

A Kunen-like model without critical continuum (Part II)

Omer Ben-Neria

Hebrew University of Jerusalem

December 2023

The Banach Center's Simon's Semester Program

Recap

- ▶ In part I, we went over Silver's extension of embedding construction from a supercompact cardinal and explained why embeddings in the ground have many different extensions in a generic extension.
- ▶ We started discussing the Friedman-Magidor approach to controlling the possible extensions of an ultrapower embedding by a measure / short extender, which leads to a blueprint construction for controlling normal measures in suitable generic extensions.
- ▶ We continue describing their main arguments and then move to examine the non-normal case.

Plan (Part II)

Part II.1: The Friedman-Magidor blueprint for controlling normal measures

Part II.2: Extending the blueprint to non-normal measures

Part II.1

The Friedman-Magidor blueprint for normal measures

FM blueprint

- ▶ The Friedman-Magidor (FM) blueprint was developed to control the number of normal measures in a generic extension of a canonical inner model. We will focus on a version designed to force $2^\kappa = \kappa^{++}$ and a unique normal measure on κ .
- ▶ Given a single ultrapower embedding $j : V \rightarrow M \cong \text{Ult}(V, E)$ by a (short) extender E , with $\text{cp}(j) = \kappa$, ${}^\kappa M \subseteq M$, and $V_{\kappa+2} \subseteq M$, the goal is to find assumptions for an iteration poset \mathbb{P} that adds κ^{++} subsets to κ , such that for a V -generic $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ there is a **unique** M -generic $G^* \subseteq j(\mathbb{P})$ with $j''G \subseteq G^*$.

Keys to the FM blueprint

Comparing with the standard Easton-support construction (as in Silver's work) the main ingredients of the FM-approach for a poset $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \kappa \rangle$ are

1. increase the closure rate of \mathbb{P} so that $j''\mathbb{P}$ meets almost every dense open subset $D \subseteq j(\mathbb{P})$ in M ,
2. include coding posets to make the the posets \mathbb{Q}_α , $\alpha \leq \kappa$ rigid (i.e., have a unique generic filter)

(More details few slides below)

κ -Fusion

An Imprecise Definition:

Let \mathbb{P} that add subsets to κ , and for each $\alpha < \kappa$ has “up” and “down” restriction maps:

$$p \mapsto p \restriction \alpha \quad (p \text{ up to } \alpha)$$

$$p \mapsto p \restriction \alpha \quad (p \text{ starting from } \alpha)$$

with the domain of each being dense in \mathbb{P} , and a “join” operation $*$, which satisfy natural properties such that $p = p \restriction \alpha * (p \restriction \alpha)$ (other properties will be specified later) .

Say that a set $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is **dense beyond α** if for every $p \in D$, the weaker condition $1_{\mathbb{P}} \restriction (\alpha + 1) * p \restriction (\alpha + 1)$ is also a member of D

Say that \mathbb{P} has the **κ -fusion property (via restriction maps)** if for every sequence $\langle D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ so that each D_α is dense beyond α and every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, there are $p^* \leq p$ and a club $C \subseteq \kappa$ such that for all $\alpha \in C$ the set $\{p' \in D_\alpha : p' \restriction (\alpha + 1) = p^* \restriction (\alpha + 1)\}$ is dense in \mathbb{P}/p^* .

Remarks

- ▶ If \mathbb{Q} is κ^+ -closed then it has the κ -fusion property
- ▶ If $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ is an iteration poset, then we have standard restrictions maps the send $p = \langle \dot{p}_\beta \mid \beta < \kappa \rangle$ to

$$p \restriction \alpha = \langle \dot{p}_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \text{ and } p \restriction \alpha = \langle \dot{p}_\beta \mid \alpha \leq \beta < \kappa \rangle$$

The κ -fusion property is then **equivalent** to the following statement about the iteration poset \mathbb{P} :

For every $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\langle D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ so that each D_α is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1}$ -name for a dense open subset of $\mathbb{P}/\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1}$, there are $p^* \leq p$ and a club $C \subseteq \kappa$ such that

$$\forall \alpha \in C \quad p^* \restriction (\alpha + 1) \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1}} p^* \restriction (\alpha + 1) \in D_\alpha$$

Fusion Lemma for nonstationary support iteration of closed posets

Lemma (0)

