Apollo Mission Report

Giancarlo Roach

The Apollo mission was a significant event in human space trips, aimed at putting astronauts on the Moon and safely bringing them back to Earth. To make this happen, engineers had to grasp the basics of physics related to space travel. They focused on gravity's influence and how the Saturn V rocket worked. The first part (S-IC) of the Saturn V was essential in launching the spacecraft, needing careful assessment of power, burn duration, and height. This study looks at the gravitational energy and force in the Earth-Moon setup. It also applies these ideas to spacecraft motion and inspects how the Saturn V's Stage 1 performed. The math is then lined up with recent NASA test outcomes, recording a burn duration of 157 seconds. Lastly, we look at major assumptions, error sources, and potential tweaks for more precise modeling. Gravity is the main force affecting celestial bodies and spacecraft.

Gravitational energy shows how much effort is needed to move something within a gravitational area. The formula we utilized helped find out the energy required for a spacecraft to travel from Earth to the Moon. To illustrate this, we created visuals displaying the gravitational energy of the Earth-Moon system. The Moon's place was set at its true distance from Earth. The visuals highlight how each body's energy well sways spacecraft movement. These calculations matter for mission planning, making sure Apollo missions take the best path, saving energy. The gravitational force on an object in space follows Newton's Law of Universal Gravitation, with M1 and M2 as object masses, and r as the space between them. This force determines how the Apollo spacecraft is drawn toward Earth and the Moon. It impacts its orbit and path adjustments.

To study these forces, we made a 2D streamplot showing the gravitational force field. The visuals clarify how Earth's gravity is strong near the ground, while the Moon's force matters more at a distance. Grasping these forces is vital for figuring out transfer orbits, correcting paths mid-flight, and landing on the lunar surface. The Saturn V rocket's first part, S-IC launches the spacecraft from Earth. It utilizes five F-1 engines burning RP-1 and liquid oxygen, generating 34,000 kN of thrust. The rocket's speed change over time aligns with the Tsiolkovsky rocket equation Our calculations suggested a burn duration of 157 seconds. Comparing these results to NASA's tests (160 seconds), we see that our estimate is quite close, though altitude was a bit underestimated. Some reasons explain why our altitude guess was lower than NASA's testing outcomes. Ignoring Air Resistance, we based our calculations on vacuum conditions. But atmospheric drag greatly lowers altitude, especially in early ascent. Using the Simplified Thrust Model, we assumed constant exhaust speed and burn rates. Yet in reality, fuel flow rates and engine efficiency change. The Straight-line Ascent Assumption means actual launches follow a curved path for greater effectiveness, which alters altitude estimates.

To better accuracy, future efforts should include Atmospheric Drag Models for air density changes with height. Gravity Turn Simulations should imitate the real-world curved path rather than a straight ascent. Variable Thrust Effects should consider engine efficiency and fuel changes over time. Making these changes will allow for better prediction of the Saturn V's real performance.

In summary, the Apollo program's success relied on deeply grasping gravity physics and rocket dynamics. By studying gravitational energy and forces in the Earth-Moon system, we gained valuable insights into spacecraft movement and route planning. Our examination of Saturn V Stage 1 indicated that our estimated burn duration (157s) closely aligned with NASA's test result (160s). The differences underline the necessity for refined models, especially including air resistance, real thrust variations, and path corrections. Future work in these areas will boost mission planning and ensure reliable performance forecasts for upcoming space adventures. By critically assessing these matters, we can keep designing effective launch vehicles, drawing us nearer to future deep-space missions and further progress in human space travel.