


# Boundary Integral Discretization of the Cell-by-Cell Bidomain Model of Cardiac Electrophysiology

Giacomo Rosilho de Souza, Simone Pezzuto, Rolf Krause




ECCOMAS 2022 - Oslo

# Contents

- Microcard Project and Cell-to-Cell model,
- Crash course on Boundary Element Method,
- Reduction of Cell-to-Cell model to system of ODEs,
- Numerical experiment.


# Bidomain and Cell-by-Cell Models

In the mono and bidomain models for cardiac electrophysiology, every mesh element contains hundreds of physical cells:



*Image courtesy of Dr. D. Benoist, IHU Liryc.*


This is insufficient to simulate abnormal tissues:




*Image courtesy of Dr. M. Hoogendoijk, AMC, Amsterdam.*

# The Microcard Project

“MICROCARD is a European research project to build software that can simulate cardiac electrophysiology using whole-heart models with sub-cellular resolution, on future exascale supercomputers.”




*microcard.eu*



To do so, we solve the cell-by-cell model


$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma_i \Delta u_i &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega_i \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \\ -\sigma_i \partial_n u_i &= I_t(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,0} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ -\sigma_0 \partial_n u_0 &= -I_t(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ u_i - u_0 &= V_m, & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,0} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} &= g(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ -\sigma_i \partial_n u_i &= \kappa(u_i - u_j), & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 1 \leq j, i \leq N, \end{aligned}$$

With  $I_t(V_m, z) = C_m \frac{dV_m}{dt} + I_{\text{ion}}(V_m, z)$



# Boundary Integral Equations

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  a domain and its boundary  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$  be as one of:



Let  $u$  be any solution to

$$-\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

The Green representation formula gives

$$u(x) = \int_{\Gamma} G(x, y) \partial_n u(y) ds_y - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_n G(x, y) u(y) ds_y \quad x \in \Omega,$$

with  $u(y)$  the Dirichlet and  $\partial_y u(y)$  the Neumann data, and  $G(x, y)$  is the fundamental solution.

# Collocation Boundary Integral Method

Taking the trace yields

$$u = \mathcal{V}\partial_n u - (\mathcal{K} - \frac{1}{2}I)u \quad \text{on } \Gamma \quad (1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}\rho(x) = \int_{\Gamma} G(x, y)\rho(y)ds_y, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$


$$\mathcal{K}\rho(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \partial_n G(x, y)\rho(y)ds_y, \quad x \in \Gamma$$

the single and double layer potentials.

Rearranging (1):

$$\mathcal{V}\partial_n u = (\mathcal{K} + \frac{1}{2}I)u, \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \quad (2)$$

Discretize  $\Gamma$  in  $M$  points  $x_j$



and impose (2) on  $x_j$  only

$$\mathcal{V}\partial_n u(x_j) = (\mathcal{K} + \frac{1}{2}I)u(x_j) \quad \forall j.$$

We represent  $u, \partial_n u$  with trigonometric Lagrangian basis  $L_j(x)$ , with  $L_j(x_k) = \delta_{jk}$ :

$$\partial_n u = \sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{u}^j L_j, \quad u = \sum_{j=1}^M u^j L_j$$

# Collocation Boundary Integral Method

$$\mathcal{V}\partial_n u(x_j) = (\mathcal{K} + \frac{1}{2}I)u(x_j) \quad \forall j$$

$$\partial_n u = \sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{u}^j L_j, \quad u = \sum_{j=1}^M u^j L_j$$

Matrix formulation

$$V\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (K + \frac{1}{2}I)\mathbf{u},$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = P_S \mathbf{u}$$

with



$$P_S = V^{-1}(K + \frac{1}{2}I)$$

the Poincaré-Steklow operator (or Dirichlet-to-Neumann map).

Henceforth on the boundary  $\Gamma$ :

$$u \longrightarrow \mathbf{u} \quad \partial_n u \longrightarrow P_S \mathbf{u}$$



Fun facts:

- $P_S$  is symmetric,
- For with  and  it is singular  $P_S \mathbf{e} = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)^\top$ .
- For  it is invertible due to decaying conditions, which fix the constant.