*Suppose that κ is a regular cardinal and $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ is a **nonstationary support** iteration and \mathbb{Q}_α is α -closed. Then \mathbb{P} has the κ -fusion property.*

Using fusion to extend the reach of j “ \mathbb{P} ”

Lemma (1)

Suppose that $\mathbb{P}_\kappa = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ satisfies the assumptions of the previous lemma, and $j : V \rightarrow M \cong \text{Ult}(V, E)$ is an ultrapower map by a (short) κ -complete extender E . Then

1. $j(\mathbb{P}_\kappa) = \mathbb{P}_\kappa * \mathbb{Q}_\kappa^M * R$ where $R = (\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}^M / \mathbb{P}_{\kappa+1}^M)$ is the tail quotient forcing of $j(\mathbb{P}_\kappa)$ starting stage $\kappa + 1$.
2. For every $\mathbb{P}_{\kappa+1}^M$ -name of a dense open set $D \subseteq R$ and a condition $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$ there is an extension $p^* \leq p$ such that $j(p) \restriction (\kappa + 1) \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\kappa+1}^M} j(p) \restriction (\kappa + 1) \in D$.

We sketch the proof of Friedman-Magidor theorem.

Theorem (Friedman-Magidor 2007)

The existence of a model with a measurable cardinal κ carrying a single normal measure, and $2^\kappa = \kappa^{++}$ is consistent relative to the existence to a (κ, κ^{++}) -extender.

- ▶ Force over a minimal model $V = L[\mathcal{E}]$ witnessing a measurable cardinal κ carrying a (κ, κ^{++}) -extender E . This means that for any other κ -complete measure/extender $F \in V = L[\mathcal{E}]$ the ultrapower embedding $j_F : V \rightarrow M_F$ satisfies $(\kappa^{++})^{M_F} \ll j_F(\kappa) < j_F(\kappa)^{++}$
- ▶ Let $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ be a nonstationary support iteration of posets

$$\mathbb{Q}_\alpha = \text{Sacks}(\alpha, \alpha^{++}) * \text{Code}_\alpha$$

- ▶ $Sacks^*(\alpha)$ is the generalized Sacks forcing consisting of α -closed pruned trees $T \subseteq 2^{<\alpha}$, for which there is a club $C_T \subseteq \alpha$ such that a node $s \in T$ splits if and only if $len(s) \in C$ is singular.
- ▶ $Sacks^*(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ is a $\leq \alpha$ -support product of α^{++} -many copies of $Sacks^*(\alpha)$. By a result of Friedman and Thompson, $Sacks^*(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ is α -closed and satisfies α -fusion.
- ▶ $Code_\alpha$ codes the generic $Sacks^*(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ sequence of cofinal branches $\langle s_\tau^\alpha \mid \tau < \alpha^{++} \rangle$, $s_\tau^\alpha \in 2^\alpha$ and itself.
- ▶ A standard way of coding a sequence of function $\langle f_\tau \mid \tau < \alpha^{++} \rangle \subseteq 2^\alpha$ using disjoint stationary sets $\langle S_i^\alpha \mid i < \alpha^{++} \rangle$ is by forcing a club in α^{++} to be disjoint from $S_{\alpha \cdot \tau + 2\beta}^\alpha$ if $f_\tau(\beta) = 0$, and forcing it to be disjoint from $S_{\alpha \cdot \tau + 2\beta + 1}^\alpha$ if $f_\tau(\beta) = 1$. This coding principle can be extended so that the generic club codes itself.

Let $j_E : V \rightarrow M_E$ be the ultrapower embedding of $V = L[\mathcal{E}]$ by E .
To complete the proof we need the following

Lemma (FM.1)

*If $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ be V -generic then in $V[G]$ there is a **unique** M_E -generic filter $G^* \subseteq j_E(\mathbb{P})$ so that $j''G \subseteq G^*$.*

***Moreover** , the extension $j^* : V[G] \rightarrow M_E[G^*]$ satisfies that for every $x \in M_E[G^*]$ there is $f \in V[G]$, $f : \kappa \rightarrow V[G]$ such that $x = j^*(f)(\kappa)$.*

The last part of the Lemma implies that the map $j^* : V[G] \rightarrow M_E[G^*]$ is equal to the ultrapower map of $V[G]$ by the j^* -derived normal measure $U^* = \{X \subseteq \kappa \mid \kappa \in j^*(X)\}$.

