- 12. We'll try to find regular polygons that when stacked radially around a central vertex cover the whole 360° . For a given such regular polygon, assume that we stack n copies. Then, the internal angle of that polygon must be equal to $(360/n)^{\circ}$. In other words, we are on a quest to finding out integers that divide 360 and can be candidates for being internal angles of regular polygons. We can achieve this by doing two things: (a) listing all the factors of 360 and finding those that can be written in the form 180-360/m, which is the formula for the internal angle of a regular m sided polygon. It turns out (although not demonstrated here on account of sheer laziness) that the only integers that satisfy this requirement are 60, 90 and 180, which are of course the internal angles of equilateral trianges, squares and regular hexagons respectively. This concludes the proof.
- 13. Consider the set of all linear combinations of the form $x_1n_1+x_2n_2+...+x_sn_s$. Clearly, the integers $abs(n_1)$, $abs(n_2)$, ... $abs(n_s)$ themselves belong to this set. Now consider the smallest positive integer c that belongs to this set. Clearly, $c <= abs(n_1)$, $c <= abs(n_2)$, ... and $c <= abs(n_s)$. Consider the remainder c obtained by dividing $abs(n_1)$ by c. We can write c as $abs(n_1) qc$ for some quotient c0. Since c0 absc1 and c0 can both be written in the linear combination form above, so too can c1. However, since c1 is the smallest positive such linear combination, c2 cannot be positive. In other words, we must have c3 or equivalently, c3 absc4 absc6 in a common divisor of c6 and c7 and c8. In particular c8, where c9 decreases a common divisor of c9 and c9 as a linear combination of the above form, so too can c9. This concludes the proof. In fact, since c9 must divide all integers of the linear combination form above, we must have c9 which leads us to the conclusion that c9.
- 17. The proof is a special case of proof for exercise 18. Replace m and n both with 2.
- 18. Assume that $\sqrt[n]{m}$ is rational i.e. can be expressed as a ratio of integers a/b. We'll prove that this leads to a contradiction. Raise both sides of the equation to the *n*th power. This yields $m = a^n/b^n$. The prime factorization of a^n and b^n must contain primes raised to an integer that is a multiple of n. Even as the primes in the numerator and denominator cancel out to yield m (on the LHS), the resulting prime factorization must still contain primes raised to an integer that is a multiple of n. This implies that m is the nth power of some integer, which contradicts what we have been told about m. This concludes the proof.
- 25. In this proof, we will leverage the equation derived from exercise 24, namely $x^n y^n = (x y)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}y + ... + y^{n-1})$. Firstly, $a^n 1$ can only be prime if a = 2, because otherwise $a^n 1$ which can be written as $(a 1)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} + ... + 1)$, is a product of two integers both greater than 1. Secondly, $2^n 1$ can only be prime if n itself is prime, because otherwise n = ab for some a > 1 and b > 1, and $2^n 1$, which can be written as $2^{ab} 1 = (2^a)^b 1 = (2^a)^b 1 = (2^a)^b 1$

 $(2^a-1)((2^a)^{b-1}+(2^a)^{b-2}+\ldots+1)$, is a product of two integers both greater than 1. In summary, if a^n-1 is prime, then a=2 and n is prime. This concludes the two proofs.