoothed out considerably.

0.98

0.97

0.96

0.95

0.94

Conclusions This model performs very well at being selective. Although it suffers a bit with a 60% hit rate on partnered channels, because denied applications can reapply at a later point, its not a permanent decision, where in the

Moving forward I would like to address the assumptions made with the data. If I had access to Twitch's data that excludes artifical views, and can target historical data at the time of partnership, the model would likely be much more accurate at predicting the partner class. Additionally, expanding the amount of data collection is a logical next step. In this analysis only 4% of the total

I didn't have time in this analysis to get data from Twitch on how many partnership applications they receive.

Twitch currently uses chat activity as an important metric when deciding partnership. Getting data on chat

Griffin Hundley Email: hundlegq@dukes.jmu.edu Github: github.com/griffinhundley Linkedin: linkedin.com/in/griffinhundley-61b020118/

Repository Structure

partners were included in the dataset.

participation and activity would thus be a good next step to take.

README.md <- The top-level README for reviewers of this project</pre> <- Narrative documentation of analysis in Jupyter notebook</pre> notebooks models. <- .h5 files that contain the iterations of the modeling r

__init__.py - modeling.py – scraping.py

Terms

Privacy

Security

© 2020 GitHub, Inc.

<- .py code used to obtain data from Twitch API and other <- Sourced from Twitch API and Twitchtracker</pre> <- Sourced externally and generated from code

Contact GitHub

Pricing

API

Training

Blog

About

data images

Help

indicating high generalizability. Additionally, the extreme amounts of fluctuations over epochs seems to have been reverse case, giving a stream partnership is usually permanent. Twitch currently approves ~5k partners per year. Assuming they accept 5% of applications that would mean they receieve 100K applications per year, spending 2-4 weeks reviewing each one. This model would greatly reduce the amount staff resources. In addition, because it is a

model based on continuous data, it does not have the same personal biases that humans do, leading to a fairer assessment of partners. **Next Steps**

Contact Information

<- directory containing source code src <- .py file that signals to python these folders contain p <- .py code used in the modeling process

Status