Lemma (FM.2)

U^* is the only normal measure on κ in $V[G]$.

j extends (1/2)

Write $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\kappa * \mathbb{Q}_\kappa$ and $G = G_\kappa * g_\kappa \subseteq \mathbb{P}_\kappa * \mathbb{Q}_\kappa$.

- ▶ Use Lemma 0 to show \mathbb{P}_κ has the κ -fusion property
- ▶ Use Lemma 1 and the κ -fusion property of \mathbb{P}_κ to show that $G \wedge j_E "G_\kappa$ generates an M_E -generic set $G_{j(\kappa)}^* \subseteq j_E(\mathbb{P}_\kappa)$.
Let $\bar{j} : V[G_\kappa] \rightarrow M_E[G_{j(\kappa)}^*]$ be the resulting elementary extension of j_E .
- ▶ Use the result of Friedman and Thompson that \mathbb{Q}_κ has the κ -fusion property with the natural tree restriction maps, to show that $\bar{j} "g_\kappa$ generates an $M_E[G_{j(\kappa)}^*]$ -generic set $g^* \subseteq \bar{j}(\mathbb{Q}_\kappa)$.

j extends (2/2)

- ▶ Let $G^* = G_{j(\kappa)}^* * g^*$ be the resulting M_E -generic for $j_E(\mathbb{P}_\kappa)$ and $j^* : V[G] \rightarrow V[G^*]$ the induced extension of j_E . Show next that every $x \in M_E[G^*]$ is of the form $j^*(f)(\kappa)$ for some function $f \in V[G]$. Since the generators of E are in $[\kappa, \kappa^{++})$ it suffices to show that for every $\tau \in [\kappa, \kappa^{++})$ there is $g \in V[G]$ such that $\tau = j^*(g)(\kappa)$. For this, use the identification of τ with the τ -th generic function $s_\tau^\kappa \in 2^\kappa$ from the \mathbb{Q}_κ -generic κ^{++} -sequence of functions, and observe that $s_\tau^\kappa = j^*(s_\tau^\kappa) \restriction \kappa$ is definable in $M_E[G^*]$ from the pointwise image of j^* and κ .
- ▶ In $V[G]$, let $U^* = \{X \subseteq \kappa \mid \kappa \in j^*(X)\}$ be the normal measure on κ derived from j^* . The last point shows that $j^* = j_{U^*} : V[G] \rightarrow M_{U^*} = M_E[G^*]$ is the ultrapower by U^* .

U^* is unique (1/3)

Lemma (Friedman-Magidor)

U^* is the only normal measure on κ in $V[G]$.

- ▶ Let W be a κ -complete ultrafilter on κ in $V[G]$, and $j_W : V[G] \rightarrow M_W$ be its ultrapower embedding.

By a theorem of Schindler, the restriction

$i_W := j_W \upharpoonright V : V \rightarrow M$ is a normal iterated ultrapower of $V = L[\mathcal{E}]$ by its extenders, and $M_W = M[G_W]$ for some M -generic $G_W \subseteq j_W(\mathbb{P})$.

- ▶ Since \mathbb{P} is σ closed, the iteration resulting in M must be finite.

$$i_W = j_{\ell-1,\ell} \circ j_{\ell-2,\ell-2} \circ \cdots \circ j_{0,1}$$

where $\ell < \omega$ and for each $i < \ell$,

$$j_{i,i+1} = j_{F_i}^{M_i} : M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1} \cong \text{Ult}(M_i, F_i)$$

is an ultrapower embedding by an extender $F_i \in M_i$ ($M_0 = V$) with critical point κ_i , and $\kappa = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_{\ell-1}$.

U^* is unique (2/3)

- ▶ **Assume** W is a normal measure . If we can show that (i) $F_0 = E$, and (ii) $\ell = 1$ then we get $j_W \restriction V = j_E$, and so the requirement $j_W " G \subseteq G_W$ translates to $j_E " G \subseteq G_W$. We can then apply the argument of Lemma FM.1 and conclude $G_W = G^*$, which implies $W = U^*$.
- ▶ (i) is an immediate consequence of the fact $\mathcal{P}(\kappa) \subseteq M_W$, which implies $(2^\kappa)^{M_W} \geq \kappa^{++}$. Since E was assumed to be the only extender in $V = L[\mathcal{E}]$ to have height $\geq \kappa^{++}$, $F_0 \neq E$ would imply that $(2^\kappa)^{M[G_W]} = (\kappa^{++})^{M_W} < j_{F_0}(\kappa) < \kappa^{++}$. Absurd.

U^* is unique (3/3)

(ii) makes a critical use of the normality assumption of W , together with the following lemma of Friedman and Magidor, whose proof is similar to the argument for Lemma 0.

Lemma (Friedman-Magidor)

For every \mathbb{P} -name of a function $\dot{f} : \kappa \rightarrow On$ and a condition $p \in \mathbb{P}$ there are $p^* \leq p$, a club $C \subseteq \kappa$, and a function $F \in V$ with $F(\alpha) \in [On]^{\alpha^{++}}$ for all α , such that $p^* \Vdash \forall \alpha \in C. \dot{f}(\alpha) \in F(\alpha)$.

- ▶ Use the Lemma to show $\ell = 1$. Suppose otherwise, $\ell \geq 2$.
The normality of the iterated ultrapower and the fact $F_0 = E$ imply $cp(j_{1,2}) > \kappa^{++}$. Since W is normal in $V[G]$, there is a function $f = \dot{f}_G : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ such that $cp(j_{1,\ell}) = \kappa_1 = j_W(f)(\kappa)$.
- ▶ By the previous lemma, there is $F \in V$ as above such that $\kappa_1 \in j_W(F)(\kappa)$ and $j_W(F)(\kappa) \in [j_W(\kappa)]^{\kappa^{++}}$.
- ▶ Since $F \in V$, $j_W(F)(\kappa) = i_W(F)(\kappa) = j_{1,\ell}“(j_E(F)(\kappa))$, which means $\kappa_1 \in \text{rng}(j_{1,\ell})$. Absurd.

The FM-blueprint (1/2)

The Friedman-Magidor blueprint lists the requirements from the iteration $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \kappa \rangle$ used in the proof of the last theorem.

Definition: (FM-blueprint)

The FM-blueprint for an iteration $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \kappa \rangle$ and an ultrapower embedding $j : V \rightarrow M \cong \text{Ult}(V, E)$ by an extender E includes the following assumptions:

- (1) \mathbb{P} is a nonstationary support iteration. Each \mathbb{Q}_α is trivial if α is not inaccessible, and for each inaccessible $\alpha \leq \kappa$, \mathbb{Q}_α is α -closed, has size α^{++} and adds α^{++} -new subsets to α .
- (2) For each inaccessible $\alpha \leq \kappa$, \mathbb{Q}_α self codes its generic set by destroying certain stationary sets from a sequence $\vec{S}^\alpha = \langle S_i^\alpha \mid i < \alpha^{++} \rangle$ of almost disjoint stationary subsets $S_i^\alpha \subseteq \alpha^{++} \cap \text{cof}(\alpha^+)$

The FM-blueprint (2/2)

- (3) The choice of \mathbb{Q}_α is absolute between models that contain $H_{\alpha^{++}}$.
- (4) $1_{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$ forces that $j''\dot{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\kappa)$ generates a generic filter for $j(\mathbb{Q}_\kappa)$ over $M^j(\mathbb{P}_\kappa)$.

Part I.3

Extending the blueprint to non-normal measures

From normal measures to non-normal measures

- ▶ The Friedman-Magidor proof shows that if $\mathbb{P} \in L[\mathcal{E}]$ satisfies the FM-blueprint then $2^\kappa = \kappa^{++}$ in a generic extension $V[G]$, $2^\kappa = \kappa^{++}$, and κ carries a unique normal measure U^* .
- ▶ Finite power $W = (U^*)^\ell$ of U^* are easily seen to completely described by
 1. the restriction $j_W \upharpoonright V$, which is the n -iterated ultrapower by E (and its images), namely $F_0 = E$ and $F_{i+1} = j_{i,i+1}(F_i)$ for all $i < \ell - 1$,
 2. the generic G_W which is obtained by copying the construction of G^* from $G \cup j_E "G$ ℓ times. Namely, $G_W = G_\ell^*$ where $G_0^* = G$ and $G_{i+1}^* = \langle G_i^* \wedge j_{i,i+1}^* "G_i^* \rangle$.

