12. Given that L is Turing recognizable, some enumerator E enumerates L. Consider another TM D that operates as follows. For a given input i, D runs E until it prints  $\langle M_i \rangle$ , the ith item. Then D runs  $M_i$  on input i. Finally, if  $M_i$  accepts, D rejects, and if  $M_i$  rejects, D accepts. Clearly, D always terminates on all inputs, and is thus a decider itself. Let's call the (decidable) language of D as  $L_D$ .

Now, let's assume that there is some  $\langle M \rangle \in L$  such that M decides  $L_D$ . Then  $\langle M \rangle$  must appear at some index k in the enumeration done by E. Now, since M and D are both deciders for  $L_D$ , M must accept input k iff D accepts input k. However, by definition, D rejects k if M accepts k. Therefore, our supposition that such a TM M exists is wrong, which implies that the language  $L_D$  has no decider in L. This concludes the proof.

- 20. Consider the following transformation procedure on any DFA D.
  - 1. Create an NFA  $N^r$  as follows.
  - 2. Copy over all states from D into  $N^r$ .
  - 3. If there exists a transition  $\delta_D(q,a) \to q'$  in D, add correspondingly the transition  $\delta_{N^r}(q',a) \to q$ . This is visually equivalent to reversing the transition edges.
  - 4. Add a new state s and mark it as a start state of  $N^r$ . Add transitions of the form  $\delta_{N^r}(s,\epsilon) \to f$  for each state f that is an accept state in D.
  - 5. Make the start state of D the only accept state of  $N^r$ .
  - 6. Finally, convert the NFA  $N^r$  into an equivalent DFA  $D^r$ .

Now, we can see from the above construction that  $N^r$  (and thus also  $D^r$ ) accepts  $w^r$  iff D accepts w. Therefore,  $N^r$  (and thus also  $D^r$ ) accepts both  $w^r$  and w iff D too accepts both w and  $w^r$ . Taking this to its conclusion, we can see that  $N^r$  (and thus also  $D^r$ ) and D are equivalent iff for any string w, D accepts  $w^r$  iff it accepts w.

Now, consider a TM M that for any given DFA description < d >, performs the above DFA transformation procedure on d, and then checks whether d and  $d^r$  are equivalent. The transformation procedure itself takes a finite number of steps. Further, we know (from chapter 4 text) that the problem of determining whether two DFAs are equivalent is decidable, and thus can also be done in a finite number of steps. Therefore the TM M is a decider. In fact, it decides the language  $S = \{< D > | D$  is a DFA that accepts  $w^r$  iff it accepts  $w\}$ . This concludes the proof.

22. Given that A and B are co-Turing recognizable, there are recognizers for  $\overline{A}$ 

and  $\overline{B}$ . Call these M and N. Consider a TM T that operates as follows. For a given input w, it runs M and N one step at a time taking turns, and accepts if N accepts first, else rejects. Because A and B are disjoint, either M or N terminates on any given input. Therefore T is a decider. Call the language of T as C.

Now, we need to prove two things. Firstly, we need to prove that  $A \subseteq C$ . Consider the execution of T on any input  $w \in A$ . In that execution, N accepts the input and M rejects that input (or loops forever). Finally, T accepts the input, and thus  $w \in C$ . Therefore  $A \subseteq C$ .

Secondly, we need to prove that  $B\subseteq \overline{C}$ . Consider the execution of T on any input  $w\in \overline{B}$ . In that execution, N accepts the input. If it accepts first, T accepts and  $w\in C$  else T rejects and  $w\notin C$ . Therefore,  $C\subseteq \overline{B}$ . Since A and B are disjoint, this implies that  $B\subseteq \overline{C}$ .

This implies that the decidable language C (decided by T) divides A and B, thus concluding the proof.