

A Kunen-like model without critical continuum (Part I)

Omer Ben-Neria

Hebrew University of Jerusalem

December 2023

The Banach Center's Simon's Semester Program

About

- ▶ The purpose of the talks is to present the result of a joint work with **Eyal Kaplan** on the tension between **structure theory for ultrafilters**, and the **continuum problem**.
- ▶ Kunen's work on models $L[\mu]$ for a single σ -complete ultrafilter μ , is a cornerstone of inner-model theory. It provides a the simplest possible (nontrivial) behaviour of σ -complete ultrafilters in a universe of set theory:
 1. There is a unique measurable cardinal κ with a unique normal κ -complete ultrafilter (normal measure) U ,
 2. every other σ -complete ultrafilter is (Rudin-Keisler) isomorphic to a finite power U^n of U .
- ▶ By a Kunen-like model, we mean a model that witnesses the same simple behaviour of (σ -complete) ultrafilters.

Theorem (BN-Kaplan)

The existence of a Kunen-like model in which $2^\kappa > \kappa^+$ is consistent relative to the existence of a model with a (κ, κ^{++}) -extender.

The result can be seen as an extension of three lines of research in set theory:

1. Structure theory for (σ -complete) ultrafilters and its implications to key properties of the set theoretic universe.
2. Structure theory for σ -complete ultrafilters in forcing extensions.
3. Iterated forcing theory and its interaction with fine structure

Plan (Part I)

Part I.1: Introduction

Part I.2: The Friedman-Magidor blueprint for controlling normal measures

Part I.1

Introduction

Structure theory for ultrafilters (1/2)

- ▶ By Silver, Kunen's model $L[U]$ satisfies GCH. The simplicity properties of $L[U]$ both in terms of the structure of (σ -complete) ultrafilters, and in cardinal arithmetic (GCH), extend to other known canonical inner models of set theory.
- ▶ The Ultrapower Axiom (UA) of Gabe Goldberg isolates a structural property for ultrafilters that holds in all known canonical inner models.

Definition (UA)

For every σ -complete ultrafilters U_0, U_1 , with ultrapower emb. $j_i : V \rightarrow M_{U_i} \cong Ult(V, U_i)$, $i < 2$, there are $W_1 \in M_{U_0}$ and $W_0 \in M_{U_1}$ whose ult. emb. $k_i : M_{U_i} \rightarrow N \cong Ult(M_i, W_{1-i})$, $i < 2$, have the same ultrapower N , and $k_1 \circ j_0 = k_0 \circ j_1$.

Structure theory for ultrafilters (2/2)

Theorem (Goldberg)

UA implies:

1. *The Mitchell order is linear*
2. *The first measurable cardinal κ carries a single normal measure U and every other measure on κ is isomorphic to U^n for some $n < \omega$*
3. *if there is a supercompact cardinal κ then $2^\lambda = \lambda^+$ for all $\lambda \geq \kappa$.*

Question: Does UA (with possible extension to partial ultrafilters or/and extenders) + large cardinals implies GCH?

Local Version: Does UA implies $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$ for every measurable cardinal κ ?

Answer: No (witnessed by the Kunen-like model)

Structure theory for ultrafilters in forcing extensions (1/6)

- ▶ The preservation of elementary embeddings in forcing extensions that add many new subsets plays a key role in Silver's proof for the consistency of the failure of SCH from the consistency of a supercompact cardinal.
- ▶ Given a supercompact cardinal κ , an ult. emb. $j : V \rightarrow M \cong Ult(V, W)$ by a κ^{++} -supercompact measure W , and a V -generic filter $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_\kappa$ for an Easton support iteration of Cohen posets $Add(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ at inaccessible $\alpha \leq \kappa$, Silver's master sequence construction gives an M -generic $G^* \subseteq j(\mathbb{P}_\kappa)$ with $j''G \subseteq G^*$, and an extension $j^* : V[G] \rightarrow M[G^*]$. The derived normal measure on κ is $U^* = \{X \subseteq \kappa \mid \kappa \in j^*(X)\}$.
- ▶ The master sequence construction is quite flexible, and gives rise to many different possible generics G^* , which in turn, generates many different normal measures on κ in $V[G]$.

Structure theory for ultrafilters in forcing extensions (2/6)

- ▶ A driving force to the pursuit for control of elementary embeddings in generic extensions was the question about the possible number of normal measures on a measurable cardinal.
- ▶ Kunen's model $L[U]$ shows it is consistent to have a single normal measure from the minimal assumption of a measurable cardinal. The Kunen-Paris forcing shows that the maximal number of 2^{2^κ} is also possible from the same assumption.
- ▶ Mitchell's construction and theory of inner models $L[\vec{U}]$ with coherent sequences of normal measures shows that any number $\lambda \in [0, \kappa^{++}]$ of normal measures on κ is consistent, but requires a stronger large cardinal assumption (higher Mitchell order) and does not apply to the first measurable cardinal.

Structure theory for ultrafilters in forcing extensions (3/6)

- ▶ Baldwin constructed a models with any number $\lambda < \kappa$ of normal measures on the first measurable cardinal, from an assumption of $o(\kappa) \gg \lambda$.
- ▶ Apter, Cummings, and Hamkins established the consistency of κ^+ many normal measures on the first measurable cardinal from the minimal assumption.
- ▶ Leaning constructed models with any number $\lambda < \kappa^+$ of normal measures on the first measurable cardinal from an assumption weaker than $o(\kappa) = 2$.

