# Bayesian Inference for Switching Linear Dynamical Systems

D. Bacilieri, L. Barbiero, G. Bordin, A. Pitteri

7th March 2024

# 1 Model description

A switching linear dynamical system – also known as *switching state space model* – is defined, borrowing the notation from Linderman et al. [3], by the set of discrete-time stochastic equations

$$x_t = A_{z_t} x_{t-1} + b_{z_t} + v_t, (1)$$

$$y_t = C_{z_t} x_t + d_{z_t} + w_t, (2)$$

where  $v_t \in \mathbb{R}^M$  and  $w_t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are Gaussian-distributed random vectors with mean zero and variance  $Q_{z_t}$ ,  $S_{z_t}$  respectively. The vectors  $y_t \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $t = 1, \ldots, T$  may represent a time series of observations, while the  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^M$  are a set of continuous latent states linked together by linear dynamics defined by the matrices  $A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$  and bias vectors  $b_k \in \mathbb{R}^M$ . The transformation between x and y is also linear, through the matrices  $C_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$  and bias vectors  $d_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

The linear parameters  $A_k$ ,  $b_k$ ,  $C_k$ ,  $d_k$  form a discrete set of K elements, and a discrete latent variable  $z_t \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$  sets the specific instances in use at time step t. The variable z evolves over time as a Markov process, meaning that  $z_t$  is conditionally independent of all previous states except for its immediate predecessor  $z_{t-1}$ :

$$p(z_t \mid z_{t-1}, z_{t-2}, \dots, z_1) = p(z_t \mid z_{t-1}).$$
 (3)

We will denote the probability to transition from  $z_{t-1} = j$  to  $z_t = k$  with  $\pi_{jk}$ . The transition  $z_{t-1} \to z_t$  effectively modifies the linear dynamics from  $x_{t-1}$  to  $x_t$  and the linear transformation from  $x_t$  to  $y_t$ , switching from one regime to another.

Given a set of data points  $y_t$ , the goal is then to infer the posterior distribution of the parameter set

$$\vartheta = \{\pi_k, A_k, b_k, Q_k, C_k, d_k, S_k\},\tag{4}$$

where  $x_{1:T}$  denotes the whole sequence  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T$ , and  $\pi_k$  the kth row of the transition matrix.

## 2 Implementation in Stan

To set up a Monte Carlo sampling scheme, we chose to work with the Stan [5] programming language and its implementation in R through the package rstan [4]. Sampling in Stan is done by default using a variant of the Hamiltonian Monte Carlo scheme called 'No-U-Turn sampler' or NUTS [1].

The model cannot be implemented directly as it is, in the sense of specifying a categorical likelihood for the transition  $z_t \to z_{t+1}$  and Gaussian likelihoods for  $x_t \mid x_{t-1}$  and  $y_t \mid x_t$ , because Stan does not allow the definition of integer parameters: so, one should marginalize over the hidden discrete states. Besides Stan's limitations in this regard, the resulting strategy – known in the literature as forward algorithm – is more efficient than the straightforward implementation in sampling low-probability states, and is commonly used in similar inference problems involving hidden Markov models or other state space models [2].

#### 2.1 The forward algorithm

The basic idea behind the forward algorithm is to exploit a recursive relationship to build the full likelihood: indeed, consider the quantity

$$\gamma_t(k) := p(z_t = k, x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}).$$
 (5)

By summing over the z states at t-1 first and then using the chain rule repeatedly, we can write

$$\gamma_{t}(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} p(z_{t} = k, z_{t-1} = j, x_{1:t}, y_{1:t})$$

$$= p(y_{t} \mid z_{t} = k, x_{t}) p(x_{t} \mid z_{t} = k, x_{t-1})$$

$$\cdot \sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_{jk} p(z_{t-1} = j, x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1}),$$
(6)

where we have recognized the conditional probability  $p(z_t = k \mid z_{t-1} = j, x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1}) = p(z_t = k \mid z_{t-1} = j)$  as the element (j, k) of the transition matrix  $\pi$ . The first two terms outside the sum are the likelihoods of  $y_t$  and  $x_t$ , and because of the model definition they only depend on  $z_t$ ,  $x_t$  and  $x_{t-1}$ . Also, they are simply Gaussian densities:

$$\mathcal{L}_k(y_t) := p(y_t \mid z_t = k, x_t) = \mathcal{N}(C_k x_t + d_k, S_k), \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_k(x_t) := p(x_t \mid z_t = k, x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(A_k x_{t-1} + b_k, Q_k).$$
 (8)

Then, the remaining terms in the sum in (6) are nothing else than  $\gamma_{t-1}(j)$ , giving us the recursive relation we needed:

$$\gamma_t(k) = \mathcal{L}_k(y_t) \,\mathcal{L}_k(x_t) \sum_{j=1}^K \pi_{jk} \gamma_{t-1}(j). \tag{9}$$

Indeed, to retrieve the full joint likelihood of the sequences  $x_{1:T}$  and  $y_{1:T}$  we only need to marginalize the  $\gamma$  at

$$p(x_{1:T}, y_{1:T}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(z_t = k, x_{1:T}, y_{1:T}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_T(k).$$
 (10)

To recursively build  $\gamma_t$  up to time T we need  $O(TK^2)$  operations, because of the double marginalization over  $z_t$  and  $z_{t-1}$ . To initialize the recursion,

$$\gamma_1(k) = p(z_1 = k, x_1, y_1) 
= \mathcal{L}_1(y_1) p(x_1 | z_1 = k) p(z_1 = k).$$
(11)

The last two terms are the prior distributions on  $x_1$  and  $z_1$ . We chose a multivariate Gaussian for the first and a uniform distribution over the K states for the second.

