RESEARCH METHODS

For Business and Marketing
GEORGE SELF

June, 2019 – Edition 1

George Self: Research Methods, For Business and Marketing, June, 2019

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons "Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International" license.



I have taught BASV 316, Introductory Methods of Analysis, on-line for the University of Arizona in Sierra Vista since 2010 and enjoy working with students on research methodology. I wanted a textbook that presented research in a practical way so students could use the lessons learned in their own research projects. I found an excellent book but over the years the cost of that book increased to the point that I felt like it was an unfair burden on students.

I began by looking for an acceptable "open source" book since authors make those available to students free of charge and I could modify the book to meet my own objectives. I could not find any that were focused on business research though I tried for several years—and keep looking to this day. I did, though, find a few open source books about research in the social and psychological sciences that were reasonably close to what I needed. So, I modified those books to emphasize business research and then provided my work to students free of charge.

Bhattacherjee[13], Blackstone[15], and Price[111] all released books about research that formed the major sources for this book. Those books are all open source and published under a Creative Commons license that permitted me to copy and modify them.

Three goals shaped the choices made about the topics covered by the text and how those topics are presented.

- The topics must have relevance for business students.
- Both qualitative and quantitative research methods are given roughly equal attention since both types of research are used in business.
- The text is engaging and readable.

While the book is useful in its current form, I will continually update it based on emerging trends in research.

This book is published under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike license, just like the books that provided its foundation. The source is available at my GitHub account: http://bit.ly/2xIjzXL. It is my hope that students can use this book to learn about business research and other instructors can modify and use it for their own classes.

George Self



BRIEF CONTENTS

Ι	BACKGROUND 1			
II	QUANTITATIVE METHODS	3		
ш	QUALITATIVE METHODS 5			
1	INTERVIEWS 7			
IV	MIXED METHODS 27			
v	REPORTING 29			
GLO	OSSARY 31			
BIBLIOGRAPHY 47				



CONTENTS

```
BACKGROUND
II
    QUANTITATIVE METHODS
                                  3
    QUALITATIVE METHODS
  INTERVIEWS
   1.1 What Is Interview Research?
             Role of the Interviewer
                                         8
   1.2 Qualitative Interview Techniques
              Conducting Qualitative Interviews
        1.2.1
        1.2.2
              Analysis of Qualitative Interview Data
              Strengths and Weaknesses of Qualitative Inter-
        1.2.3
              views
                        14
       Quantitative Interview Techniques
              Conducting Quantitative Interviews
        1.3.1
              Analysis of Quantitative Interview Data
        1.3.2
              Strengths and Weaknesses of Quantitative Inter-
        1.3.3
              views
                        17
       Issues to Consider
                             18
        1.4.1
              Power
                        18
        1.4.2 Location
                          19
        1.4.3 Researcher-Respondent Relationship
   1.5 Conducting the Interview
   1.6 Focus Groups
       Summary
    MIXED METHODS
                         27
    REPORTING
                   29
GLOSSARY
BIBLIOGRAPHY
                  47
```

LIST OF FIGURES		
LIST OF TABLES		
LISTINGS		

Part I

BACKGROUND

Research methods are grounded in philosophy, statistics, sociology, and many other disciplines. The chapters in this section introduce these background concepts.



Part II

QUANTITATIVE METHODS

Quantitative methods are based in the measurement of concepts and the statistical analysis of those measures. Quantitative methods include activities like sampling, surveys, and experimental research.



Part III

QUALITATIVE METHODS

Qualitative methods are based in the evaluation of nonnumeric data, like photographs and text documents. These methods include activities like field work, unobtrusive, and interpretive research methods.



INTERVIEWS

1.1 WHAT IS INTERVIEW RESEARCH?

Today's young men are delaying their entry into adulthood. That's a nice way of saying they are "totally confused;" "cannot commit to their relationships, work, or lives;" and are "obsessed with never wanting to grow up." But don't take my word for it. Take sociologist Michael Kimmel's word. He interviewed 400 young men, ages 16 to 26, over the course of four years across the United States to learn how they made the transition from adolescence into adulthood. Since the results of Kimmel's research were published in 2008 [82] his work has made quite a splash. Featured in news reports, on blogs, and



in many book reviews, some claim Kimmel's research "could save the humanity of many young men." Whatever is correct about Kimmel's research, one thing remains true: We surely would not know nearly as much as we now do about the lives of many young American men were it not for interview research.²

Objectives

whatever

Knowing how to create and conduct a good interview is an essential skill for researchers, especially those interested in qualitative research. Interviews are used by market researchers to learn how to sell their products, journalists use interviews to get information from a whole host of people from VIPs to random people on the street. Television interviewers help viewers get to know guests on their shows, employers use them to make decisions about job offers, and even radio hosts interview call-in participants. It seems everyone who's anyone knows how to conduct an interview.

From the research perspective, interviews are a method of data collection that involves two or more people exchanging information

¹ All of the quotes in the first paragraph were found at http://guyland.net/.

² Photo by Tom Cochereau on Unsplash

through a series of questions and answers. The questions are designed by a researcher to elicit information from interview participant(s) on a specific topic or set of topics. Typically interviews involve an in-person meeting between two people, an interviewer and an interviewee. But interviews need not be limited to two people nor must they occur in person.

Interviews are an excellent way to gather detailed information. They also have an advantage over surveys; with a survey, if a participant's response sparks some follow-up question, researchers generally do not have an opportunity to ask for more information. What they get is what they get so to speak. In an interview, however, because researchers are actually talking with the study participants in real time, they can ask follow-up questions and help clarify the responses. Thus, interviews are a useful method to find out the "story" behind the responses in a written survey.

Interviews are also useful when the research topic is rather complex, when the question being asked requires explanation, or when the answers to the questions may not be immediately clear to participants who may need some time in order to work through their responses. Also, if the research topic is one about which people will likely have a lot to say or will want to provide some explanation or describe some process, interviews may be the best method.

In sum, interview research is especially useful when the following are true:

- 1. very detailed information is requested
- 2. it is anticipated that respondents will need to be asked for more information about their responses
- 3. the questions require lengthy explanation
- 4. the topic is complex or may be confusing to respondents
- 5. the involves studying processes

1.1.1 Role of the Interviewer

The interviewer has a complex and multi-faceted role in the interview process, which includes the following tasks:

• Prepare for the interview. Since the interviewer is in the fore-front of the data collection effort, the quality of data collected depends heavily on how well the interviewer is trained to do the job. The interviewer must be trained in the interview process and the survey method, and also be familiar with the purpose of the study, how responses will be stored and used, and sources of interviewer bias. Interviewers should also rehearse and time the interview prior to the formal study.

- Locate and enlist the cooperation of respondents. Particularly in cases where the interview will take place in the participant's home, the interviewer must locate the address and work around respondents' schedule, sometimes at undesirable times such as weekends. They should also be like a salesperson and "sell" the idea of participating in the study.
- Motivate respondents. Respondents often feed off the motivation of the interviewer. If the interviewer is disinterested or inattentive, respondents will not be motivated to provide useful or informative responses. The interviewer must demonstrate enthusiasm about the study, communicate the importance of the research to respondents, and be attentive to respondents' needs throughout the interview.
- Clarify any confusion or concerns. Interviewers must be able
 to think on their feet and address unanticipated concerns or
 objections raised by respondents. Additionally, they should ask
 probing questions as necessary even if such questions are not in
 the script.
- Observe quality of response. The interviewer is in the best position to judge the quality of information collected, and may supplement verbal responses obtained by also recording personal observations of gestures and body language.

1.2 QUALITATIVE INTERVIEW TECHNIQUES

Qualitative interviews are sometimes called "intensive" or "in-depth" interviews. These interviews are semistructured where researchers will have a particular topic for the interview, but questions are open ended and may not be asked in exactly the same way or in exactly the same order to each respondent. During qualitative interviews, the primary aim is to hear from respondents about what they think is important and to hear it in their own words. This section considers conducting qualitative interviews, analyzing interview data, and the strengths and weaknesses of this method.

1.2.1 Conducting Qualitative Interviews

Qualitative interviews might feel more like a conversation than an interview to respondents, but the researcher is in fact usually guiding the conversation with the goal of gathering information on a specific topic from a respondent. A key difference between qualitative and quantitative interviewing is that qualitative interviews are semistructured and contain open-ended questions where quantitative interviews are structured and contain close-ended questions. Open-

ended questions are more demanding of participants than closedended questions since they require participants to come up with their own words, phrases, or sentences to respond.

In a qualitative interview, researchers usually use a guide, which is a list of topics or questions to be covered during the interview. It is called a "guide" because it is simply that—it is used to *guide* the interview, but it is not set in stone. Think of an interview guide like an agenda for a meeting, it contains the goals to be accomplished but it is not critical if an item is skipped or if the order is changed somewhat.

Interview guides outline issues that are important, but because participants are asked to provide answers in their own words, and to raise points that they believe are important, each interview is likely to flow a little differently. While the opening question in an in-depth interview may be the same across all interviews, from that point on what the participant says will shape how the interview proceeds. Many researchers believe that this free flow of topics makes in-depth interviewing exciting. It is also what makes in-depth interviewing rather challenging to conduct. It takes a skilled interviewer to be able to ask questions, listen to respondents, and pick up on cues about when to follow up, when to move on, and when to simply let the participant speak without guidance or interruption.

Interview guides tend to list topics or even specific questions, but the format of an interview guide might depend on the researcher's style, experience, and comfort level as an interviewer or with the topic. For example, in interviews of young people about their experiences with workplace sexual harassment, the guide may be topicbased with few specific questions contained in the guide. Instead, it could contain only an outline of topics that are important for the research, listed in an order that it might make sense to cover them, noted on a sheet of paper.

Of course, interview guides do not appear out of thin air. They are the result of thoughtful and careful work on the part of a researcher. The topics and questions are organized thematically and in the order in which they are likely to proceed, though the flow of a qualitative interview is in part determined by what a respondent has to say. Sometimes qualitative interviewers may create two versions of the interview guide, one version contains a very brief outline of the interview, perhaps with just topic headings, and another version contains detailed questions underneath each topic heading. In this case, the researcher might use the very detailed guide to prepare and practice in advance of actually conducting interviews and then just bring the brief outline to the interview. Bringing an outline, as opposed to a very long list of detailed questions, to an interview encourages the researcher to actually listen to what the participant is saying. An overly detailed interview guide will be difficult to navigate through during

an interview and could give respondents the impression that the interviewer is more interested in the questions than in the participant's answers.

