COURSE 700346-B-6 Philosophy of Art, Media and Society 2022 SM 1

Report composed on 21-09-2023

Course name	Philosophy of Art, Media and Society (700346-B-6_2022)
Evaluation name	COURSE 700346-B-6 Philosophy of Art, Media and Society 2022 SM 1
Evaluation start- and end date	06-12-2022 t/m 19-12-2022
Amount of respondents	11 from a total of 43 (26%)

Table of contents

Average scores

Below are the average scores. These averages are composed of all results on all questions, with the exception of the questions with the scales "Yes / No" and "Open question", and questions in which the set of questions states that they may not be included in the average.

Total	Course	Lecturer	Your score
4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9

Lecturers

An average of lecturer questions per lecturer

LECTURER NAME	LECTURER	EVALUATIONS	RESPONSE
Miguel Egler 232287	4.9	1	11/43 (26%)

Student groups

An average of all questions per student group

| NAME STUDENT GROUP	TOTAL	COURSE	LECTURER	MIGUEL EGLER	RESPONSE
COURSE 700346-B-6 Philosophy of Art, Media and Society 2022 SM 1	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	11/43 (26%)

Questions

Subject

The learning goals of the course were clear to me.
Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.4 | Number of given answers: 11

Average score: 4.8

The study materials (e.g., books, syllabus, other literature, assignments, Canvas environment)
helped me achieve the learning goals.
Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.4 | Number of given answers: 11

Average score: 4.7

The course fit well with my prior knowledge and skills.
Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.4 | Number of given answers: 11

Average score: 4.7

I have acquired new knowledge and understanding from this course.
Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0 | Number of given answers: 11

Average score: 5

I have acquired new skills from this course.
Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.7 | Number of given answers: 11

Average score: 4.5

In general, I am satisfied with this course.
Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.3 | Number of given answers: 11   Average score: 4.9

What went really well or did you really like about this course?
Scale: Open question | 11 answers by 11 respondents

1. I liked listening to the lecturer, he explained everything very clearly and made sure that we understood the content that was explained. I also really liked the tutorial since they helped me understand how to answer questions for the exam and the small assignments.

2. Everything in the course is very clear, and most importantly: really interesting. Glad I chose this course for my minor because it's a mind opener for aspects such as discrimination, art and testimonies!

3. It was very easy to follow this course, as all the information was supported with examples. Having short assignments for every "block" of the course also helped to make sure that everything was clear.

4. The course invites students to reflect upon the shift within the contemporary society and culture by the influence of the AI, and frankly, the lecturer Mr. Egler narrates the lectures very vividly and ardently. The overall content of the course is very interesting and makes us realize new phenomena. Therefore, I am very satisfied with the course and really wish that I could have it once again.

5. Engaging and interesting lectures and great opportunities to test acquired skills through the many practice assignments and workshops! I found myself asking raising my hand, often trying to add my own examples or ask questions!

6. Loved the whole course! Content, lecturer, classes, assignments and so on!

7. The way Miguel explains each topic is beyond this world. Everything is so clear and well put. Each question, even the most stupid one, is thoroughly explained without any judgement. The whole course is very intriguing, with interesting topics and concepts. the only course this semester that made me attend lectures with respect and interest.

8. The material made sense in the context of the course. In addition to that it was taught in a captivating way by miguel.

9. Liked the tutorials, liked that the material is build on units

10. Really interesting course! Miguel created a very interesting course structure and I really enjoyed going to this lecture every week again!

11. The lecturer wanted us to understand everything properly and so he was always giving different examples and repeating/ concluding on the subjects urging us to ask questions freely.

What could be improved about this course?
Scale: Open question | 2 answers by 2 respondents

1. The only downside I had is that the course is difficult to study if you weren't present during the live lecture, and you only

can read the powerpoint. Sometimes only the title of the subjects is presented and Egler explains everything in detail himself. But the course literature helps with this.

2. *Did not like the guest lecturer much, and the powerpoints could be uploaded to canvas sooner*

The lecturer explained the subject matter clearly.
Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0 | Number of given answers: 11   Average score: 5

The lecturer stimulated me to think actively about the subject matter.
Scale: TIU Answer scale | σ 0.4 | Number of given answers: 11   Average score: 4.7