1a. Let's call the number of solutions for n seating positions arranged in a straight line as S(n). In any such solution, either the nth seat is chosen or not. The number of solutions for the latter case is S(n-1), and that for the former case is S(n-2) (because when the nth seat is chosen, the (n-1)th seat cannot be). Thus, S(n) = S(n-1) + S(n-2). For the base case, we can easily see that S(0) = 1 (because the act of not choosing anything is itself a solution) and S(1) = 2 (because we can either choose the only seat or not). Thus, we see that S(n) has the same recurrence as F(n), the Fibonacci sequence, but S(0) = F(1) and S(1) = F(2). Therefore, by induction, S(n) = F(n+1). This concludes the proof.

1b. Let's call the number of solutions for n seating positions arranged in a circle as T(n). We can see that almost any solution the problem where seats are arranged in a straight line can be a solution to the case where the seats are arranged in a circle. The only cases we must exclude are those where seat 1 and seat n are both chosen. For n >= 4, the number of such cases is S(n-4), since both 1 and n are chosen, neither seat 2 nor seat n-1 can be. So, the number of solutions for n seats arranged in a circle is simply S(n) - S(n-4) = F(n+1) - F(n-3) = F(n) + F(n-1) - F(n-3) = F(n) + F(n-2). For the cases n=3 and n=2, we can manually verify that this equation holds. This concludes the proof.

2a. Consider the two expressions $F_n^2 - F_{n+1}F_{n-1}$ and one of similar form obtained by replacing n with n-1, $F_{n-1}^2 - F_nF_{n-2}$. We will first prove that these two terms add to 0.

$$F_{n}^{2} - F_{n+1}F_{n-1} + F_{n-1}^{2} - F_{n}F_{n-2}$$

$$F_{n}^{2} - F_{n-1}(F_{n+1} - F_{n-1}) - F_{n}F_{n-2}$$

$$F_{n}^{2} - F_{n-1}F_{n} - F_{n}F_{n-2}$$

$$F_{n}(F_{n} - F_{n-1}) - F_{n}F_{n-2}$$

$$F_{n}F_{n-2} - F_{n}F_{n-2}$$

Thus, such expressions have the same magnitude but alternate signs each step along the way (from n=0 onwards). We can check the base case value of $F_1^2 - F_2 F_0 = -1$ to infer that $F_n^2 - F_{n+1} F_{n-1} = (-1)^n$. This concludes the proof.

2b. This one can be derived by repeated application of the recursive definition of the Fibonacci series.

$$F_{n+2} = F_n + F_{n+1}$$

$$F_{n+2} = F_n + F_{n-1} + F_n$$

$$F_{n+2} = F_n + F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$F_{n+2} = \sum_{i=n}^{0} F_i + F_1$$

This concludes the proof.

2c. We can easily see that $F_2 = F_0^2 + F_1^2 = 2$ and $F_3 = F_1(F_0 + F_2) = 3$. Assume that the given equations are true for up to 2n + 1. Then, we can derive the following for F_{2n+2} and F_{2n+3} .

$$\begin{split} F_{2n+2} &= F_{2n} + F_{2n+1} \\ F_{2n+2} &= F_{n-1}^2 + F_n^2 + F_n (F_{n-1} + F_{n+1}) \\ F_{2n+2} &= F_{n-1}^2 + F_n (F_n + F_{n-1} + F_{n+1}) \\ F_{2n+2} &= F_{n-1}^2 + F_n (2F_{n+1}) \\ F_{2n+2} &= (F_{n+1} - F_n)^2 - 2F_n F_{n+1} \\ F_{2n+2} &= F_n^2 + F_{n+1}^2 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} F_{2n+3} &= F_{2n+1} + F_{2n+2} \\ F_{2n+3} &= F_n(F_{n-1} + F_{n+1}) + F_n^2 + F_{n+1}^2 \\ F_{2n+3} &= F_n(F_{n-1} + F_{n+1} + F_n) + F_{n+1}^2 \\ F_{2n+3} &= F_n(2F_{n+1}) + F_{n+1}^2 \\ F_{2n+3} &= F_{n+1}(2F_n + F_{n+1}) \\ F_{2n+3} &= F_{n+1}(F_n + F_{n+2}) \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof by induction.

7. The inefficient algorithm computes F_n recursively as $F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$, then each of these recursively without storing intermediate results. Let's call the number of additions required to compute F_n as A_n . Clearly, $A_0 = A_1 = 0$, since the algorithm uses the base case values of $F_0 = F_1 = 1$ and does not need to perform

any additions in this case. Further, we can see that $A_n = A_{n-1} + A_{n-2} + 1$, because in order to compute F_n naively, the algorithm would have to compute F_{n-1} and F_{n-2} independently, which would respectively take A_{n-1} and A_{n-2} additions, and then finally perform an extra addition to sum these two values. It is easy to see via induction that $A_n = F_n - 1$. This concludes the proof.