Brainstorming is a good first step in constructing an interview guide. There are no rules at the brainstorming stage—simply list all the topics and questions that come to mind when thinking about the research question. Once a good list is created, it can be pared down by cutting questions and topics that seem redundant and grouping like questions and topics together. It is at this point that headings for grouped categories are developed. Another important avenue of approach is to consult scholarly literature to find out what kinds of questions other interviewers have asked in similar studies. As with quantitative survey research, it is best not to place very sensitive or potentially controversial questions at the very beginning of the qualitative interview guide. Participants need the opportunity to warm up to the interview and to feel comfortable talking with the interviewer. Finally, it is important to get feedback on the interview guide as it is being developed. Researchers should ask peers for guidance and suggestions once they come up with what they think is a pretty strong guide. Chances are that peer reviewers will find ways to improve the guide.

There are a few guidelines worth noting about the specific questions in the guide.

- Avoid questions that can be answered with a simple yes or no.
- If yes/no questions must be asked, include follow-up questions.
 One of the benefits of qualitative interviews is that participants can be asked for more information.
- While follow-up questions are appropriate, "why" should be avoided since this particular question can be construed as confrontational. Instead of "why," something like, "Could you tell me a little more about that?" is a good option.
- Leading questions should be avoided. For example, rather than asking, "Don't you agree that people who spend money frivolously are selfish?" ask "What comes to mind when you hear that someone has spent money frivolously?"
- Keep most, if not all, questions open ended. The key to a successful qualitative interview is giving participants the opportunity to share information in their own words and in their own way.

After the interview guide is constructed, the interviewer is still not ready to begin conducting interviews. The researcher next has to decide how to collect and maintain the information that is provided by participants.

It is probably most common for qualitative interviewers to take audio recordings of the interviews they conduct. Recording interviews allows researchers to focus on their interaction with the interview participant rather than being distracted by trying to take notes. Of course, not all participants will feel comfortable being recorded and sometimes even the interviewer may feel that the subject is so sensitive that recording would be inappropriate. If this is the case, it is up to the researcher to balance note-taking with listening.

Practicing the interview in advance is crucial. Ideally, researchers should interview one or two peers, or even friends, who are willing to participate in trial runs. Even better are a few people who are similar in at least some ways to the sample. The trial runs can provide feedback on the questions and the demeanor of the interviewer.

1.2.2 Analysis of Qualitative Interview Data

Analysis of qualitative interview data typically begins with a set of transcripts of the interviews. Ideally, researchers who recorded the interview can have the recordings transcribed so a written verbatim record is available. Interviewers who relied on notes taken during the interview should write a full version of the notes as quickly as possible after the interview while the session is still fresh in mind. It is usually helpful to also note non-verbal items such as body language, tone of voice, or unusually long pauses before an answer.

While third party transcribers are easily found, it may be best for the interviewer to transcribe the recordings personally. Often, things can be recalled and noted about nonverbal behaviors and interactions that may be relevant to analysis but that could not be picked up by the audio recording alone. For example, interviewees may roll their eyes, wipe tears from their face, and even make obscene gestures that speak volumes about their feelings but would have been lost if the interviewer had not transcribed the recording personally.

The goal of analysis is to reach some inferences, lessons, or conclusions by condensing large amounts of data into relatively smaller, more manageable bits of understandable information. Analysis of qualitative interview data is normally inductive research and moves from the specific observations an interviewer collects to identifying patterns across those observations. Qualitative interviewers typically begin by reading through transcripts of their interviews and identifying codes, which is a shorthand representation of some complex set of issues or ideas. This phase of the research is often referred to as "coding" and it involves reading and rereading (and rereading again) all of the interview transcripts until the researcher has a clear idea about what sorts of themes come up across the interviews.

Qualitative researcher and textbook author Kristin Esterberg [50] describes coding as a multistage process. She suggests that there are

two types of coding: open coding and focused coding. To analyze qualitative interview data, researchers can begin by open coding transcripts. They read through each transcript, line by line, and make a note of whatever categories or themes emerge. At this stage, it is important that they not let the original research question or expectations about what they think they may find cloud their ability to see new categories or themes. This is called open coding for a reason, they must keep an open mind. Open coding usually requires multiple go-rounds. As they read through the transcripts, they begin to see commonalities across the categories or themes. Then, they can begin focused coding.

Focused coding involves collapsing or narrowing themes and categories identified in open coding by reading through the notes made while conducting open coding. Researchers identify themes or categories that seem to be related, perhaps merging some or redefining others. Then they give each theme or category a name or code. Then, they identify passages of data that represent the emerging codes by reading through the transcripts yet again (and probably again). They also might write up brief definitions or descriptions of each code to making meaning of the data and develop a way to talk about the findings.

As tedious and laborious as it might seem to read through hundreds of pages of transcripts multiple times, sometimes getting started with the coding process is actually the hardest part. In their text on analyzing qualitative data, Lofland and Lofland [90] identify a set of questions that may be useful when coding qualitative data.

- 1. Of what topic, unit, or aspect is this an instance?
- 2. What question about a topic does this item of data suggest?
- 3. What sort of answer to a question about a topic does this item of data suggest (i.e., what proposition is suggested)?

Qualitative data can be analyzed with tools like *NVivo*, *RQDA*, and *Coding Analysis Toolkit*³. *NVivo* is very powerful but expensive. *RQDA* is an *R* package that is useful for qualitative data analysis. Since it is part of the *R* system it could be easily used in a mixed methods project where *R* is used for quantitative and *RQDA* is used for qualitative analysis. *Coding Analysis Toolkit* is a free online text analysis service. These programs are specifically designed to assist qualitative researchers with organizing, managing, sorting, and analyzing large amounts of qualitative data. The programs work by allowing researchers to import interview transcripts and then label or code passages, cut and paste passages, search for various words or phrases, and organize complex interrelationships among passages and codes.

³ NVivo information can be found at http://www.qsrinternational.com, RQDA at http://rqda.r-forge.r-project.org/, and Coding Analysis Toolkit at https://cat.texifter.com/

As an example, the following excerpt, from a paper analyzing the electronic gaming industry in two jurisdictions, [25] summarizes how the process of analyzing qualitative interview data often works.

Data were collected through these combined methods, and while analysis was undertaken using NVivo, the analysis was guided by these methods. Thirty-eight in-depth interviews were undertaken with gaming operators and gaming machine manufacturers in both the Nevada (USA) and NSW (Australian) jurisdictions during 2005 – 2006. Interview data were augmented through observation, resulting in a rich collection of data. The data were coded and initially entered into 'nodes' within the NVivo program. A pre-defined set of themes was derived from topic areas of the interviews. Each theme then became a node. As each interview was read, additional themes were identified and nodes created for each theme. The nodes were fleshed out as data were extracted from each interview referring to the same theme. Thus a range of themes was created as a result of going through the data and coding according to themes within each transcript. Once all data had been placed into various nodes, themes were checked through the matrix function within NVivo to ensure that the various themes were distinct from each other and that there was no redundancy.

Further analysis of emerging themes resulted in a conceptual model...

1.2.3 Strengths and Weaknesses of Qualitative Interviews

As the preceding sections have suggested, qualitative interviews are an excellent way to gather detailed information. Whatever topic is of interest to researchers employing this method can be explored in much more depth than with almost any other method. Not only are participants given the opportunity to elaborate in a way that is not possible with other methods like survey research, but they also are able share information with researchers in their own words and from their own perspectives rather than being asked to fit those perspectives into limited response options provided by the researcher. Because qualitative interviews are designed to elicit detailed information, they are especially useful when a researcher's aim is to study processes, or the "how" of various phenomena. Yet another, and sometimes overlooked, benefit of qualitative interviews that it occurs in person so researchers can make observations beyond those that a respondent is orally reporting. A respondent's body language, and even

her or his choice of time and location for the interview, might provide a researcher useful data.

Of course, all these benefits do not come without some drawbacks. As with quantitative survey research, qualitative interviews rely on respondents' ability to accurately and honestly recall whatever details about their lives, circumstances, thoughts, opinions, or behaviors are being asked about. Further, qualitative interviewing is time intensive and can be quite expensive. Creating an interview guide, identifying a sample, and conducting interviews are just the beginning. Transcribing interviews is labor intensive—and that's before coding even begins. It is also not uncommon to offer respondents some monetary incentive or thank-you for participating since researchers are asking for more of the participants' time than if they had simply mailed them a questionnaire. Conducting qualitative interviews is not only labor intensive but also potentially emotionally taxing. It may be that the researcher will hear stories that are shocking, infuriating, and sad. Researchers embarking on a qualitative interview project should keep in mind their own abilities to hear stories that may be difficult to hear.

1.3 QUANTITATIVE INTERVIEW TECHNIQUES

Quantitative interviews are similar to qualitative interviews in that they involve some researcher/respondent interaction. But the process of conducting and analyzing findings from quantitative interviews differs in several ways from that of qualitative interviews. Each approach also comes with its own unique set of strengths and weaknesses.

1.3.1 Conducting Quantitative Interviews

Much of what was covered earlier in this chapter and in Chapter ??, page ??, applies to quantitative interviews as well. In fact, quantitative interviews are sometimes referred to as "survey interviews" because they resemble survey-style question-and-answer formats. The difference between quantitative interviews and surveys is that in an interview questions and answer options are read to respondents rather than having respondents complete a questionnaire on their own. As with questionnaires, the questions posed in a standardized interview tend to be closed ended. There are instances in which a quantitative interviewer might pose a few open-ended questions as well. In these cases, the coding process works somewhat differently than coding in-depth interview data.

In quantitative interviews, an interview schedule is used to guide researchers as they pose questions and answer options to respondents. An interview schedule is usually more rigid than an interview guide. It contains the list of questions and answer options that the researcher will read to respondents. Whereas qualitative researchers emphasize respondents' roles in helping to determine how an interview progresses, in a quantitative interview, consistency in the way that questions and answer options are presented is very important. The aim is to pose every question-and-answer option in the very same way to every respondent. This is done to minimize interviewer effect, or possible changes in the way an interviewee responds based on how or when questions and answer options are presented by the interviewer.

Quantitative interviews may be recorded, but because questions tend to be closed ended, taking notes during the interview is less disruptive than it can be during a qualitative interview. If a quantitative interview contains open-ended questions, however, recording the interview is advised. It may also be helpful to record quantitative interviews if a researcher wishes to assess possible interview effect. Noticeable differences in responses might be more attributable to interviewer effect than to any real respondent differences. Having a recording of the interview can help researchers make such determinations.

