31. We'll prove that the perfect shuffle of two regular languages A and B is regular by constructing a DFA that recognizes it. Let's say that A and B are recognized respectively by the DFAs  $D_A = (Q_A, \Sigma, \delta_A, q_A, F_A)$  and  $D_B = (Q_B, \Sigma, \delta_B, q_B, F_B)$ . We construct a DFA  $D_S$  as follows.

$$Q_S = Q_A \times Q_B \times \{A, B\}$$

$$\Sigma_S = \Sigma$$

$$\delta_S((q, q', A), c) \to (\delta_A(q, c), q', B)$$

$$\delta_S((q, q', B), c) \to (q, \delta_B(q', c), A)$$

$$q_S = (q_A, q_B, A)$$

$$F_S = F_A \times F_B \times \{A\}$$

 $D_S$  tracks the progress of the input string through both  $D_A$  and  $D_B$  by keeping track of the states of these DFAs, and alternating between their transition function upon reading each symbol.  $D_S$  starts out tracking the start states of both DFAs, and expecting to effect the next transition on  $D_A$ .  $D_S$  reaches a final state upon reading the input string iff the input string has a form  $a_1b_1a_2b_2\cdots a_kb_k$  where  $a_1a_2\cdots a_k\in L(D_A)$  and  $b_1b_2\cdots b_k\in L(D_B)$ , because such and only such strings can take the state of  $D_S$  to some state in  $F_A\times F_B\times \{A\}$ .

This concludes the proof.

33. We'll prove that DROPOUT(A) is a regular language by constructing an NFA that recognizes it. Since A is a regular language, some DFA  $D=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$  recognizes it. We construct NFA N as follows.

$$Q_N = Q \cup copy(Q)$$

$$\Sigma_N = \Sigma$$

$$\delta_N(q, c) \to \delta(q, c)$$

$$\delta_N(q, \epsilon) \to copy(q)$$

$$\delta_N(copy(q), c) \to copy(\delta(q, c))$$

$$q_{0N} = q_0$$

$$F_N = copy(F)$$

For every state q in Q, we'll create a copy state copy(q). Call the set of all copy states copy(Q). We'll augment the transition function as follows. Firstly, we'll add identical transitions within copy(Q) to what existing in Q. Secondly, we'll add  $\epsilon$  transitions from Q to copy(Q). The latter makes skipping a symbol equivalent to transitioning from some state q to copy(q). The idea is that once

the NFA has skipped a symbol, it enters some state in copy(Q) and stays within copy(Q) till the very end, since there is no way to go back into Q from copy(Q). And further that unless the NFA skips a symbol (through an  $\epsilon$  transition from Q to copy(Q)), it won't enter a state in copy(Q) and thus won't be able to accept the input because  $F_N = copy(F) \subseteq copy(Q)$ . Therefore, N recognizes DROPOUT(A).

This concludes the proof.