9b. Let's refer to the number of ways in which the positive integer n can be written as a summation of positive integers (wherein ordering of summands is relevant) as S(n). We can easily see that S(1) = 1. For any other n, the last summand in any such summation is one of $\{1, 2, \dots n-1\}$. In case the last summand is k, the rest of the sum n-k can be written (by definition) in S(n-k) ways. Therefore, we can see that $S(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S(n-k)$. Since the first term is S(n-1) and the sum of the remaining terms is also S(n-1) (by recursion), we get S(n) = S(n-1) + S(n-1) = 2S(n-1). It is easy to see via induction that $S(n) = 2^{n-1}$. This concludes the proof.

10. If n is odd, wee see that

$$f(n+2) - f(n+1) = 2f(n+1) + 1 - (2f(n))$$

$$f(n+2) - f(n+1) = 2(f(n+1) - f(n)) + 1$$

$$f(n+2) - f(n+1) = 2(2f(n) - f(n)) + 1$$

$$f(n+2) - f(n+1) = 2f(n) + 1$$

If n is even, we see that

$$f(n+2) - f(n+1) = 2f(n+1) - (2f(n)+1)$$

$$f(n+2) - f(n+1) = 2(f(n+1) - f(n)) - 1$$

$$f(n+2) - f(n+1) = 2(2f(n) + 1 - f(n)) - 1$$

$$f(n+2) - f(n+1) = 2f(n) + 1$$

This concludes the proof for the first part. For the second part, where we want to find an expression for f(n) as a function of n, we start by noting that f(1) = 1 (because this is given) and f(2) = 2 (by a simple application of the provided recurrence formula). Let's now consider n = 2k, an even integer, and observe what the recurrence formula teaches us.

$$f(2k+1) = 2f(2k) + 1$$

$$f(2k+1) = 2(2f(2k-1)) + 1$$

$$f(2k+1) = 4f(2k-1) + 1$$

$$\therefore f(2k+1) = (4^{k+1} - 1)/3$$

$$\therefore f(n) = (2^{n+1} - 1)/3$$

and

$$f(2k) = 2f(2k - 1)$$

$$f(2k) = 2(2f(2k - 2) + 1)$$

$$f(2k) = 4f(2k - 2) + 2$$

$$\therefore f(2k) = 2(4^{k} - 1)/3$$

$$\therefore f(n) = (2^{n+1} - 2)/3$$

The last line of the above two derivations is based on the sum of the geometric series $\sum_{i=0}^{r} 4^{r} = (4^{r+1} - 1)/3$. For the "even" series, this works out just cleanly because f(2) = 2.

11a. Any valid sequence must either end with n or not. If it does end with n, the previous term (and all other terms before it) in the sequence must be in the range $\{1\cdots \lfloor n/2\rfloor\}$. If it does not end with n, then all terms in the sequence must be in the range $\{1\cdots n-1\}$. Therefore, $s(n)=s(n-1)+s(\lfloor n/2\rfloor)$. s(0)=1 because the only possible sequence is the empty sequence. Following table shows some initial values.

n	s(n)
0	1
1	2
2	4
3	6
4	10
5	14
6	20
7	26
8	36
9	46
10	60

Now, let's consider the generating function $G(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s(k)t^k$. Using the above recursion, we can express it as follows.

$$G(t) = s(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s(k-1)t^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor)t^k$$

For the first infinite sum on the RHS, we can replace k-1 by another variable l and get $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} s(l)t^{l+1} = tG(t)$.

For the second infinite sum on the RHS, we need to do some more manipulation to simplify it.

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor) t^k = s(0)t + s(1)t^2 + s(1)t^3 + s(2)t^4 + s(2)t^5 \dots \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor) t^k = (s(0)t + s(1)t^3 + s(2)t^5 + \dots) + (s(1)t^2 + s(2)t^4 + \dots) \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor) t^k = t(s(0) + s(1)t^2 + s(2)t^4 + \dots) + (-s(0)) + (s(0) + s(1)t^2 + s(2)t^4 + \dots) \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor) t^k = tG(t^2) + (-s(0)) + G(t^2) \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor) t^k = (1+t)G(t^2) - s(0) \end{split}$$

Combining everything, we get

$$G(t) = s(0) + tG(t) + (1+t)G(t^{2}) - s(0)$$

$$\therefore (1-t)G(t) = (1+t)G(t^{2})$$

This concludes the proof.