COURSE 700036-B-6 Analytic Philosophy (Cont. 2) 2022 SM 1

Report composed on 21-09-2023

Course name	Analytic Philosophy (Cont. 2) (700036-B-6_2022)
Evaluation name	COURSE 700036-B-6 Analytic Philosophy (Cont. 2) 2022 SM 1
Evaluation start- and end date	07-12-2022 t/m 20-12-2022
Amount of respondents	7 from a total of 23 (30%)

Table of contents

Average scores 2

Lecturers 3

Student groups 4

Questions 5

- Subject 5

Average scores

Below are the average scores. These averages are composed of all results on all questions, with the exception of the questions with the scales "Yes / No" and "Open question", and questions in which the set of questions states that they may not be included in the average.

Total	Course	Lecturer	Your score
4.7	4.6	4.9	4.9

Lecturers

An average of lecturer questions per lecturer

LECTURER NAME	LECTURER	EVALUATIONS	RESPONSE
Miguel Egler 232287	4.9	1	7/23 (30%)

Student groups

An average of all questions per student group

NAME STUDENT GROUP	TOTAL	COURSE	LECTURER	MIGUEL EGLER	RESPONSE
COURSE 700036-B-6 Analytic Philosophy (Cont. 2) 2022 SM 1	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.9	7/23 (30%)

Questions

Subject

The learning goals of the course were clear to me.

Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.5 | Number of given answers: 7

Average score: 4.7



The study materials (e.g., books, syllabus, other literature, assignments, Canvas environment) helped me achieve the learning goals.

Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.7 | Number of given answers: 7

Average score: 4.6



The course fit well with my prior knowledge and skills.

Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.7 | Number of given answers: 7

Average score: 4.4



I have acquired new knowledge and understanding from this course.

Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.5 | Number of given answers: 7

Average score: 4.7



I have acquired new skills from this course.

Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.7 | Number of given answers: 7

Average score: 4.6



In general, I am satisfied with this course.

Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.5 | Number of given answers: 7

Average score: 4.7



What went really well or did you really like about this course?

Scale: Open question | 4 answers by 4 respondents

1. *I really liked about this course that it was synced really well with philosophy of language. This made it easier to understand both courses.*

2. *Miguel Egler is one of the most clear lecturers I have ever come across. At first I was really suspicious towards analytic philosophy. Miguel managed to make this suspicion more substantive, by on the one hand explaining the Analytic position substantively and clear, and on the other hand providing openings for critical reflection such as the lecture on Cassirer and Heidegger*

3. *The assignments weren't too demanding, which was nice as all other courses were rather demanding all semester.*

4. *I went into the course skeptical of the worth of analytic philsophy, as a separate discipline of philosophy, but this course has really showcased its uniqueness and the value of that uniqueness. Besides, the course was the clearest I've had to date, for which the lecturer is responsible.*

What could be improved about this course?

Scale: Open question | 1 answers by 1 respondents

1. *Since it's an open book exam, it might be better to do the exam digitally, as we don't have a book and just have a bunch of handouts, meaning everyone has to separately print these handouts which A. not everyone has immediate access to a printer other than those at the university, which are fairly costly, and B. is just not great for the environment.*

The lecturer explained the subject matter clearly.

Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.3 | Number of given answers: 7

Average score: 4.9



The lecturer stimulated me to think actively about the subject matter.

Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.3 | Number of given answers: 7

Average score: 4.9


100%
75%
50%
25%
0%
14% (1/7)
86% (6/7)
1
2
3
4
5