At this point, we also need the prior distributions for the dynamical parameters. Following the suggestion from Linderman et al. [3], we chose matrix-normal-inverse-Wishart priors:

$$(A_k, b_k), Q_k \sim \text{MNIW}(M_x, \Omega_x, \Psi_x, \nu_x)$$
 (12)

$$(C_k, d_k), S_k \sim \text{MNIW}(M_y, \Omega_y, \Psi_y, \nu_y).$$
 (13)

Here  $M_x \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times (M+1)}$  and  $M_y \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (M+1)}$  are the mean matrices of the matrix normals,  $\Omega_x, \Omega_y \in \mathbb{R}^{(M+1) \times (M+1)}$ their between-column covariance matrices, while  $\Psi_x \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$  and  $\Psi_y \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  are the scale matrices of the inverse Wisharts and  $\nu_x, \nu_y$  their degrees of freedom. The returned random matrices with M+1 columns are then split between the matrices  $A_k$  and  $C_k$  and their corresponding bias vectors  $b_k$  and  $d_k$ .

## 2.2 Reconstructing the hidden states

Since we marginalize out the z sequence during the sampling procedure, we need a way to recover them probabilistically. One way to do it is to search a posteriori for the most likely hidden sequence of z states conditioned to the observed  $y_{1:T}$  and inferred  $x_{1:T}$ . This is done through the so-called 'Viterbi algorithm' [5], which is based on a recursive relation much like the forward algorithm.

Indeed, consider the quantity

$$\eta_t(k) \coloneqq \arg\max_{z_{1:t-1}} p(z_{1:t-1}, z_t = k, x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}).$$
(14)

If we proceed similarly to (6), and using also the fact that

$$\max_{a,b} [f(a)g(a,b)] = \max_{a} [f(a)\max_{b} g(a,b)], \quad (15)$$

we can expand the definition as

$$\eta_t(k) = \underset{j \in \{1, \dots, K\}}{\operatorname{arg max}} [p(y_t \mid z_t = k, x_t) p(x_t \mid z_t = k, x_{t-1})]$$

$$\pi_{jk} \arg \max_{z_{1:t-2}} p(z_{1:t-2}, z_{t-1} = j, x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1})]. \quad (16)$$

the last time step T over the discrete states  $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ : We then recognize the last factor as  $\eta$  at the previous time step t-1, giving us the recursive relation

$$\eta_t(k) = \mathcal{L}_k(y_t) \mathcal{L}_k(x_t) \underset{j \in \{1, \dots, K\}}{\arg \max} [\pi_{jk} \, \eta_{t-1}(j)].$$
 (17)

Regarding the initial value, there is no  $z_{t-1}$  to maximize over, so

$$\eta_1(k) = p(z_1 = k, x_1, y_1),$$
(18)

which is the same initialization of  $\gamma_1(k)$  as shown in the previous section, Eq. (11). Once we have the value of  $\eta$  at time T we can maximize over  $z_T$  and recover the maximumprobability sequence  $\hat{z}_{1:T}$ :

$$\hat{z}_{1:T} = \arg\max_{z_{1:T}} p(z_{1:T}, x_{1:T}, y_{1:T}) = \arg\max_{k \in \{1, \dots, K\}} \eta_T(k).$$
 (19)

The procedure is thus substantially the same as the forward algorithm, but with maximization replacing summation.

## References

- Bob Carpenter et al. 'Stan: A Probabilistic Programming Language'. In: Journal of Statistical Software 76.1 (2017), pp. 1-32. DOI: 10.18637/jss.v076.i01. URL: https://www.jstatsoft.org/index.php/jss/ article/view/v076i01.
- [2]Luis Damiano, Brian Peterson and Michael Weylandt. 'A tutorial on hidden Markov models using Stan'. In: StanCon 2018 (Asilomar Conference Center, California, 10th Jan. 2018). Asilomar. Zenodo, 10th Jan. 2018. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1284341. URL: https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.1284341.
- [3] Scott W. Linderman et al. 'Bayesian Learning and Inference in Recurrent Switching Linear Dynamical Systems'. In: Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics. Ed. by Aarti Singh and Jerry Zhu. Vol. 54. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. PMLR, Apr. 2017, pp. 914-922. URL: https://proceedings.mlr.press/v54/ linderman17a.html.
- Stan Development Team. RStan: the R interface to Stan. R package version 2.32.6. 2024. URL: https:// mc-stan.org/.
- Stan Development Team. Stan Modeling Language Users Guide and Reference Manual. Version 2.34. 2024. URL: https://mc-stan.org.