13. Consider the set of all linear combinations of the form  $x_1n_1+x_2n_2+...+x_sn_s$ . Clearly, the integers  $abs(n_1)$ ,  $abs(n_2)$ , ...  $abs(n_s)$  themselves belong to this set. Now consider the smallest positive integer c that belongs to this set. Clearly,  $c <= abs(n_1)$ ,  $c <= abs(n_2)$ , ... and  $c <= abs(n_s)$ . Consider the remainder c obtained by dividing  $abs(n_1)$  by c. We can write c as  $abs(n_1) - qc$  for some quotient c0. Since c2 abs c3 and c4 can both be written in the linear combination form above, so too can c4. However, since c5 is the smallest positive such linear combination, c5 cannot be positive. In other words, we must have c6, or equivalently, c6 abs c7. We can similarly derive that c7 and c8. In particular c8, where c8 a common divisor of c9, ... and c9, ... and c9, ... and c9, where c9 are c9. Since c9 can be expressed as a linear combination of the above form, so too can c9. This concludes the proof. In fact, since c9 must divide all integers of the linear combination form above, we must have c9, which leads us to the conclusion that c9.

17. The proof is a special case of proof for exercise 18. Replace m and n both with 2.

18. Assume that  $\sqrt[n]{m}$  is rational i.e. can be expressed as a ratio of integers a/b. We'll prove that this leads to a contradiction. Raise both sides of the equation to the *n*th power. This yields  $m = a^n/b^n$ . The prime factorization of  $a^n$  and  $b^n$  must contain primes raised to an integer that is a multiple of n. Even as the primes in the numerator and denominator cancel out to yield m (on the LHS), the resulting prime factorization must still contain primes raised to an integer that is a multiple of n. This implies that m is the nth power of some integer, which contradicts what we have been told about m. This concludes the proof.