Quantitative interviewers are usually more concerned with gathering data from a large, representative sample but collecting data from many people via interviews can be quite laborious. Technological advances in telephone interviewing procedures can assist quantitative interviewers in this process. Random Digit Dialing (RDD) programs call randomly generated phone numbers for researchers conducting phone interviews. Computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) programs can also aid quantitative survey researchers. These programs allow an interviewer to enter responses directly into a computer as they are provided, thus saving hours of time that would otherwise have to be spent entering data into an analysis program by hand. Also available are Automated Computer Telephone Interviewing (ACTI) programs where a program generates questions with a realistic voice and then uses voice recognition to record responses. Unfortunately, due to the pervasive increase in "push polling" for election campaigns, many respondents are unwilling to speak to a researcher on the phone.

1.3.2 Analysis of Quantitative Interview Data

As with the analysis of survey data, analysis of quantitative interview data usually involves coding response options numerically, entering numeric responses into a data analysis computer program, and then running various statistical commands to identify patterns across responses. Chapter ??, Section ??, ??, page ??, describes the coding process for quantitative data. But what happens when quantitative interviews ask open-ended questions? In this case, responses are typically numerically coded, just as closed-ended questions are, but the

process is a little more complex than simply giving a "no" a label of 0 and a "yes" a label of 1.

In some cases, quantitatively coding open-ended interview questions may work inductively. If this is the case, rather than ending with codes, descriptions of codes, and interview excerpts, the researcher will assign a numerical value to codes and may not utilize verbatim excerpts from interviews in later reports of results. Keep in mind that with quantitative methods the aim is to be able to represent and condense data into numbers. The quantitative coding of open-ended interview questions is often a deductive process. The researcher may begin with an idea about likely responses to his or her open-ended questions and assign a numerical value to each likely response. Then researchers will review participants' open-ended responses and assign the numerical value that most closely matches the value of their expected response.

1.3.3 Strengths and Weaknesses of Quantitative Interviews

The strengths and weakness of quantitative interviews tend to be couched in comparison to those of administering hard copy questionnaires. For example, response rates tend to be higher with interviews than with mailed questionnaires. That makes sense—most people find it easier to say "no" to a piece of paper than to a person. Quantitative interviews can also help reduce respondent confusion. If a respondent is unsure about the meaning of a question or answer option on a questionnaire, he or she probably will not have the opportunity to get clarification from the researcher. An interview, on the other hand, gives the researcher an opportunity to clarify or explain any items that may be confusing.

As with every method of data collection, there are also drawbacks to conducting quantitative interviews. Perhaps the largest, and of most concern to quantitative researchers, is interviewer effect. While questions on hard copy questionnaires may create an impression based on the way they are presented, having a person administer questions introduces a slew of additional variables that might influence a respondent. Consistency is key with quantitative data collection—and human beings are not necessarily known for their consistency. Interviewing respondents is also much more time consuming and expensive than mailing questionnaires. Thus quantitative researchers may opt for written questionnaires over interviews on the grounds that they will be able to reach a large sample at a much lower cost than were they to interact personally with each and every respondent.

1.4 ISSUES TO CONSIDER

While quantitative interviews resemble survey research in their question/answer formats, they share with qualitative interviews the characteristic that researchers actually interact with their subjects. The fact that researchers interacts with their subjects creates a few complexities that deserve attention.

1.4.1 *Power*

First and foremost, interviewers must be aware of and attentive to the power differential between themselves and interview participants. The interviewer sets the agenda and leads the conversation. While qualitative interviewers aim to allow participants to have some control over which or to what extent various topics are discussed, at the end of the day it is the researcher who is in charge (at least that is how most respondents will perceive the dynamic). Researcher are asking people to reveal things about themselves they may not typically share with others. Moreover, researchers are generally not reciprocating by revealing much or anything about themselves. All these factors shape the power dynamics of an interview.

A number of excellent pieces have been written dealing with issues of power in research and data collection. Anyan [3] offered several suggestions for overcoming the power imbalance between researchers and participants during the data gathering phase, including the "...interviewer must court the interviewee, enhance the sense of rapport between them and build a sympathetic relationship and a sense of mutual trust in the research interview." During data analysis, researchers may want to consider letting interviewees interpret what they meant during the interview. "The willingness to share the data analysis process with the interviewee or letting them join the final stages of writing is in the hands of the interviewer." However, researchers must be vigilant to not let the interviewee shape the outcome of the research project, researchers have an ethical obligation to maintain standards that the average interviewee would not understand.

Another easy way to balance the power differential between researchers and interview participants is to make the intent of the research project very clear. Sharing the rationale for conducting the research and the questions that frame the project may help keep a proper balance of power. Participants should also understand how the data will be stored and used; and how their privacy will be protected. Many of these details are stipulated by the oversight group's procedures and requirements; but even if they are not, researchers should be attentive to how sharing information with participants can

help balance the power differences between themselves and those who participate in the research project.

There are no easy answers when it comes to handling the power differential between the researcher and participants, and even professional researchers do not agree on the best approach for doing so. It is nevertheless an issue to be attentive to when conducting any form of research, particularly those that involve interpersonal interactions and relationships with research participants.

1.4.2 Location

One way to balance the power between researcher and respondent is to conduct the interview in a location of the participants' choosing, where they will feel most comfortable answering questions. Interviews can take place in any number of locations—in respondents' homes or offices, researchers' homes or offices, coffee shops, restaurants, public parks, or hotel lobbies, to name just a few possibilities. Each location comes with its own set of benefits and its own challenges. It may be best to allow the participant to choose the location that is most convenient and most comfortable, identifying a location where there will be few distractions is also important. For example, some coffee shops and restaurants are so loud that recording the interview can be a challenge. Other locations may present different sorts of distractions. For example, it may be that parents, out of necessity, will spend more time attending to their children during an interview than responding to questions. Interviewers should be prepared to suggest a few possible locations, and note the goal of avoiding distractions, when asking participants to choose a location.

Of course, the extent to which a respondent should be given complete control over choosing a location must also be balanced by accessibility of the location to the interviewer, and by the safety and comfort level of the location. It is conceivable, for example, that a participant's home could be decorated wall to wall with posters representing violently racist messages. Even if the topic of the interview has nothing to do with home decor, the discomfort the interviewer could feel may distract from the task at hand. While it is important to conduct interviews in a location that is comfortable for respondents, doing so should never come at the expense of the interviewer's welfare or safety.

1.4.3 Researcher-Respondent Relationship

Finally, a unique feature of interviews is that they require social interaction, which means that to at least some extent, a relationship is formed between interviewer and interviewee. While there may be some differences in how the researcher-respondent relationship

works depending on whether the interviews are qualitative or quantitative, one essential relationship element is the same: respect. A good rapport between the interviewer and the participant is crucial to successful interviewing. Rapport is the sense of connection established between the interviewer and participant. Some argue that this term is too clinical and perhaps it implies that a researcher tricks a participant into thinking they are socially closer than they really are. While it is unfortunately true that some researchers might believe this implication, that is not the sense for rapport that researchers should attempt to establish with their subjects. Instead, as already mentioned, the key is *respect*.

There are no big secrets or tricks for how to show respect for research participants. At its core, the interview interaction should not differ from any other social interaction in which interviewers show gratitude for a person's time and respect for a person's humanity. It is crucial that interviewers conduct the interview in a way that is culturally sensitive. In some cases, this might mean educating themselves about the study population and even receiving some training to help them learn to effectively communicate with the research participants. Interviewers should not judge participants; they are there to listen. Participants have been kind enough to give them their time and attention. Even if interviewers disagree strongly with what a participant shares in an interview, their job as researchers is to gather the information being shared, not to make personal judgments about it.

Developing good rapport requires good listening. In fact, listening during an interview is an active, not a passive, practice. Active listening means that interviewers participate with the respondent by showing that they understand and follow whatever it is that is being shared. The questions asked to respondents should indicate that interviewers actually heard what they have just said. Active listening probably means that interviewers will probe the respondent for more information from time to time throughout the interview. A probe is a request for more information. Both qualitative and quantitative interviewers probe respondents, though the way they probe usually differs. In quantitative interviews, probing should be uniform. Often quantitative interviewers will predetermine what sorts of probes they will use. Interviewers should not to use probes that might appear to agree or disagree with what respondents said. So "yes" or "I agree" or even a questioning "hmmmm" should be avoided. Instead, responses like a simple "thank you" to indicate that the response was heard is more neutral. A "yes" or "no" response should be used if, and only if, a respondent specifically asks us if they were heard or understood.

In some ways qualitative interviews better lend themselves to following up with respondents and asking them to explain, describe, or otherwise provide more information. This is because qualitative interviewing techniques are designed to go with the flow and take whatever direction the respondent goes during the interview. Nevertheless, it is worth the interviewer's time to come up with helpful probes in advance of an interview even in the case of a qualitative interview. They do not want to find themselves stumped or speechless after a respondent has just said something about which needs to be probed further. This is another reason that practicing an interview in advance with people who are similar to those in the sample is a good idea.

1.5 CONDUCTING THE INTERVIEW

Before the interview, interviewers should prepare a "kit" to carry to the interview session, including a cover letter from the principal investigator or sponsor, adequate copies of the survey instrument, photo identification, and a telephone number for respondents to call to verify the interviewer's authenticity. The interviewer should set up an appointment and then be on time. To start the interview, interviewers should speak in an imperative and confident tone, such as "I'd like to take a few minutes of your time to interview you for a very important study," instead of "May I come in to do an interview?" They should introduce themselves, present personal credentials, explain the purpose of the study in a few sentences, and assure confidentiality of respondents' comments, all in less than a minute. No big words or jargon should be used, and no details should be provided unless specifically requested. If interviewers wish to record the interview, they should ask for respondent's explicit permission before starting. Even if the interview is recorded, the interview must take notes on key issues, probes, or verbatim phrases.

During quantitative interviews, interviewers should follow the script and ask questions exactly as written without changing the order of questions or skipping question that may have been answered earlier. During qualitative interviews, interviewers can be more expansive and guide the discussion along a different path than anticipated. Any issues with the questions should be worked out during rehearsal prior to the actual interview sessions. In any case, interviewers should not finish the respondent's sentences and if the respondent gives a brief cursory answer, the interviewer should probe further to elicit a more thoughtful, thorough response. Some useful probing techniques are:

- The silent probe. Just pausing and waiting (without going into the next question) may suggest to respondents that the interviewer is waiting for more detailed response.
- Overt encouragement. Occasional "uh-huh" or "okay" may encourage the respondent to go into greater details. However, the

interviewer must not express approval or disapproval of what was said by the respondent.

