SES比例

Study	reported	total						weight	F-T,[95% CI]
Goodman et al. 2020	30	37				<b>├</b>	<b>−</b>	81.1%	0.806 [0.664, 0.917]
Burnette et al. 2022	43	58			H			74.1%	0.740 [0.619, 0.845]
Raad et al. 2008	118	206			<b>⊢</b> ■	4		57.3%	0.573 [0.505, 0.641]
Villarreal, 2014	94	170			<del></del>			55.3%	0.553 [0.477, 0.628]
Pulli et al. 2019	37	67			<b>—</b>	$\dashv$		55.2%	0.552 [0.432, 0.669]
Peter et al. 2021	6	13		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	——		46.2%	0.464 [0.212, 0.725]
Singh & Rajendra, 2024	725	1576			H <b>≡</b> H			46.0%	0.460 [0.435, 0.485]
Waldron et al. 2018	27	69		<u> </u>	<del></del>			39.1%	0.392 [0.280, 0.510]
Moffitt et al. 2023	211	555		:  -				38.0%	0.379 [0.339, 0.420]
Weisz et al. 2005	118	427		⊢■⊣				27.6%	0.274 [0.233, 0.318]
Williamson et al. 2023	185	771		H <b>≣</b> H				24.0%	0.237 [0.208, 0.268]
DeJesus et al. 2019	241	1149		H				21.0%	0.207 [0.183, 0.231]
McGorray et al. 2023	365	1762		HEH!				20.7%	0.204 [0.185, 0.223]
Rad et al. 2018	34	428	H <b>≣</b> H					7.9%	0.076 [0.052, 0.104]
Kissel & Friedman, 2023	28	1500						1.9%	0.014 [0.008, 0.021]
Sabik et al. 2021	1	100	■					1.0%	0.009 [0.000, 0.042]
RE Model	2263	8888							0.340 [0.213, 0.480]
Heterogeneity: tau <sup>2</sup> =0.08;χ <sup>2</sup>		f=15(p<0.00	01); $I^2 = 99.4\%$						-
				<u> </u>	T T	I			
			0.000	0.250	0.500	0.750	1.000		

Proportion