# The Cell-by-Cell model: reformulation

Consider a problem with  $N$  cells  $\Omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and *unbounded* extracellular matrix  $\Omega_0$  with boundary  $\Gamma_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma_i \Delta u_i &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega_i \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \\ -\sigma_i \partial_n u_i &= I_t(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,0} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ -\sigma_0 \partial_n u_0 &= -I_t(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ u_i - u_0 &= V_m, & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,0} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} &= g(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ -\sigma_i \partial_n u_i &= \kappa(u_i - u_j), & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 1 \leq j, i \leq N, \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} -\sigma_i \Delta u_i &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega_i \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \\ \sigma_0 \partial_n u_0 &= I_t(V, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} &= g(V, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ \sigma_i \partial_n u_i + \sigma_j \partial_n u_j &= 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq N, \\ u_i - u_j &= V, & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq N, \\ \sigma_j \partial_n u_j - \sigma_i \partial_n u_i &= 2\kappa V, & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq N, \end{aligned}$$



with  $I_t(V_m, z) = C_m \frac{dV_m}{dt} + I_{\text{ion}}(V_m, z)$ .

# The Cell-by-Cell model: discretization

Discretize the skeleton  $\Gamma$  with  $M$  points.  
 Every domain's boundary  $\Gamma_i = \partial\Omega_i$  has  $M_i$  points.

Recall:  $\partial_n u \rightarrow P_S \mathbf{u}$ ,  $u \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ .


$\emptyset$	in $\Omega_i \quad i = 0, \dots, N,$	$-\sigma_i \Delta u_i = 0,$	in $\Omega_i \quad i = 0, \dots, N,$
$\sigma_0 P_{S,0} \mathbf{u}_0 = I_t(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}),$	on $\Gamma_0,$	$\sigma_0 \partial_n u_0 = I_t(V, z),$	on $\Gamma_0,$
$\frac{d \mathbf{z}}{d t} = g(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}),$	on $\Gamma_0,$	$\frac{d z}{d t} = g(V, z),$	on $\Gamma_0,$
$\sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i + \sigma_j P_{S,j} \mathbf{u}_j = 0,$	on $\Gamma_{i,j} \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq N,$	$\sigma_i \partial_n u_i + \sigma_j \partial_n u_j = 0,$	on $\Gamma_{i,j} \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq N,$
$\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{V},$	on $\Gamma_{i,j} \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq N,$	$u_i - u_j = V,$	on $\Gamma_{i,j} \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq N,$
$\sigma_j P_{S,j} \mathbf{u}_j - \sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = 2\kappa \mathbf{V},$	on $\Gamma_{i,j} \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq N,$	$\sigma_j \partial_n u_j - \sigma_i \partial_n u_i = 2\kappa V,$	on $\Gamma_{i,j} \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq N,$






Now, we need to define some restriction  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i$  and extension  $\Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma$  operators.

# Global to local operators



The boolean connectivity matrix  $A_i : \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M_i}$  maps a global vector on  $\Gamma$  to a local vector on  $\Gamma_i$ . The transpose  $A_i^\top$  maps local to global.



$$A_2$$




$$A_2^\top$$






# Global to local operators with sign change


The signed boolean connectivity matrix  $B_i : \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M_i}$  maps a global vector on  $\Gamma$  to a local vector on  $\Gamma_i$ . A sign change occurs if the neighbouring domain has higher index.



$$B_2$$




$$B_2^\top$$



# The Cell-by-Cell model: discretization

We transpose the equations below, living on  $\Omega_0$ ,  $\Gamma_{i,j}$  and  $\Gamma_0$ , to the global domain  $\Gamma$ .



$$\begin{aligned} & \emptyset && \text{in } \Omega_i \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \\ & \sigma_0 P_{S,0} \mathbf{u}_0 = I_t(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}), && \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ & \frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt} = g(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}), && \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ & \sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i + \sigma_j P_{S,j} \mathbf{u}_j = 0, && \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq N, \\ & \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{V}, && \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 0 \leq j < i \leq N, \\ & \sigma_j P_{S,j} \mathbf{u}_j - \sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = 2\kappa \mathbf{V}, && \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq N, \end{aligned}$$