- Ask for elaboration. Such as "can you elaborate on that?" or "A minute ago, you were talking about an experience you had in high school. Can you tell me more about that?"
- **Reflection**. Interviewers can try the psychotherapist's trick of repeating what the respondent said. For instance, "What I'm hearing is that you found that experience very traumatic" and then pause and wait for the respondent to elaborate.

After the interview in completed, interviewers should thank respondents for their time, tell them when to expect the results, and not leave hastily. Immediately after leaving, they should write down any notes or key observations that may help interpret the respondent's comments better.

1.6 FOCUS GROUPS

Focus groups resemble qualitative interviews in that a researcher may prepare an interview guide in advance and interact with participants by asking them questions. But anyone who has conducted both one-on-one interviews and focus groups knows that each is unique. In an interview, usually one member (the research participant) is most active while the other (the researcher) plays the role of listener, conversation guider, and question asker. Focus groups, on the other hand, are planned discussions designed to elicit group interaction and "collects data through group interaction on a topic determined by the researcher." [103] In this case, the researcher may play a less active role than in a one-on-one interview. The researcher's aim is to get participants talking to each other and to observe interactions among participants.

Focus groups are typically more dynamic than interviews. The researcher takes the role of moderator, posing questions or topics for discussion, but then lets the group members discuss the question or topic among themselves. Participants may ask each other follow-up questions, agree or disagree with one another, display body language that indicates something about their feelings, or even come up with questions not previously conceived of by the researcher. It is just these sorts of interactions and displays that are of interest to the researcher. A researcher conducting focus groups collects data on more than people's direct responses to the questions; the group interaction is a key focal point. Due to the nature and unpredictability of group interaction, and the fact that focus group researchers generally want to draw out group interaction, focus groups tend to be qualitative rather than quantitative.

There are numerous examples of marketing and business research using focus group methodology.

In a 2009 study of the use of visual tobacco warnings, Gallopel-Morvan *et al.*. used focus groups and determined that the European Union graphic warnings on cigarette packages was more effective than text warnings. [55] They used six focus group discussions conducted in Rennes, Paris, and Brest with a total of 50 people (26 smokers, 24 non-smokers, 25 women, 25 men).

An interesting study published by Wutich *et al.*in 2009 compared the results of a focus group with an open-ended self-administered questionnaire among water management decision makers in Phoenix, Arizona. [144] She found that the focus group was no better than the questionnaires when the questions were only moderately sensitive, but the focus group was better "...for very sensitive topics when there appeared to be an opportunity to exchange important information or solve a pressing problem."

In 2013, Sylvetsky *et al.*published the results of a focus group study where the effectiveness of advertising for the development of an obesity awareness campaign aimed at young people. She conducted ten focus group discussions in two regions of Georgia, United States. The groups of children, aged 9-14, were led in discussions concerning healthy food choice and lifestyles. Researchers identified three themes: "My Mom wants me to eat healthy foods like broccoli but it looks nasty and tastes gross," "Obesity is a problem but is does not apply to me," and "Everyone is made differently and it does not matter if you are fat."

Government officials and political campaign workers use focus groups to learn how members of the public feel about a particular issue or candidate. One of the earliest documented uses of focus groups comes from World War II when researchers used them to assess the effectiveness of troop training materials and of various propaganda efforts. [99] Market researchers quickly adopted this method of collecting data to learn about human beliefs and behaviors. Within social science, the use of focus groups did not really take off until the 1980s, when demographers and communication researchers began to appreciate their use in understanding knowledge, attitudes, and communication. Beyond various applied research projects, like those mentioned above, social scientists also use focus groups in theory development projects.

Focus groups share many of the strengths and weaknesses of oneon-one qualitative interviews. Both methods can yield very detailed, in-depth information; are excellent for studying social and business processes; and provide researchers with an opportunity not only to hear what participants say but also to observe what they do in terms of their body language. Focus groups offer the added benefit of giving researchers a chance to collect data on human interaction by observing how group participants respond and react to one another. Like one-on-one qualitative interviews, focus groups can also be quite expensive and time-consuming. However, there may be some time savings with focus groups as it takes fewer group events than one-on-one interviews to gather data from the same number of people. Another potential drawback of focus groups which is not a concern for one-on-one interviews is that one or two participants might dominate the group, silencing other participants. Careful planning and skillful moderation on the part of the researcher are crucial for avoiding, or at least dealing with, such possibilities. The various strengths and weaknesses of focus group research are summarized below.

- Strengths of Focus Group Research
 - Yield detailed, in-depth data
 - Less time-consuming than one-on-one interviews
 - Useful for studying social processes
 - Allow researchers to observe body language in addition to self-reports
 - Allow researchers to observe interaction between multiple participants
- Weaknesses of Focus Group Research
 - Expensive
 - May be more time-consuming than survey research
 - Minority of participants may dominate entire group

As mentioned, careful planning and skillful moderating are two crucial considerations in the effective use of focus groups as a method of data collection. In some ways, focus groups require more advance planning than other qualitative methods of data collection such as one-on-one interviews, where a researcher may be better able to control the setting and the dialogue, or field research, where "going with the flow" and observing events as they happen in their natural setting is the primary aim and time is less limited. Researchers must take care to form focus groups whose members will want to interact with one another and to control the timing of the event so that participants are not asked nor expected to stay for a longer time than they have agreed to participate. The researcher should also be prepared to inform focus group participants of their responsibility to maintain the confidentiality of what is said in the group. But while the researcher can and should encourage all focus group members to maintain confidentiality, she should also clarify to participants that the unique nature of the group setting prevents her from being able to promise that confidentiality will be maintained.

Group size should be determined in part by the topic of the interview and the researcher's sense of the likelihood that participants will have much to say without much prompting. If the topic is one about which the participants feel passionately and will have much to say, a group of three to five is ideal. Groups larger than that, especially for heated topics, can easily become unmanageable. Some recommend that a group of about six to ten participants is the ideal size for focus group research while others recommend that groups should include three to twelve participants. The size of the focus group is ultimately the researcher's decision. When forming groups and deciding how large or small to make them, researchers must take into consideration what they know about the topic and participants' potential interest in, passion for, and feelings about the topic. They must also consider their comfort level and experience in conducting focus groups.

It may seem counter-intuitive, but in general, it is better to form focus groups consisting of participants who do not know one another than to create groups consisting of friends, relatives, or acquaintances. The reason for this is that groups who know each other may share some take-for-granted knowledge or assumptions. In business research, it is precisely the taken-for-granted that is often of interest; thus the focus group researcher should avoid setting up interactions where participants may be discouraged to question or raise issues that they take for granted. However, groups should not be so heterogeneous that participants will be unlikely to feel comfortable talking with one another.

Focus group researchers must carefully consider the composition of the groups they put together. In his text on conducting focus groups, Morgan [103] suggests that "homogeneity in background and not homogeneity in attitudes" should be the goal, since participants must feel comfortable speaking up but must also have enough differences to facilitate a productive discussion. Whatever composition researchers design for their focus groups, the important point to keep in mind is that focus group dynamics are shaped by multiple social contexts. Participants' silences as well as their speech may be shaped by gender, race, class, sexuality, age, or other background characteristics or social dynamics, all of which might be suppressed or exacerbated depending on the composition of the group.

In addition to the importance of advance planning, focus groups also require skillful moderation. While a researcher certainly does not want to be viewed as a stick-in-the-mud or as overly domineering, it is important to set ground rules for focus groups at the outset of the discussion. Participants should be reminded that they were invited to participate because the researcher wants to hear from all of them. Therefore, the group should aim to let just one person speak at a time and avoid letting just a couple of participants dominate the conversation. One way to do this is to begin the discussion by asking

participants to briefly introduce themselves or to provide a brief response to an opening question. This will help set the tone of having all group members participate. Also ask participants to avoid having side conversations; sharing thoughts about or reactions to what is said in the group is important and should not be limited to only a few group members.

As the focus group gets rolling, the moderator will play a less active role than in a one-on-one interview. There may be times when the conversation stagnates or when the moderator wishes to guide the conversation in another direction. In these instances, it is important for moderators to demonstrate that they have been paying attention to what participants have said. Being prepared to interject statements or questions such as "I'd really like to hear more about what Sally and Joe think about what Dominick and Ashley have been saying" or "Several of you have mentioned... What do others think about this?" will be important for keeping the conversation going. It can also help redirect the conversation, shift the focus to participants who have been less active in the group, and serve as a cue to those who may be dominating the conversation that it is time to allow others to speak.

In sum, focus groups are a useful method for researchers who wish to gather in-depth information about social processes. Focus groups are similar to one-on-one qualitative interviews in many ways, but they give researchers the opportunity to observe group dynamics that cannot be observed in one-on-one interviews. Historically, focus group research was more commonly used by applied researchers than by academics, though in recent decades social scientists from all domains have discovered the usefulness of focus groups for gaining understanding of social processes and have begun using this method of data collection in their studies.

1.7 SUMMARY

Summary

Whatever

Part IV

MIXED METHODS

All quantitative and qualitative research methods have certain strengths and weaknesses. Mixed methods are an attempt to use more than one research method on a given project to utilize the strengths of each method while mitigating their weaknesses.