It is useful to note that $G_W = G_\ell^*$ contains the pointwise image by the final iteration map $i_W "G = j_{0,\ell} "G \subseteq j_{0,\ell}(\mathbb{P})$. The additional information found in G_ℓ^* beyond the pointwise image are the generics at critical coordinates $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{\ell-1}$.

Goal: Modify the FM-blueprint to show that every κ -complete ultrafilter W in $V[G]$ is equivalent to a finite poset $(U^*)^\ell$ for some $\ell < \omega$.

► Fix a κ -complete ultrafilter W in $V[G]$ and use the notations from before (Keys for Lemma FM.2). We know $i_W = j_W \restriction V : V \rightarrow M$ is a finite iteration of some length $\ell \geq 1$, and $M_W = M[G_W]$.

► To prove that $W = (U^*)^\ell$ we need to establish

(KEY 1) $F_i = j_{0,i}(E)$ for all $i < \ell$.

(KEY 2) $G_W \restriction \mathbb{Q}_{\kappa_i}^M = G^* \restriction \mathbb{Q}_{\kappa_i}^M$ is generated by $j_{0,i-1} " g_\kappa$.

For functions $f, g \in {}^\alpha\alpha$ for a regular cardinal α , write $f <^*_{Sing} g$ when there is a club $C \subseteq \alpha$ such that $f(\beta) < g(\beta)$ for every singular ordinal $\beta \in C$.

Definition: (Modified blueprint)

The modified blueprint for an iteration $\mathbb{P} = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathbb{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \kappa \rangle$ includes the following changes:

1. The assumption \mathbb{Q}_α is α -closed is replaced with the weaker requirement \mathbb{Q}_α is α -distributive.
2. For each inaccessible $\alpha \leq \kappa$ the assumptions that \mathbb{P}_α satisfies α -fusion, and $\mathbb{P}/\mathbb{P}_\alpha$ is α -distributive are added (as they cannot be derived directly with \mathbb{Q}_α being only α -distributive).
3. For each inaccessible $\alpha \leq \kappa$, \mathbb{Q}_α is additionally assumed to add sequence $\langle s_\tau^\alpha \mid \tau < \alpha^{++} \rangle \subseteq \alpha^\alpha$ which is $<^*_{Sing}$ -increasing.
4. The stationary sets $S_i^\alpha \in \vec{S}^\alpha$ used for coding, are now assumed to be almost disjoint and nonreflecting stationary subsets of $\alpha^+ \cap \text{cof}(< \alpha)$ (i.e., have small-cofinality ordinals).

Modified blueprint in action

Lemma (BN-Kaplan)

If \mathbb{P} satisfies the modified blueprint then every κ -complete ultrafilter W in a generic extension $V[G]$ by $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ has key properties (Key 1) and (Key 2) for every κ -complete ultrafilter W in $V[G]$.

Constructing \mathbb{P} satisfying modified blueprint (1/2)

- ▶ To add $<^*_{Sing}$ -increasing sequences of functions $\langle s_\tau^\alpha \mid \tau < \alpha^{++} \rangle$, we replace the higher version of Sacks forcing with a suitable higher version of Miller forcing.
- ▶ A main challenge in finding a poset \mathbb{P} which satisfies the κ -fusion under the modified blueprint assumptions, comes from the fact that coding posets which add clubs to a nonreflecting stationary subset of $\alpha^+ \cap \text{cof}(< \alpha)$ are α -distributive but cannot be α -closed. This is a problem since there is no general iteration theorem for distributive posets like the one for closed posets.
- ▶ For example, by a unpublished result of Adolf-BN-Schindler-Zeman, if every iteration sequence $\langle \mathbb{Q}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ where each \mathbb{Q}_n being \aleph_n -distributive, has an iteration scheme that does not collapse cardinals, then PD holds.

Constructing \mathbb{P} satisfying modified blueprint (2/2)

- ▶ Gitik has constructed methods for iterating arbitrary distributive posets \mathbb{Q}_α using the iteration theory for Prikry forcings, but the construction requires large cardinals at finite levels of supercompacts, which does not fit the Kunen-like model framework.
- ▶ The proof of the main (Kunen-Like model) theorem solves the iteration problem by making use of the fine structure of $L[\mathcal{E}]$ to construct an iteration with the modified blueprint assumptions. The motivation for this part was a result announced by Zeman, who showed how to construct Easton-support iterations of posets that destroy nonreflecting stationary subsets, without collapsing cardinals.