With  $A_g$  the operator from  $\Gamma$  to the gap junctions.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_0 P_{S,0} \mathbf{u}_0 = I_t(A_0 \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}) && \in \mathbb{R}^{M_0} = \Gamma_0 \\ & \frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt} = g(A_0 \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}) && \in \mathbb{R}^{M_0} = \Gamma_0 \\ & \sum_{i=0}^N \sigma_i A_i^\top P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = 0 && \in \mathbb{R}^M = \Gamma \\ & \sum_{i=0}^N B_i^T \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{V} && \in \mathbb{R}^M = \Gamma \\ & \sum_{i=0}^N \sigma_i A_g B_i^\top P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = -2\kappa A_g \mathbf{V} && \in \mathbb{R}^{M_g} = \Gamma_g \end{aligned}$$

# Reduction to a DAE system

$$\sigma_0 P_{S,0} \mathbf{u}_0 = I_t(A_0 \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^{M_0} = \Gamma_0$$

$$\frac{d \mathbf{z}}{d t} = g(A_0 \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^{M_0} = \Gamma_0$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^N \sigma_i A_i^\top P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^M = \Gamma$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^N B_i^T \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^M = \Gamma$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^N \sigma_i A_g B_i^\top P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = -2\kappa A_g \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_g} = \Gamma_g$$

Goal: Find maps

$$\psi_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i : V \mapsto \sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_i$  satisfies

$$\sum_{i=0}^N \sigma_i A_i^\top P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^N B_i^T \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{V}.$$

We obtain the DAE:

$$\psi_0(\mathbf{V}) = I_t(A_0 \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$

$$\frac{d \mathbf{z}}{d t} = g(A_0 \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^N A_g B_i^\top \psi_i(\mathbf{V}) = -2\kappa A_g \mathbf{V} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_g.$$

# Reduction to a DAE system

Theorem: computing  $\psi_i$ .

The linear maps  $\psi_i(\mathbf{V}) = \sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i$  satisfy

$$\psi_i(\mathbf{V}) = -B_i \lambda$$

with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^M$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^N$  solutions to

$$\begin{pmatrix} F & G \\ G^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Where

$$F = -\sum_{i=0}^N \sigma_i^{-1} B_i^\top (P_{S,i}^+)^{-1} B_i, \quad G = (B_1^\top \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, B_N^\top \mathbf{e}_N),$$

$$P_{S,i}^+ = P_{S,i} + \alpha_i \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_i^\top, \quad \mathbf{e}_i = (1, \dots, 1)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{M_i}, \quad \alpha_i > 0.$$


The boundary data  $\mathbf{u}_i$  can be computed with

$$\mathbf{u}_i = -\sigma_i^{-1} (P_{S,i}^+)^{-1} B_i \lambda + \beta_i \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where  $\beta_0$  is free.

# Checking correctness of $\psi_i(\mathbf{V}) = \sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i \approx \sigma_i \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u$

Consider two harmonic functions  $u_0, u_1$  satisfying flux continuity.




Define  $\mathbf{V} = u_i - u_j$ , we recover the fluxes and traces as:

- $\sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = \psi_i(\mathbf{V})$ ,
- $\mathbf{u}_i = -\sigma_i^{-1} (P_{S,i}^+)^{-1} B_i \lambda + \beta_i \mathbf{e}_i$ .


Given flux and trace, we compute  $u_0, u_1$  inside  $\Omega_i$  using the Green representation formula.

$$u(x) = \int_{\Gamma} G(x, y) \partial_n u(y) ds_y - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_n G(x, y) u(y) ds_y$$



# Checking correctness of $\psi_i(\mathbf{V}) = \sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i \approx \sigma_i \partial_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}$

Consider three harmonic functions  $u_0, u_1, u_2$  satisfying flux continuity ( $u_1 = u_2$ )




Define  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j$ , we recover the fluxes and traces as:

- $\sigma_i P_{S,i} \mathbf{u}_i = \psi_i(\mathbf{V})$ ,
- $\mathbf{u}_i = -\sigma_i^{-1} (P_{S,i}^+)^{-1} B_i \lambda + \beta_i \mathbf{e}_i$ .

