Study	reported	total	weight	F–T,[95% CI]
Schick et al. 2022	149	155	96.1%	0.967 [0.929, 0.992]
Harned et al. 2022	17	18	94.4%	0.931 [0.768, 1.000]
Moffitt et al. 2023	522	555	94.1%	0.948 [0.926, 0.966]
Peter et al. 2021	12	13	92.3%	0.903 [0.689, 1.000]
Hien et al. 2024	34	39	87.2%	0.870 [0.744, 0.959]
Raad et al. 2008	178	206	86.4%	0.869 [0.818, 0.913]
Waldron et al. 2018	56	69	81.2%	0.813 [0.711, 0.898]
Villarreal, 2014	132	170	77.6%	0.780 [0.713, 0.840]
Burnette et al. 2022	43	58	74.1%	0.742 [0.620, 0.848]
Barker et al. 2022	5	7	71.4%	0.693 [0.336, 0.955]
Williamson et al. 2023	509	771	66.0%	0.663 [0.629, 0.697]
McGorray et al. 2023	1110	1762	63.0%	0.632 [0.609, 0.655]
Scholtz, 2021	80	139	57.6%	0.576 [0.492, 0.659]
Ray et al. 2022	1005	1764	57.0%	0.571 [0.547, 0.594]
DeJesus et al. 2022	530	991	53.5%	0.535 [0.504, 0.567]
Pulli et al. 2019	35	67	52.2%	0.522 [0.402, 0.642]
Woidneck et al. 2023	21	42	50.0%	0.500 [0.349, 0.651]
Pedersen et al. 2023	9	19	47.4%	0.474 [0.258, 0.695]
Singh et al. 2023	791	1682	47.0%	0.470 [0.445, 0.494]
Morton, 2021	25	56	44.6%	0.446 [0.318, 0.579]
Weisz et al. 2005	172	427	40.3%	0.401 [0.354, 0.449]
Tzuang et al. 2018	12	31	38.7%	0.389 [0.225, 0.565]
Rao & Donaldson, 2015	295	796	37.1%	0.368 [0.335, 0.403]
Sabnis et al. 2023	48	142	33.8%	0.336 [0.259, 0.417]
Moore et al. 2022	4	12	33.3%	0.343 [0.111, 0.621]
Hendriks et al. 2018	62	188	33.0%	0.327 [0.261, 0.397]
Robertson et al. 2019	95	347	27.4%	0.270 [0.224, 0.319]
DeJesus et al. 2019	310	1149	27.0%	0.266 [0.240, 0.292]
Gallegos–Riofrio et al. 2022	44	174	25.3%	0.250 [0.187, 0.318]
Rad et al. 2018	86	428	20.1%	0.196 [0.159, 0.236]
Kissel & Friedman, 2023	260	1500	17.3%	0.167 [0.148, 0.187]
Sabik et al. 2021	15	100	15.0%	0.147 [0.082, 0.225]
Goldfarb & Brown, 2022	20	536	3.7%	0.030 [0.015, 0.048]
RE Model	**6686**	**14413**		0.530 [0.427, 0.631]

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.08$; $\chi^2=3543.51$, $df=32$ ($p<0.001$); $I^2 = 99.3\%$

Proportion