Part V

REPORTING

After a research project is completed, the investigator must report the results of the project, often in both written and oral forms. This chapter concerns the reporting process.



action research

ANCOVA

ANOVA

applied research

basic research

A research method where actions are taken during the research project with the goal of correcting problems rather than developing a theory. Action research is commonly found in education where a teacher may "try out" some new teaching method with the aim of improving a lesson rather than developing a theory or publishing a peer-reviewed paper. 221

The "Analysis of Covariance" that is used to test the effect of a categorical variable on a continuous, independent variable. The categorical variable is often a treatment in an experimental design; for example, perhaps the color of product packaging (categorical) is changed and the amount of sales (continuous) is analyzed to see if color has an effect on the sales. 155

A test used to analyze the difference in three or more groups of samples that are normally distributed. 103, 145, 154, see t-test

Research that is intended to be applied to a situation rather than further the knowledge of some topic. For example, if a researcher completes a project designed to increase the sales of bottled water in a small town it would be considered applied research. 52, see basic research

Research that is intended to be further the knowledge of some topic rather than be applied to a specific situation. For example, if a researcher completes a project designed to refine some aspect of the Law of Supply and Demand it would be considered basic research. 52, see applied research

31

bias

An undesired over- or under-estimate of the value of a population's parameter. Bias has many potential sources, including sampling error, measurement error, and missing data. On a survey question, bias tends to elicit a particular response which would skew the data collected. 127, 128, 136, 145

binary

A binary scale is used to measure nominal data that have only two values, like true/false or yes/no. 89

bivariate

A type of analysis involving two variables. Examples of bivariate analysis include finding a correlation and regression. 144, see univariate

boundary condition

The assumptions about the "who, when, and where" in a theory. Boundary conditions govern how a theory can be applied or not applied. 22

chi-square

The chi-square test is used to determine if there is a significant difference between the actual and expected result of a non-parametric (usually nominal) variable in a research project. 99

concurrent validity

The degree that a measure relates to an outcome that is presumed to occur simultaneously. For example, the results of a new employee attitude test would be the same as an older test if those tests have high concurrent validity. 82, see predictive validity

construct

The characteristic of a person or organization to be assessed. Normally, a construct is not directly measurable so various indirect indicators must be used. Examples of constructs include employee attitude, happiness, or self-esteem. Usually, researchers operationalize a concept by defining it in measurable terms, and that becomes a construct. 21, 57, 72

construct validity

The degree to which a test measures what it claims to measure. For example, if a research project purports to investigate some aspect of local farmers' markets, does the project actually research that aspect? Construct validity is sometimes thought to be the overarching type of validity since research projects that do not address the construct of interest can have no other validity. 83, see validity

constructivism

A philosophical stance that reality is a construct of the human mind and is, therefore, subjective. Normally, qualitative research methods are used by researchers who are constructivists. 15

content validity

A determination of whether a measure correctly assesses the construct's content. For example, if a research project is attempting to determine the drivers for total sales in a store but only measured the price of the merchandise being sold then ignoring factors like advertising, competition, and even the general economy of the region would call into question the content validity of the study. 81, see validity

continuous data

Continuous data are a type of quantitative data that can represent any measured value, including fractions and decimals. In mathematics terms, continuous data are members of the real number system. 97, 121, 144, see quantitative data

convergent validity

The closeness that two measures relate to, "converge on," a single construct. For example, if a research project measures the amount of sales of carbonated drinks, fruit juices, and bottled water in a store it would be expected that those would converge on a construct of "drink sales." 82, see discriminant validity

correlation

A correlation is a relationship between two variables. Correlations are normally defined statistically as a value between -1.00 and +1.00. Correlations should not be confused with causation but only indicate that two variables seem to vary together. 102

covariate

criterion validity

cross-sectional

A covariate is a variable that is potentially predictive of the outcome of other variables in an experimental design. Covariates may be of interest due to their predictive nature or they may be confounding variables that need to be controlled. 154

The degree to which a measure is related to an outcome. 80, see validity

A type of research that is conducted in a single point in time that crosses multiple analytical units. This is most often in reference to a survey but could be applied to other research methods. For example, a survey of several different small business owners in a single city would be cross-sectional. 129, see longitudinal

database

deductive research

dependent variable

descriptive research

A database is a collection of data that is organized in such a way that the data can be easily managed. While the internal structure of a database can be complex, it is typically represented as tables with data in rows and columns, like a spread sheet.

A research methodology that works from a general theory to specific observations. This is sometimes called the "theorytesting" form of research. 8, 28, 221

Dependent variables are the outcomes for an observation. For example, if a medical researcher conducts an experiment where a drug is administered and then the patient's blood pressure is measured, the blood pressure reading is the dependent variable; that is, the blood pressure depends on the drug being administered. 98, see independent variable

Research that is designed to describe observed phenomena. The goal is to improve understanding rather than explore new ideas. 11, 48, see exploratory research

discrete data

Discrete data are a type of quantitative data that can be counted with integers. In mathematics terms, discrete data are integers, though negative values are rather rare. 97, 144, see quantitative data

discriminant validity

The degree that a measure does not measure, "discriminates between," one of two competing constructs. For example, a measure of the sale of toiletries in a department store would not be related to the construct of "drink sales." 82, see convergent validity

epistomology

A branch of philosophy that is concerned with the sources of knowledge.. 15, 221, 236

ethnography

A research method where a culture is studied in depth. Typically, researchers "join" a culture and observe social interactions from within. As a example, a researcher who lives in a commune for several years and then writes about social interactions observed is conducting ethnographic research. 221

excess kurtosis

Excess kurtosis is a measure of the "tailedness" of a normal distribution. Greater excess kurtosis values indicate longer "tails" (and a "sharper" appearance) on the graph of the distribution. 93

explanatory power

A theory or hypothesis has explanatory power if it accurately predicts phenomena. This can be statistically measured in quantitative research projects by calculating variance in regression analysis. 24

explanatory research

Research that is designed to explain an observed phenomena or process. 11, 48, 149, see exploratory research

exploratory research

Research that explores data in an effort to find new ideas.. 11, 48, 149

external validity

The degree to which a research project's results can be applied outside the context of the study. For example, if the results of a research project that studied manufacturing firms in the mid-west could be applied to firms in the south then that study would have high external validity. 83, 149, 150, 152, see validity

face validity

A determination of whether an indicator is a reasonable measure of an underlying construct "on its face." For example, is the amount of money spent on live theater tickets a measure social class? 81, see validity

factorial design

An experimental design where several factors are studied to determine which has the greatest influence on the subject of interest. For example, the sales of a product could be analyzed as a factor of time of day, location of the store, price, and other factors. 155

falsifiability

To have credence, a theory or hypothesis must be disprovable; that is, there must be a way to prove it wrong using evidence. 9, 24

functionalism

A belief in the practical application of a theory. Functionalism is more concerned with how a theory can be used in the real world than conducting research for the sake of increasing understanding. 16, 236

grounded theory

A theory based on observation rather than experimentation. Thus, the strength of the theory is dependent on the skill of the researcher and may not be repeatable by a different researcher or at a different time. 25, 29, 201, 251

guttman

The Guttman scale uses a series of questions in increasing intensity to determine how strongly respondents believe some proposition. 91, 139

hawthorne

An effect first described in the 1950s when Henry A. Landsberger observed workers in the Hawthorne Works electric company. He noticed that when workers thought that they were being observed they tended to work harder and perform better. Thus, the hawthorne effect is the alteration of peoples' behavior when they think that they are being observed. 204

hermeneutics

The study of the methology of interpreting texts. This was originally applied to Biblical studies but now includes most humanities like law, history, and philosophy.

hypothesis

A proposed explanation for an observed phenomenon. Often, a hypothesis that may be based on incomplete information is the starting point for further investigation. As an example, if a merchant notices that eye-level shelves tend to need restocking more frequently a hypothesis may be proposed that shoppers purchase goods from eye-level shelves first. 26, 50, 58, 145, 221

idiographic

An explanation for an observed phenomenon that explains only a single case and is not applicable to a wider population. 52, 117

independent variable

Independent variables are those that create an observed effect. For example, if a farmer conducts an experiment where different types of fertilizer are applied to two fields in order to see which is more effective then the type of fertilizer is the independent variable; that is, the type of fertilizer is the variable that is creating the observed effect. 98, see dependent variable

inductive research

A research methodology that works from specific observations to a general theory. This is sometimes called the "theorybuilding" form of research. 8, 28, 172, 221

internal validity

interpretive research

interpretivism

interval data

IRB

likert

logic

The degree to which a research project avoids confounding multiple variables within the study. A project with high internal validity facilitates selecting one explanation over an alternate since the number of confounding variables are controlled. 83, 149, 152, see validity

Interpretive research explores an observed phenomenon within its social context in an effort to discern the meaning people ascribe to the action. This type of research is firmly grounded in constructivism where it is believed that reality is shaped by perception rather than a knowable "truth."

A research method that relies on observation and techniques like interviews to understand phenomena. 16, 17, 54, 62, 255

Interval data are a type of quantitative data that are measured along a scale where each point is an equal distance from the next. It is possible to compare the distance between two points on an interval scale; for example, the difference between 90 and 100 degrees is the same as the difference between 40 and 50 degrees. However, since an interval scale does not have a zero point, stating 100 degrees is twice as hot as 50 is not possible. 88, see quantitative data

Institutional Review Board. 35, 42, 43, 46, 195

The Likert scale is one of the most commonly-used instruments for measuring attitudes and opinions. It consists of a statement followed by, typically, five selections: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree. 90, 139

A systematic set of principles that can be used to help validate a theory. Logic is a mode of reasoning that can link observations to explanations. 22

logical consistency

A theory or hypothesis is logically consistent when all of the constructs, propositions, boundary conditions, and assumptions are congruous. 24

longitudinal

A type of research that is conducted over a long period of time. This is most often in reference to a survey but could be applied to other research methods. For example, repeated surveys over a period of five years of small business owners in a single city would be longitudinal. 129, see cross-sectional

meta-analysis

A research method that examines the data collected from many different studies of the same subject in an attempt to detect trends or overall observations. 209

mixed methods

A research method uses elements of both quantitative and qualitative research processes to attempt a better understanding of the phenomenon under consideration. 241, 255

model

A model is a representation of all or part of a system that is constructed to study that system. For example, meteorologists often create elaborate models to predict the path of a hurricane. 27

nominal data

Nominal data are a type of qualitative data that are grouped but with no order implied in the grouping. As an example, the gender of survey respondents is nominal data. 87, 97, 121, see qualitative data

nomothetic

An explanation for an observed phenomenon that is applicable across a wide population rather than a single example. 52, 117

non-probability sampling

A type of sampling that does not involve a random selection from the population. This is called non-probability sampling since some members of the population have no probability of being selected. 111, 117, see probability sampling

nonequivalent groups design

A type of quasi-experimental design where pre-test/post-test measures are made but the subjects have not been randomly assigned to treatment and control groups. 159, 160

nonparametric

Nonparametric data are data that do not conform to a distribution, are skewed, or are qualitative in nature. Statistical tests that work with nonparametric data are generally less powerful and predictive than tests that work with parametric data.

normal distribution

A listing of all the possible values in a data set along with the number of times each value actually appears is called a "distribution." In many, perhaps most, business research projects a distribution exhibits a bell shape when plotted on a graph where the values in the middle of the range are more frequent than values at the extremes of the range. This is called a "normal distribution" since it is so common. 92

objectivism

A philosophical stance that there exists an objective reality can be studied and understood. 15

ontology

The branch of philosophy that is concerned with the nature of reality.. 15, 221, 236

operationalization

The process of designing precise measures for abstract theoretical constructs. 58

ordinal data

Ordinal data are a type of qualitative data that are grouped where the groupings have an implied order. As an example, the "satisfaction" rating on a customer survey typically permits respondents to choose from several levels where one level is somehow better than another. 88, 97, see qualitative data

p-value The probability that some finding reflects

a true null hypothesis. In most business research, a p-value of less than 0.05 (or 5%) is desired to infer that the null hypothesis

can be rejected. 104, 125

paradigm A pattern or model of how things work in

the world. 18, see theory

Parametric data are data that conform to

a distribution, usually a normal distribution. Statistical tests that work with parametric data are generally much more powerful and predictive than tests that work with nonparametric data. 99, 103, see non-

parametric

parsimony A fundamental aspect of research that states if two or more competing expla-

nations are considered then the simplest must be accepted. Thus, researcher would state that the pyramids were built by humans using known technology rather than

aliens in spaceships. 10, 24

population A set of similar items or events of interest to a researcher. For example, the set of

small business owners in the United States would be a population. 109, see sample

positivism A philosophical system that posits that any justifiable assertion can be scientifically verified using statistics and logic.

Thus, positivism rejects concepts like metaphysics and theism. 16, 19, 54, 62, 255

niques on research projects. 50, 149, 221,

positivist A researcher who uses positivist tech-

236, see positivism

post-modernism A philosophical reaction to the assump-

tions and values of the "modern" period (roughly the 17th to 19th century). Postmodernists believe that rather than an objective reality independent of humans there is a subjective interpretation of reality so there is no such thing as a single

"Truth." 19

pragmatism An approach to research that values prac-

tical application over theory-building. 16

parametric

precision

Research projects must precisely focus on one aspect of a problem or they will become so broad that their value will be diminished. 9

predictive validity

The degree to which a measure predicts an outcome. For example, does increasing beer sales (a measure) predict increasing potato chip sales? 82, see concurrent validity

probability sampling

A type of sampling that involves a random selection from a population. It is called probability sampling since every member of the population has a probability to be selected. This is frequently called "random sampling" since members of the population are chosen at random. 111, 112, see non-probability sampling

proposition

A statement that expresses a judgment or opinion. 22

qualitative data

Qualitative data approximates or describes attributes that cannot be directly measured, like employee morale, customer relationships, and management effectiveness. Typically, qualitative data attempt to answer questions like "why" and "how come." 87, 97, 222, see quantitative data

qualitative research

Qualitative research typically intends to explore observed phenomena with a goal of developing hypotheses and dive deep into a problem. Qualitative data collection involves semi-structured activities like focus groups and ethnographies. 13, 53, 167, 221, 241, see quantitative research

quantitative data

Quantitative data are numeric measurements of attributes, like the number of employees, the median value of housing, and total revenue. Quantitative data are gathered and analyzed using statistical methods. 88, 97, 222, see qualitative data

quantitative research

Quantitative research typically uses numerical data and statistical analysis to find patterns and generalize results to a large population. Quantitative data collection involves structured activities like surveys, interviews, and systematic observations. 13, 53, 241, see qualitative research

questionnaire

A type of survey research tool comprised of a written set of questions. Questionnaires are typically self-administered, that is, they are sent to respondents and completed without assistance. 132, 134

radical humanism

Humanism is a philosophical and ethical stance that emphasizes the value of human beings. It prefers critical thinking and evidence over dogma and superstition. Radical humanists believe that the world is constantly changing, in sometimes radical ways, with few predicable patterns. Research often involves subjectively interpreting evidence like interviews and focus groups. 17

radical structure

A structuralist believes that the world can be studied objectively and understood mathematically and scientifically without regard to subjective interpretation. Radical structuralists believe that the world is constantly changing, in sometimes radical ways, with few predictable patterns. Research often involves objectively interpreting evidence like direct measurements of populations. 17

ratio data

Ratio data are a type of quantitative data that are measured along a scale where each point is an equal distance from the next and there is a zero point. An example of ratio data is people's heights, which is measured along a uniform scale, e. g.inches or centimeters. Because there is a true zero point, it is possible to determine that one person is twice as tall as another. 88, see quantitative data

realism

A philosophical position that the world exists apart from human interpretation and understanding. A realist believes that research must be objective and not dependent upon the interpretation of the researcher. 16

regression-discontinuity design

A type of quasi-experimental design where subjects are assigned to a treatment or control group based on a cutoff score on some sort of pre-program measure. 159, 160

reliability

A descriptor for the consistency of a concept's measure. It is desirable to achieve the same, or nearly same, values for each sampling. For example, if the mean age of the people in one sample is 30 while in another is 50 then this would indicate a problem with reliability of the data. 77, see validity

replicability

A research project must be able to be replicated by other researchers or at other times in order to be considered sound. 9

sample

A subset of a population from which data are drawn in order to make inferences about the entire population. 109, see population

sampling frame

A subset of a sample that is accessible to the researcher. As an example, if the sample is high school students then the sampling frame could be the students in a specific high school or city. 110, 128

semantic differential

The Semantic Differential scale is used to determine attitudes or opinions using a sliding scale of values between two opposite pairs of adjectives. For example, respondents can be asked to choose some value between "1-Dislike" and "5-Like" for a certain snack sample in a store. 91,

139

semiotics

The study of signs and symbols and their use or interpretation. 222

skew

Skew is asymmetry in a distribution, so a graph appears distorted. A positive skew creates a longer tail on the right side of the graph. 94

statistical conclusion validity

The degree to which the conclusions found in a research project are correct. Studies with high statistical conclusion validity minimize the two types of statistical errors: Type I (finding a correlation when there is none) and Type II (failing to find a correlation when one exists). 84, see validity

survey

A research method involving the use of standardized questionnaires or interviews to collect data about people and their preferences, thoughts, and behaviors in a systematic manner. 127

t-test

A test used to analyze the difference in two groups of samples that are normally distributed. 103, see ANOVA

theory

A system of ideas that is intended to explain phenomena. Theories that are accepted by scientists have been repeatedly tested and can be used to make accurate predictions. Unlike common usage, a scientific theory is a tested, falsifiable explanation for phenomena. 18, 20, 57, 221, see paradigm

translational validity

The degree to which a construct has been measured by a research project. Translational validity is divided into two types: face and content. 80, see validity

univariate

A type of analysis involving a single variable. Univariate analysis findings include the central measure, standard deviation, and frequency distributions. Graphic tools include box plots for continuous data and bar plots for discrete data. 144, see bivariate

validity

A descriptor of whether a research project is measuring the variable under question. For example, if a project hypothesis is that older men tend to tip more than younger men then the validity of the study would be in question if the researcher only sampled men under the age of 40. 63, 80, see reliability

variable

In scientific research, a variable is a measurable representation of an abstract construct. For example, *Intelligence Quotient* (IQ) is a construct that cannot be directly measured, but variables like verbal and mathematical acuity can be measured and are assumed to be a proxy for IQ. 23, 50,

75

YDS

Youth Development Study. 130

- [1] George A Akerlof. "The market for "lemons": Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism." In: *Uncertainty in Economics*. Elsevier, 1978, pp. 235–251.
- [2] Hunt Allcott and Todd Rogers. "The short-run and long-run effects of behavioral interventions: Experimental evidence from energy conservation." In: *American Economic Review* 104.10 (2014), pp. 3003–37.
- [3] Frederick Anyan. "The Influence of Power Shifts in Data Collection and Analysis Stages: A Focus on Qualitative Research Interview." In: *Qualitative Report* 18 (2013), p. 36.
- [4] Jeffrey J Arnett. "The neglected 95%: why American psychology needs to become less American." In: *American Psychologist* 63.7 (2008), p. 602.
- [5] Marketing Research Association. *Code of Marketing Research Standards*. 2018. URL: https://www.insightsassociation.org/issues-policies/mra-code-marketing-research-standards (visited on 12/18/2018).
- [6] Earl Babbie. "The practice of social research (ed.)" In: *Wadsworth: Nelson Education Ltd* (2010).
- [7] Earl Babbie and T Wagenaar. "Unobtrusive research." In: *The practice of social research* 320 (2010).
- [8] Samuel B Bacharach. "Organizational theories: Some criteria for evaluation." In: *Academy of management review* 14.4 (1989), pp. 496–515.
- [9] Lynda Baker. "Observation: A complex research method." In: *Library trends* 55.1 (2006), pp. 171–189.
- [10] Pratima Bansal and Kendall Roth. "Why companies go green: A model of ecological responsiveness." In: *Academy of management journal* 43.4 (2000), pp. 717–736.
- [11] Izak Benbasat, David K Goldstein, and Melissa Mead. "The case research strategy in studies of information systems." In: MIS quarterly (1987), pp. 369–386.
- [12] Jonah Berger and Raghuram Iyengar. "Communication channels and word of mouth: How the medium shapes the message." In: *Journal of consumer research* 40.3 (2013), pp. 567–579.
- [13] Anol Bhattacherjee. "Social science research: Principles, methods, and practices." In: (2012).

- [14] National Commission for the Proptection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Md Behavioral Research Bethesda. *The Belmont report: Ethical principles and guidelines for the protection of human subjects of research.* Superintendent of Documents, 1978.
- [15] Amy Blackstone. *Principles of sociological inquiry—qualitative and quantitative methods.* The Saylor Foundation, 2012.
- [16] Kathleen M Blee. "Racial violence in the United States." In: *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 28.4 (2005), pp. 599–619.
- [17] Myra Bluebond-Langner. *In the shadow of illness: Parents and siblings of the chronically ill child.* Princeton University Press, 2000.
- [18] Franz Boas. The central eskimo. Vol. 196. e-artnow sro, 1964.
- [19] Donna Bobbitt-Zeher and Douglas B Downey. "Number of siblings and friendship nominations among adolescents." In: *Journal of Family Issues* 34.9 (2013), pp. 1175–1193.
- [20] Karin Braunsberger and Brian Buckler. "What motivates consumers to participate in boycotts: Lessons from the ongoing Canadian seafood boycott." In: *Journal of Business Research* 64.1 (2011), pp. 96–102.
- [21] John Brewer and Albert Hunter. *Multimethod research: a synthesis of styles.* Sage Publications, Inc, 1989.
- [22] Gary Bridge and Robyn Dowling. "Microgeographies of retailing and gentrification." In: *Australian Geographer* 32.1 (2001), pp. 93–107.
- [23] Alan D Bright. "The role of social marketing in leisure and recreation management." In: *Journal of leisure research* 32.1 (2000), pp. 12–17.
- [24] Hana E Brown. "Race, legality, and the social policy consequences of anti-immigration mobilization." In: *American Sociological Review* 78.2 (2013), pp. 290–314.
- [25] June Buchanan and Michael L Jones. "The efficacy of utilising Nvivo for interview data from the electronic gaming industry in two jurisdictions." In: (2010).
- [26] Gibson Burrell and Gareth Morgan. Sociological paradigms and organisational analysis: Elements of the sociology of corporate life. Routledge, 2017.
- [27] Jo Ann M Buysse and Melissa Sheridan Embser-Herbert. "Constructions of gender in sport: An analysis of intercollegiate media guide cover photographs." In: *Gender & Society* 18.1 (2004), pp. 66–81.
- [28] Hongbin Cai, Yuyu Chen, and Hanming Fang. "Observational learning: Evidence from a randomized natural field experiment." In: *American Economic Review* 99.3 (2009), pp. 864–82.

- [29] Manuel Chavez, Scott Whiteford, and Jennifer Hoewe. "Reporting on immigration: A content analysis of major US newspapers' coverage of Mexican immigration." In: *Norteamérica* 5.2 (2010), pp. 111–125.
- [30] Andrew D Cheyne, Lori Dorfman, Eliana Bukofzer, and Jennifer L Harris. "Marketing sugary cereals to children in the digital age: a content analysis of 17 child-targeted websites." In: *Journal of health communication* 18.5 (2013), pp. 563–582.
- [31] Juliet M Corbin and Anselm Strauss. "Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria." In: *Qualitative sociology* 13.1 (1990), pp. 3–21.
- [32] John W Creswell, Michael D Fetters, and Nataliya V Ivankova. "Designing a mixed methods study in primary care." In: *The Annals of Family Medicine* 2.1 (2004), pp. 7–12.
- [33] Ann D Cummings. "The Exxon Valdez Oil Spill and the Confidentiality of Natural Resource Damage Assessment Data." In: *Ecology LQ* 19 (1992), p. 363.
- [34] Iain A Davies and Andrew Crane. "Corporate social responsibility in small-and medium-size enterprises: investigating employee engagement in fair trade companies." In: *Business Ethics: A European Review* 19.2 (2010), pp. 126–139.
- [35] Angela K Davis, Jeremy M Piger, and Lisa M Sedor. "Beyond the numbers: Measuring the information content of earnings press release language." In: *Contemporary Accounting Research* 29.3 (2012), pp. 845–868.
- [36] Fred Davis. "The Martian and the convert: Ontological polarities in social research." In: *Urban Life and Culture* 2.3 (1973), pp. 333–343.
- [37] John T Delaney and Mark A Huselid. "The impact of human resource management practices on perceptions of organizational performance." In: *Academy of Management journal* 39.4 (1996), pp. 949–969.
- [38] Daniel R Denison. *Corporate culture and organizational effective-ness*. John Wiley & Sons, 1990.
- [39] Kathleen E Denny. "Gender in context, content, and approach: Comparing gender messages in Girl Scout and Boy Scout handbooks." In: *Gender & Society* 25.1 (2011), pp. 27–47.
- [40] Oxford Living Dictionaries. *Definition of Ethics*. 2018. URL: https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/ethics (visited on 12/18/2018).
- [41] Don A Dillman. Mail and Internet surveys: The tailored design method–2007 Update with new Internet, visual, and mixed-mode guide. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.

- [42] Shoshana Dobrow Riza, Yoav Ganzach, and Yihao Liu. "Time and job satisfaction: A longitudinal study of the differential roles of age and tenure." In: *Journal of management* (2015).
- [43] Sara Dorow. "Racialized Choices: Chinese adoption and thewhite noise'of blackness." In: *Critical Sociology* 32.2-3 (2006), pp. 357–379.
- [44] Ellen A Drost et al. "Validity and reliability in social science research." In: *Education Research and perspectives* 38.1 (2011), p. 105.
- [45] Charles Duhigg. "How companies learn your secrets." In: *The New York Times* 16 (2012), p. 2012.
- [46] Lauren M Dutra and Stanton A Glantz. "Electronic cigarettes and conventional cigarette use among US adolescents: a cross-sectional study." In: *JAMA pediatrics* 168.7 (2014), pp. 610–617.
- [47] Kathleen M Eisenhardt. "Making fast strategic decisions in high-velocity environments." In: *Academy of Management journal* 32.3 (1989), pp. 543–576.
- [48] Kathleen M Eisenhardt and Melissa E Graebner. "Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges." In: *The Academy of Management Journal* 50.1 (2007), pp. 25–32.
- [49] David Ellwood, Thomas J Kane, et al. "Who is getting a college education? Family background and the growing gaps in enrollment." In: Securing the future: Investing in children from birth to college (2000), pp. 283–324.
- [50] Kristin G Esterberg. "Qualitative methods in social research." In: (2002).
- [51] Roger D Evered. "An Assessment of the Scientific Merits of Action Research Gerald 1. Susman and." In: *Administrative science quarterly* 23.4 (1978), pp. 582–603.
- [52] Ruth R Faden and Tom L Beauchamp. *A history and theory of informed consent*. Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [53] Experiencing Fieldwork. "An inside view of qualitative research." In: W. Shaffir and RA Stebbins (1991).
- [54] Sally Gainsbury and Alex Blaszczynski. "The appropriateness of using laboratories and student participants in gambling research." In: *Journal of Gambling Studies* 27.1 (2011), pp. 83–97.
- [55] Karine Gallopel-Morvan, Patrick Gabriel, Marine Le Gall-Ely, Sophie Rieunier, and Bertrand Urien. "The use of visual warnings in social marketing: The case of tobacco." In: *Journal of Business Research* 64.1 (2011), pp. 7–11.
- [56] Moose George. The image of man. 1996.

- [57] Amedeo Giorgi and Barbro Giorgi. *Phenomenology.* Sage Publications, Inc, 2003.
- [58] Barney Glaser and Anselm Strauss. "The discovery of grounded theory." In: *London: Weidenfeld and Nicholson* 24.25 (1967), pp. 288–304.
- [59] Joshua Gluck, Florian Schaub, Amy Friedman, Hana Habib, Norman Sadeh, Lorrie Faith Cranor, and Yuvraj Agarwal. "How short is too short? Implications of length and framing on the effectiveness of privacy notices." In: 12th Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS). 2016, pp. 321–340.
- [60] Teresa Gowan. *Hobos, hustlers, and backsliders: Homeless in San Francisco*. U of Minnesota Press, 2010.
- [61] Ronald M Green. "Direct-to-consumer advertising and pharmaceutical ethics: The case of Vioxx." In: *Hofstra L. Rev.* 35 (2006), p. 749.
- [62] Melanie J Greene. "On the inside looking in: Methodological insights and challenges in conducting qualitative insider research." In: The qualitative report 19.29 (2014), pp. 1–13.
- [63] J Richard Hackman and Greg R Oldham. "Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory." In: *Organizational behavior and human performance* 16.2 (1976), pp. 250–279.
- [64] Wolfgang Härdle. *Smoothing techniques: with implementation in S.* Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [65] Diana M Hechavarria and Paul D Reynolds. "Cultural norms & business start-ups: the impact of national values on opportunity and necessity entrepreneurs." In: *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal* 5.4 (2009), p. 417.
- [66] Harold V Henderson and Paul F Velleman. "Building multiple regression models interactively." In: *Biometrics* (1981), pp. 391–411.
- [67] Joseph Henrich, Steven J Heine, and Ara Norenzayan. "Most people are not WEIRD." In: *Nature* 466.7302 (2010), p. 29.
- [68] Kashmir Hill. "How Target figured out a teen girl was pregnant before her father did." In: *Forbes, Inc* (2012).
- [69] Arlie Hochschild and Anne Machung. *The second shift: Working families and the revolution at home*. Penguin, 2012.
- [70] Peter W Hom and Rodger W Griffeth. *Employee turnover*. South-Western Pub, 1995.
- [71] John F Huck and Thaddeus McEwen. "Competencies needed for small business success: perceptions." In: *Journal of Small Business Management* 29.4 (1991), p. 90.

- [72] Jonathan E Hughes, Christopher R Knittel, and Daniel Sperling. *Evidence of a shift in the short-run price elasticity of gasoline demand*. Tech. rep. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2006.
- [73] Mari Huhtala, Muel Kaptein, and Taru Feldt. "How perceived changes in the ethical culture of organizations influence the well-being of managers: A two-year longitudinal study." In: European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology 25.3 (2016), pp. 335–352.
- [74] U.S. Government Department of Human and Health Services. *Protection of Human Subjects*. 2018. URL: https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=83cd09e1c0f5c6937cd9d7513160fc3f&pitd=20180719&n=pt45.1.46&r=PART&ty=HTML (visited on 12/18/2018).
- [75] Laud Humphreys. "Tearoom trade." In: *Trans-action* 7.3 (1970), pp. 10–25.
- [76] Glenn D Israel. "Determining sample size." In: (1992).
- [77] Sarah Jack, Susan Moult, Alistair R Anderson, and Sarah Dodd. "An entrepreneurial network evolving: Patterns of change." In: *International Small Business Journal* 28.4 (2010), pp. 315–337.
- [78] R Burke Johnson and Anthony J Onwuegbuzie. "Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come." In: *Educational researcher* 33.7 (2004), pp. 14–26.
- [79] Marie Birk Jørgensen, Ebbe Villadsen, Hermann Burr, Laura Punnett, and Andreas Holtermann. "Does employee participation in workplace health promotion depend on the working environment? A cross-sectional study of Danish workers." In: *BMJ open* 6.6 (2016), e010516.
- [80] Abraham Kaplan. The conduct of inquiry: Methodology for behavioural science. Routledge, 2017.
- [81] Scott Keeter, Michael Dimock, and Leah Christian. "Calling cell phones in'08 pre-election polls." In: *The Pew Research Center for the People & the Press. Retrieved December* 18 (2008), p. 2008.
- [82] Michael S Guyland Kimmel. "The perilous world where boys become men." In: *HarperCollins* (2008).
- [83] David D Kirkpatrick. "Conservatives pick soft target: A cartoon sponge." In: *The New York Times* 20 (2005).
- [84] Philip Kotler and Eduardo L Roberto. "Social marketing. Strategies for changing public behavior." In: (1989).
- [85] Klaus Krippendorff. "Testing the reliability of content analysis data." In: *The content analysis reader* (2009), pp. 350–357.

