Information Securit I Notes

Gregory Ecklund

October 16, 2024

1 Fundamental Security Design Principles

- Economy of mechanism
 - Simple and as small as possible
 - Complex designs increase cost, time, testing needs and the likelihood of an exploit or bug

• Fail-safe defaults

- Access decisions should be based on permission rather than exclusion
- The default is lack of access with permissions added
- This approach exhibits better fail-safe characteristics

• Complete mediation

- Every access must be checked against access control mechanism
- Access permissions should not be derived from a cache
- If the system depends on cached information it changes in authority will be less immediate

• Open design

- An open design has a higher degree of professional scrutiny
- Standardization also promotes system compatibility
- Tried and tested is better than bespoke and unknown

• Separation of privilege

- Multiple privilege attributes are required to access a restricted resource
- Also, high privilege operations in separate processes with a higher level of privilege needed
 - * User
 - * Super User
 - * Administrator

• Least privilege

- Every process and user should operate using the least set of privileges to perform the task
- Roles and associated privileges should be defined in security policies
- Administrators with special privileges should be granted those 'special' privileges only when needed
 - * Leaving special privileges on all the time increases risk

• Least common mechanism

- Minimize the amount of mechanism common to more than one user and depended on by all users
- A shared mechanism is a potential information path between users and should be designed with care
- For example, the same authentication method should not be used to authenticate employers of a company and non-employee users of its popular website

• Psychological acceptability

- Security methods must
 - * Be user friendly
 - * Not interfere with work of users
 - * Meet the needs of those who authorize access

• Isolation

- Access systems should be isolated (physically and logically) from critical resources to prevent disclosure or harm caused by tampering
- Processes and files of users should be isolated from each other

• Encapsulation

 A basic form of isolation rooted in object oriented programming practices

- * Keeping class and object members private and hidden unless there is a reason not to
- * Use setter methods (mutators) to ensure fields set within valid, safe values
- * Each class having one well defined purpose
- All of this prevents accidental or miss intended use of an application and its functions

• Modularity

- Security functions should embody a modular architecture for mechanism implementation
- Common security modules such as cryptographic algorithms developed for reuse
- Coding to an interface allows easy substitution for other implementations
- Code for reuse. Less code repetition leads to a safer system, that is less prone to error and is easier to test and maintain

Layering

- Layering refers to multiple overlapping security protections
- The failure or circumnavigation of one system will not leave the rest unprotected

- Layers:

- 1. Physical Security
- 2. Identity and Access
- 3. Perimeter
- 4. Network
- 5. Compute
- 6. Application
- 7. Data

• Least astonishment

 A program or user interface should always respond in a way least likely to astonish the user

- For example, it should be transparent enough for the user to see how the security goals map to the provided security mechanism
- This principle can also be applied to code modules used by other developers