Structure theory for ultrafilters in forcing extensions (4/6)

- ▶ The problem regarding the number of normal measures on the first measurable cardinal was finally resolved in 2007 by Friedman and Magidor, who showed that any number $\lambda \leq \kappa^{++}$ of normal measures on the first measurable cardinal κ is consistent from the minimal assumption.
- ▶ In their paper, they also prove a similar result for the number of normal measures on a cardinal κ in a model of $2^\kappa = \kappa^{++}$.

Structure theory for ultrafilters in forcing extensions (5/6)

Theorem (Friedman-Magidor 2007)

The existence of a model with a measurable cardinal κ carrying a single normal measure, and $2^\kappa = \kappa^{++}$ is consistent relative to the existence to a (κ, κ^{++}) -extender.

Theorem (Apter-Cummings 2023)

The failure of GCH on a strong cardinal κ in a model where the Mitchell order on normal measures on κ is linear, is consistent relative to a strong cardinal.

Structure theory for ultrafilters in forcing extensions (6/6)

- ▶ The last forcing theorems show that key structural properties for normal measures are consistent with the failure of GCH at a measurable cardinal.
- ▶ The result do not apply to non-normal measures.
- ▶ Prior to the new Kunen-like model construction, it was not known whether UA is consistent in any forcing extension adding an unbounded subset to κ .

Part 1.2

The Friedman-Magidor blueprint for normal measures

FM blueprint

- ▶ The Friedman-Magidor (FM) blueprint was developed to control the number of normal measures in a generic extension of a canonical inner model. We will focus on a version designed to force $2^\kappa = \kappa^{++}$ and a unique normal measure on κ .
- ▶ Given a single ultrapower embedding $j : V \rightarrow M \cong \text{Ult}(V, E)$ by a (short) extender E , with $\text{cp}(j) = \kappa$, ${}^\kappa M \subseteq M$, and $V_{\kappa+2} \subseteq M$, the goal is to find assumptions for an iteration poset \mathbb{P} that adds κ^{++} subsets to κ , such that for a V -generic $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ there is a **unique** M -generic $G^* \subseteq j(\mathbb{P})$ with $j''G \subseteq G^*$.

Keys to the FM blueprint

Comparing with the standard Easton-support construction (as in Silver's work) the main ingredients of the FM-approach for a poset $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \kappa \rangle$ are

1. increase the closure rate of \mathbb{P} so that $j''\mathbb{P}$ meets almost every dense open subset $D \subseteq j(\mathbb{P})$ in M ,
2. include coding posets to make the the posets \mathbb{Q}_α , $\alpha \leq \kappa$ rigid (i.e., have a unique generic filter)

(More details next time)

κ -Fusion

An Imprecise Definition:

Let \mathbb{P} that add subsets to κ , and for each $\alpha < \kappa$ has “up” and “down” restriction maps:

$$p \mapsto p \restriction \alpha \quad (p \text{ up to } \alpha)$$

$$p \mapsto p \restriction \alpha \quad (p \text{ starting from } \alpha)$$

with the domain of each being dense in \mathbb{P} , and a “join” operation $*$, which satisfy natural properties such that $p = p \restriction \alpha * (p \restriction \alpha)$ (other properties will be specified later) .

Say that a set $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is **dense beyond α** if for every $p \in D$, the weaker condition $1_{\mathbb{P}} \restriction (\alpha + 1) * p \restriction (\alpha + 1)$ is also a member of D

Say that \mathbb{P} has the **κ -fusion property (via restriction maps)** if for every sequence $\langle D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ so that each D_α is dense beyond α and every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, there are $p^* \leq p$ and a club $C \subseteq \kappa$ such that for all $\alpha \in C$ the set $\{p' \in D_\alpha : p' \restriction (\alpha + 1) = p^* \restriction (\alpha + 1)\}$ is dense in \mathbb{P}/p^* .

Remarks

- ▶ If \mathbb{Q} is κ^+ -closed then it has the κ -fusion property
- ▶ If $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ is an iteration poset, then we have standard restrictions maps the send $p = \langle \dot{p}_\beta \mid \beta < \kappa \rangle$ to

$$p \restriction \alpha = \langle \dot{p}_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \text{ and } p \restriction \alpha = \langle \dot{p}_\beta \mid \alpha \leq \beta < \kappa \rangle$$

The κ -fusion property is then **equivalent** to the following statement about the iteration poset \mathbb{P} :

For every $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\langle D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ so that each D_α is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1}$ -name for a dense open subset of $\mathbb{P}/\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1}$, there are $p^* \leq p$ and a club $C \subseteq \kappa$ such that

$$\forall \alpha \in C \quad p^* \restriction (\alpha + 1) \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1}} p^* \restriction (\alpha + 1) \in D_\alpha$$

Fusion Lemma for nonstationary support iteration of closed posets

Lemma (0)

*Suppose that κ is a regular cardinal and $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ is a **nonstationary support** iteration and \mathbb{Q}_α is α -closed. Then \mathbb{P} has the κ -fusion property.*