- [86] Sarosh Kuruvilla and Aruna Ranganathan. "Economic development strategies and macro-and micro-level human resource policies: The case of India's "outsourcing" industry." In: *ILR Review* 62.1 (2008), pp. 39–72.
- [87] C Jay Lambe, C Michael Wittmann, and Robert E Spekman. "Social exchange theory and research on business-to-business relational exchange." In: *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing* 8.3 (2001), pp. 1–36.
- [88] Erik W Larson. "Time and the constitution of markets: internal dynamics and external relations of stock exchanges in Fiji, Ghana and Iceland." In: *Economy and Society* 39.4 (2010), pp. 460–487.
- [89] Yvonna S Lincoln. "Naturalistic inquiry." In: *The Blackwell Encyclopedia of Sociology* (1985).
- [90] John Lofland. "Analytic ethnography: Features, failings, and futures." In: *Journal of contemporary ethnography* **24.1** (1995), pp. 30–67.
- [91] Elisiane Lorenzini. "MIXED-METHOD RESEARCHIN THE HEALTH SCIENCES." In: *Revista Cuidarte* 8.2 (2017), pp. 1549–1560.
- [92] Bronislaw Malinowski. *Argonauts of the western Pacific*. Routledge, 2014.
- [93] M Lynne Markus. "Toward a "critical mass" theory of interactive media: Universal access, interdependence and diffusion." In: *Communication research* 14.5 (1987), pp. 491–511.
- [94] David Marshall, Stephanie O'Donohoe, and Stephen Kline. "Families, food, and pester power: beyond the blame game?" In: *Journal of Consumer Behaviour: An International Research Review* 6.4 (2007), pp. 164–181.
- [95] Karl Marx. "Contribution to the Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Law." In: *Introduction*". Marx and Engels Collected Works 3 (1971).
- [96] Hermann A Maurer, Frank Kappe, and Bilal Zaka. "Plagiarism-A survey." In: *J. UCS* 12.8 (2006), pp. 1050–1084.
- [97] James C McElroy and Paula C Morrow. "Employee reactions to office redesign: A naturally occurring quasi-field experiment in a multi-generational setting." In: *Human relations* 63.5 (2010), pp. 609–636.
- [98] Scott McLean. Communication for Business Success. 2012.
- [99] Robert K Merton and Patricia L Kendall. "The focused interview." In: *American journal of Sociology* 51.6 (1946), pp. 541–557.
- [100] Michael A Messner. *Taking the field: Women, men, and sports.* Vol. 4. U of Minnesota Press, 2002.

- [101] Stanley Milgram. "Behavioral study of obedience." In: *The Journal of abnormal and social psychology* 67.4 (1963), p. 371.
- [102] University of Minnesota. Youth Development Study. 2018. URL: https://cla.umn.edu/sociology/graduate/collaboration-opportunities/youth-development-study (visited on 12/26/2018).
- [103] David L Morgan. "Focus groups." In: *Annual review of sociology* 22.1 (1996), pp. 129–152.
- [104] Andres Musalem, Luis Aburto, and Maximo Bosch. "Market basket analysis insights to support category management." In: *European Journal of Marketing* (2018).
- [105] Juan de Oña, Rocío de Oña, and Griselda López. "Transit service quality analysis using cluster analysis and decision trees: a step forward to personalized marketing in public transportation." In: *Transportation* 43.5 (2016), pp. 725–747.
- [106] K Praveen Parboteeah, Yongsun Paik, and John B Cullen. "Religious groups and work values: A focus on Buddhism, Christianity, Hinduism, and Islam." In: *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management* 9.1 (2009), pp. 51–67.
- [107] Young A Park and Ulrike Gretzel. "Success factors for destination marketing web sites: A qualitative meta-analysis." In: *Journal of travel research* 46.1 (2007), pp. 46–63.
- [108] Christine Percheski. "Opting out? Cohort differences in professional women's employment rates from 1960 to 2005." In: *American sociological review* 73.3 (2008), pp. 497–517.
- [109] CNN Politics. *Election 2016*. 2016. URL: https://www.cnn.com/election/2016/results/exit-polls (visited on 12/23/2018).
- [110] Joseph G Ponterotto. "Qualitative research in counseling psychology: A primer on research paradigms and philosophy of science." In: *Journal of counseling psychology* 52.2 (2005), p. 126.
- [111] Paul Christopher Price, I-Chant A Chiang, and Rajiv Jhangiani. *Research methods in psychology*. BCcampus, BC Open Textbook Project, 2015.
- [112] Kalyani M Raval. "Data Mining Techniques." In: International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering 2.10 (2012).
- [113] Robert B Reich. Saving capitalism: For the many, not the few. Vintage, 2016.
- [114] Michael D Reilly and Melanie Wallendorf. "A comparison of group differences in food consumption using household refuse." In: *Journal of Consumer Research* 14.2 (1987), pp. 289–294.
- [115] Susan M Reverby. *Examining Tuskegee: The infamous syphilis study and its legacy*. Univ of North Carolina Press, 2009.

- [116] K Andrew R Richards and Michael A Hemphill. "A practical guide to collaborative qualitative data analysis." In: *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* 37.2 (2018), pp. 225–231.
- [117] Stefan Riedel. "Edward Jenner and the history of smallpox and vaccination." In: *Baylor University Medical Center Proceedings*. Vol. 18. 1. Taylor & Francis. 2005, pp. 21–25.
- [118] Ronald R Rindfuss, Minja K Choe, Noriko O Tsuya, Larry L Bumpass, and Emi Tamaki. "Do low survey response rates bias results? Evidence from Japan." In: *Demographic Research* 32 (2015), pp. 797–828.
- [119] Mahnaz Sanjari, Fatemeh Bahramnezhad, Fatemeh Khoshnava Fomani, Mahnaz Shoghi, and Mohammad Ali Cheraghi. "Ethical challenges of researchers in qualitative studies: the necessity to develop a specific guideline." In: *Journal of medical ethics and history of medicine* 7 (2014).
- [120] Rik Scarce. *Eco-warriors: Understanding the radical environmental movement*. Routledge, 2016.
- [121] Jan Schilling. "On the pragmatics of qualitative assessment." In: European journal of psychological assessment 22.1 (2006), pp. 28–37.
- [122] Randall S Schuler and Nikolai Rogovsky. "Understanding compensation practice variations across firms: The impact of national culture." In: *Journal of International Business Studies* 29.1 (1998), pp. 159–177.
- [123] Sanjay Sharma. "Managerial interpretations and organizational context as predictors of corporate choice of environmental strategy." In: *Academy of Management journal* 43.4 (2000), pp. 681–697.
- [124] Bin Shen and Kimberly Bissell. "Social media, social me: A content analysis of beauty companies' use of Facebook in marketing and branding." In: *Journal of Promotion Management* 19.5 (2013), pp. 629–651.
- [125] Lawrence W Sherman and Richard A Berk. "The specific deterrent effects of arrest for domestic assault." In: *American sociological review* (1984), pp. 261–272.
- [126] Pnina Shinebourne. "Using Q method in qualitative research." In: *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 8.1 (2009), pp. 93–97.
- [127] Surendra Kumar Sia and Gopa Bhardwaj. "Employees' perception of diversity climate: role of psychological contract." In: *Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology* 35.2 (2009), pp. 305–312.

- [128] Snopes. Grandma's Cooking Secret. 2005. URL: https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/grandmas-cooking-secret/ (visited on 12/17/2018).
- [129] Charles W Steinfield and Janet Fulk. "The theory imperative." In: *Organizations and communication technology* (1990), pp. 13–25.
- [130] Stanley Smith Stevens et al. "On the theory of scales of measurement." In: (1946).
- [131] Anselm Strauss and Juliet Corbin. "Grounded theory methodology." In: *Handbook of qualitative research* 17 (1994), pp. 273–85.
- [132] Anselm Strauss and Juliet Corbin. *Basics of qualitative research: Procedures and techniques for developing grounded theory.* 1998.
- [133] Daniel Monroe Sullivan. "From food desert to food mirage: Race, social class, and food shopping in a gentrifying neighborhood." In: *Advances in Applied Sociology* (2014).
- [134] Jodie Taylor. "The intimate insider: negotiating the ethics of friendship when doing insider research." In: *Qualitative research* 11.1 (2011), pp. 3–22.
- [135] Charles Teddlie and Abbas Tashakkori. "A general typology of research designs featuring mixed methods." In: *Research in the Schools* 13.1 (2006), pp. 12–28.
- [136] Peter Titlebaum and Heather Lawrence. "Perceived motivations for corporate suite ownership in the big four leagues." In: (2016).
- [137] Mark Twain. *Following the Equator:(With Original Illustrations)*. Simon and Schuster, 2014.
- [138] Viswanath Venkatesh, Susan A Brown, and Hillol Bala. "Bridging the qualitative-quantitative divide: Guidelines for conducting mixed methods research in information systems." In: *MIS quarterly* 37.1 (2013).
- [139] Patrick Vogel, Torsten Greiser, and Dirk Christian Mattfeld. "Understanding bike-sharing systems using data mining: Exploring activity patterns." In: *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 20 (2011), pp. 514–523.
- [140] David A Whetten. "What constitutes a theoretical contribution?" In: *Academy of management review* 14.4 (1989), pp. 490–495.
- [141] Harry F Wolcott. Ethnography: A way of seeing. Rowman Altamira, 1999.

- [142] Donald K Wright and Michelle Drifka Hinson. "Examining social and emerging media use in public relations practice: A ten-year longitudinal analysis." In: *Public relations journal* 9.2 (2015), pp. 1–26.
- [143] Graham Wright. "An empirical examination of the relationship between nonresponse rate and nonresponse bias." In: *Statistical Journal of the IAOS* 31.2 (2015), pp. 305–315.
- [144] Amber Wutich, Timothy Lant, Dave D White, Kelli L Larson, and Meredith Gartin. "Comparing focus group and individual responses on sensitive topics: a study of water decision makers in a desert city." In: *Field Methods* 22.1 (2010), pp. 88–110.
- [145] Robert K Yin. Case study research and applications: Design and methods. Sage publications, 2017.
- [146] Attahir Yusuf. "Critical success factors for small business: Perceptions of South Pacific entrepreneurs." In: *Journal of small business management* 33.2 (1995), p. 68.



COLOPHON

This document was typeset using the typographical look-and-feel classicthesis developed by André Miede. The style was inspired by Robert Bringhurst's seminal book on typography "The Elements of Typographic Style". classicthesis is available for both LATEX and LYX:

https://bitbucket.org/amiede/classicthesis/

Happy users of classicthesis usually send a real postcard to the author, a collection of postcards received so far is featured here:

http://postcards.miede.de/