Given flux and trace, we compute  $u_0, u_1, u_2$  inside  $\Omega_i$  using the Green representation formula.

$$u(x) = \int_{\Gamma} G(x, y) \partial_n u(y) ds_y - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_n G(x, y) u(y) ds_y$$



# Reduction to an ODE system

Recall that we want to solve

$$\psi_0(\mathbf{V}) = I_t(A_0 \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt} = g(A_0 \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{z}) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^N A_g B_i^\top \psi_i(\mathbf{V}) = -2\kappa A_g \mathbf{V} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_g.$$

Using  $\psi_i(\mathbf{V}) = -B_i\lambda$  yields

$$\sum_{i=0}^N A_g B_i^\top B_i \lambda = 2\kappa A_g \mathbf{V},$$

$$A_g \lambda = \kappa A_g \mathbf{V}.$$

With this information we can dispose of the equations on  $\Gamma_g$ .

Multiply first line of

$$\begin{pmatrix} F & G \\ G^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

with  $A_0$  or  $A_g$ , use  $A_g \lambda = \kappa A_g \mathbf{V}$  and get

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{00} & F_{0g} & A_0 G \\ F_{g0} & F_{gg} - \kappa^{-1} I & A_g G \\ G^\top A_0^\top & G^\top A_g^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_m \\ \lambda_g \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_m \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

With  $\lambda_m = A_0 \lambda$ ,  $\lambda_g = A_g \lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_m = A_0 \mathbf{V}$ . Thus

$$\psi_0(\mathbf{V}) = -B_0 \lambda = A_0 \lambda = \lambda_m$$

and  $\psi_0(\mathbf{V})$  is replaced with  $\psi(\mathbf{V}_m) = \lambda_m$ .

# Reduction to an ODE system

Recall that:  $I_t(\mathbf{V}_m, \mathbf{z}) = C_m \frac{d\mathbf{V}_m}{dt} + I_{\text{ion}}(\mathbf{V}_m, \mathbf{z})$ .

Theorem: the ODE system.


$$\begin{aligned}
 -\sigma_i \Delta u_i &= 0, & \text{in } \Omega_i \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \\
 -\sigma_i \partial_n u_i &= I_t(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,0} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\
 -\sigma_0 \partial_n u_0 &= -I_t(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\
 u_i - u_0 &= V_m, & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,0} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\
 \frac{dz}{dt} &= g(V_m, z), & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\
 -\sigma_i \partial_n u_i &= \kappa(u_i - u_j), & \text{on } \Gamma_{i,j} \quad 1 \leq j, i \leq N,
 \end{aligned}$$

The spatially discretized Cell-by-Cell model is equivalent to the ODE system

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(\mathbf{V}_m) &= C_m \frac{d\mathbf{V}_m}{dt} + I_{\text{ion}}(\mathbf{V}_m, \mathbf{z}) & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\
 \frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt} &= g(\mathbf{V}_m, \mathbf{z}) & \text{on } \Gamma_0,
 \end{aligned}$$


with  $\psi(\mathbf{V}_m) = \lambda_m$  solution to

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{00} & F_{0g} & A_0 G \\ F_{g0} & F_{gg} - \kappa^{-1} I & A_g G \\ G^\top A_0^\top & G^\top A_g^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_m \\ \lambda_g \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_m \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$



# Numerical experiment

We consider the extracellular matrix and 10 cells:



And solve

$$\psi(\mathbf{V}_m) = C_m \frac{d\mathbf{V}_m}{dt} + I_{\text{ion}}(\mathbf{V}_m, \mathbf{z}) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$


$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt} = g(\mathbf{V}_m, \mathbf{z}) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0.$$

With ionic model


$$I_{\text{ion}}(V) = \eta_0 V(1 - V/V_{th})(1 - V/V_p),$$

without gating variables.

We stimulate the first cell:



# Numerical experiment



Thank you!

- G. Rosilho de Souza, S. Pezzuto, R. Krause. Boundary Integral Formulation of the microscopic bidomain model of cardiac electrophysiology, *Manuscript*, 2022.

**Fundings:** This work was supported by the European High-Performance Computing Joint Undertaking EuroHPC under grant agreement No 955495 (MICROCARD) co-funded by the Horizon 2020 programme of the European Union (EU) and the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation.